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#### Abstract

The strong coupling constant $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}$ is calculated using the three-point QCD sum-rule method. We use correlation functions to obtain these strong coupling constants with contributions of both $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ mesons as off-shell states. The contributions of two gluon condensates as a radiative correction are considered. The results show that $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}=8.80 \pm 2.84 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ and $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}=$ $9.34 \pm 3.12 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ in the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell state, respectively.


## 1 Introduction

Measurements of masses, total widths and transition rates of heavy quark bound states serve as important benchmarks for the predictions of QCD-inspired potential models, nonrelativistic QCD, lattice QCD and QCD sum rules [1]. The $h_{b}$ mesons are bound states of $\bar{b} b$ quarks. The system is approximately non-relativistic due to the large $b$ quark mass, and therefore the quark-antiquark QCD potential can be investigated via $\bar{b} b$ spectroscopy. These mesons are intermediate states between $Y(3 S)$ to $\eta_{b}(1 S)$ with the processes $Y(3 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} h_{b}$, and they decay to the ground state $\gamma \eta_{b}$. The $h_{b}(1 P)$ state is a spin-singlet P -wave bound state of $b \bar{b}$ quarks, which was observed for the first time by the Belle collaboration with a significance of 5.5 $\sigma$ [2]. It has been conjectured that this meson often decays into an intermediate two-body state of $B$ mesons, then undergoes final state interactions. This meson $\left(h_{b}(1 P)\right)$ is used to study of the P -wave spin-spin (or hyperfine) interaction. Therefore, theoretical calculations on the physical parameters of this meson and their comparison with experimental data should give valuable information as regards the nature of hyperfine interaction. However, most of the theoretical studies deal with

[^0]the non-perturbative QCD calculations. The mass and leptonic decay constant of $h_{b}(1 P)$ mesons have been calculated [3]. These physical parameters help us to calculate the other physical parameters, i.e., the rates of various decay modes and the coupling constants.

In this work, we evaluate the strong coupling constant, $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\text {PS }} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}$ within the framework of three-point QCD sum rules. We consider contributions of both $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ mesons as off-shell states. The contributions of the bare loop diagram and the two-gluon condensate diagrams as radiative corrections are evaluated. We assume that $h_{b}$ is on-shell, and that it may decay to the intermediate $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ mesons. In this regard, the coupling constants help us to describe the intermediate state of two-body decay of the meson into the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ mesons when one of these mesons is off-shell. The intermediate states decay into the final states with the exchange of virtual mesons. Indeed, without understanding the mechanism of intermediate states, we are not able to analyze the results of the ongoing experiments properly.

Here, we use the same technique for the study of the couplings such as $D^{*} D_{s} K, D_{s}^{*} D K[4,5], D_{0} D_{s} K, D_{s_{0}} D K[6]$, $D^{*} D \pi$ [7] , $D_{s} D^{*} K, D_{s}^{*} D K$ [8], $B_{s_{0}} B K, B_{s_{1}} B^{*} K$ [9-11], $D_{s}^{\star} D K^{*}[12]$ and $\eta_{b} B B^{*}$ vertex from QCD sum rules [13], $B_{s}^{*} B K[14], B_{1 s} B^{*} K[15,16], B^{*} B^{*} \rho[15,16]$.

The present work is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we introduce the QCD sum-rule technique where analytical expressions of the $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} B_{c}^{\text {PS }}$ strong coupling constant are obtained. Section 3 is devoted to the numerical analysis and a discussion.

## 2 QCD sum rules for the form factors

In this section, we present a QCD sum-rule calculation for the form factor of the $h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ vertex. The three-point correlation function associated with the $h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ vertex is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)= & \mathrm{i}^{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \mathrm{~d}^{4} y \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p^{\prime} \cdot x} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} q \cdot y} \\
& \times\langle 0| T\left(j_{\nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}(x) j^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}(y) j_{\mu}^{h_{b}}(0)\right)|0\rangle, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $B_{c}^{\text {PS }}$ is an off-shell state, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)= & \mathrm{i}^{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \mathrm{~d}^{4} y \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p^{\prime} \cdot x} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} q \cdot y} \\
& \times\langle 0| T\left(j^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{Ps}}}(x) j_{v}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}(y) j_{\mu}^{h_{b}}(0)\right)|0\rangle, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is an off-shell state, $q$ is the transferred momentum, and $T$ is the time-ordering operator.

We describe each meson field in terms of the quark field operators as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{\nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}(x) & =\bar{c}(x) \gamma_{\nu} b(x) \\
j^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}(y) & =\bar{c}(y) \gamma_{5} b(y)  \tag{3}\\
j_{\mu}^{h_{b}}(0) & =\bar{b}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} b(0)
\end{align*}
$$

$\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)=-g_{h_{b} B B}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}\left(q^{2}\right) \frac{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} \frac{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}}{m_{b}+m_{c}}}{\left(q^{2}-m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}-m_{h_{b}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{h_{b}}^{2}\right.}\left(p \cdot p^{\prime}\right) g_{\mu \nu}+\cdots$

The above correlation functions need to be calculated in two different ways: on the theoretical side, they are evaluated with the help of the operator-product expansion (OPE), where the short- and large-distance effects are separated; on the phenomenological side, they are calculated in terms of hadronic parameters such as masses, leptonic decay constants, and form factors. Finally, we aim to equate the structures of the two representations.

Performing the integration over $x$ and $y$ of Eq. (1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle 0| j_{v}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}\left|B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(p^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} \epsilon_{v}^{\prime} \\
& \langle 0| j^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left|B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}(q)\right\rangle=\mathrm{i} \frac{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}}{m_{b}+m_{c}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}} \\
& \left\langle B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(p^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime}\right)\right| B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}(q)\left|h_{b}(p, \epsilon)\right\rangle  \tag{6}\\
& \quad=\mathrm{i} g_{h_{b} B B}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left[\left(p \cdot p^{\prime}\right)\left(\epsilon \cdot \epsilon^{* \prime}\right)-\left(p \cdot \epsilon^{* \prime}\right)\left(p^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon\right)\right] \\
& \left\langle h_{b}(p, \epsilon)\right| j_{\mu}^{h_{b}}|0\rangle=m_{h_{b}} f_{h_{b}} \epsilon_{\mu}^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{h_{b} B B}$ is the strong coupling constant when $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ is off-shell and $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon^{\prime}$ are the polarization vectors associated with $h_{b}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$, respectively. Substituting Eq. (6) in Eq. (4) and using the summation over polarization vectors via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\nu} \epsilon_{\theta}^{*}=-g_{\nu \theta}+\frac{q_{\nu} q_{\theta}}{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\epsilon_{j}^{\prime} \epsilon_{\mu}^{\prime *}=-g_{j \mu}+\frac{p_{j} p_{\mu}}{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{2}}$,
the phenomenological or physical side for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell result is found to be
and ". . . " represents the contribution of the higher states and continuum.

We compare the coefficient of the $\left(p \cdot p^{\prime}\right) g_{\mu \nu}$ structure from different approaches of the correlation functions for further calculation.

In addition, a similar expression of the physical side of the correlation function for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ off-shell meson is the following:
$\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)=\frac{\langle 0| j_{\nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}\left|B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(p^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\langle 0| j^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left|B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}(q)\right\rangle\left\langle B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(p^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime}\right)\right| B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}(q)\left|h_{b}(p, \epsilon)\right\rangle\left\langle h_{b}(p, \epsilon)\right| j_{\mu}^{h_{b}}|0\rangle}{\left(q^{2}-m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{2}-m_{h_{b}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{2}\right)}+\cdots$

In order to finalize the calculation of the phenomenological side, it is necessary to know the effective Lagrangian for the interaction of the vertex $h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$, which is given as follows:
$\mathcal{L}=g_{h_{b} B^{\mathrm{V}} B^{\mathrm{PS}}} B^{\mathrm{PS}}\left\{\left(\partial_{\alpha} h^{\sigma}\right)\left(\partial^{\alpha} B_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)-\left(\partial^{\beta} h_{\alpha}\right)\left(\partial^{\alpha} B_{\beta}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)\right\}$
where $h$ is the axial-vector meson field ( $h_{b}(1 P)$ field), $B^{\mathrm{V}}$ is the vector meson field and $B^{\mathrm{PS}}$ is the pseudoscalar meson field.

The matrix elements of the Eq. (4) can be related to the hardronic parameters as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{\mu \nu}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)=-g_{h_{b} B B}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left(q^{2}\right) \frac{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} \frac{m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}}{m_{b}+m_{c}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}} m_{h_{b}} f_{h_{b}}}{\left(q^{2}-m_{\left.B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}\right)}^{2}\left(p^{2}-m_{h_{b}}^{2}\right)\left(p^{\prime 2}-m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{2}\right)\right.} \\
& \quad \times\left(p \cdot p^{\prime}\right) g_{\mu \nu}+\cdots \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we calculate the correlation functions on the QCD side using the deep Euclidean space case ( $p^{2} \rightarrow$ $-\infty$ and $\left.p^{\prime 2} \rightarrow-\infty\right)$. Each invariant amplitude $\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{i}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)$ where $i$ stands for $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ or $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ consists of perturbative (bare loop, see Fig. 1), and non-perturbative parts (the contributions of two-gluon condensate diagrams; see Fig. 2) as

Fig. 1 a, b Bare loop diagram for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell, respectively
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Fig. 2 Two-gluon condensate diagram as a radiative corrections for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ off-shell
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$\Pi_{\mu \nu}^{i}\left(p^{\prime}, q\right)=\left(\Pi_{\text {per }}+\Pi_{\text {nonper }}\right)\left(p \cdot p^{\prime}\right) g_{\mu \nu}$.
The perturbative and gluon condensate contributions can be defined in terms of a double dispersion integral as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\text {per }}= & -\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d} s^{\prime} \int \mathrm{d} s \frac{\rho\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)}{\left(s-p^{2}\right)\left(s^{\prime}-p^{\prime 2}\right)}  \tag{15}\\
& + \text { subtraction terms } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)$ is the spectral density. It is aimed to evaluate the spectral density by considering the bare loop diagrams (a) and (b) in Fig. 1 for $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ off-shell, respectively. We use the Cutkosky method to calculate these bare loop diagrams and replace the quark propagators of Feynman integrals with the Dirac delta function:
$\frac{1}{q^{2}-m^{2}} \rightarrow(-2 \pi i) \delta\left(q^{2}-m^{2}\right)$.
The results of the spectral density are found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{N_{c}}{\lambda^{3 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)}\left\{2 m_{b}^{2} m_{c} s-m_{c} s\left(2 m c^{2}+q^{2}+s-s^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+2 m_{b}^{3}\left(q^{2}+s^{\prime}\right)-m_{b}\left(2 m_{c}^{2}+q^{2}+s-s^{\prime}\right)\left(q^{2}+s^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho^{B_{c}^{V}}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& = \\
& \frac{N_{c}}{\lambda^{3 / 2}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)}\left\{2 m_{b}^{2} m_{c} s-m_{c} s\left(2 m c^{2}+q^{2}+s-s^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+2 m_{b}^{3}\left(q^{2}+s^{\prime}\right)-m_{b}\left(2 m_{c}^{2}+q^{2}+s-s^{\prime}\right)\left(q^{2}+s^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda(a, b, c)=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}-2 a c-2 b c-2 a b$ and the number of colors is $N_{c}=3$. The physical region in the $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ plane is described by the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1 \leq f^{i}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)=\frac{s\left(-2 m_{b}^{2}+2 m_{c}^{2}+q^{2}+s-s^{\prime}\right)}{\lambda^{1 / 2}\left(m_{b}^{2}, m_{b}^{2}, s\right) \lambda^{1 / 2}\left(s, s, q^{2}\right)} \leq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ indicates the two states of the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell meson.

The diagrams for the contribution of the gluon condensate in the case that $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ is off-shell are depicted in (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) in Fig. 2. All diagrams are calculated in the Fock-Schwinger fixed-point gauge [17-19] where we assume $x^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{a}=0$ for the gluon field $A_{\mu}^{a}$. Then, the vacuum
gluon field is
$A_{\mu}^{a}\left(k^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{i}{2}(2 \pi)^{4} G_{\rho \mu}^{a}(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\rho}^{\prime}} \delta^{(4)}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$,
where $k^{\prime}$ is the gluon momentum.
In this calculation, we need to solve the following two types of integrals:
$I_{0}[a, b, c]=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left[k^{2}-m_{b}^{2}\right]^{a}\left[(p+k)^{2}-m_{b}^{2}\right]^{b}\left[\left(p^{\prime}+k\right)^{2}-m_{c}^{2}\right]^{c}}$,
$I_{\mu}[a, b, c]=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{k_{\mu}}{\left[k^{2}-m_{b}^{2}\right]^{a}\left[(p+k)^{2}-m_{b}^{2}\right]^{b}\left[\left(p^{\prime}+k\right)^{2}-m_{c}^{2}\right]^{c}}$,
where $k$ is the momentum of the spectator quark $b$. These integrals can be calculated by switching to Euclidean space-time and using the Schwinger representation for the Euclidean propagator:
$\frac{1}{\left(k^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{n}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} \alpha \alpha^{n-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\left(k^{2}+m^{2}\right)}$.
The Borel transformation is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\widehat{p}}\left(M^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha p^{2}}=\delta\left(\frac{1}{M^{2}}-\alpha\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is Borel parameter.
We integrate over the loop momentum and the two parameters that we have used in the exponential representation of propagators [18]. We also apply double Borel transformations over $p^{2}$ and $p^{\prime 2}$. The results after the Borel transformations are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{I}_{0}(a, b, c)=\mathrm{i} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+c}}{16 \pi^{2} \Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \\
& \quad \times\left(M_{1}^{2}\right)^{2-a-b}\left(M_{2}^{2}\right)^{2-a-c} \mathcal{U}_{0}(a+b+c-4,1-c-b) \\
& \hat{I}_{0 \mu}(a, b, c)=\hat{I}_{1}(a, b, c) p_{\mu}+\hat{I}_{2}(a, b, c) p_{\mu}^{\prime} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{I}_{1}(a, b, c)=\mathrm{i} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+c+1}}{16 \pi^{2} \Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \\
& \quad \times\left(M_{1}^{2}\right)^{2-a-b}\left(M_{2}^{2}\right)^{3-a-c} \mathcal{U}_{0}(a+b+c-5,1-c-b), \\
& \hat{I}_{2}(a, b, c)=\mathrm{i} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+c+1}}{16 \pi^{2} \Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(c)} \\
& \quad \times\left(M_{1}^{2}\right)^{3-a-b}\left(M_{2}^{2}\right)^{2-a-c} \mathcal{U}_{0}(a+b+c-5,1-c-b), \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and $M_{1}^{2}$ and $M_{2}^{2}$ are the Borel parameters. The function $\mathcal{U}_{0}(\alpha, \beta)$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_{0}(a, b)= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} y\left(y+M_{1}^{2}+M_{2}^{2}\right)^{a} y^{b} \\
& \times \exp \left[-\frac{B_{-1}}{y}-B_{0}-B_{1} y\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{-1}=\frac{1}{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}}\left[m_{c}^{2} M_{1}^{4}+m_{b}^{2} M_{2}^{4}+M_{2}^{2} M_{1}^{2}\left(m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}-q^{2}\right)\right] \\
& B_{0}=\frac{1}{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}}\left[\left(m_{b}^{2}+m_{c}^{2}\right) M_{1}^{2}+2 M_{2}^{2} m_{b}^{2}\right] \\
& B_{1}=\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The circumflex of $\hat{I}$ in the equations is used for the result of integrals after the double Borel transformation. After lengthy calculations, the following expressions for the two-gluon condensate contributions are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\text {nonper }}^{B_{c}^{\text {PS }}}= & 16\left(6 m_{b}^{3}\left(2 I_{1}(1,4,1)+3 I_{1}(4,1,1)+I_{2}(1,4,1)\right)\right. \\
& -6 m_{b}^{2} m_{c}\left(I_{1}(4,1,1)+I_{2}(1,4,1)\right) \\
& +m_{b}\left(6 m_{c}^{2}\left(2 I_{1}(1,1,4)+I_{2}(1,1,4)\right)\right. \\
& -2 I_{1}(1,2,2)+6 I_{1}(1,3,1)+6 I_{1}(2,1,2) \\
& -2 I_{1}(2,2,1)+6 I_{1}(3,1,1)-I_{2}(1,2,2) \\
& \left.+6 I_{2}(1,3,1)+3 I_{2}(2,1,2)-I_{2}(2,2,1)\right) \\
& +m_{c}\left(-6 m_{c}^{2} I_{2}(1,1,4)-6 I_{2}(1,1,3)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+I_{2}(1,2,2)-3 I_{2}(2,1,2)+I_{2}(2,2,1)\right)\right)  \tag{24}\\
\Gamma_{\text {nonper }}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}= & -16\left(-m_{b}\left(I(2,1,2)+I(2,2,1)-12 m_{c}^{2} I_{1}(1,1,4)\right.\right. \\
& -6 I_{1}(1,2,2)-6 I_{1}(1,3,1) \\
& +2 I_{1}(2,1,2)+2 I_{1}(2,2,1)-6 I_{1}(3,1,1) \\
& -6 m_{c}^{2} I_{2}(1,1,4)-3 I_{2}(1,2,2)-6 I_{2}(1,3,1) \\
& \left.+I_{2}(2,1,2)+I_{2}(2,2,1)\right)+6 m_{b}^{3}\left(2 I_{1}(1,4,1)\right. \\
& \left.+3 I_{1}(4,1,1)+I_{2}(1,4,1)\right)-6 m_{b}^{2} m_{c}\left(I_{1}(4,1,1)\right. \\
& \left.+I_{2}(1,4,1)\right)+m_{c}\left(-6 m_{c}^{2} I_{2}(1,1,4)-6 I_{2}(1,1,3)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-3 I_{2}(1,2,2)+I_{2}(2,1,2)+I_{2}(2,2,1)\right)\right) . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

After applying the Borel transformation to both the physical and the theoretical sides, we equate the coefficients of the $\left(p . p^{\prime}\right) g_{\mu \nu}$ structure from both sides (physical and QCD sides). The results related to the sum rules for the corresponding form factors are found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{i}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{2\left(q^{2}-m_{i}^{2}\right)\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)}{f_{h_{b}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}} f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2} m_{h_{b}} m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{m_{h_{b}}^{2}}{M^{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{m_{(j)}^{2}}{M^{\prime 2}}} \\
& \quad \times\left[\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{4 m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{\left(m_{b}+m_{c}\right)^{2}}^{s_{0}^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{\prime} \rho^{i}\left(s, s^{\prime}, q^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \theta\left[1-\left(f^{i}\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-s}{M^{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-s^{\prime}}{M^{\prime 2}}}+\prod_{\text {nonper }}^{i}\right] \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ and $j$ are either $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ or $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$, where $(i \neq j)$.

## 3 Numerical analysis

In this study, we calculate the form factor with both the $\bar{M} S$ and the pole masses. The values given in the Review of Particle Physics are $\bar{m}_{c}\left(\bar{m}_{c}^{2}\right)=1.275 \pm 0.025 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\bar{m}_{b}\left(\bar{m}_{b}^{2}\right)=$ $4.18 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{GeV}$ [20], which correspond to the pole masses $m_{c}=1.65 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{b}=4.78 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{GeV}[21,22]$. A summary of the other input parameters is given in Table 1.

The sum rules contain auxiliary parameters, namely the Borel mass parameters $M^{2}, M^{\prime 2}$ and the continuum threshold ( $s_{0}$ and $s_{0}^{\prime}$ ). The standard criterion in QCD sum rules is that the physical quantities are independent of the auxiliary parameters. Therefore, we search for the intervals of these parameters so that our results are almost insensitive to their variations. One more condition for the intervals of the Borel mass parameters is the fact that the aforementioned intervals must suppress the higher states, continuum and contributions of the highest-order operators. In other words, the sum rules for the form factors must converge. As a result, we get $25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \leq M^{2} \leq 30 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \leq M^{\prime 2} \leq 25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ for both $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell associated with the $h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ vertex.

We depict the dependence of the strong coupling constants on the Borel parameters for $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell in Figs. 3 and 4. These figures indicate the weak dependence of the form factor of $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell in terms of the Borel mass parameters in the chosen intervals. We find a stable behavior of the coupling constant in terms of the Borel mass parameters for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ off-shell case and we find it unnecessary to show the other figures.

The continuum thresholds $s_{0}$ and $s_{0}^{\prime}$ are not arbitrary, but they are correlated to the energy of the first excited state with the same quantum number as the interpolating current. Thus,


Fig. $3 g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}\left(Q^{2}=5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ as a function of the Borel mass $M^{2}$. The continuum thresholds $s_{0}=(106.08,108.16,110.25) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, s_{0}^{\prime}=$ $(45.3,46.66,48.3) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $M^{\prime 2}=20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ are used. The green, blue and purple lines are for the minimum central and maximum values of $s_{0}$ and $s_{0}^{\prime}$


Fig. $4 g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}}^{\mathrm{PS}^{\mathrm{P}}}\left(Q^{2}=5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ as a function of the Borel mass $M^{2}$. The continuum thresholds $s_{0}=(106.08,108.16,110.25) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, $s_{0}^{\prime}=$ $(45.3,46.66,48.3) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $M^{2}=25 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ are used. The green, blue and purple lines are for minimum central and maximum values of $s_{0}$ and $s_{0}^{\prime}$
we choose the following regions for the continuum thresholds in the $s_{0}$ and $s_{0}^{\prime}$ channels:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{h_{b}}+0.4\right)^{2} \leq s_{0} \leq(m+0.6)^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the $s$ channel for both off-shell cases, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}+0.4\right)^{2} \leq s_{0}^{\prime} \leq\left(m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}+0.6\right)^{2}  \tag{28}\\
& \left(m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}+0.4\right)^{2} \leq s_{0}^{\prime} \leq\left(m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}+0.6\right)^{2} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1 Values of pole masses of quarks and decay constants used in the calculation

| $m_{B_{c}^{\text {PS }}}[20]$ | $m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}[21]$ | $m_{h_{b}}[20]$ | $f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}[21]$ | $f_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}[23]$ | $f_{h_{b}}[3]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6.2745 \pm 0.0018$ | $6.331 \pm 0.017$ | $9.8993 \pm 0.001$ | $0.415 \pm 0.031$ | $0.40 \pm 0.025$ | $0.094 \pm 0.01$ |

Table 2 Values of $A, B$, and $C$ for the fit function for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell cases

|  | $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell | $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ off-shell |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $2.30 \pm 0.50$ | $2.43 \pm 0.51$ |
| $B$ | $0.035 \pm 0.008$ | $0.033 \pm 0.008$ |
| $C$ | $-0.31 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.36 \pm 0.11$ |

for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell cases, respectively, in the $s_{0}^{\prime}$ channel.

As a final remark, we should say that we follow the standard procedure in the QCD sum rules where the continuum thresholds are supposed to be independent of the Borel mass parameters and of $q^{2}$. However, this standard assumption seems not to be accurate, as mentioned in Ref. [24].

Our further numerical analysis shows that the dependence of the form factors on $q^{2}$ with the definite values of the auxiliary parameters fits with the following function:
$g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{i}\left(Q^{2}\right)=A \mathrm{e}^{B Q^{2}}+C$
where $Q^{2}=-q^{2}, i$ stands for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell cases, and the value of $A, B$, and $C$ are shown in Table 2.

By definition, the coupling constant is the value of $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{i}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ at the $Q^{2}=-m_{\text {meson }}^{2}$ [6], where $m_{\text {meson }}$ is the mass of the on-shell mesons.

Substituting $Q^{2}=-m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{2}$ and $Q^{2}=-m_{B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{2}$ in Eq. (30), the $g_{h_{b} B_{C}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}^{B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}=8.80 \pm 2.84 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ and $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}}^{\mathrm{V}}=9.34 \pm$ $3.12 \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$ are obtained for the $B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}$ off-shell cases, respectively. The average value of the $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ strong coupling constant is found to be
$g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}} B_{c}^{\mathrm{PS}}}=(9.07 \pm 2.93) \mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$
Note that roughly $80 \%$ of the errors in our numerical calculation arise from the variation continuum thresholds in intervals shown in Eqs. (27), (28), and (29), and the remaining $20 \%$ occur as a result of the quark masses when one proceeds from the $\bar{M} S$ to the pole-scheme mass parameters, the input parameters.

In conclusion, we calculate the strong coupling constant $g_{h_{b} B_{c}^{\mathrm{V}}} B_{c}^{\text {PS }}$ using the three-point QCD sum rules. Our results
show that the average value of the strong coupling constant is
 our numerical calculations depend on continuum threshold and variation of the quark masses in different mass schemes.
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