SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 22 March 2016 Accepted: 06 June 2016 Published: 27 June 2016

Mice that lack the C-terminal region of Reelin exhibit behavioral abnormalities related to neuropsychiatric disorders

Kaori Sakai^{1,*}, Hirotaka Shoji^{2,*}, Takao Kohno¹, Tsuyoshi Miyakawa^{2,3} & Mitsuharu Hattori¹

The secreted glycoprotein Reelin is believed to play critical roles in the pathogenesis of several neuropsychiatric disorders. The highly basic C-terminal region (CTR) of Reelin is necessary for efficient activation of its downstream signaling, and the brain structure of knock-in mice that lack the CTR (Δ C-KI mice) is impaired. Here, we performed a comprehensive behavioral test battery on Δ C-KI mice, in order to evaluate the effects of partial loss-of-function of Reelin on brain functions. The Δ C-KI mice were hyperactive and exhibited reduced anxiety-like and social behaviors. The working memory in Δ C-KI mice was impaired in a T-maze test. There was little difference in spatial reference memory, depression-like behavior, prepulse inhibition, or fear memory between Δ C-KI and wild-type mice. These results suggest that CTR-dependent Reelin functions are required for some specific normal brain functions and that Δ C-KI mice recapitulate some aspects of neuropsychiatric disorders, such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and autism spectrum disorder.

Reelin, originally identified as a protein that is deficient in an autosomal recessive mutant mouse strain *reeler*, is a secreted glycoprotein that is essential for the organization of brain structures¹. In mature brain, Reelin is expressed mainly in interneurons in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus² and modulates neuronal function and synaptic plasticity³. Reelin binds to very low-density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) and apolipoprotein E receptor 2 (ApoER2) and induces phosphorylation of the intracellular protein Dab1, which leads to activation or modulation of further downstream molecules⁴. Phosphorylated Dab1 is quickly degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway⁵. The intracellular Reelin signaling pathway regulates changes in cytoskeletal organization⁶, protein distribution⁷, cell polarity⁸, and gene expression⁹. Not surprisingly, even a slight change in Reelin activity would greatly affect brain structure and function. In humans, disturbance or hypoactivity in Reelin signaling has been suggested to play a role in several neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders^{3,10}, including schizophrenia, bipolar disorders, and autism spectrum disorders (ASD). For these reasons, validating an animal model in which Reelin activity is dysregulated is significant not only for understanding of the pathophysiology of neuropsychiatric disorders but also for the development of novel therapeutics.

Heterozygous *reeler* mice (HRM) that express approximately half the wild-type (WT) amount of Reelin have been proposed as an animal model of neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders¹¹⁻¹³, because they have been reported to have behavioral deficits such as abnormal prepulse inhibition (PPI), motor impulsivity, motor stereotypies, and contextual fear conditioning. In rats, prenatal restraint stress decreased Reelin expression in the brain, possibly by affecting the DNA methylation levels of the Reelin promoter, and induced excessive spontaneous locomotor activity, increased anxiety-like behavior, and learning and memory deficits. These data support the idea that downregulation of Reelin signaling by prenatal stress is a strong risk for schizophrenia and bipolar disorders¹⁴. Finally, injection of Reelin into the ventricles¹⁵ or genetic Reelin overexpression¹⁶ rescue the cognitive impairment of HRM, indicating that upregulation of Reelin function could ameliorate some aspects of related neuropsychiatric disorders.

¹Department of Biomedical Science, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya City University, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan. ²Division of Systems Medical Science, Institute for Comprehensive Medical Science, Fujita Health University, Toyoake, Aichi, Japan. ³Section of Behavior Patterns, Center for Genetic Analysis of Behavior, National Institute for Physiological Sciences, Okazaki, Aichi, Japan. ^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.H. (email: mhattori@phar.nagoya-cu.ac.jp) However, using HRM as a model of human disorders has not achieved a wide consensus. Qiu *et al.*¹⁷ reported that HRM show normal overall activity, coordination, startle responses, anxiety-like behavior, electronic shock sensitivity, cued freezing behavior, and spatial learning, although they exhibited a significant reduction in contextual fear conditioned learning. Podhorna and Didriksen¹⁸ argued that HRM exhibit normal behavior in a wide range of behavioral measures. In fact, two very recent studies have shown that the behavioral phenotypes of HRM are fairly marginal and can be influenced by many other factors^{19,20}. Finally, adult-specific knock-out (KO) of Reelin has only mild effects on the behaviors²¹. Because of this controversy, additional or alternative model in which Reelin functions are impaired is required if one is to investigate the causal relationship between Reelin and neuropsychiatric disorders. It is also imperative to test partial loss-of-function of Reelin because Reelin appears to have multiple functions in the normal brain function.

We recently generated knock-in mice in which the positively-charged C-terminal region (CTR) of Reelin is deleted (Δ C-KI mice)²². CTR is required for efficient activation of the downstream signaling pathway²³; therefore Reelin signaling is attenuated in Δ C-KI mice²². Although neuronal migration during embryonic development is normal in Δ C-KI mice, there are some abnormalities in the structure of the cerebral cortex and hippocampus in early postnatal stages of Δ C-KI mice development²². In this study, we analyzed the behavior of Δ C-KI mice via a comprehensive behavioral test battery. The results indicate important roles of Reelin in higher brain functions and the potential of Δ C-KI mice as a validated model of neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders.

Results

Brain structure of the adult Δ C-KI mice was partially disturbed and Reelin signaling of them was attenuated in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus. In our previous analysis of neonatal Δ C-KI mice, we found that the marginal zone or layer I of the cerebral cortex was narrower than that of WT mice. In addition, the hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cell layer was split into two layers, and the dentate granule cell layer was less densely packed²². Here we confirmed that these structural abnormalities persist into adulthood (Fig. 1a). Western blotting (WB) using adult brain homogenate suggested increased Reelin protein levels in Δ C-KI mice (Fig. 1b, top panels). However, unlike the similar increase seen neonatally²², the difference in adults was not statistically significant in any brain area analyzed (Fig. 1c; cerebral cortex, t₁₇=0.344, p=0.735; hippocampus, t₁₇=1.944, p=0.0687). Dab1 protein in the cerebral cortex or hippocampus was significantly increased in Δ C-KI mice versus WT mice (Fig. 1b, middle panels, and Fig. 1d; cerebral cortex, t₁₇=2.58, p=0.0195; hippocampus, t₁₇=3.448, p=0.0031). These data indicated that Reelin signaling continues to be attenuated in the adult brains of Δ C-KI mice, as in that of neonatal ones.

General characteristics of adult Δ **C-KI mice.** The mean body weight of Δ C-KI mice was lower than that of WT mice (Fig. 2a; t₃₂ = 2.31, p = 0.0277), which may be due to their hyperactivity (see below), or to reduced or delayed physical development. However, Δ C-KI mice showed no abnormalities in body temperature (Fig. 2b; t₃₂ = 0.09, p = 0.9326), grip strength (Fig. 2c; t₃₂ = 0.06, p = 0.9539), or pain sensitivity (Fig. 2d; t₃₂ = 0.54, p = 0.5898). Δ C-KI mice fell from a wire lid earlier than WT mice in the wire hang test (Fig. 2e; t₃₂ = 2.4, p = 0.0222); however, they remained longer on the rotating wheel in the rotarod test (Fig. 2f; genotype effect, F_{1,32} = 10.41, p = 0.0029; genotype × trial interaction, F_{5,160} = 2.68, p = 0.0234).

 Δ C-KI mice were hyperactive and exhibited reduced anxiety-like behavior. In the open field test, the Δ C-KI moved a longer total distance than WT mice (Fig. 3a; genotype effect, F_{1,32} = 8.17, p = 0.0074; genotype \times time interaction, F_{23,736} = 1.03, p = 0.426), indicating that the Δ C-KI mice were hyperactive. Vertical activity (Fig. 3b; rearing measured by counting the number of photobeam interruptions, genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 5.9$, p = 0.0209; genotype × time interaction, $F_{23,736} = 0.72$, p = 0.8289) and stereotypy counts (Fig. 3c; defined by the number of breaks of the same beam, genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 17.88$, p = 0.0002, genotype \times time interaction, $F_{23,736} = 1.18$, p = 0.2594) were also significantly increased in Δ C-KI mice. These results are also further indication of hyperactivity in the Δ C-KI mice. Time spent in the center area of the field (center time), which is a measure of anxiety-like behavior (increased center time is considered as reduced anxiety), was markedly increased in Δ C-KI mice (Fig. 3d; genotype effect, F_{1, 32} = 19.61, p = 0.0001, genotype × time interaction, $F_{23,736} = 2.57$, p < 0.0001). However, hyperactivity may also contribute to the increased time in the center area in Δ C-KI mice. In the light/dark transition test, there was no difference of any parameter examined between Δ C-KI and WT mice (Fig. 3e–h), suggesting that anxiety-like behavior in this paradigm was unchanged in Δ C-KI mice. Interestingly, in the elevated plus maze test, Δ C-KI mice showed significant increases in the number of entries into arms (Fig. 3i; $t_{32} = 3.34$, p = 0.0022), distance traveled (Fig. 3j; $t_{32} = 3.71$, p = 0.0008), and time spent on open arms (Fig. 3k; t_{32} = 2.34, p = 0.0259). The number of entries into open arms tended to increase in Δ C-KI mice, although it was not statistically significant (Fig. 31; $t_{32} = 1.65$, p = 0.109). These results indicate that ΔC -KI mice exhibit hyperactivity and reduced anxiety-like behavior in open space and high places.

 Δ C-KI mice showed normal depression-like behavior. There was no difference in the percentage of immobility time between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the Porsolt forced swim test (Supplementary Fig. S1a; Day 1, $F_{1,32} = 1.06$, p = 0.3109; Day 2, $F_{1,32} = 2.36$, p = 0.1343) or in the tail suspension test (Supplementary Fig. S1b; $F_{1,30} = 0.71$, p = 0.405). Therefore, Δ C-KI mice showed normal depression-like behavior.

Sociability of Δ **C-KI mice was partially impaired, whereas social novelty preference was normal.** In the social interaction test under novel environment, there was no difference in total duration of contacts (Fig. 4a; t₁₄=0.73, p=0.4755) and mean duration of contact (Fig. 4d; t₁₄=0.78, p=0.4486). The number of contacts (Fig. 4b; t₁₄=2.92, p=0.0113) and total duration of active contacts (Fig. 4c; t₁₄=3.83, p=0.0018) were increased in Δ C-KI mice, but these were likely to derive from increased overall activity, as indicated by the concomitant greater distance traveled (Fig. 4e; t₁₄=3.79, p=0.002).





-

We also performed Crawley's three-chamber social approach test, which consists of sociability and social novelty preference tests. In the sociability test, a wire cage containing a stranger mouse was placed in one side of the chamber and an empty cage was placed on the other side. In the social novelty preference test, a cage of a familiar mouse was placed in one side of the chamber and a cage of a stranger mouse was placed on the other side. Times spent around the wire cage containing the stranger mouse vs. the empty cage, and around the cage containing the familiar mouse vs. the cage with the stranger mouse, are shown in Fig. 4f,g, respectively. In both genotypes, time spent around the cage with a stranger was longer than that with no mouse (Fig. 4f; WT, $t_{18} = 4.04$, p = 0.0008; Δ C-KI, $t_{14} = 8.74$, p < 0.0001). WT mice spent more time around the cage with a stranger than around the cage with a familiar mouse, whereas Δ C-KI mice spent comparable time around each cage (Fig. 4g; WT, $t_{18} = 2.35$, p = 0.0305; Δ C-KI, $t_{14} = 0.86$, p = 0.4066). However, the total time spent around the cage with a stranger between genotypes was statistically indistinguishable (Fig. 4g; $t_{32} = 0.78$, p = 0.4406).



Figure 2. General characteristics of ΔC -KI mice. (a) Body weight. (b) Body temperature. (c) Grip strength. (d) Latency to the first fore- or hind-paw response in the hot plate test. (e) Wire hang latency. (f) Latency to fall off the rod in the rotarod test. Bars represent mean \pm SEM. Unpaired t-tests (a–e) and a two-way repeated measures ANOVA (f) were used to test for statistical significance.

To evaluate social interaction in the home cage, two mice of the same genotype that had been housed separately were placed into the cage and were monitored for 1 week. The mean activity level and the mean number of particle detected (two particles are counted when the mice are separated, and one particle is found when they are together) over the last 3 days were calculated. Δ C-KI mice spent more time separated from each other than WT (Fig. 4h; genotype effect, $F_{1,12} = 8.6$, p = 0.0125, genotype \times time interaction, $F_{23,276} = 1.58$, p = 0.0463). Also, the activity level of Δ C-KI mice was increased compared to WT mice throughout the session (Fig. 4); genotype effect, $F_{1,12} = 7.02$, p = 0.0212, genotype × time interaction, $F_{23, 276} = 0.96$, p = 0.5115). The increased number of particles (i.e., increased separation time) could be the consequence of the increased locomotor activity and thus the increased number of particles in Δ C-KI mice may not reflect a decrease in social interaction itself. Therefore, we evaluated the mean number of particles during immobile, moderately active, or highly active periods (two mice were considered to be immobile when the number of pixels changed was less than 1,500 for a 1-min period; see Materials and Methods for details). The mean number of particles during immobility was significantly greater in Δ C-KI mice than in WT mice, while no genotype difference in the mean number of particles was found during moderately active or highly active periods (Fig. 4j and Supplementary Fig. S2; genotype effect, $F_{1,12} = 0.44$, p = 0.5193, genotype × activity level interaction, $F_{2, 24} = 5.23$, p = 0.013; low activity: p = 0.0184; intermediate activity: p = 0.2233; high activity: p = 0.1259). These results indicated that Δ C-KI mice tend to be separated from each other and suggest that sociability is reduced.

Acoustic startle response and prepulse inhibition of Δ C-KI mice were normal. There was no difference between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the acoustic startle response at both 110 dB ($t_{32} = 0.21$, p = 0.8368) and 120 dB ($t_{32} = 0.4$, p = 0.6895) (Supplementary Fig. S3a); nor were there differences in startle response or prepulse inhibition (PPI) following testing with any combination of prepulse stimulus (74 or 78 dB) and startle stimulus (110 or 120 dB) (Supplementary Fig. S3b; 74 dB prepulse and 110 dB startle, $t_{32} = 0.5$, p = 0.6192; 78 dB prepulse and 110 dB startle, $t_{32} = 0.71$, p = 0.4857; 74 dB prepulse and 120 dB startle, $t_{32} = 1.95$, p = 0.0595; 78 dB prepulse and 120 dB startle, $t_{32} = 1.12$, p = 0.2714).

Spatial reference memory in Δ **C-KI mice was normal.** In the training session of the Barnes maze test, there was no significant effect of genotype on the latency to the target hole (Fig. 5a; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 2.4$, p = 0.1309; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 3.04$, p = 0.0074), the number of errors before reaching the target hole (Fig. 5b; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 0.01$, p = 0.9276; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 1.7$, p = 0.1225), or the distance to reach the target hole (Fig. 5c; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 0.6$, p = 0.4456; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 1.88$, p = 0.0868). The number of omission errors (defined by a visit to the target hole without subsequent entry into the target hole) of Δ C-KI mice was increased compared to WT mice (Fig. 5d; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 6.95$, p = 0.0128; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 2.08$, p = 0.0568). Probe tests were performed



Figure 3. Hyperactivity and reduced anxiety-like behavior of Δ C-KI mice. (a–d) Open field test: total distance traveled (a), the quantity of vertical activity (b), the number of stereotypic counts (c), and center time (d). (e–h) Light/dark transition test: distance traveled (e), stay time in light chamber (f), the number of transitions (g), latency to enter light chamber (h). (i–l) Elevated plus maze test: the number of entries (i), distance traveled (j), the percentage of time spent on open arms (k), the percentage of entries into open arms (l). Bars represent mean ± SEM. Unpaired t-tests (e–l) and two-way repeated measures ANOVA (a–d) were used to test for statistical significance.

1 day after the last training. There was no difference between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the time spent around the target hole (Fig. 5i; t₃₂ = 1.86, p = 0.0725).

When the target hole was changed to the opposite side (reversal training), there was no significant effect of genotype on the latency to the target hole (Fig. 5e; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 2.54$, p = 0.121; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 0.52$, p = 0.7914), the number of errors before reaching the target hole (Fig. 5f; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 0.37$, p = 0.5477; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 0.54$, p = 0.7773), or the distance to reach the target hole (Fig. 5g; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 0.16$, p = 0.6902; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 0.26$, p = 0.9563). The number of omission errors of Δ C-KI mice was again increased compared to WT mice (Fig. 5h; genotype effect, $F_{1,32} = 8.42$, p = 0.0067; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{6,192} = 3.21$, p = 0.005). Probe tests were performed 1 day and 28 days after the last reversal training. There was no difference between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the time spent around the target hole (Fig. 5j,k; genotype effect, $t_{32} = 1.24$, p = 0.2242 and $t_{32} = 0.14$, p = 0.8884, respectively), indicating that the acquisition, retention and flexibility of spatial memory are normal in Δ C-KI mice.

Contextual and cued fear memory in \DeltaC-KI mice were largely normal. To assess associative fear learning and memory in Δ C-KI mice, we performed the contextual and cued fear conditioning tests. Mice were placed in a chamber and given a conditioned stimulus (CS) of 55 dB white noise, and an unconditioned stimulus (UCS) of foot shock (0.3 mA × 2 sec), three times (conditioning). Responses to foot shocks were not distinguishable between Δ C-KI and WT mice (Fig. 6a; F_{1,29}=0.33, p=0.5703; F_{1,29}=0.23, p=0.6369; F_{1,29}=0.63, p=0.435, for the first, second, and third foot shock, respectively) while the percentage of freezing time in Δ C-KI mice



Figure 4. Social behavior of the Δ C-KI mice is partially impaired. (a–e) Social interaction test in a novel environment: The total duration of contacts (a), the number of contacts (b), the total duration of active contacts (c), the mean duration per contact (d), and the distance traveled (e). (f,g) Crawley's three-chamber social approach test: Time spent around cage in the sociability test (f), time spent around cage in the social interaction test: Mean number of particles (h), activity level (i) and mean number of particles during low, high, or intermediate activity periods (j). Bars represent mean ± SEM. Unpaired t-test (a–g,j), paired t-test (f,g), and two-way repeated measures ANOVA (h,i) were used to test for statistical significance.



Figure 5. Normal spatial reference memory in Δ C-KI mice. Acquisition training (a–d) and reversal training (e–h) in the Barnes maze test: Latency to reach the target hole (a,e), number of errors before reaching the target hole (b,f), distance traveled to reach the target hole (c,g), and number of omission errors (d,h). (i–k) Time spent around each hole in the probe tests at 1 day (i,j) or 28 days (k) after the last training. Bars represent mean \pm SEM. Unpaired t-test (i–k) and two-way repeated measures ANOVA (a–h) were used to test for statistical significance.

was lower than that in WT mice (Fig. 6b; genotype effect, $F_{1,29} = 4.69$, p = 0.0388; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{7,203} = 1.63$, p = 0.1276). It is possible that this result was due to hyperactivity in the Δ C-KI mice, given their greater total distance traveled in this test compared to WT mice (Fig. 6c; genotype effect, $F_{1,29} = 4.51$, p = 0.0424; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{7,203} = 1.32$, p = 0.243). On the following day, the mice were returned to the conditioning chamber and allowed to explore the chamber without either the CS or UCS (context test). There was no difference between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the percentage of freezing time, indicating that contextual fear memory in Δ C-KI mice is normal (Fig. 6d; genotype effect, $F_{1,29} = 4.09$, p = 0.0526; genotype × trial interaction, $F_{4,116} = 1.2$, p = 0.3137). The cued test was conducted after the context test. Mice were placed in another chamber with different properties from the conditioning chamber. Regardless of presence of the CS, there was no difference between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the percentage of freezing time (Fig. 6e; pre-CS, $F_{1,29} = 0$, p = 0.9558; during CS, $F_{1,29} = 0.46$, p = 0.5051). These results suggest that cued fear memory in Δ C-KI mice is normal. In



Figure 6. Contextual and cued fear memory in Δ C-KI mice are largely normal. (a) Distance traveled during and after each foot shock in the conditioning phase. (b) Percentage of freezing response on the conditioning. (c) Distance traveled on the conditioning. (d,e) Percentages of freezing response on the context (d) and cued (e) tests at 1 day after conditioning. (f,g) Percentages of freezing response on the context (f) and cued (g) tests at 28 day after conditioning. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to test for statistical significance. Bars represent means \pm SEM.

the context test performed at 28 days after the conditioning, there was no significant difference in the percentage of freezing time, indicating that remote contextual fear memory in Δ C-KI mice is normal (Fig. 6f; F_{1,29} = 2.49, p = 0.1256). In the cued test performed at 28 days after the conditioning, there was no significant difference in the percentage of freezing time during pre-CS and CS but Δ C-KI mice showed a reduced percentage of freezing time only during the last 1 min of CS (Fig. 6g; pre-CS, F_{1,29} = 0.75, p = 0.3936; during CS, F_{21,29} = 3.15, p = 0.0865; last 1 min of CS, F_{1,29} = 7.778, p = 0.0092).

Working memory was impaired but reference memory was normal in Δ C-KI mice. To assess working memory in Δ C-KI mice, we performed a forced alternation test using a food reward in a T-maze²⁴. We weighed mice daily and restricted food throughout the experimental period, feeding them to maintain 80–85% of their free-feeding body weight. During this period mice were given daily training sessions. In the forced alternation test, mice were forced to enter one arm that was baited (forced choice run). In the next run (3 seconds later), mice were allowed to choose one of the arms (free choice run) and were required to enter the arm opposite to the previously baited arm to obtain a food reward. If the mouse remembered the previous run, it would go to the opposite arm. This is considered a correct response. During the training sessions (3 seconds delay), there was no difference between Δ C-KI and WT mice in the percentage of correct responses (Fig. 7a; F_{1,30} = 2.86, p = 0.1011; genotype × session interaction, F_{7,210} = 0.62, p = 0.7427). The test was then conducted using 10, 30, or 60-second delays between the forced and free choice runs. In each delay time greater than 3 seconds, Δ C-KI mice exhibited a lower percentage of correct responses than WT mice (Fig. 7b; t₃₀ = 1.15, p = 0.259, t₃₀ = 4.11, p = 0.0003, t₃₀ = 2.3, p = 0.0287, and t₃₀ = 2.36, p = 0.025, for 3, 10, 30, 60 seconds, respectively), suggesting that the working memory in Δ C-KI mice is impaired.

To investigate the reference memory in Δ C-KI mice, we performed a left-right discrimination test in T-maze²⁵. In this test, the arm where bait was put was fixed, and mice were given free choice runs. There was no difference in the percentage of correct responses between genotypes (Fig. 7c; F_{1,30}=0.13, p=0.7248). Even when the opposing arm was baited instead, there was no difference in the percentage of correct responses (Fig. 7c; F_{1,30}=0.13, p=0.7248). Even when the opposing arm was baited instead, there was no difference in the percentage of correct responses (Fig. 7c; F_{1,30}=0.13, p=0.7175). These results indicated that the acquisition, retention and flexibility of reference memory in Δ C-KI mice are normal, consistent with the results of the Barns maze test described in the "spatial reference memory" section (Fig. 5).

а



Figure 7. Impaired working memory in Δ C-KI mice. (a) Percentage of the correct responses in the training sessions of T-maze forced alternation test. There was no significant difference between the genotypes. (b) Percentage of the correct responses in T-maze forced alternation test with delays between forced and free choice runs. (c) Percentage of the correct responses in the T-maze left-right discrimination test. Bars represent mean \pm SEM. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (a,c) and unpaired t-test (b) were used to test for statistical significance.

Discussion

In this study, we identified several key anatomical and behavioral features related to Reelin signaling using Δ C-KI mice. First, in Δ C-KI mice, the structural abnormalities of the cerebral cortex and hippocampus in neonates persisted into adulthood, as did the attenuated Reelin signaling. Second, Δ C-KI mice were hyperactive. Third, Δ C-KI mice exhibited reduced anxiety-like behavior and reduced sociability. Fourth, the working memory in Δ C-KI mice was partially impaired. Fifth, spatial reference memory, PPI, depression-like behavior, and fear memory in Δ C-KI mice were essentially intact. These results provide novel insights into Reelin functions in the developing and adult brain, and their potential association with neuropsychiatric disorders. In particular, these data indicated that the loss of Reelin CTR and/or reduced Reelin signaling could be the direct cause of some symptoms observed in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, or ASD.

A number of studies have suggested some form of a relationship between hypoactivity of Reelin and neuropsychiatric disorders^{3,10}. For example, genomic studies reproducibly indicated that variations of the *Reelin* gene are associated with schizophrenia²⁶⁻²⁹, bipolar disorder^{29,30}, and ASD^{31,32}. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the Reelin receptor ApoER2, as well as decreases in its mRNA, are also significant risk factors for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder^{33,34}. In post-mortem brains of patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation at the *Reelin* promoters are altered³⁵ and Reelin protein in the prefrontal area is decreased³⁶⁻³⁸. It is unknown whether the abnormality in the Reelin pathway observed in these studies affected brain development required for normal brain functions or it directly induced the adult brain malfunctions. On the other hand, anti-schizophrenic drugs augment Reelin expression³⁹⁻⁴¹ and injection of Reelin protein into the ventricle of HRM restores normal hippocampal synaptic function, associative learning and memory, and PPI⁴². Reelin injection also counteracts phencyclidine-induced deficits in cognitive and sensorimotor gating in WT mice⁴³. Reelin overexpression in the mouse forebrain prevents the manifestation of behavioral phenotypes related to schizophrenia and bipolar disorder¹⁶. Finally, Reelin dynamically induces transcriptional changes in primary cortical neurons and many Reelin target genes are positively associated with genes implicated in neuropsychiatric disorders and in neuronal plasticity⁹. All of these observations point to the importance of correct Reelin signaling in the adult brain and suggest that hypoactivity of Reelin causes deterioration in neuropsychiatric symptoms.

However, the function of Reelin in the adult brain is still incompletely understood, and its significance in neuropsychiatric disorders is far from proven. In particular, the consequences of Reelin hypoactivity in adult mice have been investigated solely using HRM, with one recent exception²¹, and there are some discrepancies among the published research. For example, some groups^{11,17,44,45} reported that PPI, a relatively well-validated endophenotype for schizophrenia, was reduced in HRM. However, others^{18,19,21} reported the opposite. Schroeder and colleagues²⁰ even argued that HRM are less susceptible to corticosterone-induced PPI deficits than WT mice. Moreover, HRM are typically maintained on background strains such as B6C3Fea/a for historical reasons. This makes comparison with some studies, in which C57BL/6 strain was used, difficult. Our Δ C-KI mice are the only knock-in mice to date in which the function of *Reelin* gene is partially impaired and they reflect some morphological abnormalities observed in patients with certain neuropsychiatric disorders²². Therefore, the present study can provide important information regarding the relationship between Reelin activity and higher brain functions. It should be emphasized that Reelin activity is diminished from developmental stages thorough adulthood in our Δ C-KI mice, as in HRM, and thus future studies are needed to strictly clarify whether their behavioral phonotypes due to developmental/anatomical defects or to adult synaptic defects.

Among the behavioral phenotypes of Δ C-KI mice, hyperactivity is the most striking. We assume that hyperactivity is the main cause of some of the other behavioral phenotypes of Δ C-KI mice, including lighter body weight (Fig. 2a), reduced wire hang latency (Fig. 2e), and increased rotarod latency (Fig. 2f). Hyperactivity has been observed in HRM⁴⁶, VLDLR KO mice⁴⁷, Dab1 *scrambler* mutant mice⁴⁸, and adult-specific⁴⁹ or dorsal forebrain-specific⁵⁰ Dab1 KO mice, but the degree of hyperactivity of Δ C-KI mice is much higher. Therefore, it is conceivable that reduced Reelin signaling generally causes hyperactivity in mice but the CTR-dependent function is absolutely required for controlling locomotor activity. Interestingly, Reelin expression in rats that received prenatal restraint stress was decreased and they too were shown to be hyperactive¹⁴. Altogether, these results suggest that Reelin signaling in both the developing and adult brain play a role in suppressing hyperactivity, with developmental role being more important.

Reduced anxiety-like behavior is also a characteristic phenotype of Δ C-KI mice. Such reductions have been reported in HRM^{12,51} (but not in one study¹⁷), adult-specific Reelin KO mice²¹, Dab1 *scrambler* mutant mice⁴⁸, and dorsal forebrain-specific Dab1 KO mice⁵⁰, supporting the idea that Reelin-Dab1 signaling in the adult brain helps maintain a normal level of anxiety-like behavior. One report against this scenario is that an adult forebrain excitatory neuron-specific Dab1 KO had no effect on anxiety-like behavior⁵². This apparent contradiction may be reconciled if Dab1 is required in the developing stages and Dab1-independent Reelin function is required in adult brain. In addition, Dab1 has a role other than as a downstream signal for Reelin⁵³, and Reelin has a Dab1-independent effect as well⁵⁴. We also showed that the CTR of Reelin binds to a specific molecule(s) on neuronal cell membrane²². Therefore, many problems currently muddy the picture, and need to be solved before our understanding of Reelin's function in regulating anxiety-like behavior is clear.

The social behavior of Δ C-KI mice was found to be slightly abnormal as well. To the best of our knowledge, sociability has never been tested rigorously using HRM, but published data do provide evidence of some abnormalities that could be interpreted as reminiscent of symptoms of ASD^{10,12}. Such ASD-like symptoms would include the stereotypy we identified in the open field test (Fig. 3c)⁵⁰. As slight reduction of Reelin signaling is considered a great risk in ASD^{32,55}, the Δ C-KI mice should be useful for analyzing the contribution of Reelin hypoactivity to the onset of ASD. They may even make a good model of ASD and it would be interesting to test whether proposed treatments against ASD, such as oxytocin administration, improve the sociability of Δ C-KI mice.

Working memory is a system for temporarily storing and managing the information required to carry out complex cognitive tasks, and its deficit is a well-validated cognitive symptom of neuropsychiatric disorders. In this study, we found that the working memory in Δ C-KI mice is impaired (Fig. 7b). Interestingly, working memory in HRM is reported to be normal^{56–58}, while it was abnormal in adult forebrain excitatory neuron-specific Dab1 KO mice⁵⁰. It was thus suggested that 50% reduction of total Reelin amount has negligible effect for normal working memory formation but CTR-dependent, reinforced Dab1 phosphorylation²² in the adult brain is required for it. Working memory was not investigated in adult-specific Reelin KO mice²¹. In human, microsatellites of *Reelin* are highly associated with working memory^{27,59}, and there are ample *in vitro* studies indicating that Reelin plays critical roles in synaptic formation and plasticity³. Therefore, it is very likely that the full activity of Reelin, exerted by Reelin with intact CTR, is required for normal working memory function and that its deficit can lead to onset or deterioration of neuropsychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia.

In conclusion, our study shows that Δ C-KI mice show multiple behavioral phenotypes that are reminiscent of some behavioral symptoms in various neuropsychiatric disorders including schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and ASD. Among them, hyperactivity and ASD-like symptoms are likely to derive from developmental or anatomical defects while reduced anxiety-like behavior is from reduced Reelin functions in adult brain, since only the latter was observed in adult-specific Reelin KO mice²¹. It would be safe to say that our Δ C-KI mice mimic human patients who have genetic deficits in *Reelin* or its downstream genes, or who have structural abnormalities in their brain.

Future studies are required to clarify the neuronal network or system(s) defective in Δ C-KI mice, and the molecular mechanisms underlying them.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All of the experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Nagoya City University and by Fujita Health University, and were performed according to the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health of Japan. Generation of Δ C-KI mice was previously described²². All mice were backcrossed to the C57BL/6N mice for at least ten generations. WT and Δ C-KI mice were generated by intercrossing with heterozygous male and female mice. Mice older than 11-weeks old were used for behavioral tests. Mice were housed two to four per cage (one to three for each genotype) in a room with a 12h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 am), with access to food and water ad libitum except for the period during which the T-maze test was performed. Room temperature was kept at 23 ± 2 °C. Behavioral tests were performed between 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Before all behavioral tests, mice were left in the testing room for at least 30 min to allow acclimation. After the test, the testing apparatus was cleaned with diluted sodium hypochlorite solution to prevent a bias due to olfactory cues.

Immunohistochemistry. Mice were transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Fixed brains were immersed sequentially in 20% and 30% sucrose in PBS for cryoprotection, then embedded in OCT Compound (Sakura Finetek, Tokyo, Japan), and frozen on dry ice. The frozen brains were coronally sectioned at 14 μ m using a cryostat (CM1850; Leica Microsystems). Immunohistochemistry with anti-NeuN antibody (1:500; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA) was performed as described previously²².

Western blotting. Dissected cerebral cortices and hippocampi were homogenized in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% H_2O_2 , and 5 mM Na₃VO₄). Insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation (10 min, 17,800 g), and supernatants were diluted with 4 x SDS-PAGE loading buffer (0.25 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 40% (w/v) glycerol, 8% SDS, 20% (v/v) 2-ME, 0.2% BPB). Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE (5% and 8% separating gels for Reelin and Dab1, respectively). Western blotting was performed as described previously²². Anti-Reelin G10 (1:1,000) antibody was purchased from Millipore. Anti-Dab1 monoclonal antibodies (1:1,000) were described previously⁶⁰. Anti- α -tubulin (1:10,000) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals (Osaka, Japan). Images were captured using a LAS4000 system (Fuji, Tokyo, Japan) and were quantified with ImageJ software (version 1.48v, National Institutes of Health, MD) as described previously²³.

Comprehensive behavioral test battery. Most of the behavioral tests were performed as previously described²⁵, unless otherwise noted.

The Barnes maze test. For the first three days, two trials per day were conducted. For the fourth day onward, three trials per day were conducted. Approximately 24 h after the last training session, a probe test (3 min) was conducted without the escape box, to assess memory based on distal environmental room cues. After probe test, three trails were conducted, and then the target hole was changed to the opposite side. Three reversal trials per day were conducted subsequently. Approximately 24 h after the last reversal training session, a probe test (3 min) was conducted. Another probe test was conducted 28 days after the last reversal training session to evaluate memory retention.

T-maze test. Forced alternation and left-right discrimination tests using food reward were conducted as previously described²⁴. One week before the pre-training, mice were deprived of food until their body weight was reduced to 80–85% of their initial weight. Mice were then kept on a maintenance diet throughout the T-maze tests. On the following four days after the habituation session, mice were subjected to pre-training, during which they were allowed to consume the food reward in each arm of the T-maze apparatus. On the day after the pre-training sessions, mice were subjected to a forced alternation task for 8 days (one session consisting of 10 trials per day; cut-off time, 50 min). Thereafter, for 3 days, mice were subjected to the forced alternation test with a delay (3, 10, 30, or 60 s), which was interposed/placed/inserted between the forced choice run and the free choice run.

Home cage social interaction test. The social interaction monitoring system comprised a home cage and a filtered cage top with an infrared video camera $(25 \times 15 \times 23.5 \text{ cm})$, inside dimensions). Two mice of the same genotype that had been housed separately were placed together in the home cage. Output from the video camera was fed into a computer. Images from each cage were captured at a rate of 1 frame per sec. Social interaction was measured by counting the number of particles detected in each frame. Two particles indicated that the mice were not in contact with each other, and one particle indicated contact between the two mice. We also measured the activity level of the mice by quantifying the number of pixels that changed between each pair of successive frames. The mean number (\pm SD) of pixels of adult male C57BL/6J mice (n = 8; body weights, 26–43 g) in an image was 415 ± 69. When two mice move, the maximum number of pixels can be more than 1,500 per sec. We defined as immobile the state when the number of pixels was less than 1,500 during a 1-min period, and evaluated the mean number of particles during immobile and active periods. Analysis was performed automatically using ImageHA software developed by T. Miyakawa.

Statistical analyses. All quantitative data were expressed as mean \pm SEM. Western blot data were analyzed using unpaired t-test in GraphPad Prism6 (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). Behavioral data were analyzed using unpaired t-test, paired t-test, or two-way repeated measures ANOVAs in SAS University Edition (SAS institute, NC, USA). P-values < 0.05 were considered to denote significance.

References

- 1. D'Arcangelo, G. *et al.* A protein related to extracellular matrix proteins deleted in the mouse mutant reeler. *Nature* **374**, 719–723 (1995).
- Alcantara, S. *et al.* Regional and cellular patterns of reelin mRNA expression in the forebrain of the developing and adult mouse. J Neurosci 18, 7779–7799 (1998).
- Stranahan, A. M., Erion, J. R. & Wosiski-Kuhn, M. Reelin signaling in development, maintenance, and plasticity of neural networks. Age Res Rev 12, 815–7822 (2013).
- 4. Tissir, F. & Goffinet, A. M. Reelin and brain development. Nat Rev Neurosci 4, 496-505 (2003).
- Feng, L., Allen, N. S., Simo, S. & Cooper, J. A. Cullin 5 regulates Dab1 protein levels and neuron positioning during cortical development. *Genes Dev* 21, 2717–2730 (2007).
- 6. Frotscher, M. Role for Reelin in stabilizing cortical architecture. Trends Neurosci 33, 407-414 (2010).
- 7. Groc, L. *et al.* NMDA receptor surface trafficking and synaptic subunit composition are developmentally regulated by the extracellular matrix protein Reelin. *J Neurosci* 27, 10165–10175 (2007).
- 8. Forster, E. Reelin, neuronal polarity and process orientation of cortical neurons. Neuroscience 269, 102-111 (2014).
- 9. Telese, F. *et al.* LRP8-Reelin-Regulated Neuronal Enhancer Signature Underlying Learning and Memory Formation. *Neuron* **86**, 696–710 (2015).
- Folsom, T. D. & Fatemi, S. H. The involvement of Reelin in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Neuropharmacology* 68, 122–135 (2013).
 Carboni, G. *et al.* Enhanced dizocilpine efficacy in heterozygous reeler mice relates to GABA turnover downregulation.
- Neuropharmacology 46, 1070–1081 (2004).
 12. Laviola, G., Ognibene, E., Romano, E., Adriani, W. & Keller, F. Gene-environment interaction during early development in the heterozygous reeler mouse: clues for modelling of major neurobehavioral syndromes. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 33, 560–572 (2009).
- Iafrati, J. et al. Reelin, an extracellular matrix protein linked to early onset psychiatric diseases, drives postnatal development of the prefrontal cortex via GluN2B-NMDARs and the mTOR pathway. *Mol Psychiatry* 19, 417–426 (2014).
- Placios-Garcia, I. et al. Prenatal stress down-regulates Reelin expression by methylation of its promoter and induces adult behavioral impairments in rats. PLOS One 10, e0117680 (2015).
- Rogers, J. T. et al. Reelin supplementation recovers sensorimotor gating, synaptic plasticity and associative learning deficits in the heterozygous reeler mouse. J Psychopharmacol 27, 386–395 (2013).
- Teixeira, C. M., Martin, E. D., Sahun, I., Masachs, N., Pujadas, L., Corvelo, A., Bosch, C., Rossi, D., Martinez, A., Maldonado, R., Dierssen, M. & Soriano, E. Overexpression of Reelin prevents the manifestation of behavioral phenotypes related to schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36, 2395–2405 (2011).
- 17. Qiu, S. et al. Cognitive disruption and altered hippocampus synaptic function in Reelin haploinsufficient mice. Neurobiol Learn Mem 85, 228-242 (2006).
- 18. Podhorna, J. & Didriksen, M. The heterozygous reeler mouse: behavioural phenotype. Behav Brain Res 153, 43-54 (2004).
- Howell, K. R. & Pillai, A. Long-term effects of prenatal hypoxia on schizophrenia-like phenotype in heterozygous reeler mice. Mol Neurobiol 53, 3267–3276 (2015).
- Schroeder, A., Buret, L., Hill, R. A. & van den Buuse, M. Gene-environment interaction of reelin and stress in cognitive behaviours in mice: Implications for schizophrenia. *Behav Brain Res* 287, 304–314 (2015).
- 21. Lane-Donovan, C. et al. Reelin protects against amyloid beta toxicity in vivo. Sci Signal 8, ra67 (2015).
- Kohno, T. et al. Importance of reelin C-terminal region in the development and maintenance of the postnatal cerebral cortex and its regulation by specific proteolysis. J Neurosci 35, 4776–4787 (2015).
- 23. Nakano, Y. *et al.* The extremely conserved C-terminal region of Reelin is not necessary for secretion but is required for efficient activation of downstream signaling. *J Biol Chem* **282**, 20544–20552 (2007).
- 24. Fujioka, R. *et al.* Comprehensive behavioral study of mGluR3 knockout mice: implication in schizophrenia related endophenotypes. *Mol Brain* 7, 31 (2014).
- Nakao, A. et al. Comprehensive behavioral analysis of voltage-gated calcium channel beta-anchoring and -regulatory protein knockout mice. Front Behav Neurosci 9, 141 (2015).
- Goldberger, C. et al. Population-based and family-based association study of 5'UTR polymorphism of the reelin gene and schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 137B, 51–55 (2005).
- Wedenoja, J. et al. Replication of linkage on chromosome 7q22 and association of the regional Reelin gene with working memory in schizophrenia families. *Mol Psychiatry* 13, 673–684 (2008).
- Shifman, S. et al. Genome-wide association identifies a common variant in the reelin gene that increases the risk of schizophrenia only in women. PLOS Genet 4, e28 (2008).
- 29. Ovadia, G. S. S. The genetic variation of RELN expression in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. PLOS One 6, e19955 (2011).
- 30. Goes, F. S. *et al.* Sex-specific association of the Reelin gene with bipolar disorder. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **153B**, 549–553 (2010).
- 31. Persico, A. M. et al. Reelin gene alleles and haplotypes as a factor predisposing to autistic disorder. Mol Psychiatry 6, 150-159 (2001).
- 32. Wang, Z. et al. Reelin gene variants and risk of autism spectrum disorders: an integrated meta-analysis. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 165B, 192-200 (2014).
- 33. Li, M. et al. Convergent lines of evidence support lrp8 as a susceptibility gene for psychosis. Mol Neurobiol, 1-12 (2015).
- Verbrugghe, P. Impact of the Reelin signaling cascade (Ligands-Receptors-Adaptor Complex) on cognition in schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 159B, 392–404 (2012).
- 35. Grayson, D. R. et al. Reelin promoter hypermethylation in schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102, 9341–9346 (2005).
- Impagnatiello, F. et al. A decrease of reelin expression as a putative vulnerability factor in schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95, 15718–15723 (1998).
- Torrey, E. F. et al. Neurochemical markers for schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depression in postmortem brains. Biol Psychiatry 57, 252–260 (2005).
- Habl, G. et al. Decreased reelin expression in the left prefrontal cortex (BA9) in chronic schizophrenia patients. Neuropsychobiology 66, 57–62 (2012).
- Fatemi, S. H. et al. Chronic olanzapine treatment causes differential expression of genes in frontal cortex of rats as revealed by DNA microarray technique. Neuropsychopharmacology 31, 1888–1899 (2006).
- Dong, E. *et al.* Clozapine and sulpiride but not haloperidol or olanzapine activate brain DNA demethylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105, 13614–13619 (2008).
- 41. Guidotti, A. et al. Epigenetic GABAergic targets in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Neuropharmacology 60, 1007-1016 (2011).

- 42. Rogers, J. T. *et al.* Reelin supplementation recovers sensorimotor gating, synaptic plasticity and associative learning deficits in the heterozygous reeler mouse. *J Psychopharmacol* 27, 386–395 (2013).
- Ishii, K. *et al.* Reelin has a preventive effect on phencyclidine-induced cognitive and sensory-motor gating deficits. *Neurosci Res* 96, 30–36 (2015).
- Barr, A. M., Fish, K. N., Markou, A. & Honer, W. G. Heterozygous reeler mice exhibit alterations in sensorimotor gating but not presynaptic proteins. *Eur J Neurosci* 27, 2568–2574 (2008).
- 45. Tueting, P. et al. The phenotypic characteristics of heterozygous reeler mouse. Neuroreport 10, 1329-1334 (1999).
- Romano, E., Fuso, A. & Laviola, G. Nicotine Restores Wt-Like Levels of Reelin and GAD67 Gene Expression in Brain of Heterozygous Reeler Mice. *Neurotox Res* 24, 205–215 (2013).
- 47. Weeber, E. J. *et al.* Reelin and ApoE receptors cooperate to enhance hippocampal synaptic plasticity and learning. *J Biol Chem* 277, 39944–39952 (2002).
- Jacquelin, C., Lalonde, R., Jantzen-Ossola, C. & Strazielle, C. Neurobehavioral performances and brain regional metabolism in Dab1(scm) (scrambler) mutant mice. *Behav Brain Res* 252, 92–100 (2013).
- 49. Teixeira, C. M. *et al.* Transient downregulation of Dab1 protein levels during development leads to behavioral and structural deficits: relevance for psychiatric disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 556–568 (2014).
- Imai, H. et al. Dorsal forebrain-specific deficiency of reelin-dab1 signal causes behavioral abnormalities related to psychiatric disorders. Cereb Cortex, doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv334 (2016).
- Ognibene, E. *et al.* Impulsivity-anxiety-related behavior and profiles of morphine-induced analgesia in heterozygous reeler mice. *Brain Res* 1131, 173–180 (2007).
- 52. Trotter, J. et al. Dab1 is required for synaptic plasticity and associative learning. J Neurosci 33, 15652-15668 (2013).
- Gavin, R., Ferrer, I. & del Rio, J. A. Involvement of Dab1 in APP processing and beta-amyloid deposition in sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob patients. *Neurobiol Dis* 37, 324–329 (2010).
- Chameau, P. et al. The N-terminal region of reelin regulates postnatal dendritic maturation of cortical pyramidal neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 7227–7232 (2009).
- 55. Lammert, D. B. & Howell, B. W. RELN mutations in autism spectrum disorder. Front Cell Neurosci 10, 84 (2016).
- Salinger, W. L., Ladrow, P. & Wheeler, C. Behavioral phenotype of the reeler mutant mouse: effects of RELN gene dosage and social isolation. *Behav Neurosci* 117, 1257–1275 (2003).
- Brigman, J. L., Padukiewicz, K. E., Sutherland, M. L. & Rothblat, L. A. Executive functions in the heterozygous reeler mouse model of schizophrenia. *Behav Neurosci* 120, 984–988 (2006).
- 58. Krueger, D. D. et al. Assessment of cognitive function in the heterozygous reeler mouse. Psychopharmacology 189, 95–104 (2006).
- Wedenoja, J. et al. Replication of association between working memory and Reelin, a potential modifier gene in schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 67, 983–991 (2010).
- 60. Onoue, A., Takeuchi, M., Kohno, T. & Hattori, M. Aberrant fragment of Dab1 protein is present in yotari mouse. *Neurosci Res* 88, 23–27 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We thank members of our laboratory for helpful discussions. This work was supported by KAKENHI (23123519, 24659036, and 26460073 to M.H., and 26860043 to T.K.), grants from the Center for Intellectual Property Strategies and A-STEP FS Stage (AQ2621606Q) of Japan Science and Technology Agency, and ACT-M (15im0210301) of Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (to M.H.). This work was also supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (Comprehensive Brain Science Network) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan (MEXT). Behavioral analysis was performed at Institute for Comprehensive Medical Science, Fujita Health University (Joint Usage Research Center for Genes, Brain and Behavior accredited by MEXT) in Japan. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

Author Contributions

K.S. and H.S. contributed equally. K.S., H.S., T.M. and M.H. designed the experiments. K.S., H.S. and T.K. performed the experiments. K.S., H.S., T.K., T.M. and M.H. analyzed the data. K.S., H.S. and M.H. wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: This study was partly funded by Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Co. Ltd., but they had no control over the interpretation, writing, or publication of this work. Other than this, the authors declare no conflict of interests. The authors received no personal benefits from Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Co. Ltd.

How to cite this article: Sakai, K. *et al.* Mice that lack the C-terminal region of Reelin exhibit behavioral abnormalities related to neuropsychiatric disorders. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28636; doi: 10.1038/srep28636 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/