Erratum: “Phototoxic Effects of Zinc Oxide Nanowires (ZnO NWs) Complexed with 5-ALA in RD Cell Line” [*Laser Physics* 21, 2165 (2011)]

M. Fakhar-e-Alam^{a,b}, S. M. U. Ali^{a,c}, Z. H. Ibupoto^a, M. Atif^{b,d}, and M. Willander^a

^a Department of Science and Technology, Campus Norrköping, Linköping University, SE-60174 Norrköping, Sweden

^b Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Nilore, Islamabad, 45650, Pakistan

^c Department of Electronic Engineering, NED University of Engineering and Technology, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

^d Research Chair for Laser Diagnosis of Cancer, Physics and Astronomy Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Received June 5, 2011; in final form, June 9, 2011

DOI: 10.1134/S1054660X12020326

1. M. Atif affiliations should read: M. Atif^{d,f}

^dResearch Chair for Laser Diagnosis of Cancer, Physics and Astronomy Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

^fNational Institute of Laser and Optonics, Nilore, Islamabad, 45650, Pakistan

2. Corresponding author's e-mail: fakhar-phy@gmail.com (Fakhar-e-Alam).

3. Page 2167, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, sentences “This has been proved by detection of ROS as shown in Fig. 3d. But Figs. 3a–3d describes the different PDT steps of microinjection drug delivery of ZnO NWs complexed with ALA, when inserted into the cells.” should read “This has been proved by detection of ROS. But Figs. 3a–3c describe the different PDT steps of microinjection drug delivery of ZnO NWs complexed with ALA, when inserted into the cells.”

4. Page 2168, right column, sentences “Figure 3d depicts nice consistency in the results between ROS production and loss in cell viability, significant production of ROS was observed for 100 µg/ml of ZnO NWs-ALA under UV exposure, which is to be said as

maximum dose in our current data, which corresponds to maximum loss in cell viability (92% approx.). From Fig. 3d, it has been observed that sufficient increase in ROS (dominant ROS fluorescence) has been seen as compare to Fig. 3c (during femtotip manipulation) as well as nontreated RD cells shown by Figs. 3a and 3b.” should read “The results between ROS production and loss in cell viability, significant production of ROS was observed for 100 µg/ml of ZnO NWs-ALA under UV exposure, which is to be said as maximum dose in our current data, which corresponds to maximum loss in cell viability (92% approx.). Above discussion demonstrated that sufficient increase in ROS (dominant ROS fluorescence) has been seen as compared to Fig. 3c (during femtotip manipulation as well as non-treated RD cells shown by Figs. 3a and 3b.”

5. Page 2169, right column, sentence “In addition, diode laser with 635 nm of wavelength, NRA, confocal microscopy protocol was applied.” should read “In addition, diode laser with 635 nm of wavelength, NRA, microscopy protocol was applied.”

6. Figure 3 on page 2168 should be the following:

Fig. 3. (a) Morphology of controlled Rhybdomyosarcoma cells (RD) (b) Magnified image of Rhybdomyosarcoma cells (RD) (c) Microinjection model of femtotip capillary.