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A joint system of equations based on the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$ superalgebra has been determined and its relation to the integrable Calodgero-Moser system of particles has been established.
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## INTRODUCTION

Joint systems of differential equations naturally appear in various fields of physics and mathematics. The monodromy of joint systems is specific because it is independent of small deformations of a contour along which it is calculated, and the monodromy matrix itself is very interesting. Aharonov and Bohm [1] proposed an important example of the phase increment of an electron in zero magnetic field beyond a cylindrical region (inaccessible to the electron) but with a nontrivial vector potential.

In modern theoretical/mathematical physics, joint systems of equations occur in the two-dimensional conformal field theory; in particular, correlation functions of vertex operators in the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten model obey the system of KnizhnikZamolodchikov equations [2]. Matsuo [3] and Cherednik [4] demonstrate that the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations are closely related to the Calodg-ero-Moser system. It is also known that the Knizh-nik-Zamolodchikov equations are closely related to the Casimir connection discovered by De Concini, Millson, and Toledano Loredo (see [5] and references therein). The Casimir connection commutes with the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations. In this work, the Casimir connection is determined for the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$ superalgebra, and the Matsuo-Cherednik correspondence is established for this case.

## SOME PROPERTIES

OF THE $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$ SUPERALGEBRA
The main properties of the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$ superalgebra are as follows.

Let $\mathcal{J}=\{1, \ldots n+m\}$ and $p: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$

$$
\begin{cases}p(a)=0, & a \leq n \text { (bosons) }  \tag{1}\\ p(a)=1, & a>n \text { (fermions) }\end{cases}
$$

The $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$ algebra is generated by $e_{a b}$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$ with the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{a b} e_{c d}-(-1)^{p\left(e_{a b}\right) p\left(e_{c d}\right)} e_{c d} e_{a b}  \tag{2}\\
= & \delta_{b c} e_{a d}-(-1)^{p\left(e_{a b}\right) p\left(e_{c d}\right)} \delta_{d a} e_{c b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(e_{a b}\right)=p(a)+p(b) \bmod 2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor product $\otimes$ of representations of superalgebras is defined so that eigenoperators of the parity operator that act nontrivially only in the $i$ th and $j$ th tensor factors satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(i)} B^{(j)}=(-1)^{p(A) p(B)} B^{(j)} A^{(i)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the basis $e_{a}$ existing in $\mathbb{C}^{n \mid m}, e_{a b}\left(e_{c}\right)=\delta_{b c} e_{a}$ means that $e_{a b}$ are matrix units.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \mid m}$ with certain $p(x)$ and $p(y)$; then, the graded permutation is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{12}(x \otimes y)=(-1)^{p(x) p(y)} y \otimes x . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## JOINT SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations for the function $|\Psi\rangle$ with values in $V=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{C}^{n \mid m}$, where $\mathbb{C}^{n \mid m}$ is the vector representation of $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$, have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\kappa \partial_{z_{i}}-\sum_{c} \lambda_{c} e_{c c}^{(i)}-\sum_{j, \neq i} \frac{P_{i j}}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\right)|\Psi\rangle=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{i j}=\sum_{a, b}(-1)^{p(b)} e_{a b}^{(i)} e_{b a}^{(j)}$ is the graded permutation, $p(a)$ is the parity function defined in the supplementary material, and $e_{a b}^{(j)}$ is the generator of the $\mathfrak{g l}(n \mid m)$ superalgebra that acts nontrivially only in the $i$ th tensor factor $V$ as a matrix unity in a certain basis (see the supplementary material).

One of the main statements of this work is that the following system of equations is consistent and commutes with Eqs. (6):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\kappa \partial_{a}-\sum_{j} z_{j} e_{a a}^{(j)}-\sum_{b, \neq a}(-1)^{p(b)} \frac{E_{a b} E_{b a}-E_{a a}}{\lambda_{a}-\lambda_{b}}\right)  \tag{7}\\
\times|\Psi\rangle=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $E_{a b}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} e_{a b}^{(j)}$. This statement is proved in the supplementary material.

## MATSUO-CHEREDNIK CORREPONDENCE

In this section, $k=n+m$ is set in the definition of $V$.

To establish the Matsuo-Cherednik correspondence for Eqs. (7), the following convectors constructed in [6] are needed:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\Omega^{0}\right|=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+m}}\left\langle e_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{n+m}\right| P_{\sigma},  \tag{8a}\\
\left\langle\Omega^{1}\right|=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n+m}}\left\langle e_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{n+m}\right|(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)} P_{\sigma} . \tag{8b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $P_{\sigma}=P_{s_{i_{1}}} \ldots P_{s_{i_{i}}}$, where $P_{s_{i_{j}}}$ is the permutation corresponding to the transposition $s_{i_{j}}$ and $\sigma=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \ldots s_{i_{1}}$ is a certain decomposition of the permutation into the product of transpositions. Since $P_{s_{i_{j}}}$ satisfies the braid group relations, the element $P_{\sigma}$ is defined correctly.

To verify that the convectors given by Eqs. (8a) and (8b) are eigenvectors of the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Omega^{i}\right|\left(E_{a b} E_{b a}-E_{a a}\right)=(-1)^{p(b)+i}\left\langle\Omega^{i}\right|, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=0,1$, similar properties can be proved for the vectors $\left|\Omega^{i}\right\rangle$. A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(E_{a b} E_{b a}-E_{a a}\right)\left|e_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{n+m}\right\rangle  \tag{10}\\
& \quad=(-1)^{p(b)} P_{a b}\left|e_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{n+m}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

The symmetrization or antisymmetrization of the right-hand side of Eq. (10) certainly gives Eq. (9).

It is easily seen that the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and dynamical equations commute with the
operators $E_{a a}$; consequently, the following condition can be imposed on the solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a a}|\Psi\rangle=|\Psi\rangle . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, such solutions satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\kappa^{2} \sum_{a=1}^{m+n} \partial_{z_{a}}^{2}+\sum_{a \neq b} \frac{(-1)^{i} \kappa-1}{\left(z_{a}-z_{b}\right)^{2}}\right)\left\langle\Omega^{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle  \tag{12a}\\
=\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n+m} \lambda_{a}^{2}\right)\left\langle\Omega^{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle, \\
\left(\kappa^{2} \sum_{a=1}^{m+n} \partial_{\lambda_{a}}^{2}+\sum_{a \neq b} \frac{(-1)^{i} \kappa-1}{\left(\lambda_{a}-\lambda_{b}\right)^{2}}\right)\left\langle\Omega^{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle  \tag{12b}\\
\quad=\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n+m} z_{a}^{2}\right)\left\langle\Omega^{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=0,1$.
Relation (12a) was proved in [6]. To prove Eq. (12b), it is sufficient to write the following relation for the $a$-infinitesimal operator $D_{a}$ in parentheses in Eq. (7):

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\left\langle\Omega^{i}\right| \sum_{a=1}^{n} D_{a}^{2}|\Psi\rangle \\
=\left(\kappa^{2} \sum_{a=1}^{m+n} \partial_{\lambda_{a}}^{2}+\sum_{a \neq b} \frac{(-1)^{i} \kappa-1}{\left(\lambda_{a}-\lambda_{b}\right)^{2}}\right)\left\langle\Omega^{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle  \tag{13}\\
-\left(\sum_{a=1}^{n+m} z_{a}^{2}\right)\left\langle\Omega^{i} \mid \Psi\right\rangle .
\end{gather*}
$$

Details of this calculation are presented in the supplementary material.
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