



Topical issue on an experimental program with positron beams at Jefferson Lab

Nicolas Alamanos⁵, Marco Battaglieri^{2,4}, Douglas Higinbotham², Silvia Niccolai¹, Axel Schmidt³, Eric Voutier^{1,a}

¹ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France

² Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

³ INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy

⁴ The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, USA

⁵ Université Paris-Saclay, IRFU, CEA, 9191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Received: 6 January 2022 / Accepted: 13 January 2022 / Published online: 14 March 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Società Italiana di Fisica and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Communicated by Nicolas Alamanos

The interest in high energy and high duty cycle polarized and unpolarized positron beams, in complement to the existing CEBAF (Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility) electron beams, has been nurtured since the very first energy upgrade of the accelerator up to 6 GeV. Along the years, experimental results about the electromagnetic form factors and the generalized parton distributions of the nucleon pointed towards the importance of positron beams for the experimental determination of these fundamental quantities of the nucleon structure. Further ideas emerged about testing the predictions of the standard model and exploring the dark matter sector. A long term and comprehensive research effort was then started both in the physics and the technics areas to assess the potential of an experimental program and to address the eventual technological issues of high duty cycle positron beams. This path was marked out by successive reference events as the JPos09 international workshop, the first of its kind, dedicated to the positron case at the Jefferson Lab (JLab) [1]. This led to the development and realization of the PEPPo (Polarized Electrons for Polarized Positrons) experiment [2] which, measuring polarizations as high as 82%, demonstrated a new scheme for the production of polarized positron beams [3], particularly suited - but not only - to high duty cycle beams. This success was rapidly followed by the JPos17 international workshop which reviewed extensively the physics with positron beams from the smallest energies of atomic physics and material science research up to CEBAF and EIC (Electron Ion Collider) energies [4]. This was readily opening the perspective of a long term nuclear physics experimental program with positron beams at JLab.

The JLab Positron Working Group (PWG) was subsequently created with the aim of supporting the development of the JLab positron physics and technics cases. It gathers today more than 250 nuclear physics and accelerator physics scientists from 75 research institutions worldwide. The physics potential of a positron beam experimental program at JLab was first presented to the Program Advisory Committee (PAC46) in the form of a letter of intent [5]. Two years later, the JLab PWG released the Positron White Paper [6] at the same time that two proposals were presented at the PAC48 [7,8], followed by a third one the year after at the PAC49 [9]. Concomitantly, the *Polarized Electrons, Positrons and Polarimetry (P3E)* R&D activity of the STRONG-2020 [10] European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program was awarded, supporting research in essential pillars of high-intensity polarized positron sources. The success of positron proposals at PAC48 was further conformed by a JLab Laboratory Directed Research & Development award about the design of a positron source for CEBAF, which recently evolved into a dedicated R&D program. As today, positron beams and physics have been recognized as part of the future opportunities for nuclear physics science at JLab [11].

The present Topical Issue is an extended and elaborated version of the Positron White Paper. It presents with extensive details the experimental program accessible to polarized and unpolarized positron beams at JLab. Using currently existing and/or future detector equipment, specific experiments and physics channels are thoroughly discussed and evaluated in terms of their physics impact. It encompasses the

^a e-mail: voutier@ijclab.in2p3.fr (corresponding author)

determination of several of the physics quantities that characterize nucleons and nuclei structure: the electromagnetic form factors, the generalized polarizabilities, the parton distributions, and the generalized parton distributions. It also addresses some of the hottest questions of the field as the charge radius of the proton and the occurrence of beyond the standard model physics. The latter is particularly expressed in the search of low mass dark matter particles, the measurement of weak neutral-current couplings, and the investigation of charged lepton flavor violation. Altogether, this accounts for 20 single contributions organized according to the *Table of contents* presented hereafter. While this program represents already several years of actual beam running, it should not be understood as an exhaustive list but more surely as the minimal impact of future CEBAF positron beams on the nuclear physics science.

Table of contents

- i) An experimental program with high duty-cycle polarized and unpolarized positron beams at Jefferson Lab (Accardi et al. [12])
- ii) Elastic positron-proton scattering at low Q^2 (Hague et al. [13])
- iii) Determination of two-photon exchange via e^+p/e^-p scattering with CLAS12 (Bernauer et al. [14])
- iv) Direct TPE measurement via e^+p/e^-p scattering at low ϵ in Hall A (Cline et al. [15])
- v) A measurement of two-photon exchange in Super-Rosenbluth separations with positron beams (Arrington and Yurov [16])
- vi) Two photon exchange with nuclei from e^+/e^- elastic cross section ratios (Kutz and Schmidt [17])
- vii) Polarization transfer in $e^+p \rightarrow e^+p$ scattering using the Super BigBite Spectrometer (Puckett et al. [18])
- viii) Target-normal single spin asymmetries measured with positrons (Grauogel et al. [19])
- ix) Radiative corrections to the lepton current in unpolarized elastic lp -interaction for fixed Q^2 and scattering angle (Afanasev and Ilyichev [20])
- x) Virtual Compton scattering at low energies with a positron beam (Pasquini and Vanderhaeghen [21])
- xi) Deeply virtual Compton scattering using a positron beam in Hall-C at Jefferson Lab (Afanasev et al. [22])
- xii) Beam charge asymmetries for deeply virtual Compton scattering off the proton (Burkert et al. [23])
- xiii) Deeply virtual Compton scattering on the neutron with positron beam (Niccolai et al. [24])
- xiv) Deeply virtual Compton scattering off helium nuclei with positron beams (Fucini et al. [25])
- xv) Double deeply virtual Compton scattering with positron beams at SoLID (Zhao et al. [26])
- xvi) Impact of a positron beam at JLab on an unbiased determination of DVCS Compton form factors (Dutrieux et al. [27])
- xvii) Deep-inelastic scattering with positron beams (Melnychouk and Owens [28])
- xviii) Light dark matter searches with positrons (Battaglieri et al. [29])
- xix) Accessing weak neutral-current coupling g_{AA}^{eq} using positron and electron beams at Jefferson Lab (Zheng et al. [30])
- xx) Probing charged lepton flavor violation with a positron beam at CEBAF (JLab) (Furletova and Mantry [31])

Acknowledgements This Topical Issue is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under contract DE-AC05-06OR23177 and DE-FG02-03ER41231, and the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique. It is also part of the *Polarized Electrons, Positrons, and Polarimetry (P3E)* project that received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under agreement STRONG - 2020 - No 824093.

Data availability statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Author's comment: This is an editorial and all referenced data have already been published.]

References

1. L. Elouadrhiri, J. Grimes, W. Melnychouk, T.A. Forest, E. Voutier (eds.). *Positrons at Jefferson Lab. Proceedings, International Workshop, JPos09, Newport News, USA, March 25-27, 2009, AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 1160 (2009). <https://aip.scitation.org/toc/apc/1160/1>
2. J. Grimes, E. Voutier, et al., Jefferson Lab Experiment **E12-11-105** (2012). https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/11/PR12-11-105.pdf
3. (PEPPo Collaboration) D. Abott, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 214801 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.214801>
4. J. Grimes, E. Voutier (eds.). *Physics with positrons at Jefferson Lab. Proceedings, International Workshop, JPos17, Newport News, USA, September 12-15, 2017, AIP Conf. Proc.*, vol. 1170 (2018). <https://aip.scitation.org/toc/apc/1170/1>
5. (JLab Positron Working Group) J. Grimes, E. Voutier, et al., Jefferson Lab Letter of Intent **LOI12-18-004** (2018). https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/18/LOI12-18-004.pdf

6. (JLab Positron Working Group) A. Accardi, et al., Jefferson Lab Report **JLAB-PHY-20-3232** (2020). https://misportal.jlab.org/ul/publications/view_pub.cfm?pub_id=16342
7. V.D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F.X. Girod, S. Niccolai, E. Voutier, et al., Jefferson Lab Proposal **PR12-20-009** (2020). https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/20/PR12-20-009_Proposal.pdf
8. J. Grames, M. Mazouz, C. Muñoz Camacho, et al., Jefferson Lab Proposal **PR12-20-012** (2020). https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/20/PR12-20-012_Proposal.pdf
9. X. Zheng, et al., Jefferson Lab Proposal **PR12-21-006** (2021). https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/21/PR12-21-006_Proposal.pdf
10. STRONG-2020. The strong interaction at the frontier of knowledge: fundamental research and applications (2020). <http://www.strong-2020.eu/>
11. J. Arrington, et al., [arXiv:2112.00060](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.00060) (2021). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.00060>
12. A. Accardi, A. Afanasev, I. Albayrak, S.F. Ali, M. Amarian, J.R.M. Annand, J.R. Arrington, A. Asaturyan, H. Atac, H. Avakian, T. Averett, C. Ayerbe Gayoso, X. Bai, L. Barion, M. Battaglieri, V. Bellini, R. Beminiwattha, F. Benmokhtar, V.V. Berdnikov, J.C. Bernauer, V. Bertone, A. Bianco, A. Biselli, P. Bisio, P. Blunden, M. Boer, M. Bondi, K.T. Brinkmann, W.J. Briscoe, V.D. Burkert, T. Cao, A. Camsonne, R. Capobianco, L. Cardman, M. Carmignotto, M. Caudron, L. Causse, A. Celentano, P. Chatagnon, J.P. Chen, T. Chetry, G. Ciullo, E. Cline, P.L. Cole, M. Contalbrigo, G. Costantini, A. D'Angelo, L. Darmé, D. Day, M. Defurne, M. De Napoli, A. Deur, R. De Vita, N. D'Hose, S. Diehl, M. Diefenthaler, B. Dongwi, R. Dupré, H. Dutrieux, D. Dutta, M. Ehrhart, L. El Fassi, L. Elouadrhiri, R. Ent, J. Erler, I.P. Fernando, A. Filippi, D. Flay, T.A. Forest, E. Fuchey, S. Fucini, Y. Furlotova, H. Gao, D. Gaskell, A. Gasparian, T. Gautam, F.X. Girod, K. Gnanvo, J. Grames, G.N. Grauvogel, P. Gueye, M. Guidal, S. Habet, T.J. Hague, D.J. Hamilton, O. Hansen, D. Hasell, M. Hattawy, D.W. Higinbotham, A. Hobart, T. Horn, C.E. Hyde, H. Ibrahim, A. Ilyichev, A. Italiano, K. Joo, S.J. Joosten, V. Khachatryan, N. Kalantarians, G. Kalicy, B. Karky, D. Keller, C. Keppel, M. Kerver, M. Khandaker, A. Kim, J. Kim, P.M. King, E. Kinney, V. Klimenko, H.S. Ko, M. Kohl, V. Kozuharov, B.T. Kriesten, G. Krnjaic, V. Kubarovskiy, T. Kutz, L. Lanza, M. Leali, P. Lenisa, N. Liyanage, Q. Liu, S. Liuti, J. Mammei, S. Mantry, D. Marchand, P. Markowitz, L. Marsicano, V. Mascagna, M. Mazouz, M. McCaughan, B. McKinnon, D. McNulty, W. Melnitchouk, A. Metz, Z.E. Meziani, S. Migliorati, M. Mihovilović, R. Milner, A. Mkrtchyan, H. Mkrtchyan, A. Movsisyan, H. Moutarde, M. Muhoza, C. Muñoz Camacho, J. Murphy, P. Nadel-Turonski, E. Nardi, J. Nazeer, S. Niccolai, G. Niculescu, R. Novotny, J.F. Owens, M. Paolone, L. Pappalardo, R. Paremuzyan, B. Pasquini, E. Pasyuk, T. Patel, I. Pegg, C. Peng, D. Perera, M. Poelker, K. Price, A.J.R. Puckett, M. Raggi, N. Randazzo, M.N.H. Rashad, M. Rathnayake, B. Raue, P.E. Reimer, M. Rinaldi, A. Rizzo, Y. Roblin, J. Roche, O. Rondon-Aramayo, F. Sabatié, G. Salmè, E. Santopinto, R. Santos Estrada, B. Sawatzky, A. Schmidt, P. Schweitzer, S. Scopetta, V. Sergeeva, M. Shabestari, A. Shahinyan, Y. Sharabian, S. Širca, E.S. Smith, D. Sokhan, A. Somov, N. Sparveris, M. Spata, H. Spiesberger, M. Spreafico, S. Stepanyan, P. Stoler, I. Strakovsky, R. Suleiman, M. Suresh, P. Sznajder, H. Szumila-Vance, V. Tadevosyan, A.S. Tadepalli, A.W. Thomas, M. Tiefenback, R. Trotta, M. Ungaro, P. Valente, M. Vanderhaeghen, L. Venturelli, H. Voskanyan, E. Voutier, B. Wojtsekhowski, M.H. Wood, S. Wood, J. Xie, W. Xiong, Z. Ye, M. Yurov, H.G. Zaunick, S. Zhamkochyan, J. Zhang, S. Zhang, S. Zhao, Z.W. Zhao, X. Zheng, J. Zhou, C. Zorn, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(8), 261 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00564-y>
13. T.J. Hague, D. Dutta, D.W. Higinbotham, X. Bai, H. Gao, A. Gasparian, K. Gnanvo, V. Khachatryan, M. Khandaker, N. Liyanage, E. Pasyuk, C. Peng, W. Xiong, J. Zhou, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(6), 199 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00508-6>
14. J.C. Bernauer, V.D. Burkert, E. Cline, A. Schmidt, Y. Sharabian, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(4), 144 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00462-3>
15. E. Cline, J.C. Bernauer, A. Schmidt, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(10), 290 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00597-3>
16. J.R. Arrington, M. Yurov, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(11), 319 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00633-2>
17. T. Kutz, A. Schmidt, Eur. Phys. J. A **58**, 36 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-022-00682-1>
18. A.J.R. Puckett, J.C. Bernauer, A. Schmidt, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(6), 188 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00509-5>
19. G.N. Grauvogel, T. Kutz, A. Schmidt, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(6), 213 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00531-7>
20. A. Afanasev, A. Ilyichev, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(9), 280 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00582-w>
21. B. Pasquini, M. Vanderhaeghen, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(11), 316 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00630-5>
22. A. Afanasev, I. Albayrak, S.F. Ali, M. Amarian, J.R.M. Annand, A. Asaturyan, V. Bellini, V.V. Berdnikov, M. Boer, K. Brinkmann, W.J. Briscoe, A. Camsonne, M. Caudron, L. Causse, M. Carmignotto, D. Day, M. Defurne, S. Diehl, R. Ent, P. Chatagnon, R. Dupré, D. Dutta, M. Ehrhart, M.A.I. Fernando, T.A. Forest, M. Guidal, J. Grames, P. Gueye, S. Habet, D.J. Hamilton, A. Hobart, T. Horn, C.E. Hyde, G. Kalicy, D. Keller, C. Keppel, M. Kerver, E. Kinney, H.S. Ko, D. Marchand, P. Markowitz, M. Mazouz, M. McCaughan, B. McKinnon, A. Mkrtchyan, H. Mkrtchyan, M. Muhoza, C. Muñoz Camacho, J. Murphy, P. Nadel-Turonski, S. Niccolai, G. Niculescu, R. Novotny, R. Paremuzyan, I. Pegg, K. Price, H. Rashad, J. Roche, R. Rondon, B. Sawatzky, V. Sergeeva, S. Širca, A. Somov, I. Strakovsky, V. Tadevosyan, R. Trotta, H. Voskanyan, E. Voutier, B. Wojtsekhowski, S. Wood, S. Zhamkochyan, J. Zhang, S. Zhao, C. Zorn, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(10), 300 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00581-x>
23. V.D. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, F.X. Girod, S. Niccolai, E. Voutier, A. Afanasev, L. Barion, M. Battaglieri, J.C. Bernauer, A. Bianco, R. Capobianco, M. Caudron, L. Causse, P. Chatagnon, T. Chetry, G. Ciullo, P.L. Cole, M. Contalbrigo, G. Costantini, M. Defurne, A. Deur, S. Diehl, R. Dupré, M. Ehrhart, I.P. Fernando, A. Filippi, T.A. Forest, J. Grames, P. Gueye, S. Habet, D.W. Higinbotham, A. Hobart, C.E. Hyde, K. Joo, A. Kim, V. Klimenko, H.S. Ko, V. Kubarovskiy, M. Leali, P. Lenisa, D. Marchand, V. Mascagna, M. McCaughan, B. McKinnon, A. Movsisyan, C. Muñoz Camacho, L. Pappalardo, E. Pasyuk, M. Poelker, K. Price, B. Raue, M. Shabestari, R. Santos, V. Sergeeva, I. Strakovsky, P. Stoler, L. Venturelli, S. Zhao, Z.W. Zhao, The CLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(6), 186 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00474-z>
24. S. Niccolai, P. Chatagnon, M. Hoballah, D. Marchand, C. Muñoz Camacho, E. Voutier, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(7), 226 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00541-5>
25. S. Fucini, M. Hattawy, M. Rinaldi, S. Scopetta, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(9), 273 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00580-y>
26. S. Zhao, A. Camsonne, D. Marchand, M. Mazouz, N. Sparveris, S. Stepanyan, E. Voutier, Z.W. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(7), 240 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00551-3>
27. H. Dutrieux, V. Bertone, H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, Eur. Phys. J. A **57**(8), 250 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00560-2>

28. W. Melnitchouk, J.F. Owens, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**(11), 311 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00622-5>
29. M. Battaglieri, A. Bianconi, P. Bisio, M. Bondi, A. Celentano, G. Costantini, P.L. Cole, L. Darmé, R. De Vita, A. D'Angelo, M. De Napoli, L. El Fassi, V. Kozuharov, A. Italiano, G. Krnjaic, L. Lanza, M. Leali, L. Marsicano, V. Mascagna, S. Migliorati, E. Nardi, M. Raggi, N. Randazzo, E. Santopinto, E. Smith, M. Spreafico, S. Stepanyan, M. Ungaro, P. Valente, L. Venturelli, M.H. Wood, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**(8), 253 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00524-6>
30. X. Zheng, J. Erler, Q. Liu, H. Spiesberger, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**(5), 173 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00490-z>
31. Y. Furletova, S. Mantry, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **57**(11), 315 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00624-3>