Higher order QCD results for the fermionic contributions of the Higgs-boson decay into two photons and the decoupling function for the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized fine-structure constant

Christian Sturm^a

Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik, Universität Würzburg, Emil-Hilb-Weg 22, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

Received: 20 April 2014 / Accepted: 10 July 2014 / Published online: 9 August 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract We compute the decoupling function of the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized fine-structure constant up to four-loop order in perturbative QCD. The results are used in order to determine the related top-quark contributions to the Higgs-boson decay into two photons in the heavy top-quark mass limit to order α_s^4 .

1 Introduction

The discovery of a Higgs-particle [1,2] which is, within the current uncertainties, consistent with the expectations of the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson (H) was a big success of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) experiments. It is now interesting and important to further understand and study its properties also from the theory side.

The dominant production mechanism for the SM Higgs boson at the LHC is the gluon fusion process, whereas the Higgs-boson decay into two photons, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, provides a clean channel for the study of its properties, not only at the LHC, but also at a future linear collider. As a result of this these processes are studied at high order in perturbation theory to obtain most accurate predictions. From theory point of view both reactions are loop induced processes, since the SM Higgs boson couples only to massive particles, so that the leading order processes are here already at the one-loop level. In the limit in which the heavy top-quark mass m_t is much larger than the mass of the Higgs boson, $m_H \ll 2m_t$, the fermionic contributions can be described by an effective coupling using a low-energy theorem (LET) [3–7].

Within this work we study higher order corrections in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) to the decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. For electroweak corrections we refer to Refs. [8–13]. The two-loop QCD corrections are known since long and have

been determined first in the heavy top-quark mass limit in Refs. [14,15]. The full top-quark mass dependence has then been obtained numerically and analytically in Refs. [16–23].

In the following we will focus on the purely fermionic contributions to the decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ which form a gauge-invariant set of diagrams. We will further distinguish between the singlet and non-singlet contributions. The latter are given by those diagrams for which the Higgs boson and the photons couple to the same top-quark loop.

The three-loop QCD corrections of the non-singlet diagrams including power corrections up to $\mathcal{O}((m_H^2/(4m_t^2))^2)$ were determined in Ref. [24]. This result was complemented in Ref. [25], where additional power corrections of higher order in $m_H^2/(4m_t^2)$ as well as the complete singlet contribution has been computed. The symbol m_H is here the mass of the Higgs boson and m_t is the mass of the top quark.

The four-loop QCD corrections induced by a heavy quark were derived in the heavy-quark mass limit by using the known results for the β -function and mass anomalous dimensions in Ref. [26]. In the following we will first compute the QCD corrections to the decoupling function of the QED coupling constant up to four-loop order. This extends the result of Ref. [26] by one order in perturbation theory. As an application we will use this result in order to derive independently the four-loop QCD corrections induced by a heavy quark to the decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in the heavy top-quark mass limit by applying the LET, instead of using the anomalous dimensions as in Ref. [26]. In the next step we will in turn exploit the renormalization group equation (RGE) in order to reconstruct the logarithmic part of the vacuum polarization function at five-loop order, which is again sufficient in order to derive the corresponding contributions to the decay amplitude $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ to order α_s^4 ; here α_s is the strong coupling constant.

This paper is structured as follows: In the next Sect. 2 we discuss the generalities and notations which are needed for

^a e-mail: Christian.Sturm@physik.uni-wuerzburg.de

this work. In Sect. 3 we describe the calculation and present our results. Finally we close with a short summary in Sect. 4. In the appendix we provide supplementary information.

2 Generalities and notation

The partial decay width for the Higgs-boson decay into two photons is at leading order given by

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{m_H^3}{64\pi} \left| A_W(\tau_W) + \sum_f A_f(\tau_f) \right|^2, \quad (1)$$

where $A_W(\tau_W)$ is the contribution which arises from purely bosonic diagrams and $A_f(\tau_f)$ is the fermionic contribution to the amplitude, respectively. The symbol τ_i denotes the mass ratio $m_H^2/(4m_i^2)$ ($i = W, f$), where m_W is the mass of the W -boson and m_f is the mass of a heavy fermion. Within this work we focus only on the term $A_f(\tau_f)$, since we will consider QCD at higher order in perturbation theory. In particular the fermionic contribution of the amplitude is dominated by the contribution which originates from the top quark, $A_t(\tau_t)$ ($f = t$), since the top quark is the heaviest fermion of the SM. In the limit of a heavy top-quark mass, $m_t \rightarrow \infty$, the leading order result for the amplitude reads $\hat{A}_t = N_c \frac{2Q_t^2\alpha}{3\pi v}$, with $v = 2^{-1/4}G_F^{-1/2}$. The symbol N_c is here the number of colors of $SU(N_c)$, Q_t is the electric charge factor of the top quark, α is the fine-structure constant, and G_F is the Fermi-coupling constant. By integrating out the heavy top-quark one can construct a heavy top-quark effective Lagrangian which describes the interactions of the Higgs field H with the photon field and the n_l light-quark flavors, which are considered as massless,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{H^0}{v^0} F'^{0,\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu} C_{1\gamma}^0. \quad (2)$$

The symbol v^0 is the vacuum expectation value and $F'^{0,\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor. The subscript 0 denotes here and in the following a bare quantity and the prime implies quantities in the effective theory with n_l light active quark flavors. The coefficient function $C_{1\gamma}^0 = -\frac{1}{2}m_t^0 \frac{\partial \ln(\zeta_{g\gamma}^0)}{\partial m_t^0}$ depends on the decoupling function $\zeta_{g\gamma}^0$, which relates, after renormalization, the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized fine-structure constant in the effective and full theory with $n_f = n_l + 1$ active quark flavors: $\bar{\alpha}'(\mu) = \zeta_{g\gamma}^2 \bar{\alpha}^{(n_f)}(\mu)$. The decoupling function $\zeta_{g\gamma}^0$ can be determined by the computation of the hard part of the photon vacuum polarization function $\Pi^{0h}(q^2, m_t^0)$ at zero momentum, $q^2 = 0$, e.g. by considering only those diagrams which involve the heavy top quark,

$$\zeta_{g\gamma}^{0,2} = \frac{1}{1 + \Pi^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0)}. \quad (3)$$

Figure 1 shows some example Feynman diagrams which contribute to the calculation of $\Pi^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0)$.

In the following we decompose the hard part of the vacuum polarization function into three contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0) &= \Pi_t^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \Pi_{q_i}^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \Pi_{tq_i}^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The first term $\Pi_t^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0)$ is the part which is proportional to the charge factor Q_t^2 and arises from the diagrams in which the external photons couple only to top quarks. In contrast, the second term $\sum_{i=1}^{n_l} \Pi_{q_i}^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0)$ arises starting from three-loop order in QCD from those contributions for which the external photons couple to light quarks with the global charge factor $Q_{q_i}^2$. The last term arises from singlet diagrams which are characterized by the fact that one photon couples to a light-quark loop and the other photon to a massive top-quark loop. This last term is proportional to the charge factors $Q_t Q_{q_i}$ and appears for the first time at four-loop order.

For the decay process $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ we will in the following consider only those gauge-invariant contributions for which the photons couple directly to a top-quark loop. Making Eq. (2) explicit one obtains for the effective Higgs-photon-photon coupling the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{H\gamma\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{0,\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^0 m_t^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial m_t^0} \Pi_t^{0h}(0, m_t^0) \frac{H^0}{v^0}. \quad (5)$$

As a result of this one can determine from the hard part of the photon vacuum polarization function the leading top-quark contribution of the bare amplitude $A_t^{0,\infty} = -\frac{m_t^0}{v^0} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_t^0} \Pi_t^{0h}(0, m_t^0)$. Considering QCD corrections the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized vacuum polarization function obeys the RGE

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\beta(a_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial a_s} + \gamma_m \bar{m}_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{m}_t} + \mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} \right] \\ &\times \bar{\Pi}_t^{0h}(q^2 = 0, \bar{m}_t, a_s, \mu) = 4\pi\alpha Q_t^2 \gamma_{VV}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with $a_s = \alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)/\pi$, where $\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu)$ and $\bar{m}_t \equiv \bar{m}_t(\mu)$ are the strong coupling constant and the top-quark mass renormalized in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. Both depend on the renormalization scale μ . Equation (6) can be used in order to check the scale-dependent part of $\bar{\Pi}_t^{0h}(q^2 = 0, \bar{m}_t, a_s, \mu)$. The anomalous dimension γ_{VV} has been computed in Ref. [27] up to five-loop order. The mass anomalous dimension $\gamma_m = \frac{\partial \ln \bar{m}_t}{\partial \ln \mu^2}$ as well as the QCD β -function $\beta = \frac{\partial a_s}{\partial \ln \mu^2}$ are known up to four-loop order in Refs. [28–31]. Indeed β , γ_m and γ_{VV} are known at least one order higher in perturbation theory than needed in order to check the μ -dependent part of the vacuum

Fig. 1 For each color structure which appears at four-loop order in the vacuum polarization function $\Pi^{0h}(q^2 = 0, m_t^0)$ one example Feynman graph is shown. The *twisted lines* denote gluons, the *solid lines* are heavy top quarks, and the *dashed lines* represent massless quarks. The number of inserted heavy and light fermion loops as well as the charge factors are given in the subscripts. The color factors are defined in Sect. 3

polarization function up to four-loop order. This allows in turn to predict the μ -dependent part of $\bar{\Pi}_t^{0h}(q^2 = 0, \bar{m}_t)$ at five-loop order from Eq. (6). The μ -independent part, however, remains unknown, but it is also not needed for the computation of the contributions of the Higgs-boson partial decay width into two photons which are considered in this work.

In the next section we will start with the computation of the complete decoupling function $\zeta_{g\gamma}^2$ up to four-loop order. The latter is then used in order to determine the contributions to the amplitude A_t^∞ of the Higgs-boson decay into two photons. A detailed description of the renormalization procedure of $\zeta_{g\gamma}^2$ can be found in Ref. [26]. The bare and renormalized decoupling function are related by the field renormalization constants Z_{ph} of the photon field A^μ in the effective and full theory,

$$\zeta_{ph} \equiv \zeta_{g\gamma}^2 = \frac{Z'_{ph}}{Z_{ph}} \zeta_{g\gamma}^{02}, \quad (7)$$

where we have introduced the shorthand $\zeta_{ph} \equiv \zeta_{g\gamma}^2$. The renormalization constant Z_{ph} in QCD can be found in Refs. [32,33] up to four-loop order. We define the perturbative expansion of the decoupling function ζ_{ph} by

$$\zeta_{ph} = 1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}^{(n_f)}(\mu)}{4\pi} d_R \sum_{k=0} a_s^k \zeta_{ph}^{(k)}(\bar{m}_t, \mu), \quad (8)$$

with the dimension of the quark representation of the color group d_R . For the $SU(3)$ color group we have $d_R = 3$. The expansion coefficients up to order a_s^2 are known from the results of Refs. [26,34] and are given in Appendix A. At four-loop order ($k = 3$) the contribution proportional to Q_t^2 can be obtained partially from the results of Refs. [35,36] for $SU(3)$ explicitly. In the next section we will generalize them for an arbitrary value of N_c and augment them also by the still unknown contributions proportional to $Q_{q_i}^2$ and $Q_{q_i} Q_t$.

3 Calculation and results

The non-singlet, four-loop contribution in perturbative QCD to the photon vacuum polarization function at $q^2 = 0$ was first computed in Refs. [35,36] for the $SU(3)$ color group. In this work we will add in addition the afore mentioned terms $\overline{\Pi}_{q_i}^h(q^2=0, \overline{m}_t)$ as well as the singlet contributions $\Pi_{tq_i}^{0h}(q^2=0, m_t^0)$ which are given by the diagrams in which the two photons couple to two different fermion loops. They are distinguished from the other diagrams by the multiplicative color factor of the symmetric structure constant, $d^{abc} = \text{Tr}[\{T^a, T^b\}T^c]/T_F$ with $a, b, c = 1, \dots, 8$. The symbol T_F denotes the normalization of the trace of the $SU(3)$ generators $T^a = \lambda^a/2$ in the fundamental representation, which is conventionally chosen as $1/2$. The symbols λ^a are the Gell-Mann matrices. In order to reconstruct the general $SU(N_c)$ color structure of all diagrams which contribute to the four-loop QCD corrections we generate them in the first step with the program QGRAF [37]. The arising four-loop integrals are then evaluated at $q^2 = 0$ and mapped to the proper notation which is needed for the subsequent reduction process with the programs q2e and exp [38,39]. This reduction to master integrals is performed with a FORM [40–42] based program which employs the traditional integration-by-parts method [43] in combination with Laporta's algorithm [44,45]. We use FERMAT [46] for the simplification of the rational functions in the space-time dimension d which arise as coefficient functions of the loop integrals. The remaining dimensionally regulated master integrals are known to sufficient high order in the ε -expansion [47,48] with $\varepsilon = (4-d)/2$. Analytic results for specific master integrals or specific orders in the ε -expansion have also been obtained in Refs. [35,49–55].

The resulting renormalized decoupling function $\zeta_{ph}^{(3)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu)$ can be decomposed into several gauge-invariant contributions. In a first step we separate the three terms which are distinguished by different combinations of the charge factors Q_{q_i} and Q_t depending on whether the external photons couple to a massless quark or a massive top quark,

$$\zeta_{ph}^{(3)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) = Q_t^2 \zeta_{ph,t}^{(3)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} Q_{q_i}^2 \zeta_{ph,q}^{(3)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) + \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} Q_t Q_{q_i} \zeta_{ph,tq}^{(3)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu). \quad (9)$$

The non-singlet diagrams can be again subdivided according to the number of inserted closed fermion loops. The symbol n_l labels in the following the number of massless quarks in an inserted closed fermion loop, whereas $n_h = 1$ labels the insertion of a massive fermion loop into the vacuum polarization function. The renormalization of the terms proportional to Q_t^2 and $Q_t Q_{q_i}$ in Eq. (9) is straightforward. The results read

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{ph,t}^{(3)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) = & C_F^3 \left[\frac{37441}{8640} + \frac{1024}{15} a_5 + \frac{7676}{45} a_4 \right. \\ & - \frac{3429}{40} \zeta_5 + \frac{7549}{80} \zeta_3 - \frac{58001}{32400} \pi^4 - \frac{128}{225} l_2^5 + \frac{1919}{270} l_2^4 \\ & \left. + \frac{128}{135} l_2^3 \pi^2 - \frac{1919}{270} l_2^2 \pi^2 + \frac{424}{675} l_2 \pi^4 + \frac{157}{32} \ell_\mu \right] \\ & - C_F^2 C_A \left[\frac{707191}{103680} + \frac{1696}{15} a_5 + \frac{4891}{30} a_4 - \frac{11807}{80} \zeta_5 \right. \\ & + \frac{868901}{8640} \zeta_3 - \frac{153599}{86400} \pi^4 - \frac{212}{225} l_2^5 + \frac{4891}{720} l_2^4 \\ & + \frac{212}{135} l_2^3 \pi^2 - \frac{4891}{720} l_2^2 \pi^2 + \frac{1517}{1350} l_2 \pi^4 + \frac{55}{32} \ell_\mu^2 \\ & \left. + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{2303}{288} - \frac{407}{96} \zeta_3 \right) \right] \\ & + C_F C_A^2 \left[\frac{1163113}{373248} + \frac{592}{15} a_5 + \frac{6997}{180} a_4 + \frac{5837}{384} \zeta_3 \right. \\ & - \frac{182893}{518400} \pi^4 - \frac{23209}{480} \zeta_5 - \frac{74}{225} l_2^5 + \frac{6997}{4320} l_2^4 \\ & + \frac{74}{135} l_2^3 \pi^2 - \frac{6997}{4320} l_2^2 \pi^2 + \frac{1093}{2700} l_2 \pi^4 + \frac{121}{432} \ell_\mu^3 + \frac{461}{432} \ell_\mu^2 \\ & \left. + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{4415}{648} - \frac{935}{192} \zeta_3 \right) \right] + n_h C_F T_F \left[C_F \right. \\ & \times \left(\frac{2261597}{259200} + \frac{3496}{45} a_4 + \frac{123149}{2700} \zeta_3 - \frac{29737}{32400} \pi^4 + \frac{437}{135} l_2^4 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{437}{135} l_2^2 \pi^2 + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{2} + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{41}{144} - \frac{13}{24} \zeta_3 \right) \right) \\ & + C_A \left(\frac{20108987}{16329600} + \frac{22}{45} a_4 - \frac{5}{6} \zeta_5 + \frac{79649}{75600} \zeta_3 - \frac{\pi^4}{8100} \right. \\ & + \frac{11}{540} l_2^4 - \frac{11}{540} l_2^2 \pi^2 - \frac{11}{54} \ell_\mu^3 - \frac{79}{216} \ell_\mu^2 \\ & \left. - \ell_\mu \left(\frac{1189}{1296} + \frac{\zeta_3}{32} \right) \right) \left. \right] - n_l C_F T_F \\ & \times \left[C_F \left(\frac{16507}{10368} + \frac{50}{9} a_4 + \frac{1093}{432} \zeta_3 - \frac{919}{12960} \pi^4 + \frac{25}{108} l_2^4 \right. \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{25}{108} l_2^2 \pi^2 - \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{2} - \ell_\mu \left(\frac{41}{144} - \frac{13}{24} \zeta_3 \right) \right) \\ & - C_A \left(\frac{137657}{93312} + \frac{25}{9} a_4 + \frac{3343}{864} \zeta_3 - \frac{353}{5184} \pi^4 \right. \\ & + \frac{25}{216} l_2^4 - \frac{25}{216} l_2^2 \pi^2 - \frac{11}{54} \ell_\mu^3 - \frac{79}{216} \ell_\mu^2 - \ell_\mu \\ & \left. \times \left(\frac{817}{324} - \frac{37}{48} \zeta_3 \right) \right) \left. \right] - n_h^2 C_F T_F^2 \left[\frac{610843}{816480} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{661}{945} \zeta_3 - \frac{\ell_\mu^3}{27} + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{27} + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{113}{324} - \frac{7}{24} \zeta_3 \right) \right] \\ & - n_h n_l C_F T_F^2 \left[\frac{7043}{11664} - \frac{2}{3} a_4 - \frac{127}{108} \zeta_3 + \frac{49}{4320} \pi^4 \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{l^4}{36} + \frac{\pi^2}{36}l^2 - \frac{2}{27}\ell_\mu^3 + \frac{2}{27}\ell_\mu^2 + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{37}{324} - \frac{7}{24}\zeta_3 \right) \Bigg] \\
& -n_l^2 C_F T_F^2 \left[\frac{17897}{23328} - \frac{31}{54}\zeta_3 - \frac{\ell_\mu^3}{27} + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{27} - \frac{19}{81}\ell_\mu \right] \\
& -\frac{d^{abc}d^{abc}}{d_R} \left[\frac{2411}{20160} - \frac{73}{24}a_4 - \frac{5}{48}\zeta_5 - \frac{6779}{4480}\zeta_3 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{2189}{69120}\pi^4 - \frac{73}{576}l^4 + \frac{73}{576}l^2\pi^2 + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{11}{144} - \frac{\zeta_3}{6} \right) \right], \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{ph,tq}^{(3)}(\bar{m}_t, \mu) = & -\frac{d^{abc}d^{abc}}{d_R} \left[\frac{103}{864} - \frac{\pi^4}{720} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{131}{288}\zeta_3 - \frac{5}{24}\zeta_5 + \left(\frac{11}{72} - \frac{\zeta_3}{3} \right) \ell_\mu \right], \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where the symbol $a_n = \text{Li}_n(1/2)$ is given by the polylogarithm function $\text{Li}_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k/k^n$ and the Riemann zeta-function is $\zeta_n = \text{Li}_n(1)$. The color factors $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/(2N_c)$ and $C_A = N_c$ denote the Casimir operators of the $SU(N_c)$ group in the fundamental and adjoint representation. For $N_c = 3$ the explicit values read $C_F = 4/3$, $C_A = 3$ and $d^{abc}d^{abc} = 40/3$. The symbols ℓ_μ and l_2 are given by the natural logarithm $\ell_\mu = \ln(\mu^2/\bar{m}_t^2)$ and $l_2 = \ln(2)$.

The renormalization of the contribution which is proportional to $Q_{q_i}^2$ in Eq. (9) requires in addition the QCD decoupling function of the strong coupling constant including higher orders in the ε -expansion. The latter can be extracted from the calculation of the QCD decoupling function of Refs. [56,57]; or, alternatively, they can be derived by combining the results of Refs. [58–60]. The result for $\zeta_{ph,q}^{(3)}(\bar{m}_t, \mu)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{ph,q}^{(3)}(\bar{m}_t, \mu) = & n_h C_F T_F \left[C_F \left(\frac{14075}{10368} - \frac{16}{3}a_4 \right. \right. \\
& - \frac{2}{9}l^4 + \frac{2}{9}l^2\pi^2 + \frac{89}{2160}\pi^4 - \frac{431}{216}\zeta_3 \\
& + \left(\frac{23}{432} - \frac{11}{9}\zeta_3 \right) \ell_\mu + \frac{5}{12}\ell_\mu^2 \Bigg) - C_A \left(\frac{39959}{93312} \right. \\
& - \frac{8}{3}a_4 - \frac{l^4}{9} + \frac{\pi^2}{9}l^2 + \frac{47}{2160}\pi^4 - \frac{13}{36}\zeta_3 \\
& + \left(\frac{403}{972} - \frac{11}{9}\zeta_3 \right) \ell_\mu + \frac{17}{72}\ell_\mu^2 + \frac{11}{108}\ell_\mu^3 \Bigg) \Bigg] \\
& + n_h^2 C_F T_F^2 \left[\frac{5423}{23328} - \frac{25}{54}\zeta_3 + \frac{31}{81}\ell_\mu - \frac{11}{108}\ell_\mu^2 + \frac{2}{27}\ell_\mu^3 \right] \\
& - n_h n_l C_F T_F^2 \left[\frac{6625}{11664} - \frac{11}{27}\zeta_3 - \frac{449}{972}\ell_\mu - \frac{\ell_\mu^3}{27} \right]. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

We used the RGE in Eq. (6) to check the μ -dependent part of the calculation. Equations (10)–(12) extend the result of

Ref. [26] for the decoupling function ζ_{ph} by one order in perturbation theory.

In the next step we exploit Eqs. (2) and (5), respectively, in order to derive in the heavy top-quark mass limit the four-loop QCD corrections for the fermionic amplitude A_t^∞ of the decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. The perturbative expansion of the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized amplitude A_t^∞ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_t^\infty = & \hat{A}_t \left[1 + a_s A^{(1)} + a_s^2 A^{(2)} + a_s^3 A^{(3)} + a_s^4 A^{(4)} \right. \\
& \left. + \mathcal{O}(a_s^5) \right]. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

The expansion coefficients $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are given for completeness in Appendix B. As described already in the introductory text, at one-, two-, and three-loop order the results are also known with the top-quark mass dependence. At four- and five-loops we restrict ourselves to those contributions of $A^{(3)}$ and $A^{(4)}$ for which the *photons* couple to a *massive top-quark* loop and which can be related to the vacuum polarization function $\bar{\Pi}_t^h(q^2 = 0, \bar{m}_t)$. These contributions will be labeled in the following by $A^{(3)}|_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}$ and $A^{(4)}|_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}$.

Starting from three-loop order in QCD there arise diagrams, where the Higgs boson and the two photons do not couple to the same fermion loop. In order to separate these singlet from the non-singlet contributions, e.g. those diagrams where all three external particles couple to the same fermion loop, we perform a second calculation. For this purpose we act with the derivative with respect to the top-quark mass on the amplitude already at the level of the diagram generation, before the integration is performed and we introduce the label $si = 1$, which serves only as a separator in order to distinguish these singlet contributions from the remaining amplitude. For each appearing color structure which contributes to the amplitude $A^{(3)}|_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}$ of Eq. (13) we show one example diagram for the whole diagram class in Fig. 2.

The corresponding four-loop contribution reads

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{(3)}|_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}} = & -C_F^3 \frac{471}{128} + C_F^2 C_A \left(\frac{2303}{384} - \frac{407}{128}\zeta_3 \right. \\
& + \frac{165}{64}\ell_\mu \Bigg) - C_F C_A^2 \left(\frac{4415}{864} - \frac{935}{256}\zeta_3 + \frac{121}{192}\ell_\mu^2 \right. \\
& + \frac{461}{288}\ell_\mu \Bigg) - n_h C_F T_F \left[C_F \left(\frac{15589}{1600} + \frac{376}{5}a_4 + \frac{8681}{200}\zeta_3 \right. \right. \\
& - \frac{202}{225}\pi^4 + \frac{47}{15}l^4 - \frac{47}{15}l^2\pi^2 + \frac{3}{4}\ell_\mu \Bigg) \\
& + C_A \left(\frac{2109593}{1209600} + \frac{617}{80}a_4 - \frac{5}{8}\zeta_5 + \frac{166463}{44800}\zeta_3 \right. \\
& - \frac{19421}{230400}\pi^4 + \frac{617}{1920}l^4 - \frac{617}{1920}l^2\pi^2 - \frac{11}{24}\ell_\mu^2 \\
& - \frac{59}{288}\ell_\mu \Bigg) \Bigg] - n_l C_F T_F \left[C_F \left(\frac{41}{192} - \frac{13}{32}\zeta_3 + \frac{3}{4}\ell_\mu \right) \right.
\end{aligned}$$

Fig. 2 Example diagrams which illustrate the different kind of diagram classes which contribute to Eq. (14). Solid lines represent top quarks, dotted lines denote massless quarks, wavy lines are photons,

twisted lines represent gluons and the dashed line is the Higgs boson. The structure of the color and the flavor insertions of each diagram class is given below each example Feynman graph

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -C_A \left(\frac{817}{432} - \frac{37}{64} \zeta_3 + \frac{11}{24} \ell_\mu^2 + \frac{79}{144} \ell_\mu \right) \\
 & + n_h^2 C_F T_F^2 \left(\frac{767}{756} - \frac{55}{56} \zeta_3 - \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{12} + \frac{13}{72} \ell_\mu \right) \\
 & + n_h n_l C_F T_F^2 \left(\frac{1021}{1728} - \frac{91}{128} \zeta_3 - \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{6} + \frac{17}{72} \ell_\mu \right) \\
 & - n_l^2 C_F T_F^2 \left(\frac{19}{108} + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{12} - \frac{\ell_\mu}{18} \right) + \text{si } n_h C_F T_F \\
 & \times \left[C_F \left(\frac{22871}{2400} + \frac{376}{5} a_4 + \frac{35049}{800} \zeta_3 - \frac{202}{225} \pi^4 \right. \right. \\
 & + \frac{47}{15} l_2^4 - \frac{47}{15} l_2^2 \pi^2 \Big) + C_A \left(\frac{108959}{44800} + \frac{617}{80} a_4 - \frac{5}{8} \zeta_5 \right. \\
 & + \frac{167513}{44800} \zeta_3 - \frac{19421}{230400} \pi^4 + \frac{617}{1920} l_2^4 - \frac{617}{1920} l_2^2 \pi^2 \\
 & + \frac{11}{32} \ell_\mu \Big) - n_h T_F \left(\frac{253}{336} - \frac{171}{224} \zeta_3 + \frac{\ell_\mu}{8} \right) \\
 & \left. - n_l T_F \left(\frac{97}{192} - \frac{63}{128} \zeta_3 + \frac{\ell_\mu}{8} \right) \right] \\
 & + \frac{d^{abc} d^{abc}}{d_R} \left(\frac{11}{192} - \frac{\zeta_3}{8} \right) \\
 & + n_l \left(\frac{4693}{1296} - \frac{125}{144} \zeta_3 + \frac{31}{36} \ell_\mu^2 + \frac{101}{216} \ell_\mu \right) \\
 & - n_l^2 \left(\frac{19}{324} + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{36} - \frac{\ell_\mu}{54} \right) + \left[\frac{55}{216} - \frac{5}{9} \zeta_3 \right]. \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

The result for $N_c = 3$ of Eq. (15) agrees with the one of Eq. (55) in Ref. [26] which, in contrast, has been obtained with the help of the mass anomalous dimension and β -functions. The last two terms in the square brackets of Eq. (15) arise from the diagrams with the color structure $d^{abc} d^{abc}$ in Eq. (14). They originate from the last diagram class shown in Fig. 2. This separation allows for a straightforward comparison with the results of Ref. [26].

At three-loop order mass corrections have been computed in Refs. [24, 25]. Since the mass of the Higgs boson is now known to be $m_H \approx 126$ GeV the mass corrections are suppressed by factors of $\tau_t = m_H^2 / (4\bar{m}_t^2) \approx 0.14$ for $\bar{m}_t(m_H) = 166.79$ GeV. The latter value was derived from the top-quark mass of 173.07 GeV of Ref. [61]. For the RGE running to different energy scales we use here and in the following the program RunDec [62]. The size of the mass corrections of Refs. [24, 25] to the amplitude A_t^∞ due to higher powers in τ_t depends in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme on the value of the renormalization scale μ . If they are evaluated numerically for $\mu = m_H$ they amount about 4%, -8% and -11% of the leading expansion coefficient at one-loop, two-loop and three-loop order. The size of the mass corrections for the Higgs-boson mass of $m_H \approx 126$ GeV can also be seen at

Fig. 3 Example diagrams where at least one photon couples to a massless fermion. *Solid lines* denote again a heavy top quark, *dotted lines* represent massless quarks, *wavy lines* are photons, *twisted lines* repre-

sent gluons and the *dashed line* is the Higgs boson. The structure of the color and the flavor insertions of each diagram class is given again below each example Feynman graph

three-loop order in Fig. 2 of Ref. [24] as well as in Fig. 3 of Ref. [25]. In this work we focus on the leading expansion coefficient.

For a Higgs-boson mass of $m_H \approx 126$ GeV the bosonic one-loop amplitude A_W is real and the QCD corrections to the fermionic amplitude A_t develop an imaginary part starting from three-loop order. These imaginary parts have been determined at three-loop order in Ref. [25]. At four-loop order the contributions to the amplitude $A^{(3)}$ which originate from the diagrams shown in Fig. 3 and those labeled with *si* in the last line of Fig. 2 can in general develop an imaginary part due to a massless cut. We have checked by using the MATAD and MINCER [63–65] routines that they do not appear in Eq. (14) for the diagram classes in the last line of Fig. 2. One can expect that they are also suppressed by higher powers of the mass correction $m_H^2/(4\bar{m}_t^2)$ like at three-loop order.

We have also used the logarithmic parts of Eqs. (11) and (12) in order to derive the contributions which are proportional to the charge factor Q_{q_i} of the light quarks and find agreement with the result of Ref. [26]. The determination of the real and imaginary part of the diagrams of Fig. 3 is beyond the scope of this work. In particular the imaginary part of the QCD four-loop amplitude contributes to the partial decay width only beginning at order α_s^5 , so that we do not consider them here.

Finally at five-loop order we determine first the scale-dependent part of the photon vacuum polarization function in the limit of a heavy top-quark mass with the help of the RGE of Eq. (6). From this result we derive the five-loop contribution $A^{(4)}|_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}$ of Eq. (13) to the amplitude of the Higgs-boson decay into two photons which arises from those diagrams where both photons couple to a massive top-quark loop. The result is quite lengthy, so that we present it here explicitly for the $SU(3)$ color group and with the labels $n_h = \text{si} = 1$. It reads

$$A^{(4)}|_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}} = -\frac{3460281373}{16329600} - \frac{5084}{27}a_5 - \frac{1597771}{3240}a_4 + \frac{3313}{144}\zeta_5 + \frac{1163954353}{2419200}\zeta_3 - \frac{3699137}{9331200}\pi^4 + \frac{1271}{810}l_2^5$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1597771}{77760}l_2^4 - \frac{1271}{486}l_2^3\pi^2 + \frac{1597771}{77760}l_2^2\pi^2 - \frac{39959}{19440}l_2\pi^4 \\ & - \frac{29791}{1728}\ell_\mu^3 - \frac{17701}{864}\ell_\mu^2 - \ell_\mu \left(\frac{362899}{1296} - \frac{237925}{1152}\zeta_3 \right) \\ & - n_l^3 \left[\frac{487}{5832} - \frac{\zeta_3}{18} - \frac{\ell_\mu^3}{216} + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{216} - \frac{19}{648}\ell_\mu \right] \\ & + n_l^2 \left[\frac{31643}{20736} + \frac{17}{54}a_4 + \frac{3319}{3456}\zeta_3 - \frac{4097}{155520}\pi^4 + \frac{17}{1296}l_2^4 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{17}{1296}l_2^3\pi^2 - \frac{31}{144}\ell_\mu^3 - \frac{35}{288}\ell_\mu^2 - \ell_\mu \left(\frac{7817}{3456} - \frac{125}{288}\zeta_3 \right) \right] \\ & + n_l \left[\frac{6837097}{403200} + \frac{328}{27}a_5 + \frac{10909}{405}a_4 - \frac{1619}{216}\zeta_5 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{35509969}{604800}\zeta_3 + \frac{126527}{291600}\pi^4 - \frac{41}{405}l_2^5 + \frac{10909}{9720}l_2^4 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{41}{243}\pi^2 l_2^3 - \frac{10909}{9720}l_2^2\pi^2 + \frac{1289}{9720}l_2\pi^4 + \frac{961}{288}\ell_\mu^3 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{829}{192}\ell_\mu^2 + \ell_\mu \left(\frac{166877}{3456} - \frac{1925}{96}\zeta_3 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In order to study the size of the different contributions we perform a numerical evaluation of the amplitude and derive the partial decay width. We start with the QCD corrections to the fermionic amplitude $\hat{A}_t$. As input parameters we use for the top-quark mass $\bar{m}_t(m_H) = 166.79$ GeV and for the Higgs-boson mass $m_H = 125.9$ GeV [61]. We obtain for $\mu = m_H$ and the $SU(3)$ color group

$$\begin{aligned} A_t^\infty &= \hat{A}_t \left[1 - \tilde{a}_s - \tilde{a}_s^2(0.307 + (0.908 - 1.440i)_{\text{si}}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \tilde{a}_s^3(6.456 + (0.746 + \tilde{c}_3)_{\text{si}}) - \tilde{a}_s^4(50.808 + \tilde{c}_4) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{a}_s^5) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with $\tilde{a}_s = \alpha_s(\mu = m_H)/\pi$. The index *si* indicates that the contributions come from singlet diagrams. The symbols $\tilde{c}_3$ and $\tilde{c}_4$ stand for the yet unknown contributions at four- and five-loop order which arise from diagrams where at least one external photon couples to massless fermions. For the scale $\mu = \bar{m}_t(\bar{m}_t)$ we obtain similarly

$$A_t^\infty = \hat{A}_t \left[1 - \check{a}_s - \check{a}_s^2 (1.292 + (0.889 - 1.440i)_{\text{si}}) + \check{a}_s^3 (5.937 + (0.992 + \check{c}_3)_{\text{si}}) - \check{a}_s^4 (23.220 + \check{c}_4) + \mathcal{O}(\check{a}_s^5) \right], \quad (18)$$

with $\check{a}_s = \alpha_s(\mu = \overline{m}_t(\overline{m}_t))/\pi$. At three-loop order the complete singlet contributions are known and sizable [25]. Depending on the choice of the renormalization scale they can become approximately as big as the non-singlet ones, like in Eq. (18), or up to a factor 3 larger, like in Eq. (17) [25]. One can expect that the same holds at four- and five-loop order. In the following we will use the new contributions in order to study the reduction of the scale dependence. For that purpose we determine the decay width $\Gamma_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}^{\text{large } m_t}(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$, which contains only the leading contributions in the heavy top-quark mass limit for the diagrams, where the photons always couple to top quarks only. The dependence of $\Gamma_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}^{\text{large } m_t}(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ on the renormalization scale μ up to five-loop order is shown in Fig. 4. Going from one-loop to five-loop order the scale dependence continuously decreases.

The mass corrections and singlet contributions, which are not contained in Fig. 4, are known up to three-loop order. In the next step we include them too. The mass corrections decrease the decay width. For the numerical evaluation we use the input parameters for the fine-structure constant $\alpha = 1/137.03599074$ [61] and the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1185$ [61], which we evolve to the Higgs-boson mass scale $\mu = m_H$. For the partial decay width including the QCD corrections we obtain for $m_H = 125.9$ GeV [61]

Fig. 4 The dash-dotted, dotted, dashed, and solid lines represent the inclusion of the two- (NLO), three- (N^2 LO), four- (N^3 LO) and five-loop (N^4 LO) contribution in QCD to the quantity $\Gamma_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}^{\text{large } m_t}(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$. The scale has been varied between 50 and 350 GeV which covers the complete mass scale range $m_H/2 < \mu < 2m_t$. The vertical line shows the location where the scale μ is equal to the mass of the Higgs boson m_H and the horizontal line gives the value of $\Gamma_{\gamma tt\text{-approx}}^{\text{large } m_t}(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ for $\mu = m_H$ at N^4 LO accuracy

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 9.370_{1\text{-loop}} + 0.168_{2\text{-loop}} + 0.008_{3\text{-loop}} - 0.002_{4\text{-loop}, \gamma tt\text{-approx}} + 0.0004_{5\text{-loop}, \gamma tt\text{-approx}} \text{ keV},$$

where each term corresponds to the next order in the perturbative expansion. The four- and five-loop orders contain only the contributions where the photons couple to a top quark in the heavy top-quark mass limit which is considered in this work. Their size can change once the complete result becomes available. Its calculation will involve additional master integrals, so that its determination is beyond the scope of the present work.

We convert the top-quark mass to the on-shell scheme [66–71] and include also the two-loop electroweak corrections of Refs. [12, 13]. For the Fermi-coupling constant we use $G_F = 1.1663787 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ [61] and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) &= [9.384_{1\text{-loop}} + (0.159_{\text{QCD}} \\ &\quad - 0.150_{\text{EW}})_{2\text{-loop}} + 0.004_{\text{QCD}, 3\text{-loop}} \\ &\quad - 0.001_{\text{QCD}, 4\text{-loop}, \gamma tt\text{-approx}} \\ &\quad + 0.0006_{\text{QCD}, 5\text{-loop}, \gamma tt\text{-approx}}] \text{ keV} \\ &= 9.396 \text{ keV}. \end{aligned}$$

The different terms correspond to the one-loop, two-loop, and three-loop contribution, where we have subdivided the two-loop term again into QCD and electroweak (EW) corrections. The partial decay width changes by about ~ 0.13 keV when the Higgs-boson mass is varied within its current uncertainty of ± 0.4 GeV.

4 Summary and conclusion

The decoupling function $\zeta_{g\gamma}^2$ relates the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized fine-structure constant in the full theory with $n_f = n_l + 1$ active quark flavors to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized fine-structure constant in an effective theory with n_l light active quark flavors. We have computed the complete decoupling function $\zeta_{g\gamma}^2$ at four-loop order in perturbative QCD for a general $SU(N_c)$ color group as a new result. The decoupling function enters into the description of an effective Higgs-photon-photon coupling in the heavy top-quark mass limit. As an application of the calculation we have used the effective theory in order to determine the four-loop QCD corrections in the heavy top-quark mass limit to the decay amplitude $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ for those contributions for which the photons couple directly to the top quark. The calculation of the amplitude agrees with a known, independent result in literature. In addition we also extended this calculation to five-loop order by using the anomalous dimensions in combination with the renormalization group equation of the vacuum polarization function. Finally we study the reduction of the scale dependence and perform a numerical evaluation of the partial decay

width. Its dominant uncertainty arises from the error in the Higgs-boson mass.

Acknowledgments C.S. would like to thank Johann Kühn and Matthias Steinhauser for valuable discussions and comments on the manuscript. The Feynman diagrams were drawn with the help of Axodraw [72] and Jaxodraw [73].

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.
Funded by SCOAP³ / License Version CC BY 4.0.

Appendix A: The decoupling function up to three-loop order

The results for the decoupling function $\zeta_{ph}^{(k)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu)$ of Eq. (8) up to three-loop order are known from Ref. [26] and read

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{ph}^{(0)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) &= -Q_t^2 \frac{4}{3} \ell_\mu, & \zeta_{ph}^{(1)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) \\ &= -Q_t^2 C_F \left(\frac{13}{12} - \ell_\mu \right),\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{ph}^{(2)}(\overline{m}_t, \mu) &= Q_t^2 \left[C_F^2 \left(-\frac{97}{72} + \frac{95}{48} \zeta_3 - \frac{9}{8} \ell_\mu \right) \right. \\ &\quad + C_A C_F \left(\frac{5021}{2592} - \frac{223}{96} \zeta_3 + \frac{7}{9} \ell_\mu + \frac{11}{24} \ell_\mu^2 \right) \\ &\quad - n_l C_F T_F \left(\frac{361}{648} - \frac{\ell_\mu}{9} + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{6} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + n_h C_F T_F \left(\frac{103}{324} - \frac{7}{16} \zeta_3 + \frac{\ell_\mu}{9} - \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{6} \right) \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} Q_{q_i}^2 n_h C_F T_F \left(\frac{295}{648} - \frac{11}{36} \ell_\mu + \frac{\ell_\mu^2}{6} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

The symbols which appear in Eqs. (19) and (20) are defined in Sect. 3.

Appendix B: Fermionic amplitude in the heavy top-quark mass limit at two- and three-loop order

For completeness we give here the result for the fermionic amplitude at two- and three-loop order in the heavy top-quark mass limit

$$\begin{aligned}A^{(1)} &= -\frac{3}{4} C_F, \\ A^{(2)} &= \frac{27}{32} C_F^2 - C_F \left[C_A \left(\frac{7}{12} + \frac{11}{16} \ell_\mu \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n_h T_F \left(\frac{13}{48} - \frac{\ell_\mu}{4} \right) + n_l T_F \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{\ell_\mu}{4} \right) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}&+ \text{si } C_F T_F \left[n_h \frac{3}{16} + Q_t^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_l} Q_{q_i}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\zeta_3 - \frac{13}{8} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left(-\frac{m_h^2}{\overline{m}_t^2} \right) \right) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

where we have taken the last terms, which are proportional to $Q_{q_i}^2$, from Ref. [25]. The symbols which arise in Eqs. (21) and (22) are again defined in Sect. 3.

References

1. CMS Collaboration Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B **716** 30–61 (2012). [arXiv:1207.7235](#) [hep-ex]
2. ATLAS Collaboration Collaboration, G. Aad et al., Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Phys. Lett. B **716** 1–29 (2012). [arXiv:1207.7214](#) [hep-ex]
3. J.R. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard, D.V. Nanopoulos, A phenomenological profile of the Higgs Boson. Nucl. Phys. B **106**, 292 (1976)
4. M.A. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, M. Voloshin, V.I. Zakharov, Low-energy theorems for Higgs Boson couplings to photons. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **30**, 711–716 (1979)
5. A. Vainshtein, V.I. Zakharov, M.A. Shifman, Higgs particles. Sov. Phys. Usp. **23**, 429–449 (1980)
6. T. Inami, T. Kubota, Y. Okada, Effective gauge theory and the effect of heavy quarks in Higgs Boson decays. Z. Phys. C **18**, 69 (1983)
7. B.A. Kniehl, M. Spira, Low-energy theorems in Higgs physics. Z. Phys. C **69**, 77–88 (1995). [arXiv:hep-ph/9505225](#) [hep-ph]
8. J. Körner, K. Melnikov, O.I. Yakovlev, Two loop $\mathcal{O}(G_F M_H^2)$ radiative corrections to the Higgs decay width $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ for large Higgs boson masses. Phys. Rev. D **53**, 3737–3745 (1996). [arXiv:hep-ph/9508334](#) [hep-ph]
9. U. Aglietti, R. Bonciani, G. Degrassi, A. Vicini, Two loop light fermion contribution to Higgs production and decays. Phys. Lett. B **595**, 432–441 (2004). [arXiv:hep-ph/0404071](#) [hep-ph]
10. F. Fugle, B.A. Kniehl, M. Steinhauser, Two loop electroweak correction of $\mathcal{O}(G_F M_t^2)$ to the Higgs-boson decay into photons. Nucl. Phys. B **702**, 333–345 (2004). [arXiv:hep-ph/0405232](#) [hep-ph]
11. G. Degrassi, F. Maltoni, Two-loop electroweak corrections to the Higgs-boson decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Nucl. Phys. B **724**, 183–196 (2005). [arXiv:hep-ph/0504137](#) [hep-ph]
12. G. Passarino, C. Sturm, S. Uccirati, Complete two-loop corrections to $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Phys. Lett. B **655**, 298–306 (2007). [arXiv:0707.1401](#) [hep-ph]
13. S. Actis, G. Passarino, C. Sturm, S. Uccirati, NNLO Computational Techniques: The Cases $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow gg$. Nucl. Phys. B **811**, 182–273 (2009). [arXiv:0809.3667](#) [hep-ph]
14. H.-Q. Zheng, D.-D. Wu, First order QCD corrections to the decay of the Higgs boson into two photons. Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3760–3763 (1990)
15. S. Dawson, R. Kauffman, QCD corrections to $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1264–1267 (1993)
16. A. Djouadi, M. Spira, J. van der Bij, P. Zerwas, QCD corrections to gamma gamma decays of Higgs particles in the intermediate mass range. Phys. Lett. B **257**, 187–190 (1991)
17. K. Melnikov, O.I. Yakovlev, Higgs $\rightarrow$ two photon decay: QCD radiative correction. Phys. Lett. B **312**, 179–183 (1993). [arXiv:hep-ph/9302281](#) [hep-ph]

18. A. Djouadi, M. Spira, P. Zerwas, Two photon decay widths of Higgs particles. *Phys. Lett. B* **311**, 255–260 (1993). [arXiv:hep-ph/9305335](#) [hep-ph]
19. M. Inoue, R. Najima, T. Oka, J. Saito, QCD corrections to two photon decay of the Higgs boson and its reverse process. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **9**, 1189–1194 (1994)
20. M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz, P. Zerwas, Higgs boson production at the LHC. *Nucl. Phys. B* **453**, 17–82 (1995). [arXiv:hep-ph/9504378](#) [hep-ph]
21. J. Fleischer, O. Tarasov, V. Tarasov, Analytical result for the two loop QCD correction to the decay $H \rightarrow 2\gamma$. *Phys. Lett. B* **584**, 294–297 (2004). [arXiv:hep-ph/0401090](#) [hep-ph]
22. R. Harlander, P. Kant, Higgs production and decay: analytic results at next-to-leading order QCD. *JHEP* **0512**, 015 (2005). [arXiv:hep-ph/0509189](#) [hep-ph]
23. U. Aglietti, R. Bonciani, G. Degrossi, A. Vicini, Analytic results for virtual QCD corrections to Higgs production and decay. *JHEP* **0701**, 021 (2007). [arXiv:hep-ph/0611266](#) [hep-ph]
24. M. Steinhauser, Corrections of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ to the decay of an intermediate mass Higgs boson into two photons, [arXiv:hep-ph/9612395](#) [hep-ph]
25. P. Maierhöfer, P. Marquard, Complete three-loop QCD corrections to the decay $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. *Phys. Lett. B* **721**, 131–135 (2013). [arXiv:1212.6233](#) [hep-ph]
26. K. Chetyrkin, B.A. Kniehl, M. Steinhauser, Decoupling relations to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ and their connection to low-energy theorems. *Nucl. Phys. B* **510**, 61–87 (1998). [arXiv:hep-ph/9708255](#) [hep-ph]
27. P. Baikov, K. Chetyrkin, J. Kühn, J. Rittinger, Vector correlator in massless QCD at order $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$ and the QED β -function at five loop. *JHEP* **1207**, 017 (2012). [arXiv:1206.1284](#) [hep-ph]
28. K. Chetyrkin, Quark mass anomalous dimension to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$. *Phys. Lett. B* **404**, 161–165 (1997). [arXiv:hep-ph/9703278](#) [hep-ph]
29. J. Vermaseren, S. Larin, T. van Ritbergen, The four loop quark mass anomalous dimension and the invariant quark mass. *Phys. Lett. B* **405**, 327–333 (1997). [arXiv:hep-ph/9703284](#) [hep-ph]
30. T. van Ritbergen, J. Vermaseren, S. Larin, The Four loop beta function in quantum chromodynamics. *Phys. Lett. B* **400**, 379–384 (1997). [arXiv:hep-ph/9701390](#) [hep-ph]
31. M. Czakon, The Four-loop QCD beta-function and anomalous dimensions. *Nucl. Phys. B* **710**, 485–498 (2005). [arXiv:hep-ph/0411261](#) [hep-ph]
32. S. Gorishnii, A. Kataev, S. Larin, The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ corrections to $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$ and $\Gamma(\tau^- \rightarrow \nu_\tau + \text{hadrons})$ in QCD. *Phys. Lett. B* **259**, 144–150 (1991)
33. K. Chetyrkin, Corrections of order α_s^3 to $R(\text{had})$ in pQCD with light gluinos. *Phys. Lett. B* **391**, 402–412 (1997). [arXiv:hep-ph/9608480](#) [hep-ph]
34. K. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, M. Steinhauser, Three loop polarization function and $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ corrections to the production of heavy quarks. *Nucl. Phys. B* **482**, 213–240 (1996). [arXiv:hep-ph/9606230](#) [hep-ph]
35. K. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, P. Mastrolia, C. Sturm, Heavy-quark vacuum polarization: first two moments of the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3 n_f^2)$ contribution. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **40**, 361–366 (2005). [arXiv:hep-ph/0412055](#) [hep-ph]
36. K. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, C. Sturm, Four-loop moments of the heavy quark vacuum polarization function in perturbative QCD. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **48**, 107–110 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0604234](#) [hep-ph]
37. P. Nogueira, Automatic Feynman graph generation. *J. Comput. Phys.* **105**, 279–289 (1993)
38. T. Seidensticker, Automatic application of successive asymptotic expansions of Feynman diagrams, [arXiv:hep-ph/9905298](#) [hep-ph]
39. R. Harlander, T. Seidensticker, M. Steinhauser, Complete corrections of Order $\alpha\alpha_s$ to the decay of the Z boson into bottom quarks. *Phys. Lett. B* **426**, 125–132 (1998). [arXiv:hep-ph/9712228](#) [hep-ph]
40. J. Vermaseren, New features of FORM, [arXiv:math-ph/0010025](#) [math-ph]
41. J. Vermaseren, Tuning FORM with large calculations. *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **116**, 343–347 (2003). [arXiv:hep-ph/0211297](#) [hep-ph]
42. M. Tentyukov, J. Vermaseren, Extension of the functionality of the symbolic program FORM by external software. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **176**, 385–405 (2007). [arXiv:cs/0604052](#) [cs-sc]
43. K. Chetyrkin, F. Tkachov, Integration by parts: the algorithm to calculate beta functions in 4 Loops. *Nucl. Phys. B* **192**, 159–204 (1981)
44. S. Laporta, E. Remiddi, The analytical value of the electron ($g-2$) at order α^3 in QED. *Phys. Lett. B* **379**, 283–291 (1996). [arXiv:hep-ph/9602417](#) [hep-ph]
45. S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **15**, 5087–5159 (2000). [arXiv:hep-ph/0102033](#) [hep-ph]
46. R. H. Lewis, Fermat User Guide, <http://home.bway.net/lewis/>
47. Y. Schröder, A. Vuorinen, High-precision epsilon expansions of single-mass-scale four-loop vacuum bubbles. *JHEP* **0506**, 051 (2005). [arXiv:hep-ph/0503209](#) [hep-ph]
48. K. Chetyrkin, M. Faisst, C. Sturm, M. Tentyukov, Epsilon-finite basis of master integrals for the integration-by-parts method. *Nucl. Phys. B* **742**, 208–229 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0601165](#) [hep-ph]
49. D.J. Broadhurst, Three loop on-shell charge renormalization without integration: Lambda-MS (QED) to four loops. *Z. Phys. C* **54**, 599–606 (1992)
50. Y. Schröder, M. Steinhauser, Four-loop singlet contribution to the rho parameter. *Phys. Lett. B* **622**, 124–130 (2005). [arXiv:hep-ph/0504055](#) [hep-ph]
51. D.J. Broadhurst, On the enumeration of irreducible k fold Euler sums and their roles in knot theory and field theory, [arXiv:hep-th/9604128](#) [hep-th]
52. S. Laporta, High precision epsilon expansions of massive four loop vacuum bubbles. *Phys. Lett. B* **549**, 115–122 (2002). [arXiv:hep-ph/0210336](#) [hep-ph]
53. B.A. Kniehl, A.V. Kotikov, Calculating four-loop tadpoles with one non-zero mass. *Phys. Lett. B* **638**, 531–537 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0508238](#) [hep-ph]
54. B.A. Kniehl, A.V. Kotikov, Heavy-quark QCD vacuum polarisation function: Analytical results at four loops. *Phys. Lett. B* **642**, 68–71 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0607201](#) [hep-ph]
55. B. Kniehl, A. Kotikov, A. Onishchenko, O. Veretin, Strong-coupling constant with flavor thresholds at five loops in the anti-MS scheme. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 042001 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0607202](#) [hep-ph]
56. K. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, C. Sturm, QCD decoupling at four loops. *Nucl. Phys. B* **744**, 121–135 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0512060](#) [hep-ph]
57. Y. Schröder, M. Steinhauser, Four-loop decoupling relations for the strong coupling. *JHEP* **0601**, 051 (2006). [arXiv:hep-ph/0512058](#) [hep-ph]
58. A. Grozin, P. Marquard, J. Piclum, M. Steinhauser, Three-loop chromomagnetic interaction in HQET. *Nucl. Phys. B* **789**, 277–293 (2008). [arXiv:0707.1388](#) [hep-ph]
59. A. Grozin, M. Hörschele, J. Hoff, M. Steinhauser, Simultaneous decoupling of bottom and charm quarks, *PoS LL2012* 032 (2012). [arXiv:1205.6001](#) [hep-ph]
60. P. Marquard, L. Mihaila, J. Piclum, M. Steinhauser, Relation between the pole and the minimally subtracted mass in dimensional regularization and dimensional reduction to three-loop order. *Nucl. Phys. B* **773**, 1–18 (2007). [arXiv:hep-ph/0702185](#) [hep-ph]
61. Particle Data Group Collaboration, J. Beringer et al., Review of particle physics (RPP). *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 010001 (2012)

62. K. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, M. Steinhauser, RunDec: A mathematica package for running and decoupling of the strong coupling and quark masses. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **133**, 43–65 (2000). [arXiv:hep-ph/0004189](#) [hep-ph]
63. M. Steinhauser, MATAD: A Program package for the computation of MAssive TADpoles. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **134**, 335–364 (2001). [arXiv:hep-ph/0009029](#) [hep-ph]
64. S. Gorishnii, S. Larin, L. Surguladze, F. Tkachov, Mincer: program for multiloop calculations in quantum field theory for the schoonschip system. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **55**, 381–408 (1989)
65. S. Larin, F. Tkachov, J. Vermaseren, The FORM version of MINCER, NIKHEF-H-91-18
66. R. Tarrach, The pole mass in perturbative QCD. *Nucl. Phys. B* **183**, 384 (1981)
67. N. Gray, D.J. Broadhurst, W. Grafe, K. Schilcher, Three loop relation of quark (modified) $\overline{\text{MS}}$ and pole masses, *Z. Phys. C* **48** 673–680 (1990)
68. K. Chetyrkin, M. Steinhauser, Short distance mass of a heavy quark at order α_s^3 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 4001–4004 (1999). [arXiv:hep-ph/9907509](#) [hep-ph]
69. K. Chetyrkin, M. Steinhauser, The relation between the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ and the on-shell quark mass at order α_s^3 . *Nucl. Phys. B* **573**, 617–651 (2000). [arXiv:hep-ph/9911434](#) [hep-ph]
70. K. Melnikov, T. van Ritbergen, The three loop on-shell renormalization of QCD and QED. *Nucl. Phys. B* **591**, 515–546 (2000). [arXiv:hep-ph/0005131](#) [hep-ph]
71. K. Melnikov, T.v. Ritbergen, The three loop relation between the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ and the pole quark masses, *Phys. Lett. B* **482** 99–108 (2000). [hep-ph/9912391](#) [hep-ph]
72. J. Vermaseren, Axodraw. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **83**, 45–58 (1994)
73. D. Binosi, L. Theussl, JaxoDraw: A graphical user interface for drawing Feynman diagrams. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **161**, 76–86 (2004). [arXiv:hep-ph/0309015](#) [hep-ph]