Editor's Introduction to 'Rosenberg and Globalization'

International Politics (2005) 42, 352. doi:10.1057/palgrave.ip.8800124

In an earlier issue (Volume 42, Number 1, March 2005), the journal ran a lengthy and controversial analysis by Justin Rosenberg, which argued that the age of globalization was now over: indeed, that this zeitgeist of the 1990s always suffered from basic flaws from which it could never ultimately escape. Such was the interest generated by Rosenberg's article, that we decided to ask a number of leading theorists in the field to respond in their own very different ways to his thesis. We would here first like to thank Justin Rosenberg for stimulating the discussion that follows, and of course his various critics — Alex Callinicos, Andrew Gamble, John Hobson, George Lawson and Jan Aart Scholte — for taking up the challenge he lays down. We are sure the debate will not end here. Rosenberg will be replying to critics in a future issue and we invite others to join in the discussion, one of several on key issues *International Politics* will be hosting under its new editorship.

Michael Cox

Department of International Relations,
London School of Economics