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#### Abstract

We study the general requirement for supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions in type IIB supergravity. We employ the Killing spinor technique and study the differential and algebraic relations among various Killing spinor bilinears to find the canonical form of the solutions. Our result agrees precisely with the work of Apruzzi et al. (JHEP 1411:099, 2014), which used the pure spinor technique. Hoping to identify the geometry of the problem, we also computed four-dimensional theory through the dimensional reduction of type IIB supergravity on $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$. This effective action is essentially a non-linear sigma model with five scalar fields parametrizing $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}(2,1)$, modified by a scalar potential and coupled to Einstein gravity in Euclidean signature. We argue that the scalar potential can be explained by a subgroup $\operatorname{CSO}(1,1,1) \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ in a way analogous to gauged supergravity.
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## 1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been renewed interest in supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions in $D=10$ supergravity. Via the gauge/gravity correspondence [2], such solutions should be dual to certain $D=5$ superconformal field theories. Five-dimensional gauge theories are perturbatively nonrenormalizable. Seiberg nonetheless argued that $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric $\operatorname{Sp}(N)$ gauge theories with hypermultiplets of $N_{f}<8$ fundamental and one antisymmetric tensor representation flow in the infinite gauge coupling limit to superconformal theories, and their $S O\left(N_{f}\right) \times U(1)$ global symmetry is enhanced to $E_{N_{f}+1}$ [3-5]. Such fixed point theories have a string theory construction: in terms of the near-horizon limit of D4-D8 brane configurations. Based on the $\mathrm{AdS}_{6} / \mathrm{CFT}_{5}$ correspondence [6], Brandhuber and Oz identified the gravity dual as a supersymmetric $\operatorname{AdS}_{6} \times{ }_{w} S^{4}$ solution of massive type IIA supergravity [7]. More recently this correspondence was generalized to quiver gauge theories and $\mathrm{AdS}_{6} \times{ }_{w} S^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ orbifolds in [8].

Thanks to the development of the localization technique [9] and its generalization to five-dimensional gauge theories [10,11], some BPS quantities can be calculated exactly. The
conjectured enhancement of global symmetry to $E_{N_{f}+1}$ was verified from the analysis of the superconformal index in [12]. Furthermore, the $S^{5}$ free energy and also the $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS circular Wilson loop operators are calculated and shown to agree with the gravity side computations [13-16].

Encouraged by the successful application of localization technique on the field theory side, it is natural for us to look for new supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions. In massive type IIA supergravity, it was proved that the Brandhuber-Oz solution is the unique one [17]. In type IIB supergravity, the T-dual version of the Brandhuber-Oz solution has been known for a long time [18]. A new solution was obtained more recently employing the technique of non-Abelian T-dual transformation in [19]. The dual gauge theory was investigated in [20], but it is not completely understood yet.

For a thorough study, the authors of [1] investigated the general form of supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity, using the pure spinor approach. They found that the four-dimensional internal space is a fibration of $S^{2}$ over a two-dimensional space, and they also showed that the supersymmetry conditions boil down to two coupled partial differential equations. Of course, any solution of the PDEs provides a supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solution at least locally. In particular, the two explicit solutions mentioned above can be reproduced as specific solutions to the PDEs. But otherwise these non-linear coupled PDEs are so complicated that currently it looks very hard, if not impossible, to obtain more $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions by directly solving the PDEs.

The objective of this article is to procure additional insight into this problem, using alternative methods. In the first part we use the Killing spinor approach which is probably more better known and has been successfully applied to many similar problems; see e.g. [21-23]. Following the standard procedure we work out the algebraic and differential constraints which should be satisfied by various spinor bilinears and derive the supersymmetric conditions. In the end, we confirm that our results are in precise agreement with that of [1]. Secondly, via dimensional reduction of the bosonic sector of the $D=10$ action on $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$, we present a four-dimensional effective theory action, which turns out to be a non-linear sigma model of five scalar fields coupled to gravity. The scalar fields parametrize the coset space $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}(2,1)$. Also there is a non-trivial scalar potential, which breaks the global $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry to a certain subalgebra. Although in this paper we do not present new solutions, we believe the identification of the $D=4$ effective action will prove useful in the construction of explicit solutions and their classifications.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains an analysis on the supersymmetry conditions for $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions. In Sect. 3, we study the four-dimensional effective theory from dimensional reduction on $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$. Technical details are relegated to the appendices.

## 2 Supersymmetric AdS 6 solutions

### 2.1 Killing spinor equations

We consider the most general supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity. We take the $D=10$ metric as a warped product of $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ with a four-dimensional Riemannian space $M_{4}$
$\mathrm{d} s^{2}=e^{2 U} \mathrm{~d} s_{A d S_{6}}^{2}+\mathrm{d} s_{M_{4}}^{2}$,
where $U$ is a warp factor. To respect the symmetry of $\operatorname{AdS}_{6}$, we should set the five-form flux to zero. The complex threeform flux $G$ is non-vanishing only on $M_{4}$. The warp factor $U$, the dilation $\phi$ and the axion $C$, are functions on $M_{4}$ and of course independent of coordinates in $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$.

To preserve some supersymmetry, we require the vanishing of supersymmetry transformations of the gravitino and the dilatino i.e. $\delta \psi_{M}=0, \delta \lambda=0$. With the gamma matrix decomposition (B.1) and the spinor ansatz (B.8), we reduce the ten-dimensional Killing spinor equations to four-dimensional ones. There are two differential and four algebraic-type equations:
$D_{m} \xi_{1 \pm}+\frac{1}{96} G_{n p q}\left(\gamma_{m} \gamma^{n p q}+2 \gamma^{n p q} \gamma_{m}\right) \xi_{2 \pm}=0$,
$\bar{D}_{m} \xi_{2 \pm}+\frac{1}{96} G_{n p q}^{*}\left(\gamma_{m} \gamma^{n p q}+2 \gamma^{n p q} \gamma_{m}\right) \xi_{1 \pm}=0$,
$i m e^{-U} \xi_{1 \mp}+\partial_{n} U \gamma^{n} \xi_{1 \pm}-\frac{1}{48} G_{n p q} \gamma^{n p q} \xi_{2 \pm}=0$,
$i m e^{-U} \xi_{2 \mp}+\partial_{n} U \gamma^{n} \xi_{2 \pm}-\frac{1}{48} G_{n p q}^{*} \gamma^{n p q} \xi_{1 \pm}=0$,
$P_{n} \gamma^{n} \xi_{2 \pm}+\frac{1}{24} G_{n p q} \gamma^{n p q} \xi_{1 \pm}=0$,
$P_{n}^{*} \gamma^{n} \xi_{1 \pm}+\frac{1}{24} G_{n p q}^{*} \gamma^{n p q} \xi_{2 \pm}=0$,
where
$D_{m} \xi_{1 \pm}=\left(\nabla_{m}-\frac{i}{2} Q_{m}\right) \xi_{1 \pm}, \quad \bar{D}_{m} \xi_{2 \pm}=\left(\nabla_{m}+\frac{i}{2} Q_{m}\right) \xi_{2 \pm}$.

With the assumption that there exists at least one nowherevanishing solution to the equations in the above, we can construct various spinor bilinears. Then the supersymmetric condition is translated into various algebraic and differential relations between the spinor bilinears. We have recorded them in Appendix C. 1 and C.2.

### 2.2 Killing vectors

We first need to study the isometry of the four-dimensional Riemannian space $M_{4}$. We note that the following two complex vectors satisfy the Killing equation $\nabla_{(m} K_{n)}=0$ :
$\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma_{n} \xi_{1-}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma_{n} \xi_{2-}, \quad \overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma_{n} \xi_{2-}+\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}}+\gamma_{n} \xi_{1-}$.

If these vectors are to provide a true symmetry of the full ten-dimensional solution as well, we need to check if
$\mathcal{L}_{K} U=\left(\mathrm{d} i_{K}+i_{K} d\right) U=K^{m} \partial_{m} U=0$,
where $\mathcal{L}_{K}$ is a Lie derivative along the Killing vector $K$. From (C.4) and (C.6), we find that in fact only three of them satisfy the above condition. Hence, the true Killing vectors are
$K_{1}^{n} \equiv \operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{n} \xi_{2-}+\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{n} \xi_{1-}\right)$,
$K_{2}^{n} \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left(\overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{n} \xi_{2-}+\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{n} \xi_{1-}\right)$,
$K_{3}^{n} \equiv \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{n} \xi_{1-}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{n} \xi_{2-}\right)$.
Using (2.6) and (2.7), we have $P_{m} K_{i}^{m}=0$, which implies that
$\mathcal{L}_{K_{i}} \phi=\mathcal{L}_{K_{i}} C=0$,
where $i=1,2,3$. Also we obtain $i_{K} * G=0$ from (2.40) and (2.41), and $i_{k} d * G=0$ using the equation of the motion for $\mathrm{G},{ }^{1}$ thus
$\mathcal{L}_{K_{i}} * G=0$.
Hence, we conclude that $K_{i}$ describe symmetries of the full ten-dimensional solutions.

Now let us study the Lie bracket of the Killing vectors. Using (C.13) and (C.19), the Fierz identities (D.2) and the normalization (C.28), we show that the three Killing vectors satisfy an $S U(2)$ algebra,
$\left[K_{i}, K_{j}\right]=\epsilon_{i j k} K_{k}$.
This $S U(2)$ isometry of the four-dimensional Riemannian space corresponds to the $S U(2)_{R} \mathrm{R}$-symmetry of dual fivedimensional field theory. Then we construct a $3 \times 3$ matrix, whose elements are the inner products of the Killing vectors (D.9), and find that this matrix is singular
$\operatorname{det}\left(K_{i} \cdot K_{j}\right)=0$.
This guarantees that $K_{i}$ are the Killing vectors of $S^{2}$. The radius $l$ of the two-sphere is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
2 l^{2} & =\left(K_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(K_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(K_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =2\left[\frac{1}{9 m^{2}} e^{2 U}-4\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}\right)\left(\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right)\right] . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$
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### 2.3 Supersymmetric solutions

We have showed that once we require the supersymmetry conditions, then the four-dimensional Riemannian space should contain $S^{2}$. Now we focus on the remaining twodimensional space. We start with two one-forms $L_{n}^{1}$ and $L_{n}^{2}$ from (C.11):

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{n}^{1} & \equiv e^{U+\frac{1}{2} \phi}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right) \partial_{n} C-m e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi} L_{n}^{3} \\
& =-i \partial_{n}\left(e^{U-\frac{1}{2} \phi}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right)\right),  \tag{2.19}\\
L_{n}^{2} & \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma_{n} \xi_{2-}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma_{n} \xi_{1-}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{m} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi} \partial_{n}\left(e^{U+\frac{1}{2} \phi}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right)\right), \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$L_{n}^{3}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma_{n} \xi_{2-}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma_{n} \xi_{1-}\right)$.
Using the Fierz identities, one can show that the one-forms $L^{2}$ and $L^{3}$ are orthogonal to the Killing vectors,
$K_{i} \cdot L^{2}=K_{i} \cdot L^{3}=0$.
Together with $\mathcal{L}_{K_{i}} C=0$, the one-form $L^{1}$ is also orthogonal to the Killing vectors. Then we introduce coordinates $z$ and $y$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& z=-3 m i e^{U-\frac{1}{2} \phi}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right),  \tag{2.23}\\
& y=3 m e^{U+\frac{1}{2} \phi}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{K_{i}} z=i_{K_{i}} \mathrm{~d} z \sim K_{i} \cdot L^{1}=0$ and similarly $\mathcal{L}_{K_{i}} y=$ 0 , the coordinates $z$ and $y$ are independent of the sphere coordinates. In terms of the coordinates $z$ and $y$, the oneforms are
$L^{1}=\frac{1}{3 m} y \mathrm{~d} C-m e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi} L^{3}=\frac{1}{3 m} \mathrm{~d} z$,
$L^{2}=\frac{1}{3 m^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi} \mathrm{~d} y$.
Then we calculate inner products of the one-forms $L^{1}$ and $L^{2}$, hoping to be able to fix the remaining two-dimensional metric. However, we cannot immediately calculate the inner products involving $L^{1}$, because it includes $\mathrm{d} C$. The resolution is that we consider the one-form $L^{3}$ defined in (2.21) instead. From (C.15) and (C.16), we have
$d\left(e^{4 U-\frac{1}{2} \phi} L^{2}\right)=e^{4 U+\frac{1}{2} \phi} \mathrm{~d} C \wedge L^{3}$,
$d\left(e^{4 U+\frac{1}{2} \phi} L^{3}\right)=0$.
We introduce another coordinate $w$ and write $L^{3}$ as
$L^{3}=\frac{1}{3 m^{2}} e^{-4 U-\frac{1}{2} \phi} \mathrm{~d} w$.

Then we can calculate inner products of $L^{2}$ and $L^{3}$ using the Fierz identities and read off the two-dimensional metric components in $w$ and $y$ coordinates,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s_{2}^{2}= & \frac{1}{m^{2}\left(e^{4 U+\phi}-y^{2}-e^{2 \phi} z^{2}\right)}\left[e^{-2 U+\phi}\left(e^{4 U-\phi}-z^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y^{2}\right. \\
& +e^{-10 U-\phi}\left(e^{4 U+\phi}-y^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\times \mathrm{d} w^{2}-2 e^{-6 U} y z \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} w\right] \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

At this stage, $z$ is an unknown function of $y$ and $w$. The details are in Appendix D.2.

We would like to express $d C$ in terms of the coordinate $z$ instead of $w$. From the Killing spinor equations (2.4)-(2.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{2} \cdot \mathrm{~d} C=e^{-\phi} d(4 U+\phi) \cdot L_{3}-\frac{4}{3} e^{-2 U-\frac{1}{2} \phi} z  \tag{2.30}\\
& L_{3} \cdot \mathrm{~d} C=e^{-\phi} d(4 U-\phi) \cdot L_{2}+\frac{4}{3} e^{-2 U-\frac{3}{2} \phi} y \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrability conditions $d(\mathrm{~d} z)=d(\mathrm{~d} y)=0$ from (2.24), (2.25), when combined with (2.26), (2.27) give
$L_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} C+e^{-\phi} d(4 U+\phi) \wedge L_{3}=0$,
$L_{3} \wedge \mathrm{~d} C+e^{-\phi} d(4 U-\phi) \wedge L_{2}=0$.

Summarizing, from (2.30)-(2.33), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} C=\frac{1}{2 y z}\left[\left(e^{4 U-\phi}-e^{-2 \phi} y^{2}\right) d(4 U-\phi)+\left(e^{4 U-\phi}-z^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad d(4 U+\phi)-4 e^{-4 U-\phi} z \mathrm{~d} w+4 e^{-2 \phi} y \mathrm{~d} y\right] \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

If we plug this into (2.24), we can express $\mathrm{d} w$ in terms of $\mathrm{d} y$ and $\mathrm{d} z$. Then we can write the metric and $\mathrm{d} C$ in the $y$ and $z$ coordinates.

Now we are ready to present our main result. We introduce a new coordinate $x$ defined by
$x^{2}=e^{8 U}-e^{4 U-\phi} y^{2}-e^{4 U+\phi} z^{2}$.
Then we can have all fields and functions in terms of coordinates $x$ and $y$ only. We have the metric of the fourdimensional Riemannian space,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s_{4}^{2}= & \frac{1}{9 m^{2}}\left[e^{-6 U} x^{2} \mathrm{~d} s_{S^{2}}^{2}+\frac{e^{-2 U}}{e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}-e^{4 U} y^{2}}\right. \\
\times & {\left[\left(e^{4 U+\phi}-y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{2}+9\left(e^{8 U}-x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y^{2}\right.} \\
& +6 x y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y]] \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly $\mathrm{d} C$ is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} C= & \frac{e^{-2 U-\phi}}{y \sqrt{e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}-e^{4 U} y^{2}}}\left[2\left(e^{8 U+\phi}+e^{\phi} x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} U\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}-2 e^{4 U} y^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\times \mathrm{d} \phi-\frac{2}{3} e^{\phi} x \mathrm{~d} x\right] \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

The consistency conditions (2.32) and (2.33) give two partial differential equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
4 e^{\phi} x= & 12\left(e^{8 U+\phi}+e^{\phi} x^{2}-2 e^{4 U} y^{2}\right) \partial_{x} U+8 e^{\phi} x y \partial_{y} U \\
& -3 e^{\phi}\left(e^{8 U}-x^{2}\right) \partial_{x} \phi+2 e^{\phi} x y \partial_{y} \phi \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
-4 e^{4 U+\phi} x y= & 12 e^{4 U} y\left(e^{8 U+\phi}-3 e^{\phi} x^{2}-2 e^{4 U} y^{2}\right) \partial_{x} U \\
& +4 e^{2 \phi} x\left(e^{8 U}+x^{2}\right) \partial_{y} U \\
& +e^{\phi} x\left(-e^{8 U+\phi}+e^{\phi} x^{2}+2 e^{4 U} y^{2}\right) \partial_{y} \phi \\
& -3 y e^{4 U+\phi}\left(e^{8 U}-x^{2}\right) \partial_{x} \phi \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

The complex three-form flux is obtained by using (2.4)-(2.7) rather straightforwardly,

$$
\begin{align*}
* \operatorname{Re} G= & -\frac{2}{y} e^{-6 U-\phi / 2} \\
& \times\left[\left(e^{8 U+\phi}+e^{\phi} x^{2}+2 e^{4 U} y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} U\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi-\frac{1}{3} e^{\phi} x \mathrm{~d} x-2 e^{4 U} y \mathrm{~d} y\right]  \tag{2.40}\\
* \operatorname{Im} G= & 2 \frac{e^{-4 U-\phi / 2}}{\sqrt{e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}-e^{4 U} y^{2}}} \\
& \times\left[\left(3 e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}-2 e^{4 U} y^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} U\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{8 U+\phi}-e^{\phi} x^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \phi+\frac{1}{3} e^{\phi} x \mathrm{~d} x+2 e^{4 U} y \mathrm{~d} y\right] \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used $\gamma_{m n p q}=\sqrt{g_{4}} \epsilon_{m n p q} \gamma_{5}$.
To summarize, we have employed the Killing spinor analysis in Einstein frame and obtained the most general supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions for the metric and the fluxes in terms of the warping factor $U$ and the dilation $\phi$. This implies that, when we have solutions $U$ and $\phi$ to the two PDEs (2.38) and (2.39), then we can completely determine the metric (2.36), the one-form flux (2.37) and the three-form flux (2.40), (2.41). Our analysis shows a perfect agreement
with the work of [1], where the authors used the pure spinor approach in string frame. We can reproduce their results with the following identification of our fields to theirs:
$g_{m n} \rightarrow e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}} g_{m n}, \quad U \rightarrow A-\frac{\phi}{4}$,
$\mathrm{d} C \rightarrow F_{1}, \quad \operatorname{Re} G \rightarrow e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}} H_{3}, \quad \operatorname{Im} G \rightarrow-e^{\frac{\phi}{2}} F_{3}$.
Also our coordinates $(x, y)$ correspond to $(p, q)$ defined in (4.17) of [1].

### 2.4 Equations of motion

From the equations of motion and the Bianchi identities of $\mathrm{D}=10$ type IIB supergravity, we obtain the four-dimensional ones via dimensional reduction. Let us start with dualizing the complex three-form flux $G$ into real scalars $f$ and $g$

$$
\begin{align*}
* \operatorname{Re} G & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-6 U+\frac{1}{2} \phi}(C d f-f d C+\mathrm{d} \tilde{g}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} e^{-6 U+\frac{1}{2} \phi}(\mathrm{~d} g+2 C d f) \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

$* \operatorname{Im} G=e^{-6 U-\frac{1}{2} \phi} \mathrm{~d} f$.
where $g=\tilde{g}-f C$. They satisfy the equation of motion for $G$ automatically. Also the Bianchi identity for $P$ is satisfied by (A.4). Then the Einstein equation, the equation for $P$, and the Bianchi identity for $G$ give the following six equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m n}= 6 \nabla_{m} \nabla_{n} U+6 \partial_{m} U \partial_{n} U+\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi} \partial_{m} C \partial_{n} C \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{m} \phi \partial_{n} \phi-\frac{1}{8}\left[e ^ { - 1 2 U + \phi } \left(\left(\partial_{m} g+2 C \partial_{m} f\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\times\left(\partial_{n} g+2 C \partial_{n} f\right)-\frac{3}{4}(\partial g+2 C \partial f)^{2} g_{m n}\right) \\
&\left.+4 e^{-12 U-\phi}\left(\partial_{m} f \partial_{n} f-\frac{3}{4}(\partial f)^{2} g_{m n}\right)\right],  \tag{2.45}\\
& \square U+6(\partial U)^{2}+5 e^{-2 U}-\frac{1}{8} e^{-12 U-\phi}(\partial f)^{2} \\
&-\frac{1}{32} e^{-12 U+\phi}(\partial g+2 C \partial f)^{2}=0,  \tag{2.46}\\
& \square \phi+6 \partial U \cdot \partial \phi-e^{2 \phi}(\partial C)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{-12 U-\phi}(\partial f)^{2} \\
&+\frac{1}{8} e^{-12 U+\phi}(\partial g+2 C \partial f)^{2}=0,  \tag{2.47}\\
& \square C+6 \partial U \cdot \partial C+2 \partial \phi \\
& \cdot \partial C+\frac{1}{2} e^{-12 U-\phi}(\partial f) \cdot(\partial g+2 C \partial f)=0,  \tag{2.48}\\
& \partial\left(\sqrt{g_{4}} e^{-6 U-\phi}\left(\partial f+\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi} C(\partial g+2 C \partial f)\right)\right)=0,  \tag{2.49}\\
& \partial\left(\sqrt{g_{4}} e^{-6 U+\phi}(\partial g+2 C \partial f)\right)=0 . \tag{2.50}
\end{align*}
$$

One can study the integrability conditions of the Killing spinor equations and check whether the supersymmetry conditions satisfy the equations of motion and the Bianchi identities automatically. Instead, here we checked that the metric (2.36) and the solutions to the BPS equations (2.37)-(2.41), do satisfy the above equations of motion.

## 3 Four-dimensional effective action

### 3.1 Non-linear sigma model

In this section we study $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity from a different perspective i.e. by performing a dimensional reduction of type IIB supergravity on $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ space to a four-dimensional theory. From the equations of motion obtained in the previous section, we construct a fourdimensional effective Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \sqrt{g_{4}} e^{6 U}\left[R+30(\partial U)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi}(\partial C)^{2}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2} e^{-12 U-\phi}(\partial f)^{2}+\frac{1}{8} e^{-12 U+\phi} \\
& \left.\times(\partial g+2 C \partial f)^{2}-30 e^{-2 U}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

By rescaling the metric $g_{m n}=e^{-6 U} \tilde{g}_{m n}$, we have the Einstein frame Lagrangian

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \sqrt{\tilde{g_{4}}}\left[\tilde{R}-24(\partial U)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} e^{2 \phi}(\partial C)^{2}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2} e^{-12 U-\phi}(\partial f)^{2}+\frac{1}{8} e^{-12 U+\phi} \\
& \left.\times(\partial g+2 C \partial f)^{2}-30 e^{-8 U}\right]  \tag{3.2}\\
= & \sqrt{\tilde{g_{4}}}\left[\tilde{R}-\frac{1}{2} G_{I J} \partial \Phi^{I} \partial \Phi^{J}-V(\Phi)\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi^{I}, I=1, \ldots, 5$, are the five scalar fields $U, \phi, C, f$ and $g$. This is a non-linear sigma model of five scalar fields coupled to gravity with a non-trivial scalar potential. Note that the sign of the kinetic terms of the dualized scalars $f$ and $g$ is reversed. However, it is well known that when we perform a dimensional reduction on an internal space including time, the signs of certain kinetic terms come out reversed, see e.g. [24].

### 3.2 Scalar kinetic terms

We study the properties of the five-dimensional target space. The metric is given by
$\mathrm{d} s_{5}^{2}=48 \mathrm{~d} U^{2}+\mathrm{d} \phi^{2}+e^{2 \phi} d C^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{4} e^{-12 U+\phi}(\mathrm{d} g+2 C d f)^{2}-e^{-12 U-\phi} \mathrm{d} f^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This space is Einstein; it satisfies $R_{I J}=-\frac{3}{2} G_{I J}$.
The dilaton $\phi$ and the axion $C$ form a complex one-form $P$. Also $g$ and $f$ originate from the complex three-form flux $G$. Hence, we turn to the four-dimensional submanifold spanned by $\phi, C, g, f$. We choose the orthonormal frame as

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{1} & =\mathrm{d} \phi, & e^{2} & =e^{\phi} \mathrm{d} C \\
e^{3} & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-6 U+\phi / 2}(\mathrm{~d} g+2 C d f), & e^{4} & =e^{-6 U-\phi / 2} \mathrm{~d} f, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and we construct a $(1,1)$-form $J$ and a $(2,0)$-form $\Omega$,
$J=e^{1} \wedge e^{2}+e^{3} \wedge e^{4}$,
$\Omega=\left(e^{1}+i e^{2}\right) \wedge\left(e^{3}+i e^{4}\right)$,
which satisfy
$J \wedge J=\frac{1}{2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}, \quad J \wedge \Omega=0$.
By taking an exterior derivative to these two-forms, we have
$\mathrm{d} J=0$,
$\mathrm{d} \Omega=i P \wedge \Omega$,
where $P=-\frac{3}{2} e^{2}$. Hence, we find that the four-dimensional submanifold is Kähler. Its Ricci form is obtained by $\mathcal{R}=$ $\mathrm{d} P=-\frac{3}{2} e^{1} \wedge e^{2}$.

To investigate the isometry of the target space, we solved the Killing equation $\nabla_{(I} K_{J)}=0$, and we found eight Killing vectors in (E.1). These Killing vectors generate an $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ algebra. The details can be found in Appendix E.

One can explicitly check that the five-dimensional target space is in fact the coset $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}(2,1) .{ }^{2}$ We construct the coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ in Borel gauge by exponentiating Cartan generators $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and positive root generators $E_{\alpha_{1}}, E_{\alpha_{2}}, E_{\alpha_{3}}$,
$\mathcal{V}=e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \phi H_{1}} e^{-2 \sqrt{6} U H_{2}} e^{C E_{\alpha_{1}}} e^{f E_{\alpha_{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{2} g E_{\alpha_{3}}}$.
With the basis of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ introduced in (E.2), one can obtain the coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ in a $3 \times 3$ matrix form explicitly. Then we construct an element of the orthogonal complement of $\operatorname{so}(2,1)$ in $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$,
$P_{\mu(i j)}=\mathcal{V}_{(i \mid}{ }^{a} \partial_{\mu}\left(\mathcal{V}^{-1}\right)_{a}{ }^{k} \eta_{k \mid j)}$.
Here $i, j, k=1,2,3$ is a vector index of $\mathrm{SO}(2,1)$ and $a=$ $1,2,3$ is an $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ index. An invariant metric of $\operatorname{SO}(2,1)$

[^2]is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i j}=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,-1) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Finally, the kinetic term of the scalar fields of the Lagrangian (3.2) is
$\mathcal{L}_{\text {kinetic }}=-\operatorname{Tr}\left(P_{\mu} P^{\mu}\right)$.

### 3.3 Scalar potential

Now let us consider the scalar potential $V=30 e^{-8 U}$ in the Lagragian. Its existence must obviously break the $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ global symmetry into a non-trivial subalgebra. Among the eight generators in Appendix E, this scalar potential is invariant under the action of five Killing vectors $K^{1}, K^{3}, K^{4}, K^{6}$ and $K^{8}$. With the identification
$e_{1}=K^{4}, \quad e_{2}=\sqrt{2} K^{1}, \quad e_{3}=K^{3}, e_{4}=K^{6}, \quad e_{5}=-K^{8}$
one can see that they form a certain five-dimensional Lie algebra so-called $A_{5,40}$ in table II of [28]. This algebra is isomorphic to the semi-direct sum $\operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2}$ [29].

The scalar potential here comes from the curvature of internal space $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$. Certainly the situation is very similar to gauged supergravities where the higher-dimensional origin of the gauging process is related to the curvature of the internal space. Within the context of lower-dimensional supergravity itself, compared to the un-gauged action, a subgroup of the global symmetry is made local and the associated vector fields acquire non-abelian gauge interactions. A new parameter, say $g$, should be introduced as gauge coupling. To preserve supersymmetry, the action and the supersymmetry transformations are modified and importantly for us in general a scalar potential should be added at order $g^{2}$. Although our theory is not a supergravity theory per se, and there are no vector fields, we borrow the idea of gauged supergravity and write the scalar potential in terms of the coset representative of non-linear sigma model, through the so-called $T$-tensor. This may be justified because our four-dimensional action also has Killing spinor equations which are compatible with the field equations. In other words the integrability condition of Killing spinor equations should imply the fields satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations. It is the $T$-tensor which encodes the gauging process and determines the modification of supersymmetry transformation rules and the action in gauged supergravity.

For a class of the maximal supergravity theories with a global symmetry group $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, it is well known that the gauged supergravity can be obtained by gauging the $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ subgroup. This gauging can be generalized to the noncompact subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ with $p+q=n$ and the non-semi-simple group $\operatorname{CSO}(p, q, r)$ with $p+q+r=n$, which was introduced in [30,31]. $\mathrm{CSO}(p, q, r)=\mathrm{SO}(p, q) \ltimes$
$\mathbb{R}^{(p+q) \cdot r}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, e.g. (6.8) of [32], and it preserves the metric
$q_{a b}=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1, \ldots}_{p}, \underbrace{-1, \ldots}_{q}, \underbrace{0, \ldots}_{r})$.
Let us focus on the non-semi-simple group $\operatorname{CSO}(1,1,1)$. We introduce the $T$-tensor as (apparently in the same way as in the gauged supergravity)
$T_{i j}=\mathcal{V}_{i}{ }^{a} \mathcal{V}_{j}^{b} q_{a b}$,
where
$q_{a b}=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,0)$.
Then one can easily check that the scalar potential is
$V=-15\left((\operatorname{Tr} T)^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{2}\right)\right)$.
It should be possible to re-write the Killing spinor equations (2.2)-(2.7) as well as the action to make the symmetry $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ and the choice of $\operatorname{CSO}(1,1,1)$ more manifest. We plan to do this construction, based on Killing spinor equations and their compatibility with the field equations, for all possible choices of compact and non-compact maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ in a separate publication.

## 4 Discussions

We have studied $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity theory in this paper. In the first part, we have employed the Killing spinor analysis and revisited supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions, which was studied in [1] using the pure spinor approach. We have constructed three Killing vectors, which satisfy $S U(2)$ algebra and give $S^{2}$ factor in the fourdimensional internal space $M_{4}$. In other words, the $S U(2)$ symmetry, which corresponds to $S U(2)_{R}$ R-symmetry in the dual field theory, appears as isometries of the background if we impose the supersymmetric conditions. Also we have found two one-forms which are orthogonal to the Killing vectors. Using these one-forms, we have introduced the coordinates and determined the metric of the remaining twodimensional space, and two coupled PDEs defined on it. Also the scalar fields and three-form fluxes have been found. Once we are given the solution to the PDEs, then the metric and the fluxes can be determined. Our results completely agree with the work of [1].

Although the result of [1] consists of significant progress in the classification of the supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions in type IIB supergravity theory, there still remain a couple of important problems to be studied further. To be sure, a most important but difficult task is to solve the PDEs (2.38), (2.39) and find a new AdS $_{6}$ solution. Also it is very important to construct the field theories dual to $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions of IIB
supergravity, which are still unknown. In [20], the properties of the dual field theory were studied through their $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solution. For the general class of solutions studied in [1], the authors suggested that ( $p, q$ ) five-brane webs [33] play a crucial role. They conjectured that ( $p, q$ ) five-brane webs might be somehow related to the PDEs and the supergravity solutions could be obtained in the near-horizon limit.

Our independent analysis adds credence to the fact that the non-linear PDEs found in [1] provide necessary and sufficient conditions for supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ in IIB supergravity. One should, however, admit that the PDEs in the present form are far from illuminating. As is sometimes the case, the study of the general form of supersymmetric solutions in supergravity is not always very efficient in constructing new solutions. However, identifying the canonical form of the metric and form-fields as done in [1] and in this paper are equivalent to having the complete information on Killing spinors. So they become very useful for the study of supersymmetric probe consideration, for instance in the study of supersymmetric Wilson loops from D-branes.

We thus think that a less technical and more intuitive way of understanding the supersymmetric $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions would be very desirable. We hope our analysis in the second half of this paper is a modest first step toward such a framework. There we have presented a four-dimensional theory via a dimensional reduction on $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ space. The problem of finding $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ solutions of type IIB supergravity is reduced to a four-dimensional non-linear sigma model, i.e. a gravity theory coupled to five scalars with a non-trivial scalar potential. The scalar kinetic terms parameterize $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}(2,1)$. We have reconstructed the scalar potential in terms of the coset non-linear sigma model language in a manner inspired by the gauged supergravity. We discovered that a particular group $\operatorname{CSO}(1,1,1)$ which is a subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is relevant to the scalar potential at hand, and presented the analog of $T$-tensor. We hope the knowledge of the symmetry structure in the effective four-dimensional action will become useful to get a deeper insight into the existing solutions [18,19], for the identification of their gauge theory duals, and eventually also for constructing more explicit solutions.

The $D=4$ effective action at hand is purely bosonic and it is not expected to be part of a supergravity action. But it enjoys the nice property that it is equipped with an associated set of Killing spinor equations which allows BPS solutions. When the Killing spinor equations (2.2)-(2.7) are re-written in a covariant way where the coset symmetry and the choice of gauging group $\operatorname{CSO}(1,1,1)$ is more manifest, we expect we can generalize the construction to a bigger symmetry $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with $n>3$ and also different choices of maximal subgroup thereof. Of course their string theory origin is not clear, but mathematically they are interesting "fake supergravity" models and might be useful e.g. for bottom-up model building in the AdS/CFT inspired study of condensed
matter physics. A similar generalization of BPS systems was successfully performed starting with $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ solutions in IIB supergravity and $\mathrm{AdS}_{2}$ solutions in 11-dimensional supergravity along the lines of the work reported in [34-36]. We plan to report on such a generic analysis in a separate publication.
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## Appendix A: Type IIB supergravity

We follow the conventions of [23]. In type IIB supergravity, the bosonic fields are the graviton $g_{M N}$, five-form flux $F_{(5)}$, complex three-form flux $G_{(3)}$, dilaton $\phi$ and axion $C$. For the fermionic fields, there are gravitino $\psi_{M}$ and dilatino $\lambda$. The supersymmetry variation of the fermionic fields are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \psi_{M}= & D_{M} \epsilon+\frac{1}{96}\left(\Gamma_{M} \Gamma^{N P Q} G_{N P Q}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \Gamma^{N P Q} G_{N P Q} \Gamma_{M}\right) \epsilon^{c}  \tag{A.1}\\
& +\frac{i}{1920} \Gamma^{N P Q R S} F_{N P Q R S} \Gamma_{M} \epsilon \\
\delta \lambda= & i \Gamma^{M} P_{M} \epsilon^{c}+\frac{i}{24} \Gamma^{M N P} G_{M N P} \epsilon \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the covariant derivative is
$D_{M} \epsilon=\left(\nabla_{M}-\frac{i}{2} Q_{M}\right) \epsilon$.
The fields $P_{M}$ and $Q_{M}$ are written in terms of the dilaton and axion as
$P=\frac{i}{2} e^{\phi} \mathrm{d} C+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi$,
$Q=-\frac{1}{2} e^{\phi} \mathrm{d} C$.

The chirality conditions are
$\Gamma_{11} \psi=-\psi, \quad \Gamma_{11} \lambda=\lambda, \quad \Gamma_{11} \epsilon=-\epsilon$.

## Appendix B: Gamma matrices and spinors

## B.1: Gamma matrices

We follow the conventions of [37]. We decompose the tendimensional gamma matrices by writing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{\mu}=\rho_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{5}  \tag{B.1}\\
& \Gamma_{m}=1 \otimes \gamma_{m}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=0,1,2,3,4,5$ and $m=1,2,3,4$. Then the chirality matrix is given by $\Gamma_{11}=\rho_{7} \otimes \gamma_{5}$.

In even dimensions, we introduce the intertwiners, which act on the gamma matrices as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \Gamma_{M} A^{-1}=\Gamma_{M}^{\dagger} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C^{-1} \Gamma_{M} C=-\Gamma_{M}^{T}$,
$D^{-1} \Gamma_{M} D=-\Gamma_{M}^{*}$,
with $D=C A^{T}$. These intertwiners can be chosen to satisfy the following relations at given $d$ dimensions:
$A_{d}=A_{d}^{\dagger}, \quad C_{d}=\eta C_{d}^{T}, \quad D_{d}=\delta\left(D_{d}^{*}\right)^{-1}$,
where the values of $\eta$ and $\delta$ are given in Table 1. We decompose the ten-dimensional intertwiners as
$A_{10}=A_{6} \otimes A_{4}, \quad C_{10}=C_{6} \otimes C_{4}, D_{10}=D_{6} \otimes D_{4}$.

## B.2: Spinors

There are two ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinor $\epsilon_{i}$, which satisfy
$\Gamma_{11} \epsilon_{i}=-\epsilon_{i}, \quad \epsilon_{i}^{c}=\epsilon_{i}$,
where $i=1,2$. We decompose $\epsilon_{i}$ into six- and fourdimensional spinors, $\psi$ and $\chi$, respectively, as
$\epsilon_{i}=\psi_{+} \otimes \chi_{i-}+\psi_{-} \otimes \chi_{i+}+c . c .$,
where $\pm$ represent the chirality. In our case, the sixdimensional spinors $\psi_{ \pm}$satisfy the Killing spinor equation

| The | values | of | $\eta$ | and | $\delta$ | in | various |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $d$ | 4 |  | 6 | dimensions |  |  |  |
| $\eta$ |  | - |  | + | 10 |  |  |
| $\delta$ | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |

on $A d S_{6}$
$\nabla_{\mu} \psi_{ \pm}=\frac{i}{2} m \rho_{\mu} \rho_{7} \psi_{\mp}$,
where $m$ is the inverse radius of $A d S_{6}$. Then we have the complexified spinor,

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon & \equiv \epsilon_{1}+i \epsilon_{2} \\
& =\psi_{+} \otimes \xi_{1-}+\psi_{-} \otimes \xi_{1+}+\psi_{+}^{c} \otimes \xi_{2-}^{c}+\psi_{-}^{c} \otimes \xi_{2+}^{c} \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\xi_{1 \pm}=\chi_{1 \pm}+i \chi_{2 \pm}, \quad \xi_{2 \pm}^{c}=\chi_{1 \pm}^{c}+i \chi_{2 \pm}^{c}$.
The Dirac adjoint and the charge conjugation are, respectively,
$\bar{\eta}=\eta^{\dagger} A, \quad \eta^{c}=D \eta^{*}$.

## Appendix C: Spinor bilinears

One can construct all the spinor bilinears such as $\bar{\xi}_{A, i} \gamma^{(a)} \xi_{B, j}$ and $\overline{\xi_{A, i}^{c}} \gamma^{(a)} \xi_{B, j}$. Here $A, B=1,2$ and $i, j$ represent the chi-rality,+- and $\gamma^{(a)} \equiv \gamma^{m_{1} \cdots m_{a}}$. Some of the spinor bilinears identically vanish by the chirality,
$\bar{\xi}_{+} \xi_{-}=0, \quad \bar{\xi}_{+} \gamma_{m} \xi_{+}=0, \quad \bar{\xi}_{+} \gamma_{m n} \xi_{-}=0$.
Also due to the antisymmetry of the charge conjugation matrix $C_{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \xi_{1+}=\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}=0, \quad \overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}=-\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \xi_{1+}, \\
& \overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2-}=\overline{\xi_{2-}^{c}} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1+} . \tag{C.2}
\end{align*}
$$

## C.1: Algebraic relations

In this section, we study the algebraic relations between the spinor bilinears, which can be derived from the algebraic Killing equations (2.4)-(2.7).

If we multiply $\bar{\xi}_{1 \mp}$ to $(2.4)$ and $\xi_{2 \mp}$ to a hermitian conjugate of (2.5) and then eliminate the three-form flux terms, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}-\bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{2-}=-\bar{\xi}_{1-} \xi_{1-}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+},  \tag{C.3}\\
& \partial_{m} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{n} \xi_{1-}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{n} \xi_{2-}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \gamma^{m} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{2-} \gamma^{m} \xi_{2+}\right) \\
& \quad=0 . \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If we multiply the charge conjugate spinor instead and follow the same procedure, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \xi_{1+}=\overline{\xi_{1-}^{c}} \xi_{2-} \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\partial_{m} U\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m} \xi_{2-}+\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m} \xi_{1-}\right)=0$.
Similarly eliminating the terms which have only one gamma matrix, we obtain
$\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{1-}=0, \quad \bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \xi_{2-}=0$,
$G_{m n p}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m n p} \xi_{1-}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m n p} \xi_{2-}\right)=0$.

## C.2: Differential relations

We record the differential relations of the spinor bilinears.

## Scalar bilinears

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{m}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}\right. & \left.+\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)=\partial_{m} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}+\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{1 \mp} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \pm}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \mp} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2 \pm}\right) \tag{C.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{m}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}-\right. & \left.\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)=-3 \partial_{m} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm}\right) \\
& -\frac{3}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{1 \mp} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \pm}\right. \\
& \left.-\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2 \mp}+\bar{\xi}_{2 \mp} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)  \tag{C.10}\\
\nabla_{m}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}\right)= & \left(i Q_{m}-\partial_{m} U\right) \bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}-P_{m} \bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \mp} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) \tag{C.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{m}\left(\overline{\xi_{2 \pm}^{c}} \xi_{1 \pm}\right)= & -\partial_{m} U{\overline{\xi_{2 \pm}^{c}}}^{\xi_{1 \pm}}+2 \partial_{m} U{\overline{\xi_{1 \pm}^{c}}}^{\xi_{2 \pm}} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{2 \pm}^{c}} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \mp}-\overline{\xi_{2 \mp}^{c}} \gamma_{m} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) \\
& +i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{1 \pm}^{c}} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2 \mp}-\overline{\xi_{1 \mp}^{c}} \gamma_{m} \xi_{2 \pm}\right) \tag{C.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Vector bilinears

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{[l}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right. & \left.+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right)=-6 \partial^{[l} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right) \\
& +\frac{3}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1-}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2-} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2-}\right), \tag{C.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{[l}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right. & \left.-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right)=-2 \partial^{[l} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right. \\
& \left.-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1-}\right. \\
& \left.-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2+}-\bar{\xi}_{2-} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2-}\right), \tag{C.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\nabla^{[l}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right)=\left(i Q^{[l}-4 \partial^{[l} U\right) \bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +P^{[l}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right) \\
& +2 i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{2-} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1-}\right) \tag{C.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[l}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right)=\left(-i Q^{[l}-4 \partial^{[l} U\right) \bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-} \\
&+P^{*[l}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right) \\
&+2 i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2-}\right)  \tag{C.16}\\
&(\mathrm{C} .16 \\
& \nabla^{[l}\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right)=\left(i Q^{[l}-4 \partial^{[l} U\right) \overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-} \\
&+P^{\left[l \overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right.}  \tag{C.17}\\
&+i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1+}+\overline{\xi_{1-}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1-}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{[l}\left(\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right)= & \left(-i Q^{[l}-4 \partial^{[l} U\right) \overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-} \\
& +P^{*[l} \overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-} \\
& +i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2+}+\overline{\xi_{2-}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2-}\right) \tag{C.18}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[l}\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}+\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right)=-6 \partial^{[l} U\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right)+\frac{3}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2+}+\overline{\xi_{1-}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2-}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1+}+\overline{\xi_{2-}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1-}\right), \tag{C.19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[l}\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}-\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right)=-2 \partial^{[l} U\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{2-}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{m]} \xi_{1-}\right)+\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2+}+\overline{\xi_{1-}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{2-}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\overline{\xi_{2+}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1+}-\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{l m} \xi_{1-}\right) . \tag{C.20}
\end{align*}
$$

## Two-form bilinears

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[r}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}+\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)=-5 \partial^{[r} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)-\frac{5}{6} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{1 \mp} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \pm}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{2 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \mp} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{2 \pm}\right), \tag{C.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[r}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)=-\partial^{[r} U\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(G^{r s t} \bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm}-G^{* r s t} \bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{6} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{1 \mp} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \pm}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{2 \mp}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\bar{\xi}_{2 \mp} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{2 \pm}\right), \tag{C.22}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[r}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}\right)=\left(i Q^{[r}-3 \partial^{[r} U\right) \bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm} \\
& \quad+P^{[r} \bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}-\frac{1}{6} G^{r s t}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1 \pm} \xi_{1 \pm}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \xi_{2 \pm}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2 \pm} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \mp}-\bar{\xi}_{2 \mp} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) \tag{C.23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{[r}\left(\overline{\xi_{1 \pm}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}\right)=\left(i Q^{[r}-3 \partial^{[r} U\right) \overline{\xi_{1 \pm}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm} \\
& \left.\quad+P^{[r} \overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}+\frac{1}{6} G^{r s t} \overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \xi_{2 \pm}-\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \mp}-\overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \pm}\right),  \tag{C.24}\\
& \nabla^{[r}\left(\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm}\right)=\left(-i Q^{[r}-3 \partial^{[r} U\right) \overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm} \\
& \quad+P^{*[r} \overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}-\frac{1}{6} G^{* r s t}\left(\overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \xi_{2 \pm}-\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{2 \mp}-\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{2 \pm}\right),  \tag{C.25}\\
& \nabla^{[r}\left(\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \pm \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}\right)=-3 \partial^{[r} U \overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{1 \pm}-\partial^{[r}(2 U) \overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \gamma^{s t]} \xi_{2 \pm} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} i m e^{-U}\left(\overline{\xi_{2 \pm}^{c}} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \mp}-\overline{\xi_{2}^{c}} \gamma^{r s t} \xi_{1 \pm}\right) . \tag{C.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Normalization of scalar bilinears
From (C.9) and (C.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left[e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \xi_{1-}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=d\left[e^{-U}\left(\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{2-}\right)\right]=0 \tag{C.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can fix the normalization
$\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{1-} \xi_{1-}=\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{2-}=\frac{e^{U}}{3 m}$.

## Appendix D: Fierz identities

In four dimensions, the Fierz identity is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{1}^{T} \eta_{2} \eta_{3}^{T} \eta_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\eta_{1}^{T} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \eta_{2}+\eta_{1}^{T} \gamma_{5} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{5} \eta_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4}\left(\eta_{1}^{T} \gamma^{m} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{m} \eta_{2}-\eta_{1}^{T} \gamma^{m} \gamma_{5} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{m} \gamma_{5} \eta_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{8} \eta_{1}^{T} \gamma^{m n} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{m n} \eta_{2} . \tag{D.1}
\end{align*}
$$

When we calculate the Lie bracket of the Killing vectors, we need to compute contractions of vectors with two-forms. With the spinors $\eta_{2}$ and $\eta_{3}$ of the same chirality, we find the following relation useful:

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{1}^{T} \gamma^{m} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{m n} \eta_{2}= & 2 \eta_{1}^{T} \gamma_{n} \eta_{2} \eta_{3}^{T} \eta_{4} \\
& -2 \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{n} \eta_{4} \eta_{1}^{T} \eta_{2} \\
& -\eta_{1}^{T} \gamma_{n} \gamma_{5} \eta_{4} \eta_{3}^{T} \gamma_{5} \eta_{2} \tag{D.2}
\end{align*}
$$

D.1: Relations of scalar bilinears

We also find useful relations between the scalar bilinears using the Fierz identities. If we choose $\eta_{1}^{T}=\bar{\xi}_{1+}, \eta_{2}=$
$\xi_{2+}, \eta_{3}^{T}=\bar{\xi}_{2+}, \eta_{4}=\xi_{1+}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}= & \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+} \\
& -\frac{1}{8} \bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m n} \xi_{1+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma_{m n} \xi_{2+} \tag{D.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, if we choose $\eta_{1}^{T}=\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}}, \eta_{2}=\xi_{2+}, \eta_{3}^{T}=$ $\bar{\xi}_{2+}, \eta_{4}=\xi_{1+}^{c}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\xi}_{1+}^{c} \xi_{2+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}^{c}= & \frac{1}{2} \bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+} \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{m n} \xi_{1+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \gamma_{m n} \xi_{2+} \tag{D.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we find that
$\left|\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}\right|^{2}+\left|\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right|^{2}=\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}$.
We also have a similar result with the minus chirality spinors. Then, using (C.5) and (C.7), we obtain
$\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+} \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}=\bar{\xi}_{1-} \xi_{1-} \bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{2-}$.
With the normalization (C.28), we conclude that
$\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}=\bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{2-}, \quad \bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}=\bar{\xi}_{1-} \xi_{1-}$.
D.2: Inner products of vector bilinears

The vectors $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ and one-forms $L^{2}, L^{3}$ play a crucial role in determining the form of the four-dimensional metric. In this section we explain the procedure in detail. First, we calculate the norms and the inner products of these vectors using the Fierz identities. For example, choosing $\eta_{1}^{T}=\bar{\xi}_{1+}, \eta_{2}=\xi_{2+}, \eta_{3}^{T}=\bar{\xi}_{2-}, \eta_{4}=\xi_{1-}$, we get
$\bar{\xi}_{1+} \gamma^{n} \xi_{1-} \bar{\xi}_{2-} \gamma_{n} \xi_{2+}=2 \bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+} \bar{\xi}_{2-} \xi_{1-}$.
Similarly, inner products of any vectors can be written as products of scalars. For the three Killing vectors, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(K_{1}\right)^{2}= & \left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}\right)^{2} \\
& -\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}-\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right)^{*}\right)^{2} \tag{D.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(K_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}\right)^{2}+\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}+\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right)^{*}\right)^{2}$,
$\left(K_{3}\right)^{2}=4\left|\overline{\xi_{1}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right|^{2}$,
$K_{1} \cdot K_{2}=i\left(\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right)^{2}-\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right)^{* 2}\right)$,
$K_{1} \cdot K_{3}=\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}\right)\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}+\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right)^{*}\right)$,
$K_{2} \cdot K_{3}=-i\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}\right)\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}-\left(\overline{\xi_{1+}^{c}} \xi_{2+}\right)^{*}\right)$.
The inner products of $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L^{2}\right)^{2} & =\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}\right)^{2}-\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{9 m^{2}} e^{2 U}\left(1-e^{-4 U-\phi} y^{2}\right) \tag{D.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L^{3}\right)^{2} & =\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{1+}+\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{2+}\right)^{2}+\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}-\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{9 m^{2}} e^{2 U}\left(1-e^{-4 U+\phi} z^{2}\right),  \tag{D.11}\\
L^{2} \cdot L^{3} & =-i\left(\left(\bar{\xi}_{1+} \xi_{2+}\right)^{2}-\left(\bar{\xi}_{2+} \xi_{1+}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{9 m^{2}} e^{-2 U} y z, \tag{D.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we express the scalar bilinears in terms of the coordinates $z$ and $y$ defined in (2.23) at the last step.

## Appendix E: Killing vectors of five-dimensional target space

We have found the eight Killing vectors of the fivedimensonal target space of the non-linear sigma model (3.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{1}= & \sqrt{2}\left(\partial_{\phi}-C \partial_{C}+\frac{f}{2} \partial_{f}-\frac{g}{2} \partial_{g}\right),  \tag{E.1}\\
K^{2}= & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}}\left(\partial_{U}+6 f \partial_{f}+6 g \partial_{g}\right), \\
K^{3}= & -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{C}+f \partial_{g}, \\
K^{4}= & -4 C \partial_{\phi}+2\left(C^{2}-e^{-2 \phi}\right) \partial_{C}+g \partial_{f}, \\
K^{5}= & -\frac{1}{4} g \partial_{U}+(g+4 C f) \partial_{\phi}-e^{-2 \phi} \\
& \times\left(-2 f+2 C^{2} e^{2 \phi} f+C e^{2 \phi} g\right) \partial_{C} \\
& +\left(2 C e^{12 U+\phi}-f g\right) \partial_{f}-e^{-\phi}\left(4 e^{12 U}\right. \\
& \left.+4 C^{2} e^{12 U+2 \phi}+e^{\phi} g^{2} \partial_{g}\right), \\
K^{6}= & \partial_{g} \\
K^{7}= & -\frac{1}{4} f \partial_{U}-f \partial_{\phi}+\left(\frac{1}{2} g+C f\right) \partial_{C} \\
& -\left(e^{12 U+\phi}+f^{2}\right) \\
\partial_{f} & -\left(f g-2 C e^{12 U+\phi}\right) \partial_{g}, \\
K^{8}= & \partial_{f}
\end{align*}
$$

The eight generators of the $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ group are

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1} \equiv H_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
T_{2} \equiv H_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right) \tag{E.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T_{3} \equiv E_{\alpha_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad T_{5} \equiv E_{\alpha_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{7} \equiv E_{\alpha_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
T_{4} \equiv E_{-\alpha_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), ~ T_{6} \equiv E_{-\alpha_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
T_{8} \equiv E_{-\alpha_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are Cartan generators and $E_{\alpha_{1}}, E_{\alpha_{2}}, E_{\alpha_{3}}$ are positive root generators. By identifying $K^{i}=T_{i}$, the eight Killing vectors satisfy an $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ algebra.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The equation of the motion for $G$ is $d * G=(-6 d U+i Q) \wedge * G+$ $P \wedge * G^{*}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Having a coset after dimensional reduction is of course a very familiar story in supergravity. As is very well known, Kaluza-Klein reduction of $D=4$ Einstein gravity on a circle leads to $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SO}(2)$, and its bigger versions appear in various supergravity theories [24-27].

