

ERRATA

Erratum to: An Alternative Procedure for Selective Leaching of Uranium from Carbonate-Rich Black Shale, Um-Bogma Formation, El-Allouga, Southwestern

A. R. Bakry^{a,*}

^a Nuclear Materials Authority, El Maadi, Cairo, 11742 Egypt

*e-mail: bakrynma@yahoo.com

Received March 5, 2021; revised March 5, 2021; accepted March 5, 2021

DOI: 10.1134/S1066362221020168

Table 6 in page 91 should be replaced by the following:

Table 6. Effect of pH on the efficiency of selective leaching of uranium from BS

pH	Leaching efficiency, %			
	U	V	Cu	CaO
0.5	15.70	15.70	4.8	5.4
0.8	14.60	14.60	4.3	4.8
1	14.20	14.20	4.1	3.7
1.3	13.40	13.40	3.4	3.1
1.8	12.30	12.30	3.2	2.7
2.2	12.90	12.90	2.7	2.3
2.5	12.30	12.30	2.5	2
2.9	11.90	11.90	2.3	1.7
3.1	14.60	14.60	1.9	1.3
3.6	15.50	15.50	1.7	1.00
4	16.40	16.40	1.2	0.60
4.5	16.80	16.80	0.5	0.50
5	17.60	17.60	0.2	0.10
5.7	18.90	18.90	0.00	0.00
6	15.00	15.00	1.80	0.20
7	13.10	13.10	3.90	0.20
8.3	11.30	11.30	5.90	0.20

The following references of Reference section should be replaced by:

2. Riegel, M., Tokmachev, M., and Hoell, W.H., *J. React. Funct. Polym.*, 2008, vol. 68, pp. 1072–1080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reactfunctpolym.2008.02.009>
3. Singh, D.K., Hareendran, K.N., Sreenivas, T., Kain, V, and Dey, G.K., *J. Hydrol.*, 2017, vol. 171, pp. 228–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2017.05.021>
6. Hamza, M.F., El-Aassy, I.E., and Guibalb, E., *J. Min. Eng.*, 2019, vol. 133, pp. 138–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2019.01.008>
7. Yantasee, W., Fryxell, G.E., Pattamakomsan, K., Sangvanich, T., Wiacek, R.J., Busche, B., Addleman, R.S., Timchalk, C., Ngamcherdtrakul, W., and Siriwon, N., *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2019, vol. 366, pp. 677–683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.12.043>
12. He, Y., Wang, X., Nie, X., Zou, H. and Pan, N., *J. Radioanal. and Nucl. Chem.*, 2018, 317, pp.1005–1012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-018-5956-4>
14. Lu, B.Q., *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2018, vol. 343, pp. 255–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.09.037>
33. Kantar, C., and Honeyman, B.D., *J. Environ. Eng.*, 2006, vol. 132, no. 2, pp. 247–255. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9372\(2006\)132:2\(247\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2006)132:2(247))

Table 11 in page 91 should be replaced by the following:

Table 11. Separation of citric acid and calcium citrate using isopropyl alcohol (IPA)

Original liquor		Concentrated liquor (2×)		Highly concentrated liquor (6×)		Very highly concentrated liquor (8×)	
water/alcohol ratio	salted-out Ca citrate, %	water/alcohol ratio	salted-out Ca citrate, %	water/alcohol ratio	salted-out Ca citrate, %	water/alcohol ratio	salted-out Ca citrate, %
1/1	12.00	1/1	29.00	1/1	55.00	1/1	79.6
1/2	29.00	1/2	45.70	1/2	72.3	1/2	93.2
1/3	33.30	1/3	79.00	1/3	91.8	1/3	98.5
1/4	50.10	1/4	93.40	1/4	98.4	–	–
1/5	67.10	1/5	98.00	–	–	–	–
1/6	92.10	–	–	–	–	–	–
1/7	97.90	–	–	–	–	–	–

