SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 18 August 2015 Accepted: 14 December 2015 Published: 05 February 2016

Invasive floating macrophytes reduce greenhouse gas emissions from a small tropical lake

K. Attermeyer^{1,+}, S. Flury^{1,2}, R. Jayakumar^{3,4}, P. Fiener⁵, K. Steger³, V. Arya⁴, F. Wilken^{5,6}, R. van Geldern⁷ & K. Premke^{1,8}

Floating macrophytes, including water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), are dominant invasive organisms in tropical aquatic systems, and they may play an important role in modifying the gas exchange between water and the atmosphere. However, these systems are underrepresented in global datasets of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. This study investigated the carbon (C) turnover and GHG emissions from a small (0.6 km²) water-harvesting lake in South India and analysed the effect of floating macrophytes on these emissions. We measured carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) emissions with gas chambers in the field as well as water C mineralization rates and physicochemical variables in both the open water and in water within stands of water hyacinths. The CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from areas covered by water hyacinths were reduced by 57% compared with that of open water. However, the C mineralization rates were not significantly different in the water between the two areas. We conclude that the increased invasion of water hyacinths and other floating macrophytes has the potential to change GHG emissions, a process that might be relevant in regional C budgets.

Tropical and subtropical regions are encountering increasing abundances of invasive floating macrophyte species^{1,2}, and such free-floating plant communities often outcompete submerged macrophytes or phytoplankton and represent an alternative stable state in shallow lakes^{3,4}. However, aquatic macrophytes perform important ecosystem functions, particularly in shallow ecosystems, where they may act as engineer species, changing the structure of the ecosystems that they colonize⁵. These plant communities are sources of organic matter and sinks for nutrients, and they can also act as important regulators of gas exchanges between the sediment, the water and the atmosphere⁶.

Most of India's water bodies are small ($<1 \text{ km}^2$) water-harvesting ponds and lakes that are often characterized by high nutrient inputs and substantial floating macrophyte coverage⁷. A common floating macrophyte in India is the invasive water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*), which is native to lowlands of South America⁸. This plant has been present in India since 1890⁹, and its prevalence has substantially increased since 1998⁹. Because of its rapid growth rate, which can double the biomass within five days, and its ability to successfully compete with other aquatic plants, water hyacinths now cover more than 2,000 km² of the freshwater bodies in India, which corresponds to 10% - 15% of the total area covered by aquatic vegetation^{7,10}.

According to Scheffer and co-authors³ the invasion of free-floating plants is among the most important threats to the functioning and biodiversity of aquatic ecosystems. These plants negatively affect fishing operations, obstruct or even prevent water traffic, impede irrigation and hamper hydropower generation¹¹. Furthermore,

¹Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Chemical Analytics and Biogeochemistry, Müggelseedamm 310, 12587 Berlin, Germany. ²University of Geneva, Faculty of Science, Boulevard Carl-Vogt 66, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland. ³Indo-German Centre for Sustainability (IGCS), Indian Institute of Technology Madras (IITM), Chennai 600 036, India. ⁴Indian Institute of Technology Madras (IITM), Environmental and Water Resources Engineering Division, Department of Civil Engineering, Chennai 600 036, India. ⁵University of Augsburg, Department of Geography, Alter Postweg 118, 86159 Augsburg, Germany. ⁶Brandenburg University of Technology (BTU), Chair of Soil Protection and Recultivation, Konrad-Wachsmann-Allee 6, 03013 Cottbus, Germany. ⁷Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg (FAU), GeoZentrum Nordbayern, Schlossgarten 5, 91054 Erlangen, Germany. ⁸Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Institute for Landscape Biogeochemistry, Eberswalder Straße 84, 15374 Müncheberg, Germany. [†]Present address: Uppsala University, Department of Ecology and Genetics, Limnology, Norbyvägen 18D, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.A. (email: katrin.attermeyer@ebc.uu.se)

	February 2012			November 2012			March/April 2014		
Parameter	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max
Temperature [°C]	25.6 ± 1.6	24.1	27.3	22.0 ± 0.2	21.7	22.3	29.1 ± 0.9	27.8	30.6
n*		6				8		10	
рН	8.1 ± 0.1	8.0	8.2	8.3 ± 0.2	7.9	8.6	7.8 ± 0.1	7.6	8.0
n		6				8		10	
Conductivity [µS cm ⁻¹]	1346 ± 3	1343	1350	1182 ± 42	1101	1222	1553 ± 29	1501	1595
n		6			7			10	
O ₂ [mg L ⁻¹]	11.0 ± 3.3	8.1	16.8	0.41	nd	nd	3.65 ± 1.27	1.86	5.22
n		6			1			10	
TIC [mmol L ⁻¹]	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	7.92 ± 3.24	7.34	8.33
n								10	
TOC [mg L ⁻¹]	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	13.5 ± 0.8	12.4	14.9
n		9			9			10	
Water depth [m]	1.3 ± 0.6	0.4	2.3	0.7 ± 0.4	0.2	1.5	1.5 ± 0.5	0.7	3.1
n		9			9			36	

Table 1. Water physicochemical variables from several sampling stations on Lake Thimmapuramsummarized from sampling campaigns conducted in February and November 2012 and March and April2014. For a better comparability, only open water samples are compiled in the table. 'n is sample size.

water hyacinth is known to change the physicochemical characteristics of water (e.g., the pH, alkalinity, dissolved oxygen (O_2) concentration and dissolved carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentration)¹². For example, O_2 in the water can be diminished by emergent macrophytes, which limit pelagic and benthic photosynthesis through shading¹³ and prohibit gas exchange and thus re-oxygenation from the atmosphere. Compared with the O_2 produced by submersed aquatic plants and phytoplankton, O_2 that is photosynthetically produced by emerged macrophytes is directly emitted into the atmosphere and does not contribute to aquatic O_2 concentrations¹⁴. C turnover underneath the water hyacinths can be further fuelled by root respiration and microbial activity in the water and sediments because of dissolved organic matter from root exudates and decaying plant litter^{15–17}, which eventually increase CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations below these floating plants. Therefore, water hyacinths have considerable ecological impacts, which may confer unwanted economic effects¹⁸. However, most studies of water hyacinths have examined their effects on water quality and their dispersal spread or phytoremediation¹⁹ (and references therein). The link between the invasion of water hyacinths and the emission of climate-relevant gases (CO_2 and CH_4) has not yet been explored.

Most freshwater systems are net greenhouse gas (GHG) emitters^{20,21}. CO_2 and CH_4 are among the major gases impacting the atmospheric heat budget and contributing to global climate change. Consequently, investigations of GHG emissions and their influencing factors are of major importance for understanding current and predicting future climate conditions. Most GHG research in inland waters has been performed at temperate and boreal latitudes, whereas data from subtropical and tropical inland waters remain scarce²². However, the first upscaling approaches have ranked tropical and subtropical systems as major sources of GHG emissions^{23,24}. In a comparative study of India's major inland water types, freshwater bodies were shown to emit large amounts of CO_2 and CH_4 into the atmosphere that corresponded to 42% of India's estimated land C sink²⁵.

The aim of this study was to analyse and understand the impact of water hyacinths on water-column organic C mineralization and GHG (CO_2 and CH_4) emissions from a small, tropical water-harvesting lake in South India. We hypothesized that areas covered by water hyacinths will (1) have higher C mineralization rates and therefore lower O_2 and higher CH_4 and CO_2 concentrations but (2) decreased diffusive CO_2 and CH_4 emissions because of the lower gas exchange within the plants compared to that in open water.

Results

General lake characteristics. The water temperature of the lake did not drop below 20 °C during three field campaigns in February and November 2012 and March/April 2014 (Table 1), and the lake was slightly alkaline, with a pH between 7.6 and 8.6. In March/April 2014 the mean TIC and TOC concentrations were 7.92 ± 3.24 mmol L⁻¹ and 13.5 ± 0.8 mg L⁻¹, respectively (Table 1).

The population of water hyacinths on Lake Thimmapuram covered 12 to 55% of the surface area of the lake, with the maximum coverage of 55% reached in April 2014 (Figs. 1 and 2). The dispersal of water hyacinths is strongly managed because the plants are harvested and used as fodder for cattle. Additionally, fishermen occasionally remove the majority of the plants to improve fishing efficiency. In addition to the direct measurements during our field campaign, we derived the coverage of water hyacinth for 2000-2003 and 2013-2014 from remote sensing data (Landsat 7 and 8, Fig. 2). The enhanced vegetation index (EVI) was used for the classification, which introduced a degree of uncertainty, particularly because mixed surface water and water hyacinth pixels are difficult to separate from dried lake bottom pixels (see details in Fig. 2). Water hyacinths could be detected in all available Landsat images, except on April, 4th 2003, when a high percentage of uncertainty was encountered. However, from April, 7th – 10th 2014 (Figs. 1 and 2), the two methods of water hyacinth coverage estimation, on-site GPS recording and remote sensing, produced similar results.



Figure 1. Water hyacinth coverage of Lake Thimmapuram on April, 10th 2014. The extent of the water hyacinth dispersal was determined by GPS from a boat driven along the outer boundaries of the patches. The area of the open water is 0.28 km² (44%); the area of the water under the water hyacinth cover is 0.32 km² (50.4%); and the wetland area is 0.04 km² (5.6%) [ESRI ArcGIS 10.2.1; http://www.esri.com/software/arcgis/arcgis-for-desktop].

Water hyacinth-covered areas versus open water. The mean O_2 concentrations under the water hyacinths $(94 \pm 46 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ were lower compared with that of open water $(131 \pm 37 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1})$, and the Mann-Whitney *U* test detected a statistically significant (Table 2, p < 0.05) difference between the distributions (Fig. 3a). Average CO_2 surface concentrations were $283 \pm 87 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ under the hyacinths and $256 \pm 77 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ in open water and were not significantly different between the two zones of the lake (Fig. 3b, Table 2). A significant difference was observed for the distribution of surface CH_4 concentrations. The mean surface concentrations of CH_4 were $0.84 \pm 0.80 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ under the hyacinths and $1.07 \pm 0.90 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ in open water (Fig. 3c, Table 2).

The CO₂ fluxes from the open water areas were highly variable and ranged from 2.4 to 49.8 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹, with a mean of 13.5 ± 10.2 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 3d). The CO₂ fluxes from the lake areas with water hyacinths were less variable and ranged from 3.9 to 7.6 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹, with a mean of 4.7 ± 1.2 mmol m⁻² h⁻¹. The diffusive CH₄ fluxes were generally lower than the CO₂ fluxes and ranged from 2.3 to 190.7 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹ in open water and from 6.5 to 71.3 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹ between the hyacinths (Fig. 3e). The diffusive CO₂ and CH₄ emissions were significantly higher in open waters than in areas covered by water hyacinths (Table 2, p < 0.05). The distribution of CH₄ ebullition fluxes, however, was not significantly different between the two areas based on the Mann-Whitney *U* test (range from 0-6,813 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹), although the total C emissions (CO₂ + CH₄) from areas covered by water hyacinths were 57% lower than that in open water (Fig. 4).

C mineralization rates in the water column ranged from 102.7 to $526.2 \,\mu$ g C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ in open water and 138.4 to $599.1 \,\mu$ g C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ under the water hyacinths, and the Mann-Whitney *U* test did not detect significant (p < 0.05) difference between the distributions of data (data not shown). The O₂ concentrations at the start of the water incubations from the vegetated areas were lower and reflected the conditions observed directly in the field ($158 \pm 57 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ in the water incubations from vegetated areas; $207 \pm 29 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ in the water incubations from swere not observed in any of the water incubations, and such conditions would have diminished the mineralization rates.

Discussion

In Lake Thimmapuram, 0.48 to 1.03 million plants per hectare were counted, and their dry weight totalled 16.6 to 35.5 metric tons of dry weight per hectare. The abundance of water hyacinths in Lake Thimmapuram varied strongly between years (from 12 to 55%), although the lake was never completely covered (Figs. 1 and 2), which is presumably because of management by the local villagers and fishermen who depend on the lake for survival. Hyacinth mats can also disperse when there is enough wind, and such a dispersal has also been observed in strongly managed water bodies in the northern part of Bangalore City⁹.

The observed concentrations of O_2 and CH_4 in the surface waters of the areas covered by water hyacinths were significantly lower (22% and 26% lower, respectively) than the concentrations in the open water areas, whereas differences were not observed in the concentration of CO_2 (Figs 3a–c,4). Reduced O_2 concentrations and even anoxic conditions have also been observed in vegetated areas in other systems covered by water hyacinths²⁶ and other floating species^{5,15}. As we did not measure differences in C mineralization in the water column itself, the reduced O_2 conditions could be attributed to higher respiration rates at the roots of the plants or in the sediment under water hyacinths. However, in Lake Thimmapuram, the O_2 content during the day below the vegetated areas was not completely depleted during our sampling campaign, which prevented anaerobic metabolism in the water column and thus affected the C turnover rates, the CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations. We did not measure the O_2 concentrations during the night when the potential for anoxia increases because of an absence of primary production caused by light limitations²⁷. However, this potential remains speculative. In addition, CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations may also be higher at night.



Figure 2. Surface cover classification of Lake Thimmapuram for 2000-2003 and 2013-2014. A thresholdbased approach was applied to the Landsat 7 and 8 enhanced vegetation index product, which derived two distinct lake cover classes (water and hyacinths) and an uncertain class because mixed pixels of surface water and water hyacinths could not be separated from the dried lake bottom. The coverage of water, hyacinths and the uncertain areas is provided as the proportion of the total lake area (0.68 km²) [ESRI ArcGIS 10.2.1; http:// www.esri.com/software/arcgis/arcgis-for-desktop].

	Open water			Stands of water hyacinths			Mann Whitney U		
Parameter	Mean \pm SD	Min	Max	Mean \pm SD		Min	Max	U	р
O ₂ conc [µmol L ⁻¹]	131 ± 37	20	179	94 ± 46		25	165	1124.5	0.001
n*		87			17				
CO ₂ conc [µmol L ⁻¹]	256 ± 77	180	642	283 ± 87		178	494	972	0.191
n		119			20				
CH ₄ conc [µmol L ⁻¹]	1.07 ± 0.90	0.20	7.42	0.84 ± 0.80		0.19	3.14	1687	0.003
n		119			20				
CO ₂ em (diffusive) [mmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹]	13.5 ± 10.2	2.4	49.8	4.7 ± 1.2		3.9	7.6	50	0.001
п		31			10				
$\begin{array}{c} CH_4 \mbox{ em} \\ (diffusive) \\ [\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}] \end{array}$	61.2±45.8	2.3	190.7	23.5 ± 22.7		6.5	71.3	75	0.004
п		42			9				
$\begin{array}{l} CH_4 \mbox{ em} \\ (ebullitive) \\ [\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}] \end{array}$	191 ± 294	0	1248	819±2116		0	6814	150	0.887
n		29			10				
Water C min [µg C L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹]	326±120	103	526	389 ± 146		138	599	174	0.166
n		22			21				

 Table 2. Statistics for the comparison between the open water area and water hyacinth-covered area.

 Statistically significant p-values by the Mann Whitney U test are displayed in bold. *n is sample size

Surprisingly, the CH_4 concentrations were lower in the areas covered by water hyacinths, although similar or even higher concentrations might be expected because of the lower O_2 concentrations and higher organic C content in the sediments. These conditions fuel methanogenesis, as observed in other studies of floating plants^{6,17,28}. The lower surface CH_4 concentrations beneath the vegetation could be caused by CH_4 oxidizers living on the roots of the water hyacinths^{29,30}. For example, Brix and co-authors³¹ found that up to 76% of the CH_4 produced in the sediment was re-oxidized within the rhizosphere of *Phragmites australis*, which might explain the simultaneously lower concentrations of O_2 and CH_4 beneath the water hyacinth because O_2 is required for the aerobic oxidation of CH_4 .

 CO_2 is an end product of both aerobic and anaerobic respiration³². In Lake Thimmapuram, CO_2 concentrations were not significantly different between the water hyacinth and open water areas, suggesting that the metabolic rates were comparable. This assumption is supported by the similar aquatic C mineralization rates in both areas. A comparison between an area covered by yellow water lilies (*Nuphar lutea*) and an adjacent plant-free zone did not indicate significant differences in the water chemistry²⁸, which is consistent with our results for CO_2 . However, the mean CO_2 concentrations tended to be slightly higher in the surface waters covered by water hyacinths in our study (Fig. 3b). In the central Amazon River and its floodplains, it has been shown that pCO_2 increased consistently from open water areas towards emergent plants including floating macrophytes³³ which is consistent with our results. The authors mainly attribute the increases in CO_2 to an increased supply with organic C from the litter fall and root exudation as well as a release of plant-respired CO_2 from the atmosphere. This might explain why we observed different patterns in CO_2 and O_2 concentrations.

Furthermore, the differences in CO_2 concentrations may have been masked by the generally higher CO_2 concentrations compared with the O_2 and CH_4 concentrations (CO_2 concentrations were 2 and 200-300 times higher than the O_2 and CH_4 concentrations, respectively) and a high spatial heterogeneity. Nevertheless, the relatively small but significant differences (O_2 and CH_4) or lack (CO_2) of differences in the concentrations of O_2 , CH_4 and CO_2 between the water hyacinth-covered areas and open water might have resulted from the drift dynamics of the water hyacinth mats caused by changing wind directions during the day (personal observation) or lateral mixing of the water body driven by different heating and cooling and densities over the day and night cycle³⁴. The drifting was also described by Abdel-Tawwab³⁵, who only found a significant decrease in nutrient and O_2 concentrations and phytoplankton biomass in artificial fish ponds if the free-floating plant (*Azolla pinnata*) cover was greater than 50%, which hinders plant drift.

Generally, the water was supersaturated with CO_2 and CH_4 relative to the atmosphere, which led to a net emission of both gases across the air-water interface. By comparing the open water areas and the water hyacinth-covered areas, we found a significant reduction in diffusive C emissions between the covered areas and the open water (Fig. 3d–f,4). CO_2 emissions could be further diminished in water hyacinth-covered areas because of CO_2 fixation through photosynthesis^{5,6,28}. However, photosynthetic C fixation by water hyacinths was not quantified in this study. In boreal studies, vegetated littoral areas in aquatic systems have been shown to have the highest areal CH_4 emissions³⁶, which are mostly generated through aerenchymal transport from the emergent



Figure 3. Surface water $O_2(a)$, CO2 (b), and CH4 (c) concentrations as well as CO2 (d) and CH4 fluxes as diffusion (e) and ebullition (f) in the open water (OW) and water hyacinth (WH) areas. Boxplots indicate the medians, the 25th and 75th percentiles (boxes), the 5th and 95th percentiles (whiskers) and the mean values (black squares). Significant differences are denoted with asterisks.



Figure 4. Schematic overview of the major parameters influenced by water hyacinth coverage (CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations and their respective fluxes, O₂ concentrations and carbon (C) mineralization). Significant differences are displayed as the percent reduction of the median fluxes from areas covered by water hyacinths compared with the open water. Non-significant results are denoted by equal signs.

macrophytes rooting in sediments that connects the sediment directly to the atmosphere³⁶. This mechanism was not relevant for the floating water hyacinths in Lake Thimmapuram, indicating that they must play a different role in the release of GHGs from aquatic systems.

According to our hypothesis, the diffusive emissions of both CO_2 and CH_4 were reduced in the areas with water hyacinths. Differences in the surface water concentrations of CO_2 as a driver of diffusive fluxes can be excluded because differences were not observed in the CO_2 concentration between the open water and hyacinth-covered areas. Nevertheless, the emitted gases can be trapped inside the plant canopy, which results in

a decreased concentration gradient and thus a reduced diffusion. Furthermore, the gas transfer velocity between water and the atmosphere is positively related to the turbulence in the upper water column^{37–39} and the concentration gradient between the media. Water hyacinths reduce the wind speed at the water surface by greatly increasing the roughness length (zone above the surface where the wind speed equals 0 m s⁻¹)⁴⁰. Thus, both the concentration gradient between the water and the atmosphere as well as the turbulence of the surface waters were reduced, leading to a reduced exchange of CO₂ and CH₄ across the air-water interface among water hyacinths. Similar mechanisms might be expected in other floating-leaved macrophyte communities, such as *Lemna* spp. or *Trapa natans*, which are often found in eutrophic lakes worldwide⁴.

In related studies comparing gas emissions from open water and macrophyte covered areas contradictory results were found. In a study in the Pantanal region, a higher emission of CH_4 from water hyacinth mats were detected⁴¹ but other authors⁴² found no differences in the Amazon floodplain between open waters, floating emergent macrophytes, and flooded forests. However, these authors did not determine CO_2 emissions and the gas fluxes were mainly dominated by CH_4 ebullition which we do not discuss further here. These different results highlight the demand for further studies to elucidate the role of floating macrophytes for GHG emissions.

We upscaled the CO₂ and CH₄ emissions from hourly to daily rates (multiplied by 24) to better compare them to other studies. Our CO₂ emissions with a mean CO₂ diffusion rate of 323.8 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in the open water and 113.4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ among the water hyacinths were well within the range of reported CO₂ fluxes from aquatic systems in India (from -28.2 mmol m⁻² d^{-1 25} to 979 mmol m⁻² d^{-1 43}). The CO₂ emissions were approximately 3 times higher and the diffusive CH₄ fluxes were 2 times lower from open water in Lake Thimmapuram compared with that of the manmade tanks and ponds in India investigated in other studies²⁵. Those differences can be directly attributed to physical characteristics, such as turbulence, or indirectly to biogeochemical processes that are influenced by temperature as well as O₂, C and nutrient concentrations^{44,45}. Our results highlight the substantial GHG efflux potential of the analyzed lake type (manmade tanks and ponds), which belongs to the major of Tamil Nadu⁴⁶.

Overall, the concentrations of O_2 and CH_4 as well as the C emissions from the areas covered by water hyacinths were reduced compared with that of open water. However, the CO_2 concentrations and water C mineralization rates were not significantly different between the two areas (Fig. 4). These results reveal that invasive water hyacinths can play an important role in biogeochemical processes as well as in the release of climate-relevant gases into the atmosphere. Floating macrophytes, especially invasive species, might therefore be considered as important regulators of gas exchange at the air-water interface, a process that might be central in regional C budgets.

Methods

Field campaign and study site description. The water body investigated in this study is Lake Thimmapuram (12.45°N, 78.22°E), which is located in South India (Tamil Nadu State) near the town of Krishnagiri (Fig. 1). The climate is typical of wet and dry tropical regions, with pronounced precipitation seasonality and minor temperature seasonality. The long-term mean annual precipitation in Krishnagiri is approximately 780 mm (measured at the nearby Krishnagiri Dam), and a primary rainy season occurs that is related to the southwest and northeast monsoons between August and November. The mean annual air temperature is $26.4 \,^{\circ}C^{47}$. The lake is eutrophic and shallow (mean depth 1.5 m in March/April 2014) and serves as an irrigation reservoir for the surrounding arable land. The water level in the lake depends on the natural inflow during the monsoon season and the management of a cascade of upstream water-harvesting structures. Following the end of the rainy season, the lake receives additional inflow from December to approximately April via the Krishnagiri Dam (personal communication with dam management). Additional details on the study site can be found in Fiener and co-authors⁴⁸.

The initial sampling campaigns were conducted in 2012 (Table 1), and an intensive sampling campaign was performed in March/April 2014, during which the rates of water-column organic C mineralization and GHG emissions were measured along with the physicochemical water variables (temperature, pH, O₂, conductivity, total organic carbon (TOC), total inorganic carbon (TIC) and ammonium).

Multi-temporal observations of the lake's water hyacinth cover were performed by classifying 18 Landsat 7 and 8 scenes (Google Earth Engine) based on the enhanced vegetation index (EVI;⁴⁹) (Fig. 2). Instead of using the more common normalized differenced vegetation index (NDVI;⁵⁰), the EVI was used because of its reduced susceptibility to atmospheric influences and improved sensitivity in high biomass environments^{51,52}. A simple but robust threshold approach was applied to the EVI product: surface water was classified by an EVI threshold <0.1, and water hyacinths were classified by an EVI >0.3. EVI values between 0.1 and 0.3 were declared to be uncertain because separating a pixel containing both surface water and water hyacinths from the dried lake bottom was impossible. Water hyacinth coverage was also recorded by a Global Positioning System (GPS) from a boat, and the biomass inside a frame (1460 cm²; total of six replicated samples on 9 and 11 April 2014) positioned on the water hyacinth meadows was sampled by hand. The plants were washed *in situ*, separated into emerged and submersed leaves plus roots, and desiccated at 70 °C until they reached a constant weight.

Physicochemical variables. O_2 , pH, conductivity (corrected to 25 °C), and temperature were measured with a YSI probe (YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Gas samples for the analysis of dissolved CO_2 and CH_4 were obtained using the headspace extraction technique⁵³. Water samples (20 mL) were collected from the surface waters (~10 cm depth) in glass vials equipped with septa, and the vials were immediately closed and kept gastight without a headspace. Subsequently, a 5 mL headspace was created with ambient air, the vials were vigorously shaken for 60 seconds, and 500 µL gas samples were then collected from the headspace with a gastight syringe and manually injected into a closed loop between the gas inlet and the outlet of a Los Gatos GHG analyzer (Los Gatos Research Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA) to measure the CO_2 and CH_4 gas samples. The volume of the loop

was 72.6 \pm 2.2 mL and precision of measurements amounted to 3-5%. The samples used for the analysis of total inorganic carbon (TIC) were prepared following the same procedure as the CO₂ samples but with the addition of phosphoric acid (pH < 4) before shaking to outgas the inorganic carbonate species as CO₂. The partial pressures of the gases were converted into concentrations in water (expressed as μ mol L⁻¹) by using Henry's constant, the water temperature, and the measured gas partial pressures in the air (while accounting for the water volume and the headspace inside the bottle)⁵⁶. Overall, 139 surface samples were collected at random locations across the lake over 13 days during the March/April 2014 sampling campaign and used to measure the concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄. The analysis of the TOC from the surface waters (~10 cm depth) was performed using a TOC analyzer (Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) according to method 5310⁵⁷.

Greenhouse gas emissions. The GHG flux (CO_2 and CH_4) across the water-atmosphere interface was measured with floating chambers that were gently deployed from a boat onto the water surface between water hyacinths and in open water areas to minimize artificial turbulence. Similar to the protocol described in McGinnis and co-authors³⁹, the chambers were constructed of inverted non-transparent plastic buckets with a volume of 14.76L and an area of 1,018 cm². Some light could have penetrated through the plastic, however, this should not have changed the GHG emissions on these short timescales (20 min). A floating device composed of polyethylene was attached to the chambers, and approximately 2 cm of the chamber walls was allowed to submerge to ensure a gastight seal between the water surface and the chamber while minimizing the impact of the natural turbulence in the water column beneath the chamber⁵⁸. Two gas ports (inlet and outlet) were fitted on top of each chamber and connected with 2×5 m-long gastight tubes (Tygon 2375) to a Los Gatos ultraportable GHG analyser. The internal pump circulated the air in the gas chamber through the GHG analyser at a rate of \sim 450 mL min⁻¹. The boat and the chambers were allowed to drift freely on the lake surface for 10-20 min per deployment, and the concentrations of CO_2 and CH_4 were measured every second, which allowed the changes in CO_2/CH_4 to be tracked in situ. The concentrations of CH4 and CO2 inside the atmosphere of the chamber increased linearly over time under diffusional conditions, whereas the CH₄ concentrations increased abruptly when bubbling occurred. This process allowed us to separate the bubbling and the strict diffusional flux by the high sampling frequency enabled by the GHG analyser⁵⁹. However, the short incubation time did not allow an accurate determination of CH_4 ebullition and is thus not further emphasized in the discussion. The water-atmosphere fluxes (J) of CO₂ and CH_4 (mmol m⁻² h⁻¹ and μ mol m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively) were calculated from the slopes (s) of the linear regressions of the concentrations in the chamber versus time as follows:

$$J = s \cdot V_{ch} \cdot \frac{1}{A_{ch}} \tag{1}$$

where V_{ch} is the chamber volume, and A_{ch} is the chamber area. The amount of gas released per bubbling event was determined by calculating a two-point regression from the concentrations in the chamber at the start of the bubbling event and after the bubbling event, when the CH₄ concentration in the chamber was well-mixed⁵⁹. Fluxes were only measured during the day because local circumstances did not allow for night measurements. In total, 41 chamber measurements were performed at different locations on eight different days during the three-week sampling campaign.

Carbon mineralization. Water column C mineralization was determined using transparent acryl-glass incubation cores (length of 30 cm and inner diameter of 5.4 cm) that contained a septum in the tube wall for *in situ* O_2 measurements. The incubation containers were carefully filled with water collected at the water surface in the vegetated and open areas. We avoided collecting any plant remnants during the filling in the vegetated areas, which would have increased our mineralization rates. After applying an airtight seal to the containers, respiration was quantified for the water samples by O_2 depletion over 24 hours. The incubation cores were incubated at *in situ* temperatures in the dark. O_2 depletion was measured with a needle-type O_2 microsensor (Optode, PreSens, Regensburg, Germany) after the water column was mixed, and the amount of consumed O_2 was converted to μ g C L⁻¹ d⁻¹ using a conversion factor of one⁶⁰. A more detailed description is given in Attermeyer and co-authors⁶¹.

Statistics. Because normal distributions were not observed for all of the parameters, we tested for differences in the chemical variables, CO_2 and CH_4 emissions, and water C mineralization under the water hyacinths and in open water using a non-parametric, two-sided Mann-Whitney *U* test ⁶². To consider the temporal differences during the sampling periods, all of the values of each group (water hyacinths and open water) from different days of the sampling campaign in March/April 2014 were included. Differences in the distribution of the different groups were considered significant at p < 0.05. All of the values were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation, and all of the statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

References

- 1. Gopal, B. Water hyacinth. Elsevier Science Publishers (1987).
- 2. Portielje, R. & Roijackers, R. Primary succession of aquatic macrophytes in experimental ditches in relation to nutrient input. *Aquat. Bot.* **50**, 127–140 (1995).
- 3. Scheffer, M. et al. Floating plant dominance as a stable state. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100, 4040-4045 (2003).
- 4. De Tezanos Pinto, P. & O'Farrell, I. Regime shifts between free-floating plants and phytoplankton: a review. *Hydrobiologia* 740, 13–24 (2014).
- 5. Pierobon, E., Bolpagni, R., Bartoli, M. & Viaroli, P. Net primary production and seasonal CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in a Trapa natans L. meadow. *J. Limnol.* **69**, 225–234 (2010).
- Bolpagni, R. et al. Diurnal exchanges of CO₂ and CH₄ across the water-atmosphere interface in a water chestnut meadow (Trapa natans L.). Aquat. Bot. 87, 43–48, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2007.02.002 (2007).

- 7. Panigrahy, S., Murthy, T., Patel, J. & Singh, T. Wetlands of India: inventory and assessment at 1:50,000 scale using geospatial techniques. *Curr. Sci. India* 102, 852–856 (2012).
- Barrett, S. & Forno, I. Style morph distribution in new world populations of Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms-Laubach (water hyacinth). Aquat. Bot. 13, 299–306 (1982).
- Verma, R., Singh, S. & Ganesha Raj, K. Assessment of changes in water-hyacinth coverage of water bodies in northern part of Bangalore city using temporal remote sensing data. *Curr. Sci. India* 84, 795–804 (2003).
- Venugopal, G. Monitoring the effects of biological control of water hyacinths using remotely sensed data: a case study of Bangalore, India. Singap. J. Trop. Geogr. 19, 91–105 (1998).
- Brendonck, L. et al. The impact of water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) in a eutrophic subtropical impoundment (Lake Chivero, Zimbabwe). II. Species diversity. Arch. Hydrobiol. 158, 389–405 (2003).
- 12. Rai, D. N. & Datta Munshi, J. The influence of thick floating vegetation (Water hyacinth: Eichhornia crassipes) on the physicochemical environment of a fresh water wetland. *Hydrobiologia* **62**, 65–69, doi: 10.1007/bf00012564 (1979).
- Cattaneo, A., Galanti, G. & Gentinetta, S. Epiphytic algae and macroinvertebrates on submerged and floating-leaved macrophytes in an Italian lake. Freshw. Biol. 39, 725–740 (1998).
- Pokorný, J. & Rejmánková, E. Oxygen regime in a fishpond with duckweeds (Lemnaceae) and Ceratophyllum. Aquat. Bot. 17, 125–137 (1983).
- 15. Caraco, N. F. & Cole, J. J. Contrasting impacts of a native and alien macrophyte on dissolved oxygen in a large river. *Ecol. Appl.* **12**, 1496–1509 (2002).
- Pełchaty, M. Does nymphaeid distribution reflect the spatial heterogeneity of abiotic conditions in a shallow lake? *Belg. J. Bot.* 140, 73–82 (2007).
- Longhi, D., Bartoli, M. & Viaroli, P. Decomposition of four macrophytes in wetland sediments: Organic matter and nutrient decay and associated benthic processes. Aquat. Bot. 89, 303–310 (2008).
- Villamagna, A. & Murphy, B. Ecological and socio-economic impacts of invasive water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes): a review. Freshw. Biol. 55, 282–298 (2010).
- Jafari, N. Ecological and socio-economic utilization of water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes Mart Solms). J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manag. 14, 2, doi: 10.4314/jasem.v14i2.57834 (2010).
- 20. Sobek, S., Tranvik, L. J. & Cole, J. J. Temperature independence of carbon dioxide supersaturation in global lakes. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* **19**, GB2003 (2005).
- Marotta, H., Duarte, C. M., Sobek, S. & Enrich-Prast, A. Large CO₂ disequilibria in tropical lakes. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 23, GB4022 (2009).
- 22. Wehrli, B. Biogeochemistry: Conduits of the carbon cycle. Nature 503, 346-347, doi: 10.1038/503346a (2013).
- Richey, J. E., Melack, J. M., Aufdenkampe, A. K., Ballester, V. M. & Hess, L. L. Outgassing from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source of atmospheric CO₂. Nature 416, 617–620 (2002).
- 24. Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L. J., Downing, J. A., Crill, P. M. & Enrich-Prast, A. Freshwater methane emissions offset the continental carbon sink. *Science* **331**, 50, doi: 10.1126/science.1196808 (2011).
- Panneer Selvam, B., Natchimuthu, S., Arunachalam, L. & Bastviken, D. Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from inland waters in India - implications for large scale greenhouse gas balances. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 3397–3407, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12575 (2014).
- Masifwa, W. F., Twongo, T. & Denny, P. The impact of water hyacinth, Eichhornia crassipes (Mart) Solms on the abundance and diversity of aquatic macroinvertebrates along the shores of northern Lake Victoria, Uganda. *Hydrobiologia* 452, 79–88 (2001).
- Bunch, A. J., Allen, M. S. & Gwinn, D. C. Spatial and temporal hypoxia dynamics in dense emergent macrophytes in a Florida lake. Wetlands 30, 429–435, doi: 10.1007/s13157-010-0051-9 (2010).
- Ribaudo, C. *et al.* CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes across a Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm. stand. J. Limnol. 71, 200–210, doi: 10.4081/mnol.2012.e21 (2012).
- 29. Laanbroek, H. J. Methane emission from natural wetlands: interplay between emergent macrophytes and soil microbial processes. A mini-review. Ann. Bot. 105, 141–153 (2010).
- Yoshida, N., Iguchi, H., Yurimoto, H., Murakami, A. & Sakai, Y. Aquatic plant surface as a niche for methanotrophs. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00030 (2014).
- 31. Brix, H., Sorrell, B. K. & Lorenzen, B. Are Phragmites-dominated wetlands a net source or net sink of greenhouse gases? *Aquat. Bot.* 69, 313–324 (2001).
- 32. Le Mer, J. & Roger, P. Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: a review. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 37, 25–50 (2001).
- 33. Abril, G. et al. Amazon River carbon dioxide outgassing fuelled by wetlands. Nature 505, 395-398 (2014).
- Jäger, C. G., Diehl, S. & Emans, M. Physical determinants of phytoplankton production, algal stoichiometry and vertical nutrient fluxes. *Am. Nat.* 175, E91–E104, doi: 10.1086/650728 (2010).
 Abdel-Tawwab, M. Effect of free-floating macrophyte. Azolla pinnata on water physico-chemistry, primary productivity, and the
- 35. Abdel-Tawwab, M. Effect of free-floating macrophyte, Azolla pinnata on water physico-chemistry, primary productivity, and the production of Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus (L.), and Common Carp, Cyprinus carpio L., in fertilized earthen ponds. *J. Appl. Aquacult.* **18**, 21–41 (2006).
- Bergström, I., Mäkelä, S., Kankaala, P. & Kortelainen, P. Methane efflux from littoral vegetation stands of southern boreal lakes: an upscaled regional estimate. Atmos. Environ. 41, 339–351 (2007).
- 37. Liss, P. S. & Merlivat, L. in The role of air-sea exchange in geochemical cycling 113-127 (Springer, 1986).
- 38. Wanninkhof, R. Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 97, 7373–7382 (1992).
- McGinnis, D. F. et al. Enhancing Surface Methane Fluxes from an Oligotrophic Lake: Exploring the Microbubble Hypothesis. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 873–880 (2014).
- 40. Jarraud, M. Guide to Meteorological Instruments and Methods of Observation (WMO-No. 8). World Meteorological Organisation: Geneva, Switzerland (2008).
- Bastviken, D. et al. Methane Emissions from Pantanal, South America, during the Low Water Season: Toward More Comprehensive Sampling. Environ.-Sci. Technol. 44, 5450–5455 (2010).
- 42. Devol, A. H., Richey, J. E., Clarke, W. A., King, S. L. & Martinelli, L. A. Methane Emissions to the Troposphere From the Amazon Floodplain. J. Geophys. Res. 93(D2), 1583–1592 (1988).
- Gupta, G. et al. CO₂ Supersaturation and Net Heterotrophy in a Tropical Estuary (Cochin, India): Influence of Anthropogenic Effect. Ecosystems 12, 1145–1157 (2009).
- Beaulieu, J. J., Shuster, W. D. & Rebholz, J. A. Controls on gas transfer velocities in a large river. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 117, G02007, doi: 10.1029/2011JG001794 (2012).
- Huttunen, J. T. et al. Fluxes of methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide in boreal lakes and potential anthropogenic effects on the aquatic greenhouse gas emissions. Chemosphere 52, 609–621 (2003).
- 46. SAC. National Wetland Atlas. Space Applications Centre, ISRO, Ahmedabad, India (2011).
- 47. Sanchez, P. A. et al. Digital soil map of the world. Science **325**, 680–681 (2009).
- Fiener, P., Gottfried, T., Sommer, M. & Steger, K. Soil organic carbon patterns under different land uses in South India. Geoderma Regional 2, 91–101 (2014).
- Liu, H. Q. & Huete, A. A feedback based modification of the NDVI to minimize canopy background and atmospheric noise. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 33, 457–465 (1995).

- 50. Rouse, J., Haas, R., Schell, J. & Deering, D. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. *NASA Special Publication* **351**, 309 (1974).
- Jiang, Z., Huete, A. R., Didan, K. & Miura, T. Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation index without a blue band. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 112, 3833–3845, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2008.06.006 (2008).
- 52. Huete, A. *et al.* Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 83, 195–213, doi: 10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00096-2 (2002).
- 53. Drozd, J. & Novák, J. Headspace gas analysis by gas chromatography. J. Chrom. A. 165, 141-165 (1979).
- Mbaka, J. G. et al. Methane-derived carbon in the benthic food web in stream impoundments. PLoS ONE 9, e111392, doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0111392 (2014).
- Baird, A. J., Stamp, I., Heppell, C. M. & Green, S. M. CH₄ flux from peatlands: a new measurement method. *Ecohydrol.* 3, 360–367 (2010).
- Sander, R. Modeling atmospheric chemistry: Interactions between gas-phase species and liquid cloud/aerosol particles. Surv. Geophys. 20, 1–31 (1999).
- Apha, A. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation (2005).
- Gålfalk, M., Bastviken, D., Fredriksson, S. & Arneborg, L. Determination of the piston velocity for water-air interfaces using flux chambers, acoustic Doppler velocimetry, and IR imaging of the water surface. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 118, 770–782 (2013).
- 59. Xiao, S. *et al.* Gas transfer velocities of methane and carbon dioxide in a subtropical shallow pond. *Tellus B* **66**, 23795, doi: 10.3402/ tellusb.v66.23795 (2014).
- 60. Berggren, M., Lapierre, J.-F. & del Giorgio, P. A. Magnitude and regulation of bacterioplankton respiratory quotient across freshwater environmental gradients. *ISME J.* **6**, 984–993 (2012).
- Attermeyer, K., Premke, K., Hornick, T., Hilt, S. & Grossart, H.-P. Ecosystem-level studies of terrestrial carbon reveal contrasting bacterial metabolism in different aquatic habitats. *Ecology* 94, 2754–2766, doi: 10.1890/13-0420.1 (2013).
- 62. Mann, H. B. & Whitney, D. R. On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. Ann. Math. Stat., 18, 50-60 (1947).

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Prof. Ligy Philip and Prof. B. S. Murty for their help with permits and the allocation of equipment and staff. We like to thank Prof. Anju Chadha for her support and the Thimmapuram fishermen for their dedicated service. This work was financially supported by the Pact for Innovation and Research of the Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Scientific Community (LandScales project) and the Indo-German Centre for Sustainability (IGCS), which is funded by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD). We also acknowledge the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF); the Indian Institute of Technology at Madras; and the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. PA00P2_142041).

Author Contributions

K.A., S.F. and K.P. designed the study, K.A., S.F., R.J., P.F., V.A., F.W., R.V.G. and K.P. performed analyses, K.A., S.F., R.J., P.F., K.S., V.A. and K.P. collected data, K.A. wrote the manuscript with the help of all other co-authors.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Attermeyer, K. *et al.* Invasive floating macrophytes reduce greenhouse gas emissions from a small tropical lake. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 20424; doi: 10.1038/srep20424 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/