Regular Article - Theoretical Physics

The European Physical Journal C

Tensor-to-scalar ratio in Eddington-inspired Born–Infeld inflation

Inyong Cho^a, Naveen K. Singh^b

Institute of Convergence Fundamental Studies and School of Liberal Arts, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, Seoul 139-743, Korea

Received: 13 August 2014 / Accepted: 27 October 2014 / Published online: 12 November 2014 © The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract We investigate the scalar perturbation of the inflation model driven by a massive scalar field in Eddingtoninspired Born–Infeld gravity. We focus on the perturbation at the attractor stage in which the first and the second slowroll conditions are satisfied. The scalar perturbation exhibits the corrections to the chaotic inflation model in general relativity. We find that the tensor-to-scalar ratio becomes smaller than that of the usual chaotic inflation.

1 Introduction

The Eddington-inspired Born–Infeld (EiBI) gravity was recently developed in Ref. [1]. The action in this theory is described by

$$S_{\rm EiBI} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int d^4x \left[\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)|} - \lambda \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} \right] + S_{\rm M}(g,\varphi), \tag{1}$$

where κ is the only additional parameter of the theory, and λ is a dimensionless parameter related with the cosmological constant by $\Lambda = (\lambda - 1)/\kappa$. This theory is based on the Palatini formalism in which the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the connection $\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ are treated as independent fields. The Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$ is evaluated solely by the connection, and the matter field is coupled only to the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$.

The merit of EiBI gravity is that it is equivalent to general relativity (GR) in the vacuum [1]. Therefore, the astronomical phenomenon such as the light deflection by a star is not altered. A more interesting cosmological consequence appears when it is applied to the Universe filled with perfect fluid. It predicts a singularity-free initial state with a finite size [1,2].

By introducing a massive scalar field to the Universe in this theory, the inflationary feature was investigated in Ref. [3].

The matter action is in the usual form used for the chaotic inflation model [4] in GR,

$$S_{\rm M}(g,\varphi) = \int d^4x \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} \left[-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\nu} \varphi - V(\varphi) \right],$$
$$V(\varphi) = \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2.$$
(2)

In EiBI gravity, there exists an upper bound in pressure due to the square-root type of the action. When the energy density is high, the maximal pressure state (MPS) is achieved, for which the scale factor exhibits an exponential expansion. It was investigated in Ref. [3] that this MPS is the past attractor from which all the classical evolution paths of the Universe originate.

The energy density is very high in the MPS, but the curvature scale remains constant since the Hubble parameter becomes $H_{\text{MPS}} \approx 2\text{m/3}$. Therefore, quantum gravity is not necessary in describing the high-energy state of the early universe.

The MPS is unstable under the global perturbation (zeromode scalar perturbation) and evolves to an inflationary attractor stage. The succeeding inflation feature is the same as the ordinary chaotic inflation in GR, but it is not chaotic at the high-energy state because the pre-inflationary stage can have a finite low curvature.

Depending on the initial conditions, the evolution of the Universe can acquire the 60 e-foldings in the late-time inflationary attractor period. If a sufficient number of e-foldings is not acquired in this period, it must be complemented in the exponentially expanding period at the near-MPS in order to solve the cosmological problems.

Since this scalar-field model in EiBI gravity provides the natural and regular pre-inflationary stage with the usual attractor inflationary stage, it is worthwhile to investigate the density perturbation in order to examine its consistency with the observational results. The tensor perturbation in this model was investigated in Ref. [5]. For short wavelength modes, the perturbation is very similar to that of the usual

^a e-mail: iycho@seoultech.ac.kr

^be-mail: naveen.nkumars@gmail.com

chaotic inflation in GR, with a small EiBI correction. For long wavelength modes, however, there is a peculiar peak in the power spectrum originating from the near-MPS stage. This may leave a signature in the cosmic microwave background radiation.

In this paper, we investigate the scalar perturbation of this model. (The density perturbation has been studied in the EiBI universe filled with perfect fluid in Refs. [6–9]. Other works have been investigated in the cosmological and astrophysical aspects in Refs. [10–24].) From the very recent observational result of BICEP2 [25] there is an increasing interest in the tensor-to-scalar ratio of the various inflationary models. Therefore, we focus on the scalar power spectrum at the inflationary "attractor stage" at which the main band for the test of the tensor-to-scalar ratio is produced. We shall obtain the EiBI correction to the scalar power spectrum of the usual chaotic inflation. With the result of the tensor perturbation obtained in Ref. [5], we get the tensor-to-scalar ratio in the EiBI inflationary model.

2 Field equations

The EiBI theory can be formulated as a bimetric-like theory with the action

$$S[g, q, \varphi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-|q_{\mu\nu}|} \left[R(q) - \frac{2}{\kappa} \right]$$

+
$$\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int d^4 x \left(\sqrt{-|q_{\mu\nu}|} q^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} - 2\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} \right) + S_{\rm M}[g, \varphi],$$
(3)

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric and $q_{\mu\nu}$ is the auxiliary metric.

When there is no cosmological constant ($\lambda = 1$), the action (1) is completely equivalent to the action (3) if one considers that Γ is the affine connection of $q_{\mu\nu}$. The equations of motion are

$$\frac{\sqrt{-|q|}}{\sqrt{-|g|}} q^{\mu\nu} = \lambda g^{\mu\nu} - \kappa T^{\mu\nu}, \tag{4}$$

$$q_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa R_{\mu\nu},\tag{5}$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the standard energy-momentum tensor. The ansätze for the auxiliary metric and the metric are

$$q_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = b^{2}(\eta) \left[-\frac{d\eta^{2}}{z(\eta)} + \delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \right], \qquad (6)$$
$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}$$

$$= a^{2}(\eta) \left(-\mathrm{d}\eta^{2} + \delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^{i}\mathrm{d}x^{j}\right), \qquad (7)$$

where *t* is the cosmological time and η is the conformal time for the metric. The derivatives are defined as $^{\wedge} \equiv d/dt$ and $i' \equiv /d\eta$. In this paper, we shall denote $\mathcal{H} \equiv a'/a$, $H \equiv \hat{a}/a$, $h \equiv b'/b$, and $h_b \equiv \hat{b}/b$. The components of Eq. (4) give

$$b^2 \sqrt{z} = (1 + \kappa \rho_0) a^2, \qquad \frac{b^2}{\sqrt{z}} = (1 - \kappa p_0) a^2,$$
 (8)

where we denote the subscript 0 for the unperturbed background scalar field, so $\rho_0 = \varphi_0'^2/2a^2 + V(\varphi_0)$ and $p_0 = \varphi_0'^2/2a^2 - V(\varphi_0)$. From Eq. (8), one gets $z = (1 + \kappa \rho_0)/(1 - \kappa p_0)$. The components of Eq. (5) provide dynamical equations,

$$b^{2} = 3\kappa z \left(\frac{b'}{b}\right)^{2} + \frac{a^{2}}{2}(3-z),$$
(9)

$$b^{2} = a^{2} + \kappa z \left[\frac{b''}{b} + \left(\frac{b'}{b} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{b'}{b} \frac{z'}{z} \right],$$
 (10)

and the scalar-field equation is given by

$$\varphi_0'' + 2\mathcal{H}\varphi_0' + a^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}\varphi_0} = 0.$$
(11)

The background fields, a, b, z, and φ_0 are obtained by solving Eqs. (8)–(11).

Now let us consider the scalar perturbation. The perturbation fields for $q_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ are defined as

$$ds_q^2 = b^2 \bigg\{ -\frac{1+2\phi_1}{z} d\eta^2 + 2\frac{B_{1,i}}{\sqrt{z}} d\eta dx^i + \bigg[(1-2\psi_1)\delta_{ij} + 2E_{1,ij} \bigg] dx^i dx^j \bigg\},$$
 (12)

$$ds_g^2 = a^2 \left\{ -(1+2\phi_2)d\eta^2 + 2B_{2,i}d\eta dx^i + \left[(1-2\psi_2)\delta_{ij} + 2E_{2,ij} \right] dx^i dx^j \right\},$$
(13)

and the perturbation for the scalar field is given by $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \chi$. Therefore, there are nine perturbation fields in total. Let us denote them as F_l , where $l = 1 \sim 9$. With the perturbed metrics and the scalar field, one can expand the action (3) up to the second order in the perturbation fields. Then the second-order action can be collected as $S_s = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ where S_1 contains the perturbation fields for $q_{\mu\nu}$, S_2 contains the perturbation fields for $q_{\mu\nu}$, and S_3 contains the matter-field perturbation (see Ref. [6]),

$$\begin{split} S_1[\phi_1, B_1, \psi_1, E_1] &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \bigg\{ \frac{b^2}{\sqrt{z}} \Big[4zh\psi_1' E_{1,ii} - 6z\psi_1'^2 \\ &- 12zh(\phi_1 + \psi_1)\psi_1' - 2\psi_{1,i}(2\phi_{1,i} - \psi_{1,i}) \\ &- 4h\psi_{1,i}B_{1,i} + 6zh^2(\phi_1 + \psi_1)E_{1,ii} \\ &- 4\sqrt{z}h(\phi_1 + \psi_1)(B_1 - \sqrt{z}E_1')_{,ii} \\ &- 4\sqrt{z}\psi_1'(B_1 - \sqrt{z}E_1')_{,ii} - 4\sqrt{z}hE_{1,ii}(B_1 - \sqrt{z}E_1')_{,jj} \\ &+ 4\sqrt{z}hE_{1,ii}B_{1,jj} \end{split}$$

$$S_{2}[\phi_{k}, B_{k}, \psi_{k}, E_{k}] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{4}x \left\{ \frac{a^{2}b^{2}}{\kappa\sqrt{z}} \left[2\sqrt{z}B_{1,i}B_{2,i} + \phi_{1} \left[(z-1) \left(3\psi_{1} - E_{1,ii} \right) - 6\psi_{2} + 2E_{2,ii} - 2z\phi_{2} \right] + \psi_{1} \left[6\psi_{2} - (z-1)E_{1,ii} - 2E_{2,ii} - 6z\phi_{2} \right] - \frac{1}{2}(z-1)(E_{1,ii}E_{1,jj} + B_{1,i}B_{1,i}) + \frac{3}{2} \left(\phi_{1}^{2} + \psi_{1}^{2} \right)(z-1) - 2E_{1,ii} \left(\psi_{2} - z\phi_{2} + E_{2,ii} \right) \right] - \frac{2a^{4}}{\kappa} \left[\frac{3}{2}\psi_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}B_{2,i}B_{2,i} - \frac{1}{2}E_{2,ii}E_{2,jj} + (\phi_{2} - \psi_{2})E_{2,ii} - 3\phi_{2}\psi_{2} \right] \right\},$$
(15)

$$S_{3}[\phi_{2}, B_{2}, \psi_{2}, E_{2}, \chi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{4}x \ a^{2} \left\{ \varphi_{0}^{\prime 2} \left(4\phi_{2}^{2} - B_{2,i}B_{2,i} \right) + \left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime 2} - 2V_{0}a^{2} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(3\psi_{2}^{2} - \phi_{2}^{2} + B_{2,i}B_{2,i} - E_{2,ii}E_{2,ii} \right) - 3\phi_{2}\psi_{2} + (\phi_{2} - \psi_{2})E_{2,ii} \right] - 2\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\chi_{,i}B_{2,i} - 4\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\chi^{\prime}\phi_{2} + \chi^{\prime 2} + 2\left(\phi_{2} - 3\psi_{2} + E_{2,ii} \right) \times \left(\chi^{\prime}\varphi_{0}^{\prime} - V_{1}a^{2} - \phi_{2}\varphi_{0}^{\prime 2} \right) - \chi_{,i}\chi_{,i} - 2V_{2}a^{2} \right\},$$
(16)

where V_i is the *i*th-order potential from $V = V_0(\varphi_0) + V_1(\chi) + V_2(\chi)$. Using ρ_0 and p_0 , S_3 can be recast into

$$S_{3}[\phi_{2}, B_{2}, \psi_{2}, E_{2}, \chi] = \int d^{4}x a^{4} \left\{ p_{0} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(3\psi_{2}^{2} - \phi_{2}^{2} + B_{2,i}B_{2,i} - E_{2,ii}E_{2,ii} \right) - 3\phi_{2}\psi_{2} + (\phi_{2} - \psi_{2})E_{2,ii} \right] + (\rho_{0} + p_{0}) \left[2\phi_{2}(\phi_{2} - \mathcal{X}\chi') - \frac{1}{2}B_{2,i}(B_{2,i} + 2\mathcal{X}\chi_{,i}) + (\phi_{2} - 3\psi_{2} + E_{2,ii})(\mathcal{X}\chi' - \mathcal{Y}\chi - \phi_{2}) \right] + \frac{1}{2a^{2}}(\chi'^{2} - \chi_{,i}\chi_{,i}) - \frac{m^{2}}{2}\chi^{2} \right\},$$
(17)

where $\mathcal{X} \equiv 1/(a\sqrt{\rho_0 + p_0})$ and $\mathcal{Y} \equiv m\sqrt{\rho_0 - p_0}/(\rho_0 + p_0)$.

For the nine perturbation fields, F_l , we introduce the corresponding Fourier modes as

$$F_l(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} F_l(\eta, \mathbf{k}) \mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}.$$
(18)

There is a gauge freedom in the action for the perturbation fields. The gauge conditions have been studied precisely in Ref. [6]. Since we consider a scalar field, the proper gauge choice from the study of Ref. [6] is to fix the value of one element from each set given,

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2, \chi) + (E_1, E_2).$$
 (19)

In this work we choose the gauge conditions as

$$\psi_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 = 0,$$
 (20)

which provide a decoupled field equation in the end. Then from the variation of S_2 and S_3 for ϕ_2 , ψ_2 , E_2 , and B_2 , we get

$$(1 - 2z)\phi_2 + z\phi_1 - 3z\psi_2 - k^2 z E_2 - (1 - z)\mathcal{X}\chi' - (1 - z)\mathcal{Y}\chi = 0,$$
(21)

$$3\psi_{2}+3\phi_{1}-3z\phi_{2}+k^{2}E_{2}-3(1-z)\mathcal{X}\chi'+3(1-z)\mathcal{Y}\chi=0,$$
(22)
$$k^{2}E_{2}-\phi_{1}+z\phi_{2}-\psi_{2}+(1-z)\mathcal{X}\chi'-(1-z)\mathcal{Y}\chi=0,$$
(23)

$$zB_2 - \sqrt{z}B_1 - (1 - z)\mathcal{X}\chi = 0.$$
(24)

From the variation of S_1 and S_2 for ϕ_1 and B_1 , we get

$$(6\kappa h^2 - a^2)z\phi_1 + a^2 z\phi_2 + 3a^2 \psi_2 + k^2 a^2 E_2 - 2k^2 \kappa h \sqrt{z} B_1 = 0,$$
(25)

$$a^{2}B_{1} - 2\kappa h\sqrt{z}\phi_{1} - a^{2}\sqrt{z}B_{2} = 0.$$
 (26)

From Eqs. (22) and (23), we have $E_2 = 0$. From Eqs. (21) and (23), we have

$$\phi_2 = \frac{(z-1)(3z+1)\mathcal{X}\chi' - (z-1)(3z-1)\mathcal{Y}\chi + 4z\phi_1}{(z+1)(3z-1)},$$
(27)

and from Eqs. (24) and (26), we have

$$\phi_1 = \frac{a^2(z-1)\mathcal{X}\chi}{2\kappa hz}.$$
(28)

Then from Eqs. (22) and (27), we finally get

$$\psi_{2} = \frac{z-1}{2\kappa h z(z+1)(3z-1)} \Big[-2\kappa h z(z-1)\mathcal{X}\chi' + a^{2}(z-1)^{2}\mathcal{X}\chi + 2\kappa h z(3z-1)\mathcal{Y}\chi \Big],$$
(29)

which is expressed only by the background fields and the matter-field perturbation χ . This quantity will be used later in

evaluating the power spectrum from the comoving curvature,

$$\mathcal{R} = \psi_2 + \frac{H}{\hat{\varphi}_0} \chi. \tag{30}$$

With the results of Eqs. (21)–(29), we can write the second-order action $S_s[\chi]$ expressed only by the matter-field perturbation χ and the background fields in the Fourier space,

$$S_{\rm s}[\chi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3k d\eta \left[f_1(\eta, k) \chi'^2 - f_2(\eta, k) \chi^2 \right], \qquad (31)$$

where

$$f_1(\eta, k) = a^2 + \frac{2a^2(z-1)^2 \mathcal{X}^2 \left[a^2(z-3) - 6\kappa h^2 z\right]}{\kappa \sqrt{z}(z+1)(3z-1)}$$
(32)

and

$$f_2(\eta, k) = \frac{\beta}{8\kappa^3 h^2 z^{5/2} (z+1)^2}.$$
(33)

Here,

$$\beta = a^2 \left[\frac{\beta_1}{3z - 1} + \frac{\beta_2}{(3z - 1)^2} \right],\tag{34}$$

where

$$\beta_{1} = (z+1) \bigg\{ 8\kappa^{3}h^{2}z^{2}(3z-1) \bigg[k^{2}\sqrt{z} - 12h^{2}\mathcal{Y}^{2}z + k^{2}z^{3/2} + 24h^{2}\mathcal{Y}^{2}z^{2} - 12h^{2}\mathcal{Y}^{2}z^{3} - 3k^{2}h^{2}\mathcal{X}^{2}(z-1)^{2}(z+1) \bigg] + a^{6}\mathcal{X}^{2}(z-3)(z-1)^{3}(3z^{2}-2z+3) + 4\kappa a^{4}h\mathcal{X}z(z-1)^{2} \times \bigg[\mathcal{Y}(z-3)^{2}(3z-1) - 3h\mathcal{X}z(3z^{2}-6z-1) \bigg] + 4\kappa^{2}a^{2}h^{2}z(3z-1) \bigg[- 6h\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}(z-3)(z-1)^{2}z + \mathcal{X}^{2}(z-1)^{2}(z+1)[(k^{2}+9h^{2})z-3k^{2}] + 4\mathcal{Y}^{2}z(z-3)(z-1)^{2} + 2\kappa m^{2}z^{3/2}(z+1) \bigg] \bigg\},$$
(35)

$$\beta_{2} = (z-1) \Big[a^{2}(z-3) - 6\kappa h^{2} z \Big] \\ \times \Big\{ a^{4} \mathcal{X}^{2}(z-1)^{2}(z+1)(3z-1)(3z^{2}-2z+3) \\ + 4\kappa^{2}h^{2}z(3z-1)^{2} \Big[2z(z-1)(z+1)[2(h+\mathcal{H})\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y} + (\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y})'] \\ + \mathcal{X}\mathcal{Y}(z^{2}+6z+1)z' \Big] \\ + 2\kappa a^{2}h\mathcal{X} \Big[z(z-1)(z+1)(3z-1)(3z^{2}-2z+3) \\ \times [(h+4\mathcal{H})\mathcal{X}+2\mathcal{X}'] \\ + \mathcal{X}(9z^{5}+21z^{4}-34z^{3}+30z^{2}+9z-3)z' \Big] \Big\}.$$
(36)

The field χ in the action (31) is not of the canonical form. Therefore, we introduce the canonical field Q by the transformation $\chi = Q/\omega$ with introducing a new time coordinate τ by $d\eta = f_3 d\tau$. Then the field equation becomes

$$\ddot{\mathcal{Q}} + \left(\frac{f_1}{f_1} - \frac{f_3}{f_3} - 2\frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\right)\dot{\mathcal{Q}} + \left[\frac{f_2f_3^2}{f_1} - \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\left(\frac{\dot{f_1}}{f_1} - \frac{\dot{f_3}}{f_3} - 2\frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega}\right) - \frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega}\right]\mathcal{Q} = 0. \quad (37)$$

For the canonical field, the \dot{Q} -term vanishes, and thus we get $\omega^2 = f_1/f_3$. The field equation then becomes

$$\ddot{Q} + \left(\frac{f_1 f_2}{\omega^4} - \frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega}\right) Q \equiv \ddot{Q} + \left(\sigma_s^2 k^2 - \frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega}\right) Q = 0, \quad (38)$$

where $\sigma_s^2 \equiv f_1 f_2 / k^2 \omega^4$. We assume a Bunch–Davies vacuum described by the plane wave, requiring $\sigma_s^2 \rightarrow 1$ in the limit of $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then ω is determined as

$$\omega^{4} = \frac{a^{4}}{2\kappa^{2}z^{2}(z+1)(3z-1)} \times \left\{ a^{2}\mathcal{X}^{2}(z-3)(z-1)^{2} - 2\kappa z \Big[3h^{2}\mathcal{X}^{2}(z-1)^{2} - \sqrt{z} \Big] \right\} \times \left\{ 2a^{2}\mathcal{X}^{2}(z-3)(z-1)^{2} - \kappa \sqrt{z} \Big[12h^{2}\mathcal{X}^{2}\sqrt{z}(z-1)^{2} - 3z^{2} - 2z + 1 \Big] \right\}.$$
 (39)

For the canonical field Q, the normalization condition is given by

$$Q\dot{Q}^* - Q^*\dot{Q} = i. \tag{40}$$

For the initial perturbation produced in the Bunch–Davies vacuum $(k \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \sigma_s^2 \rightarrow 1)$, we impose the minimumenergy condition which picks up the positive mode solution of Eq. (38). The normalization condition (40) fixes the coefficient, and the solution becomes

$$Q(\tau) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-ik\tau}}{\sqrt{2k}}.$$
(41)

3 Perturbation at attractor stage

At the attractor stage, both the first and the second slowroll conditions are satisfied. The background evolution was found [3] to be approximately the same as that of the usual chaotic inflation in GR. In this paper, we focus on the scalar perturbation at the attractor stage, and we investigate the EiBI correction in the power spectrum.

At the attractor stage, the background scalar field and scale factor are given by

$$\varphi_0(t) \approx \varphi_i + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}mt, \quad a(t) \approx a_i \ e^{[\varphi_i^2 - \varphi_0^2(t)]/4},$$
(42)

where $\varphi_i < 0$ is the value of the scalar field in the beginning of the attractor. (We consider the scalar field rolling down the potential at $\varphi < 0$.) For 60 *e*-foldings, $|\varphi_i| \gtrsim 15$ is required. From observational data, $m \sim 10^{-5}$ for the standard inflationary model.

At the early stage of the attractor, $m^2 t^2 \ll mt$. (We set t = 0 as the beginning of the attractor stage.)

Then the scale factor is further approximated as

$$a(t) \approx a_i \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi_i m t/\sqrt{6} - m^2 t^2/6} \approx a_i \mathrm{e}^{-\varphi_i m t/\sqrt{6}}.$$
(43)

In this background, we can approximate z as

$$z = \frac{1 + \kappa \rho_0}{1 - \kappa p_0} = \frac{1 + \kappa (\hat{\varphi}_0^2/2 + m^2 \varphi_0^2/2)}{1 - \kappa (\hat{\varphi}_0^2/2 - m^2 \varphi_0^2/2)}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{\kappa \hat{\varphi}_0^2}{1 - \kappa (\hat{\varphi}_0^2/2 - m^2 \varphi_0^2/2)} \approx 1 + \frac{2\kappa m^2/3}{1 + \kappa m^2 \varphi_0^2/2}, \quad (44)$$

. .

where we used the first slow-roll condition $\hat{\varphi}_0^2/2 \ll m^2 \varphi_0^2/2$ in the last step. At the attractor stage, therefore, the value of z - 1 is a small quantity proportional to κ , which is responsible for the "EiBI correction" in the power spectrum as we will see in the next section.

Now, let us evaluate the involved quantities in approximation. From the background field equations in Eq. (8), we have

$$b = (1 + \kappa \rho_0)^{1/4} (1 - \kappa p_0)^{1/4} a$$

$$\approx (1 + \kappa \rho_0)^{1/2} a \approx a \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa m^2 \varphi_0^2}, \qquad (45)$$

where we used the first slow-roll condition for the approximation. Then the scalar factor h_b can be approximated by

$$h_b = \frac{\hat{b}}{b} = \frac{\hat{a}}{a} + \frac{\kappa m^2 \varphi_0 \hat{\varphi}_0}{2(1 + \kappa m^2 \varphi_0^2/2)}$$
$$\approx -\frac{m\varphi_i}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\kappa m^3 \varphi_i}{\sqrt{6}} \approx -\frac{m\varphi_i}{\sqrt{6}} = \frac{\hat{a}}{a} = H, \tag{46}$$

where we assumed $\kappa m^2 \ll 1$. Therefore, the terms containing $\kappa h_b^2 \approx \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2/6 \ll 1$ can be ignored in the approximations. Using Eqs. (8) and (45), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}^{2} &= \frac{1}{a^{2}(\rho_{0} + p_{0})} = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{z}}{b^{2}(z - 1)} \\ &\approx \frac{\kappa\sqrt{z}}{a^{2}(z - 1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\kappa m^{2}\varphi_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1} \\ &\approx \frac{\kappa\sqrt{z}}{a^{2}(z - 1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\kappa m^{2}\varphi_{i}^{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$
(47)

$$\mathcal{Y} = m \frac{\sqrt{\rho_0 - p_0}}{\rho_0 + p_0} \approx m \left[\frac{z + 1}{\kappa \sqrt{z}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa m^2 \varphi_0^2 \right) - \frac{2}{\kappa} \right]^{1/2} \\ \times \left[\frac{z - 1}{\kappa \sqrt{z}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa m^2 \varphi_0^2 \right) \right]^{-1}$$

$$\approx \frac{m}{z-1} \left[\kappa \sqrt{z} (z+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2 \right) - 2\kappa z \left(1 - \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}, \tag{48}$$

and ω in Eq. (39) can be approximated as

$$\omega \approx aS$$
, where $S \equiv \left[\frac{(z^2 - 2z + 3)(5z^2 - 6z + 5)}{2z(z+1)(3z-1)}\right]^{1/4}$.
(49)

Plugging Eq. (47) into Eq. (32) and recalling $\kappa m^2 \ll 1$ and $\kappa h^2 = \kappa h_b^2 a^2 \ll a^2$, we get

$$f_1 \approx a^2 \frac{5z^2 - 6z + 5}{(z+1)(3z-1)}.$$
(50)

Then from Eqs. (49) and (50), we get

$$f_3 = \frac{f_1}{\omega^2} \approx \left[\frac{2z(5z^2 - 6z + 5)}{(z+1)(3z-1)(z^2 - 2z + 3)}\right]^{1/2}.$$
 (51)

Now let us keep the lowest-order correction that is proportional to κm^2 . Then from Eqs. (50) and (51), we have

$$f_1 \approx a^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} \kappa m^2 \right), \quad f_3 \approx 1 + \frac{2}{9} (\kappa m^2)^2 \approx 1,$$

and $\omega^4 \approx a^4 \left(1 - \frac{4}{3} \kappa m^2 \right).$ (52)

Using the results for \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} in Eqs. (47) and (48), we get

$$f_2 \approx a^2 \left\{ k^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} \kappa m^2 \right) - m^2 a^2 \left[1 + 2\kappa m^2 (\varphi_i^2 - 1) \right] \right\}.$$
(53)

For the time transformation at the attractor stage, we have then

$$\mathrm{d}\eta = f_3 \mathrm{d}\tau \approx \mathrm{d}\tau. \tag{54}$$

4 Power spectrum

In this section, we evaluate the scalar power spectrum at the attractor stage using the quantities that we obtained in the previous section. We shall focus on the corrections from the EiBI theory, and compare the result with the power spectrum in GR. Finally we will get the EiBI correction in the tensor-to-scalar ratio.

Let us express the scale factor *a* in terms of τ . Using a(t) in Eq. (42), the time coordinates are transformed by

$$d\tau = \frac{d\eta}{f_3} = \frac{dt}{f_3 a} \approx \frac{dt}{a} \implies \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} d\tau' = \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{a(t')}$$
$$\Rightarrow \tau - \tau_i = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\varphi_i m} \left(\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a_i}\right), \tag{55}$$

D Springer

where we assumed that the attractor stage begins at $\tau = \tau_i > 0$ (t = 0). [We assume that the Universe begins at the near-MPS stage at $\tau = 0$ ($t \rightarrow -\infty$).] Setting t = 0 for the beginning of the attractor stage fixes the arbitrariness of the scale factor, $a(t = 0) = a_i$. From Eq. (55), the scale factor can be obtained as

$$a(\tau) = \frac{a_i(\tau_i - \tau_0)}{\tau - \tau_0}, \qquad \tau_0 \equiv \tau(t \to \infty) = \tau_i - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\varphi_i m a_i}.$$
(56)

Let us consider the corrections for σ_s^2 and $\ddot{\omega}/\omega$, in the field equation,

$$\ddot{Q} + \Omega_k^2 Q = 0$$
, where $\Omega_k^2 = \sigma_s^2 k^2 - \frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega}$. (57)

For σ_s^2 , from the approximated quantities in Eqs. (52) and (53), we get

$$\sigma_s^2 = 1 - \frac{m^2 a^2}{k^2} \left[1 + 2\kappa m^2 \left(\varphi_i^2 - \frac{2}{3} \right) \right].$$
(58)

Here, the first term corresponds to the speed of sound, $c_s^2 = 1$, the a^2 -dependence originates from the *non-conventional* form of the action, and in particular, the κ -dependence is the EiBI correction. Using the last expression for z in Eq. (44) for ω in Eq. (49) and the time transformation between t and τ in Eq. (55), we get

$$\frac{\ddot{\omega}}{\omega} \approx (1 - \kappa^2 m^4) \frac{\varphi_i^2 m^2 a^2}{3} \approx \frac{\varphi_i^2 m^2 a^2}{3},$$
(59)

where we neglected the κ -dependent EiBI correction in the last step since it is the higher-order in κ . Therefore, the field Eq. (57) can be approximated by

$$\Omega_k^2 \approx k^2 - \frac{\varphi_i^2 m^2 a^2}{3} \left[1 + \frac{3}{\varphi_i^2} + 6\kappa m^2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{3\varphi_i^2} \right) \right]$$
$$\approx k^2 - \frac{2}{(\tau - \tau_0)^2},$$
(60)

where we neglected the last three terms in the brackets as $\varphi_i \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ and $\kappa m^2 \ll 1$. Therefore, there is no significant correction in the field equation, and thus the normalized positive-energy mode solution to the field Eq. (57) becomes the usual one in GR,

$$Q \approx \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-ik(\tau-\tau_0)}}{\sqrt{2k}} \left[1 - \frac{i}{k(\tau-\tau_0)} \right]. \tag{61}$$

Now let us evaluate the comoving curvature. Using Eqs. (47) and (48), the most dominant term for ψ_2 is the last term in Eq. (29). Then we have

$$\psi_2 \approx \frac{1}{2} \kappa m^2 \varphi_i \chi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R} = \psi_2 + \frac{H}{\hat{\varphi}_0} \chi \approx \frac{\kappa m^2 - 1}{2} \varphi_i \chi.$$
(62)

Here, ψ_2 results purely from the EiBI correction. When $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, we have $\psi_2 \rightarrow 0$, which indicates that our choice of gauge condition ($\psi_1 = 0$ and $E_1 = 0$) corresponds to the spatially flat gauge ($\psi_2 = 0$ and $E_2 = 0$) in the GR limit.

With the field Q and the comoving curvature \mathcal{R} obtained in Eqs. (61) and (62), the power spectrum is evaluated as

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \mathcal{R}^2 = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left(\psi_2 + \frac{H}{\hat{\phi}_0} \chi \right)^2$$
$$\approx (1 - \kappa m^2)^2 \frac{k^3 \varphi_i^2}{8\pi^2} \chi^2 = (1 - \kappa m^2)^2 \frac{k^3 \varphi_i^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{Q}{\omega} \right)^2$$
(63)

$$\approx \frac{(1 - \kappa m^2)^2}{(1 - 4\kappa m^2/3)^{1/2}} \frac{k^3 \varphi_i^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{Q}{a}\right)^2 \tag{64}$$

$$\approx \frac{(1-\kappa m^{2})}{(1-4\kappa m^{2}/3)^{1/2}} \times \frac{m^{2} \phi_{i}}{96\pi^{2}} \times k^{2} (\tau - \tau_{0})^{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{k^{2}(\tau - \tau_{0})^{2}} \right].$$
(65)

At the end of inflation $(\tau \rightarrow \tau_0)$, finally, we get

$$P_{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{(1 - \kappa m^2)^2}{(1 - 4\kappa m^2/3)^{1/2}} \times \frac{m^2 \varphi_i^4}{96\pi^2} = \frac{(1 - \kappa m^2)^2}{(1 - 4\kappa m^2/3)^{1/2}} \times P_{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{GR}} \approx \left(1 - \frac{4}{3}\kappa m^2\right) P_{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{GR}},$$
(66)

where $P_{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{GR}} = m^2 \varphi_i^4 / 96\pi^2$ is the power spectrum in GR.

The tensor-to-scalar ratio is obtained with the result of the tensor power spectrum obtained in Ref. [5],

$$r = \frac{P_{\rm T}}{P_{\mathcal{R}}} \approx \frac{P_{\rm T}^{\rm GR} / (1 + \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2 / 2)}{(1 - 4\kappa m^2 / 3) P_{\mathcal{R}}^{\rm GR}} \\\approx \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa m^2 \varphi_i^2 + \frac{4}{3} \kappa m^2\right) r^{\rm GR},$$
(67)

where $r^{\text{GR}} \sim 0.131$ for 60 *e*-foldings. The EiBI correction of the tensor spectrum lowers the value of *r*, while that of the scalar spectrum raises the value. As $\varphi_i \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$, the effect of the tensor spectrum is larger and the whole EiBI corrections lower the value of *r*.

5 Conclusions

Recently the gravitational waves produced in the inflationary stage of the early Universe have attracted much attention due to the observational result of BICEP2 [25]. The result tells that the tensor-to-scalar ratio is very high, $r \sim 0.2$. Although

its validity requires further examinations, for example, from the PLANCK observational results [26], it is very interesting to discuss how the various inflationary models predict the value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio.

In this paper, we investigated the scalar perturbation in a newly suggested inflationary model driven by a massive scalar field in Eddington-inspired Born–Infeld gravity [3]. With the result of the tensor perturbation investigated in Ref. [5], we evaluated the tensor-to-scalar ratio. As it was investigated in Ref. [3], there are two exponentially expanding stages of the Universe in this inflationary model. The one is the near-MPS stage, and the other is the attractor stage. We mainly focused on the attractor stage since the main band for the test of the tensor-to-scalar ratio is related with this stage. (The near-MPS stage affects mostly the very long wavelength modes.)

The background evolution at the attractor stage is very similar to that of the chaotic inflation in GR. We assumed that the attractor stage maintained sufficiently long, and investigated the scalar perturbation produced at this stage. (For the perturbation produced at the near-MPS stage [27], the result is very similar except for the very long wavelength modes for which there exists a peculiar peak in the power spectrum as in the tensor perturbation in Ref. [5].) We assumed that the Bunch–Davies vacuum for the initial production of the perturbation mode $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then σ_s was obtained accordingly for the arbitrary *k*-modes. We imposed the minimumenergy condition for the initial perturbation which picks up the positive-energy mode.

For the arbitrary k-modes, we obtained the EiBI corrections in terms of κm^2 , which was assumed to be small. (The strongest constraint for the value of κ known so far is from the study of the star formation [10,11,13], $\kappa < 1$ 10^{-2} m⁵ kg⁻¹s⁻². However, this is a very flexible constraint in Planck unit, $\kappa \lesssim 10^{77}$. As $m \sim 10^{-5}$ from observational data, the value of κm^2 can have a wide range.) The correction for the canonical perturbation field O is very tiny and minor, so Q is of the same form as that of the φ^2 chaotic inflation model in GR. The main EiBI correction comes from two sources. The one is from the relation $\chi = Q/\omega \equiv Q/aS$ between the matter-field perturbation χ and its canonical form field Q. In GR, S = 1, while in EiBI $S \approx 1 - \kappa m^2/3$. The other is from the metric perturbation field ψ_2 in the comoving curvature $\mathcal{R} = \psi_2 + (H/\hat{\varphi}_0)\chi$. This is related with the gauge. In the spatially flat gauge in GR, $E_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = 0$. In EiBI, we imposed the gauge conditions, $E_1 = 0$ and $\psi_1 = 0$, which results in $E_2 = 0$ and $\psi_2 = \kappa m^2 \varphi_i \chi / 2$.

With these corrections, the scalar power spectrum $P_{\mathcal{R}}$ is smaller than that in GR. With the tensor power spectrum $P_{\rm T}$ obtained in Ref. [5], we observe that the tensor-to-scalar ratio in EiBI gravity becomes smaller than that ($r^{\rm GR} \sim 0.131$) in GR. This reduction is affirmative in con-

sidering the dispute between the BICEP2 and the PLANCK results in the literature. If a more precise value of r is achieved from the observational results soon in the future, it can provide a constraint on the value of κ from our result.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to Hyeong-Chan Kim, Jinn-Ouk Gong and Macarena Lagos for useful discussions, and to the Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics (APCTP) for the hospitality. This work was supported by the grant from the National Research Foundation funded by the Korean government, No. NRF-2012R1A1A2006136 (I.C.).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

Funded by SCOAP3 / License Version CC BY 4.0.

References

- M. Banados, P.G. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 011101 (2010). arXiv:1006.1769 [astro-ph.CO]
- I. Cho, H.-C. Kim, T. Moon, Phys. Rev. D 86, 084018 (2012). arXiv:1208.2146 [gr-qc]
- 3. I. Cho, H.-C. Kim, T. Moon, Phys. Rev. Lett **111**, 071301 (2013). arXiv:1305.2020 [gr-qc]
- 4. A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 129, 177 (1983)
- 5. I. Cho, H.-C. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 024063 (2014). arXiv:1404.6081 [gr-qc]
- M. Lagos, M. Banados, P.G. Ferreira, S. Garcia-Saenz, Phys. Rev. D 89, 024034 (2014). arXiv:1311.3828 [gr-qc]
- C. Escamilla-Rivera, M. Banados, P.G. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. D 85, 087302 (2012). arXiv:1204.1691 [gr-qc]
- P.P. Avelino, R.Z. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. D 86, 041501 (2012). arXiv:1205.6676 [astro-ph.CO]
- K. Yang, X.-L. Du, Y.-X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 88, 124037 (2013). arXiv:1307.2969 [gr-qc]
- 10. P. Pani, V. Cardoso, T. Delsate, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 031101 (2011). arXiv:1106.3569 [gr-qc]
- P. Pani, T. Delsate, V. Cardoso, Phys. Rev. D 85, 084020 (2012). arXiv:1201.2814 [gr-qc]
- A. De Felice, B. Gumjudpai, S. Jhingan, Phys. Rev. D 86, 043525 (2012). arXiv:1205.1168 [gr-qc]
- P.P. Avelino, Phys. Rev. D 85, 104053 (2012). arXiv:1201.2544 [astro-ph.CO]
- P.P. Avelino, JCAP **1211**, 022 (2012). arXiv:1207.4730 [astroph.CO]
- J. Casanellas, P. Pani, I. Lopes, V. Cardoso, Astrophys. J. 745, 15 (2012). arXiv:1109.0249 [astro-ph.SR]
- Y.-X. Liu, K. Yang, H. Guo, Y. Zhong, Phys. Rev. D 85, 124053 (2012). arXiv:1203.2349 [hep-th]
- 17. T. Delsate, J. Steinhoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 021101 (2012). arXiv:1201.4989 [gr-qc]
- P. Pani, T.P. Sotiriou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 251102 (2012). arXiv:1209.2972 [gr-qc]
- 19. I. Cho, H.C. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 88, 064038 (2013). arXiv:1302.3341 [gr-qc]
- J.H.C. Scargill, M. Banados, P.G. Ferreira, Phys. Rev. D 86, 103533 (2012). arXiv:1210.1521 [astro-ph.CO]
- 21. H.-C. Kim, Phys. Rev. D 89, 064001 (2014). arXiv:1312.0705 [gr-qc]

- 22. H.-C. Kim, arXiv:1312.0703 [gr-qc]
- 23. X.-L. Du, K. Yang, X.-H. Meng, Y.-X. Liu, arXiv:1403.0083 [gr-qc]
- 24. D. Ji, Commun. Theory Phys. **62**, 41 (2014)
- 25. P.A.R. Ade et al. [BICEP2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 241101 (2014). arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO]
- P.A.R. Ade et al. [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5062 [astroph.CO]; arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO]
- I. Cho, N.K. Singh, "Scalar Perturbation Produced at the Preinflationary Stage in Eddington-inspired Born-Infeld Gravity" (2014)