

Non-extended phase space thermodynamics of Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble

Jie-Xiong Mo^{1,2,a}, Wen-Biao Liu^{1,b}

¹ Department of Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

² Institute of Theoretical Physics, Lingnan Normal University, Zhanjiang 524048, Guangdong, China

Received: 7 January 2015 / Accepted: 28 April 2015 / Published online: 14 May 2015

© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Recently, extended phase space thermodynamics of Lovelock AdS black holes has been of great interest. To provide insight from a different perspective and gain a unified phase transition picture, the non-extended phase space thermodynamics of $(n + 1)$ -dimensional charged topological Lovelock AdS black holes is investigated in detail in the grand canonical ensemble. Specifically, the specific heat at constant electric potential is calculated and the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble is discussed. To probe the impact of the various parameters, we utilize the control variate method and solve the phase transition condition equation numerically for the cases $k = 1, -1$. There are two critical points for the case $n = 6, k = 1$, while there is only one for the other cases. For $k = 0$, there exists no phase transition point. To figure out the nature of the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble, we carry out an analytic check of the analog form of the Ehrenfest equations proposed by Banerjee et al. It is shown that Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble undergo a second-order phase transition. To examine the phase structure in the grand canonical ensemble, we utilize the thermodynamic geometry method and calculate both the Weinhold metric and the Ruppeiner metric. It is shown that for both analytic and graphical results that the divergence structure of the Ruppeiner scalar curvature coincides with that of the specific heat. Our research provides one more example that Ruppeiner metric serves as a wonderful tool to probe the phase structures of black holes.

1 Introduction

In our recent paper [1], P - V criticality of topological AdS black holes in Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity has been inves-

tigated in the extended phase space and some unique phenomena have been found. It was shown that P - V criticality exists not only for the spherical topology but also for $k = -1$. This result is really intriguing, so that it has attracted further investigation [2–5]. On the other hand, it would also be interesting to probe this issue in the non-extended phase space to search for some more unique characteristics due to Lovelock gravity. Lovelock gravity [6] is a particular higher curvature gravity theory which successfully solves the problem of fourth-order field equations and ghosts. In Lovelock gravity, the field equation is only second order and the quantization is free of ghosts [7]. Both the black holes and their thermodynamics in Lovelock gravity [8–36] have attracted considerable attention. Concerning the thermodynamics of Lovelock black holes in the non-extended phase space, some efforts have been made. Topological black hole solutions in Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity were proposed in Ref. [8]. Both the thermodynamics of asymptotically AdS rotating black branes with flat horizon and of asymptotically flat black holes for $k = 1$ were investigated there in detail. For charged topological AdS black holes, Ref. [8] presented the expression of the temperature. References [23, 24] further studied their entropy and specific heat at constant charge. Reference [35] studied their specific heat and critical exponents in the canonical ensemble. The above research was carried out in the canonical ensemble, leaving the grand canonical ensemble unexplored. In this paper, we would like to complete the phase transition research of Lovelock charged topological AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble.

In traditional thermodynamics, one can utilize Clausius–Clapeyron–Ehrenfest’s equations to probe the nature of phase transitions. The Clausius–Clapeyron equation holds for a first-order phase transition, while Ehrenfest’s equations are satisfied for a second-order phase transition. Recently, Banerjee et al. introduced a novel Ehrenfest scheme to investigate phase transitions of black holes in the grand canonical ensemble [37–42]. We utilized this scheme in the case of charged

^a e-mail: mojiexiong@gmail.com

^b e-mail: wbliu@bnu.edu.cn

topological black hole in Hořava–Lifshitz gravity [43] and also generalized it to the extended phase space [44,45]. Reference [46] further generalized it to the full phase space. The original Ehrenfest equations in traditional thermodynamics were utilized in the extended space of black holes in Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity to study the nature of the phase transition at the critical point [2]. However, in this paper, we would like to utilize the analog form of Ehrenfest scheme proposed by Banerjee et al. to investigate the nature the phase transition points of Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble.

Different from the traditional thermodynamic method, thermodynamic geometry has served as an alternative way to investigate phase transitions of black holes. The well-known examples are Weinhold geometry [47] and Ruppeiner geometry [48]. Weinhold defined metric structure in the energy representation as $g_{i,j}^W = \partial_i \partial_j M(U, N^a)$. Here, U is the internal energy U , while N^a represents the extensive thermodynamic variables. Ruppeiner proposed the metric structure as the Hessian of the entropy. Namely, $g_{i,j}^R = -\partial_i \partial_j S(U, N^a)$. Recently, Quevedo et al. [49] proposed another thermodynamic geometry method named geometrothermodynamics (GTD). For a profound interpretation of the physical meaning, Ruppeiner’s metric has been applied to various thermodynamic systems, including black holes. For a nice review of Ruppeiner geometry, see Ref. [50]. For recent papers, see Refs. [51–61]. However, the thermodynamic geometry of Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble is still absent in the literature. In this paper, we would like to explore the Ruppeiner geometry of $(n + 1)$ -dimensional topological AdS black holes in Lovelock gravity in the grand canonical ensemble.

In Sect. 2, the thermodynamics of charged topological AdS black holes in Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity will be briefly reviewed and the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble will be investigated in detail. To probe the nature of the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble, an analytic check of the analog form of the Ehrenfest equations will be carried out in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4, thermodynamic geometry will be studied to examine the phase structure of topological AdS black holes. Concluding remarks will be presented in Sect. 5.

2 Phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble

The action of third-order Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity reads [8]

$$I_G = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^{n+1}x \sqrt{-g} (-2\Lambda + \mathcal{L}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathcal{L}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{L}_3 + L(F)), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = R, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = R_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} R^{\mu\nu\gamma\delta} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2, \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 = & 2R^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\kappa\rho\tau} R^{\rho\tau}_{\mu\nu} + 8R^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma\rho} R^{\sigma\kappa}_{\nu\tau} R^{\rho\tau}_{\mu\kappa} \\ & + 24R^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\kappa\nu\rho} R^{\rho}_{\mu} + 3RR^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\kappa\mu\nu} \\ & + 24R^{\mu\nu\sigma\kappa} R_{\sigma\mu} R_{\kappa\nu} + 16R^{\mu\nu} R_{\nu\sigma} R^{\sigma}_{\mu} \\ & - 12RR^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + R^3, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$L(F) = 4\beta^2 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{F^2}{2\beta^2}} \right). \tag{5}$$

β , α_2 , and α_3 are Born–Infeld parameter, the second- and third-order Lovelock coefficients, respectively. $L(F)$ denotes the Born–Infeld Lagrangian with $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, where A_μ is electromagnetic vector. The $(n + 1)$ -dimensional static solution was derived in Ref. [8] as

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{6}$$

where

$$f(r) = k + \frac{r^2}{\alpha} (1 - g(r)^{1/3}), \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(r) = & 1 + \frac{3\alpha m}{r^n} - \frac{12\alpha\beta^2}{n(n-1)} \\ & \times \left[1 - \sqrt{1 + \eta} - \frac{\Lambda}{2\beta^2} + \frac{(n-1)\eta}{(n-2)} F(\eta) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

k and m are parameters related to the curvature of hypersurface and the mass, respectively. $d\Omega^2$ denotes the line element of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurface with constant curvature $(n - 1)(n - 2)k$ and $F(\eta)$ denotes the hypergeometric function as follows:

$$F(\eta) = {}_2F_1 \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2n-2} \right], \left[\frac{3n-4}{2n-2} \right], -\eta \right), \tag{9}$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)q^2}{2\beta^2 r^{2n-2}}. \tag{10}$$

Note that the above solution was derived for the special case that

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha}{(n-2)(n-3)}, \tag{11}$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{\alpha^2}{72 \binom{n-2}{4}}. \tag{12}$$

When $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, the Born–Infeld Lagrangian reduces to the Maxwell form and the solutions become Lovelock AdS black holes. To concentrate on the effects of the third-order Lovelock gravity, we will mainly consider Lovelock AdS black holes in this paper.

When $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, one can obtain

$$g(r) \rightarrow 1 + \frac{3\alpha m}{r^n} + \frac{6\alpha\Lambda}{n(n-1)} - \frac{3\alpha q^2}{r^{2n-2}}. \tag{13}$$

The horizon radius r_+ can be derived from the largest root of the equation $f(r) = 0$. One can express m as a function of r_+ as

$$M = \frac{96n\pi^2 Q^2 r_+^8 + r_+^{2n}(n-2)[kn(n-1)(3r_+^4 + 3kr_+^2\alpha + k^2\alpha^2) - 6r_+^6\Lambda]\Sigma_k^2}{48n(n-2)\pi r_+^{n+6}\Sigma_k}. \tag{21}$$

$$m = \frac{3n(n-1)q^2 r_+^8 + r_+^{2n}[kn(n-1)(3r_+^4 + 3kr_+^2\alpha + k^2\alpha^2) - 6r_+^6\Lambda]}{3n(n-1)r_+^{n+6}}. \tag{14}$$

Then the mass of $(n+1)$ -dimensional topological AdS black holes can be derived:

$$M = \frac{6n(n-2)^2\Phi^2 r_+^{2n+4}\Sigma_k^3 + r_+^{2n}(n-2)\Sigma_k[kn(n-1)(3r_+^4 + 3kr_+^2\alpha + k^2\alpha^2) - 6r_+^6\Lambda]}{48n(n-2)\pi r_+^{n+6}}, \tag{23}$$

$$T = \frac{(n-1)k[3(n-2)r_+^4 + 3(n-4)k\alpha r_+^2 + (n-6)k^2\alpha^2] - 6\Lambda r_+^6 - 6(n-2)^2\Phi^2 r_+^4}{12\pi(n-1)r_+(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}. \tag{24}$$

$$M = \frac{(n-1)\Sigma_k}{16\pi} m = \frac{\Sigma_k}{48n\pi r_+^{n+6}} \{3n(n-1)q^2 r_+^8 + r_+^{2n}[kn(n-1)(3r_+^4 + 3kr_+^2\alpha + k^2\alpha^2) - 6r_+^6\Lambda]\}, \tag{15}$$

where Σ_k denotes the volume of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional hypersurface. The Hawking temperature has been derived in Ref. [8] as

$$T = \frac{(n-1)k[3(n-2)r_+^4 + 3(n-4)k\alpha r_+^2 + (n-6)k^2\alpha^2] + 12r_+^6\beta^2(1 - \sqrt{1 + \eta_+}) - 6\Lambda r_+^6}{12\pi(n-1)r_+(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}. \tag{16}$$

Taking the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (16) reduces to

$$T = \frac{(n-1)k[3(n-2)r_+^4 + 3(n-4)k\alpha r_+^2 + (n-6)k^2\alpha^2] - 6\Lambda r_+^6 - 3(n-2)(n-1)q^2 r_+^{8-2n}}{12\pi(n-1)r_+(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}. \tag{17}$$

In the non-extended phase space, the first law of thermodynamics reads

$$dM = TdS + \Phi dQ. \tag{18}$$

So the entropy can be derived as

$$S = \int_0^{r_+} \frac{1}{T} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial r_+} \right) dr = \frac{\Sigma_k(n-1)r_+^{n-5}}{4} \left(\frac{r_+^4}{n-1} + \frac{2kr_+^2\alpha}{n-3} + \frac{k^2\alpha^2}{n-5} \right). \tag{19}$$

The above result is derived for $n > 5$, while the integration is divergent for $n \leq 5$. The charge Q is related to the parameter q by

$$Q = \frac{\Sigma_k}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}} q. \tag{20}$$

Then the expression of the mass can be reorganized as

Utilizing Eqs. (18) and (21), the electric potential can be calculated as

$$\Phi = \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial Q} \right)_S = \frac{4\pi Q}{(n-2)r_+^{n-2}\Sigma_k}. \tag{22}$$

To study the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble, it is more convenient to express the mass as a function of the electric potential Φ as follows:

The specific heat at constant electric potential can be obtained as

$$C_\Phi = T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_\Phi = \frac{A(r_+, \Phi)}{B(r_+, \Phi)}, \tag{25}$$

where

$$A(r_+, \Phi) = (n-1)r_+^{n-5}(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^3\Sigma_k \times [3k(n-2)(n-1)r_+^4 + 3k^2(n-4)(n-1)$$

$$\times r_+^2\alpha + k^3(n-6)(n-1)\alpha^2 - 6r_+^6\Lambda - 6(n-2)^2r_+^4\Phi^2], \tag{26}$$

$$B(r_+, \Phi) = -24\Lambda r_+^8 - 12[k(2+n^2-3n+10\alpha\Lambda) - 2(n-2)^2\Phi^2]r_+^6 + 72k\alpha r_+^4[k(n-1) - (n-2)^2\Phi^2] - 8k^3(n-9)(n-1)\alpha^2 r_+^2 - 4k^4(n-6)(n-1)\alpha^3. \tag{27}$$

One can easily draw the conclusion that the specific heat at constant electric potential may diverge when

$$B(r_+, \Phi) = 0, \tag{28}$$

implying the existence of the phase transition.

The above equation can be solved numerically and the results for $k = 1, -1$ are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, where the impact of the various parameters are studied thoroughly via control variate method. It is quite interesting to note that there are two critical points for the case $n = 6, k = 1$, while there is only one for the other cases. The distance between the two phase transition points becomes larger with the increasing of Λ and Φ , while it first becomes larger and then becomes smaller with the increasing of α . The case $n = 6, k = 1$ is shown graphically in Fig. 1. Both the behaviors of the specific heat and the Hawking temperature are depicted. It is easy to find that the two phase transition points where the specific heat diverges are physical when the Hawking temperature is positive. The black holes can be divided into three phases, namely the small stable ($C_\Phi > 0$) black hole, the medium unstable ($C_\Phi < 0$) black hole, and the large stable ($C_\Phi > 0$) black hole. For a more comprehensive picture, we also plot the three-dimensional figure for the case $n = 6, k = 1$ in Fig. 2 and for the case $n = 6, k = -1$ in Fig. 3.

Table 1 The location the phase transition points for $k = 1$

n	α	Φ	Λ	r_{+1}	r_{+2}
6	1	1	-2	0.406	1.248
6	1	1	-4	0.420	0.964
6	1	1	-6	0.440	0.794
6	0.5	1	-2	0.283	1.076
6	2	1	-2	0.595	1.363
6	1	2	-2	0.169	1.592
6	1	3	-2	0.110	1.666
7	1	1	-2	1.503	-
8	1	1	-2	1.680	-
9	1	1	-2	1.812	-

Table 2 The location the phase transition points for $k = -1$

n	α	Φ	Λ	r_+
6	1	1	-2	0.279
6	1	1	-4	0.281
6	1	1	-6	0.282
6	0.5	1	-2	0.197
6	2	1	-2	0.397
6	1	2	-2	0.155
6	1	3	-2	0.106
7	1	1	-2	0.353
8	1	1	-2	0.374
9	1	1	-2	0.386

The case $k = 0$ is quite simple. When $k = 0$, Eq. (27) can be simplified as

$$B(r_+, \Phi) = -24\Lambda r_+^8 + 24(n - 2)^2\Phi^2 > 0. \tag{29}$$

So there exists no phase transition for $k = 0$.

3 The nature the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble

In the extended space, it is convenient to utilize the classical Ehrenfest equations to study the nature the phase transition at the critical point. However, here, in the non-extended phase space, we would like to introduce the novel analog form of the Ehrenfest equations proposed by Banerjee et al. [37] as follows:

$$-\left(\frac{d\Phi}{dT}\right)_S = \frac{C_{\Phi_2} - C_{\Phi_1}}{TQ(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)} = \frac{\Delta C_\Phi}{TQ\Delta\alpha}, \tag{30}$$

$$-\left(\frac{d\Phi}{dT}\right)_Q = \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{\kappa_{T_2} - \kappa_{T_1}} = \frac{\Delta\alpha}{\Delta\kappa_T}, \tag{31}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{Q}\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}\right)_\Phi$, $\kappa_T = \frac{1}{Q}\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \Phi}\right)_T$ are the analog of volume expansion coefficient and isothermal compressibility, respectively. Their explicit forms can be calculated as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{48(n - 1)(n - 2)\pi r_+(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^3}{B(r_+, \Phi)}, \tag{32}$$

$$\kappa_T = \frac{48(n - 2)^3 r_+^6 (r_+^2 + k\alpha)\Phi}{B(r_+, \Phi)}. \tag{33}$$

α, κ_T may also diverge at the phase transition point because they share the same factor as C_Φ in their denominators. It can be clearly seen in Fig. 4.

From the definitions of α and C_Φ , one can obtain

$$Q\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}\right)_\Phi = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial S}\right)_\Phi \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T}\right)_\Phi = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial S}\right)_\Phi \left(\frac{C_\Phi}{T}\right). \tag{34}$$

So the R.H.S. of Eq. (30) can be derived as

$$\frac{\Delta C_\Phi}{TQ\Delta\alpha} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}\right)_\Phi = \frac{(n - 1)\pi(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}{(n - 2)^2 r_+^3 \Phi}. \tag{35}$$

On the other hand, the L.H.S. of Eq. (30) can be derived as

$$-\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T}\right)_S = \frac{(n - 1)\pi(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}{(n - 2)^2 r_+^3 \Phi}. \tag{36}$$

So the first equation of the Ehrenfest equations has been proved to be valid.




Fig. 1 **a** C_ϕ vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Phi = 1, \Lambda = -2$. **b** T vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Phi = 1, \Lambda = -2$




Fig. 2 **a** C_ϕ vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \Phi = 1, \Lambda = -2$. **b** T vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Lambda = -2$. **c** C_ϕ vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Phi = 1$

The L.H.S. of Eq. (31) can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial T}\right)_Q &= \frac{-1}{\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial\Phi}\right)_Q} = \frac{-1}{\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial\Phi}\right)_{r_+} + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r_+}\right)_\Phi \left(\frac{\partial r_+}{\partial\Phi}\right)_Q} \\
 &= \frac{-1}{\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial\Phi}\right)_{r_+}} = \frac{(n-1)\pi(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}{(n-2)^2 r_+^3 \Phi}. \tag{37}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have utilized the phase transition condition $\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r_+}\right)_\Phi = 0$. From the thermodynamic identity [41]

$$\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial\Phi}\right)_T \left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial T}\right)_Q \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial Q}\right)_\Phi = -1, \tag{38}$$




Fig. 3 **a** C_Φ vs. r_+ for $k = -1, n = 6, \Phi = 1, \Lambda = -2$. **b** T vs. r_+ for $k = -1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Lambda = -2$. **c** C_Φ vs. r_+ for $k = -1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Phi = 1$




Fig. 4 **a** α vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Phi = 1, \Lambda = -2$. **b** κ_T vs. r_+ for $k = 1, n = 6, \alpha = 1, \Phi = 1, \Lambda = -2$

we can derive that

$$Q\kappa_T = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \Phi}\right)_T = -\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \Phi}\right)_Q \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial T}\right)_\Phi = -\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \Phi}\right)_Q Q\alpha. \tag{39}$$

Note that in the above derivation we have also utilized both the definitions of κ_T and α . We obtain

$$\frac{\Delta\alpha}{\Delta\kappa_T} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial T}\right)_Q = \frac{(n-1)\pi(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2}{(n-2)^2 r_+^3 \Phi}. \tag{40}$$

From Eqs. (37) and (40), one can easily draw the conclusion that the second equation of the Ehrenfest equations also holds. The Prigogine–Defay ratio can be calculated as

$$\Pi = \frac{\Delta C_\Phi \Delta \kappa_T}{T_c Q (\Delta \alpha)^2} = 1. \tag{41}$$

Equation (41) and the validity of the Ehrenfest equations show that Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble undergo second-order phase transition.

4 Thermodynamic geometry of Lovelock AdS black holes

Weinhold’s metric [47] and Ruppeiner’s metric [48] are defined, respectively, as

$$g_{ij}^W = \frac{\partial^2 U(x^k)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}, \tag{42}$$

$$g_{ij}^R = -\frac{\partial^2 S(x^k)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}. \tag{43}$$

They are conformally connected to each other through the map [62]

$$dS_R^2 = \frac{dS_W^2}{T}. \tag{44}$$

Utilizing Eqs. (19) and (21), one can obtain the components of Weinhold’s metric as

$$g_{SS}^W = \frac{D(r_+, Q)}{3(n-1)^2 \pi r_+^{3n-4} (r_+^2 + k\alpha)^5 \Sigma_k^3}, \tag{45}$$

$$g_{QQ}^W = \frac{4\pi}{(n-2)r_+^{n-2} \Sigma_k}, \tag{46}$$

$$g_{SQ}^W = g_{QS}^W = \frac{-16\pi Q}{(n-1)r_+^{2n-7} (r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2 \Sigma_k^2}, \tag{47}$$

where

$$D(r_+, Q) = 96\pi^2 Q^2 r_+^8 [(2n-3)r_+^2 + k(2n-7)\alpha] - r_+^{2n} \times \{k(n-1)[3(n-2)r_+^6 - 18kr_+^4\alpha + 2k^2(n-9)r_+^2\alpha^2 + k^3(n-6)\alpha^3] + 6r_+^6 \Lambda (r_+^2 + 5k\alpha)\} \Sigma_k^2. \tag{48}$$

Utilizing Eqs. (17), (44), (45), (46), and (47), the components of Ruppeiner’s metric can be derived as

$$g_{11}^R = \frac{4r_+^{5-3n} D(r_+, Q)}{(n-1)(r_+^2 + k\alpha)^3 [k(n-1) [3(n-2)r_+^4 + 3k(n-4)r_+^2\alpha + k^2(n-6)\alpha^2] \Sigma_k^3 - 6r_+^6 \Lambda \Sigma_k^3 - 96\pi^2 Q^2 r_+^{8-2n} \Sigma_k]}, \tag{49}$$

$$g_{22}^R = \frac{48(n-1)\pi^2 r_+^{n+3} (r_+^2 + k\alpha)^2 \Sigma_k}{(n-2)\{-96\pi^2 Q^2 r_+^8 + r_+^{2n}(n-1)k [3(n-2)r_+^4 + 3k(n-4)r_+^2\alpha + k^2(n-6)\alpha^2] \Sigma_k^2 - 6r_+^{2n+6} \Lambda \Sigma_k^2\}}, \tag{50}$$

$$g_{12}^R = g_{21}^R = \frac{-192\pi^2 Q r_+^{8-2n}}{k(n-1)[3(n-2)r_+^4 + 3k(n-4)r_+^2\alpha + k^2(n-6)\alpha^2] \Sigma_k^2 - 6r_+^6 \Lambda \Sigma_k^2 - 96\pi^2 Q^2 r_+^{8-2n}}. \tag{51}$$

Utilizing Eqs. (49)–(51), we can obtain the Ruppeiner scalar curvature as

$$R = \frac{E(r_+, \Phi)}{F(r_+, \Phi)}, \tag{52}$$

where

$$F(r_+, \Phi) = -(n-1)r_+^n (r_+^2 + k\alpha)^3 \Sigma_k \times \{-6\Lambda r_+^8 - 3r_+^6 [k(2+n^2-3n+10\alpha\Lambda) - 2(n-2)^2\Phi^2] + 18k\alpha r_+^4 [k(n-1) - (n-2)^2\Phi^2] - 2k^3(n-9)(n-1)\alpha^2 r_+^2 - k^4(n-6)(n-1)\alpha^3\}^3 [3k(n-2)(n-1)r_+^4 + 3k^2(n-4)(n-1)r_+^2\alpha + k^3(n-6)(n-1)\alpha^2 - 6r_+^6 \Lambda - 6(n-2)^2 r_+^4 \Phi^2], \tag{53}$$

and $E(r_+, Q)$ is too lengthy to be displayed here. The above result has been rewritten as a function of Φ , so that we can compare it with the specific heat. It is not difficult to observe from Eq. (52) that in the denominator of the Ruppeiner scalar curvature, the fifth factor is exactly one quarter of the denominator of the specific heat, while the last factor coincides with the numerator of the Hawking temperature. In other words, the Ruppeiner scalar curvature may diverge exactly where the specific heat diverges. It also reveals the extremal black hole condition that the Hawking temperature is zero. For an intuitive understanding, one can observe the behavior of the Ruppeiner scalar curvature R in Fig. 5. Comparing Fig. 5 with Fig. 1a, one can find that the divergence structures of both the Ruppeiner scalar curvature and the specific heat are exactly the same. The Ruppeiner metric does provide a wonderful tool for one to probe the phase structures of black holes.

Among thermodynamic geometry theories, Ruppeiner geometry has been proved to be outstanding for its profound physical meaning. As argued in Ref. [50], the Ruppeiner scalar curvature R results from the thermodynamic information metric giving thermodynamic fluctuations and may be interpreted physically as a measure of the correlation between fluctuating Planck length pixels of event horizon. In the region with positive R , repulsive interactions (fermionic behavior) dominate, while in the region with negative R , attractive interactions (bosonic behavior) dominate. Moreover, $|R|$ indicates the average size of fluctuations.




Fig. 5 Ruppeiner scalar curvature R vs. r_+ for $k = 1$, $n = 6$, $\alpha = 1$, $\Phi = 1$, $\Lambda = -2$

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we extend our former research of charged topological Lovelock AdS black holes to the non-extended phase space. Specifically, we investigate phase transition of $(n+1)$ -dimensional Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble. Firstly, we calculated the specific heat at constant electric potential. To probe the impact of the various parameters, we utilize the control variate method and solve the phase transition condition equation numerically for the case $k = 1, -1$. There are two critical points for the case $n = 6$, $k = 1$, while there is only one for the other cases. The distance between the two phase transition points becomes larger with the increasing of Λ and Φ , while it first becomes larger and then becomes smaller with the increasing of α . We also study the behavior of specific heat graphically. As can be seen from the graph, the black holes can be divided into three phases; namely, the small stable ($C_\Phi > 0$) black hole, the medium unstable ($C_\Phi < 0$) black hole, and the large stable ($C_\Phi > 0$) black hole. The graph of the Hawking temperature is also depicted to check whether the phase transition points locate in the physical region. For $k = 0$, there exists no phase transition point.

To figure out the nature the phase transition in the grand canonical ensemble, we carry out an analytic check of the analog form of Ehrenfest equations proposed by Banerjee et al. It is proved that the two Ehrenfest equations hold at the phase transition point. Prigogine–Defay ratio is also calculated. Based on these results, one can draw the conclusion that Lovelock AdS black holes in the grand canonical ensemble undergo a second-order phase transition.

To examine the phase structure in the grand canonical ensemble, we also utilize the thermodynamic geometry method. Specifically, we calculate both the Weinhold metric and the Ruppeiner metric. It is shown that in the denominator

of the Ruppeiner scalar curvature, the fifth factor is exactly one quarter of the denominator of the specific heat, while the last factor coincides with the numerator of the Hawking temperature. So the Ruppeiner scalar curvature may diverge exactly where the specific heat diverges. It also reveals the extremal black hole condition that the Hawking temperature is zero. From the graph of the Ruppeiner scalar curvature, one can see clearly that the divergence structures of the Ruppeiner scalar curvature and the specific heat are exactly the same. Our research provides one more example that Ruppeiner metric serves as a wonderful tool to probe the phase structures of black holes.

Note that one may vary the spatial dimension, the cosmological constant, and the coefficients of the curvature terms in the Lagrangian and we mainly concentrate on a few instances of a very large model in this paper. The control variate method has been utilized to crack down the problem of probing the impact of the various parameters. We choose such parameter regions that we can compare our results with those in the literature. One can easily extend our results to more cases. The black hole solution here was derived for the special case that the second- and third-order Lovelock coefficients satisfy certain conditions. Phase transitions in the non-extended space of more general black hole solutions in Lovelock gravity will be further investigated in our future work. Also note that the methods utilized in this paper can be generalized to an arbitrary nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian, the specific results in this paper, however, are model-dependent. For a more general analysis, we would like to draw the readers' attention to the excellent work [63], where the authors presented an elegant procedure for Gauss–Bonnet gravity regardless of the explicit form of the nonlinear electrodynamics Lagrangian. It certainly deserves to extend this treatment to the third-order Lovelock case in future research.

Acknowledgments We would like to express our sincere gratitude to both the editor and the referee whose hard work have helped to improve the quality of this paper greatly. This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11235003, 11175019, 11178007). It is also supported by “Thousand Hundred Ten” Project of Guangdong Province and supported by Department of Education of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2014KQNCX191).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. Funded by SCOAP³.

References

1. J.X. Mo, W.B. Liu, P–V criticality of topological black holes in Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2836 (2014)

2. A. Belhaj, M. Chabab, H. EL Moumni, K. Masmar, M.B. Sedra, Ehrenfest scheme of higher dimensional topological AdS black holes in Lovelock–Born–Infeld Gravity. [arXiv:1405.3306](#)
3. H. Xu, W. Xu, L. Zhao, Extended phase space thermodynamics for third order Lovelock black holes in diverse dimensions. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 3074 (2014)
4. A.M. Frassino, D. Kubiznak, R.B. Mann, F. Simovic, Multiple reentrant phase transitions and triple points in Lovelock thermodynamics. *JHEP* **1409**, 080 (2014)
5. B.P. Dolan, A. Kostouki, D. Kubiznak, R.B. Mann, Isolated critical point from Lovelock gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **31**(24), 242001 (2014)
6. D. Lovelock, The Einstein tensor and its generalizations. *J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.)* **12**, 498 (1971)
7. D.G. Boulware, S. Deser, String-generated gravity models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 2656 (1985)
8. M.H. Dehghani, N. Alinejadi, S.H. Hendi, Topological black holes in Lovelock–Born–Infeld gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 104025 (2008). [arXiv:0802.2637](#)
9. M.H. Dehghani, M. Shamirzaie, Thermodynamics of asymptotic flat charged black holes in third order Lovelock gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 124015 (2005). [arXiv:hep-th/0506227](#)
10. M.H. Dehghani, R.B. Mann, Thermodynamics of rotating charged black branes in third order Lovelock gravity and the counterterm method. *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104003 (2006). [arXiv:hep-th/0602243](#)
11. M.H. Dehghani, N. Farhangkhan, Asymptotically flat radiating solutions in third order Lovelock gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 064015 (2008). [arXiv:0806.1426](#)
12. M.H. Dehghani, R. Pourhasan, Thermodynamic instability of black holes of third order Lovelock gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064015 (2009). [arXiv:0903.4260](#)
13. M.H. Dehghani, R.B. Mann, Lovelock–Lifshitz black holes. *JHEP* **1007**, 019 (2010). [arXiv:1004.4397](#)
14. M.H. Dehghani, Sh Asnafi, Thermodynamics of rotating Lovelock–Lifshitz black branes. *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 064038 (2011). [arXiv:1107.3354](#)
15. M. Aiello, R. Ferraro, G. Giribet, Exact solutions of Lovelock–Born–Infeld black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 104014 (2004). [arXiv:gr-qc/0408078](#)
16. G. Kofinas, R. Olea, Universal regularization prescription for Lovelock AdS gravity. *JHEP* **0711**, 069 (2007). [arXiv:0708.0782](#)
17. R. Banerjee, S.K. Modak, Quantum tunneling, blackbody spectrum and non-logarithmic entropy correction for Lovelock black holes. *JHEP* **0911**, 073 (2009). [arXiv:0908.2346](#)
18. H. Maeda, M. Hassaine, C. Martinez, Lovelock black holes with a nonlinear Maxwell field. *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 044012 (2009). [arXiv:0812.2038](#)
19. J. de Boer, M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev, Holographic Lovelock gravities and black holes. *JHEP* **1006**, 008 (2010). [arXiv:0912.1877](#)
20. R.G. Cai, L.M. Cao, N. Ohta, Black holes without mass and entropy in Lovelock gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 024018 (2010). [arXiv:0911.0245](#)
21. D. Kastor, S. Ray, J. Traschen, Smarr formula and an extended first law for Lovelock gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **27**, 235014 (2010). [arXiv:1005.5053](#)
22. S.H. Mazharimousavi, M. Halilsoy, Solution for static, spherically symmetric Lovelock gravity coupled with Yang–Mills hierarchy. *Phys. Lett. B* **694**, 54–60 (2010). [arXiv:1007.4888](#)
23. D. Zou, R. Yue, Z. Yang, Thermodynamics of third order Lovelock anti-de Sitter black holes revisited. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **55**, 449–456 (2011). [arXiv:1011.2595](#)
24. P. Li, R.H. Yue, D.C. Zou, Thermodynamics of third order Lovelock–Born–Infeld black holes. *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **56**, 845–850 (2011). [arXiv:1110.0064](#)
25. S. Sarkar, A.C. Wall, Second law violations in Lovelock gravity for black hole mergers. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 124048 (2011). [arXiv:1011.4988](#)
26. J. de Boer, M. Kulaxizi, A. Parnachev, Holographic entanglement entropy in Lovelock gravities. *JHEP* **1107**, 109 (2011). [arXiv:1101.5781](#)
27. Y. Bardoux, C. Charmousis, T. Kolyvaris, Lovelock solutions in the presence of matter sources. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 104020 (2011). [arXiv:1012.4390](#)
28. S.H. Hendi, S. Panahiyan, H. Mohammadpour, Third order Lovelock black branes in the presence of a nonlinear electromagnetic field. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2184 (2012)
29. R. Yue, D. Zou, T. Yu, P. Li, Z. Yang, Slowly rotating charged black holes in anti-de Sitter third order Lovelock gravity. *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **43**, 2103–2114 (2011). [arXiv:1011.5293](#)
30. M. Cruz, E. Rojas, Born–Infeld extension of Lovelock brane gravity. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **30**, 115012 (2013). [arXiv:1212.1704](#)
31. T. Padmanabhan, D. Kothawala, Lanczos–Lovelock models of gravity. *Phys. Rep.* **531**, 115–171 (2013). [arXiv:1302.2151](#)
32. D.C. Zou, S.J. Zhang, B. Wang, The holographic charged fluid dual to third order Lovelock gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 084032 (2013). [arXiv:1302.0904](#)
33. B. Chen, J.J. Zhang, Note on generalized gravitational entropy in Lovelock gravity. *JHEP* **07**, 185 (2013). [arXiv:1305.6767](#)
34. M.B. Gaete, M. Hassaine, Planar AdS black holes in Lovelock gravity with a nonminimal scalar field. *JHEP* **1311**, 177 (2013). [arXiv:1309.3338](#)
35. A. Lala, Critical phenomena in higher curvature charged AdS black holes *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2013**, 918490 (2013)
36. Z. Amirabi, Black hole solution in third order Lovelock gravity has no Gauss–Bonnet limit. *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 087503 (2013). [arXiv:1311.4911](#)
37. R. Banerjee, S.K. Modak, S. Samanta, Glassy phase transition and stability in black holes. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70**, 317 (2010). [arXiv:1002.0466](#)
38. R. Banerjee, S.K. Modak, S. Samanta, Second order phase transition and thermodynamic geometry in Kerr–AdS black hole. *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 064024 (2011). [arXiv:1005.4832](#)
39. R. Banerjee, D. Roychowdhury, Critical phenomena in Born–Infeld AdS black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 044040 (2011). [arXiv:1111.0147](#)
40. R. Banerjee, S. Ghosh, D. Roychowdhury, New type of phase transition in Reissner Nordstrom–AdS black hole and its thermodynamic geometry. *Phys. Lett. B* **696**, 156 (2011). [arXiv:1008.2644](#)
41. R. Banerjee, D. Roychowdhury, Thermodynamics of phase transition in higher dimensional AdS black holes. *JHEP* **11**, 004 (2011). [arXiv:1109.2433](#)
42. R. Banerjee, S.K. Modak, D. Roychowdhury, A unified picture of phase transition: from liquid–vapour systems to AdS black holes. *JHEP* **1210**, 125 (2012). [arXiv:1106.3877](#)
43. J.X. Mo, X.X. Zeng, G.Q. Li, X. Jiang, W.B. Liu, A unified phase transition picture of the charged topological black hole in Hořava–Lifshitz gravity. *JHEP* **1310**, 056 (2013)
44. J.X. Mo, W.B. Liu, Ehrenfest scheme for P–V criticality in the extended phase space of black holes. *Phys. Lett. B* **727**, 336–339 (2013)
45. J.X. Mo, W.B. Liu, Ehrenfest scheme for P–V criticality of higher dimensional charged black holes, rotating black holes and Gauss–Bonnet AdS black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 084057 (2014)
46. Z. Zhao, J. Jing, Ehrenfest scheme for complex thermodynamic systems in full phase space. [arXiv:1405.2640](#)
47. F. Weinhold, Metric geometry of equilibrium thermodynamics. *Chem. Phys.* **63**, 2479 (1975)
48. G. Ruppeiner, A Riemannian geometric model. *Phys. Rev. A* **20**, 1608 (1979)

49. H. Quevedo, Geometrothermodynamics. *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 013506 (2007). [arXiv:physics/0604164](#)
50. G. Ruppeiner, Thermodynamic curvature and black holes in *Breaking of Supersymmetry and Ultraviolet Divergences in Extended Supergravity*, ed. by S. Bellucci. Springer Proceedings in Physics, vol 153 (Springer, 2014), p. 179–203
51. R. Tharanath, J. Suresh, N. Varghese, V.C. Kuriakose, Thermodynamic geometry of Reissner–Nordström–de Sitter black hole and its extremal case. [arXiv:1404.6789](#)
52. J. Suresh, R. Tharanath, N. Varghese, V.C. Kuriakose, The thermodynamics and thermodynamic geometry of the Park black hole. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2819 (2014)
53. S.A.H. Mansoori, B. Mirza, Correspondence of phase transition points and singularities of thermodynamic geometry of black holes. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2681 (2014)
54. M.B.J. Poshteh, B. Mirza, Z. Sherkatghanad, Phase transition, critical behavior, and critical exponents of Myers–Perry black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 024005 (2013)
55. S.W. Wei, Y.X. Liu, Critical phenomena and thermodynamic geometry of charged Gauss–Bonnet AdS black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 044014 (2013)
56. S.W. Wei, Y.X. Liu, Thermodynamic geometry of black hole in the deformed Horava–Lifshitz gravity. *Europhys. Lett.* **99**, 20004 (2012)
57. A. Lala, D. Roychowdhury, Ehrenfest’s scheme and thermodynamic geometry in Born–Infeld AdS black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 084027 (2012)
58. G. Ruppeiner, Thermodynamic curvature: pure fluids to black holes. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **410**, 012138 (2013). [arXiv:1210.2011](#)
59. S. Bellucci, B.N. Tiwari, Thermodynamic geometry and topological Einstein–Yang–Mills black holes. *Entropy* **14**, 1045 (2012)
60. Y.D. Tsai, X.N. Wu, Y. Yang, Phase structure of Kerr-AdS black hole. *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 044005 (2012)
61. C. Niu, Y. Tian, X.N. Wu, Critical phenomena and thermodynamic geometry of RN-AdS black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 024017 (2012)
62. H. Janyszek, R. Mrugała, Geometrical structure of the state space in classical statistical and phenomenological thermodynamics. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **27**, 145 (1989)
63. O. Miskovic, R. Olea, Quantum statistical relation for black holes in nonlinear electrodynamics coupled to Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet AdS gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 064017 (2011)