

Boosting adjuvants

By Stephen Parmley, Senior Writer

For all the talk of new vaccines, not much attention has been paid to the need for better adjuvants, which have remained stuck on alum-based products for decades. The NIH is committing up to \$70 million over the next 5 years to new adjuvant research and wants to prod industry to pick up the mantle and develop a diverse portfolio of agents. But it will need to persuade companies that in the long run, pooling their knowledge will be commercially worthwhile.

The NIH's goal is to have a toolbox of adjuvants that can boost vaccine efficacy, increase response rates in the elderly and extend the supply of critical vaccines for public health. Adjuvants increase the magnitude and duration of vaccine-induced protective immunity by stimulating aspects of the immune system—such as the innate response—that have evolved to respond to infections.

Historically, companies have lacked an incentive to develop adjuvants as products in and of themselves because the returns were so poor. Instead, they have generally developed antigens and adjuvants together within specific vaccine programs.

Novartis AG spokesperson Elizabeth Power told *SciBX*, “At Novartis, we do not develop adjuvants separately from antigens but rather consider the precise combination of the proper antigens and adjuvant targeting a specific disease. Then, the value of the vaccine can be demonstrated through overall safety and efficacy.”

In other cases, when companies do develop proprietary adjuvants, they tend to retain them within their internal programs and rarely license them out.

The NIH is trying to bring pharma back to the table in adjuvant discovery because of the huge unmet need for vaccines in developing-world diseases. One argument put forward is that more effective adjuvants could make vaccines for developed markets more profitable as they would lower the dose of antigen needed to induce immunity and make the vaccine cheaper to produce in large amounts.

Wolfgang Leitner told *SciBX*, “We want a pipeline of novel adjuvants, and we want adjuvants that give you dose sparing and that improve efficacy of the vaccine but without increasing the toxicity or adverse events.” Leitner is a program officer at the NIH's **National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases** (NIAID) and is the contracting officer's representative for adjuvant discovery contracts.

The NIH is awarding the money to researchers for seven projects at two companies—**Vaxine Pty. Ltd.** and **GlaxoSmithKline plc**—and five universities or hospitals (see Table 1, “Adjuvant discovery contracts”).

The funding represents the third tranche from the institute for adjuvant development since 2003. It is also part of a strategy to increase

vaccine efficacy, particularly in vulnerable subpopulations in which vaccine-based immunization is needed most.

In 2004, the NIH awarded close to \$50 million to 1 university and 4 companies—including Corixa Corp., now part of GSK. In 2008, the NIH awarded contracts totaling over \$57 million to 5 academic institutions and GSK.

Whereas the first two funding rounds focused on compounds that broadly stimulate innate immune responses by agonizing toll-like receptors (TLRs) and other innate receptors, the new awards center on identifying targeted compounds that stimulate specific cells in the innate and adaptive systems such as dendritic cells (DCs), mast cells, NK T cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

Jay Nelson told *SciBX* that it is widely acknowledged that better adjuvants could make a difference in populations such as the very young and very old who often respond poorly to vaccines. Nelson is one of the new award recipients and a senior molecular virologist at **Oregon Health & Science University**.

“If you look at immunocompetent adults immunized for flu, 80%–90% will respond with protective immunity, but if you look at adults over 65, that number drops to 20%–40%,” he said.

In addition to better immune boosting, improvements in adjuvants could reduce the amount of vaccine antigen needed and the number of vaccinations to achieve immunity—which could in turn extend the supply of vaccines.

Tailor's toolbox

As knowledge about the immune response to infections has grown, vaccine development has moved toward triggering specific mediators of protective immunity such as B cells, NK T cells, mast cells or T helper type 1 (Th1) and Th2 cells—but adjuvant development has not kept pace.

Apart from alum there are only three adjuvants approved in the U.S. and Europe—GSK's AS03 and AS04 and Novartis' MF59—and one in registration—GSK's AS01—all of which stimulate broad immune responses.

Alum, which is composed of aluminum salts, was first used as an adjuvant in vaccines 70 years ago and is now part of many vaccines against infectious diseases including HBV infection and pneumococcal diseases. At least 19 other adjuvants are in development, but many are agonists of the innate system and are also likely to stimulate broad immune responses (see Table 2, “Selected adjuvants and adjuvanted vaccines for infectious disease”).

“Earlier vaccine research was focused on the strength of the immune response—how much antibody or cytokine do you get—and what was often overlooked was the quality of the immune response,” said Leitner. Adjuvants were added to boost the response, he noted, but because they induce broad immune responses, they also increase the risk of side effects.

“The traditional model has been to develop an adjuvant and use it for everything in your portfolio, but we are finding now that each one has its own useful indication, but it does not work for all indications.”

—Jay Evans, GlaxoSmithKline plc

Table 1. Adjuvant discovery contracts. The NIH has awarded contracts totaling more than \$70 million for 7 new adjuvant discovery projects. Amounts shown represent the potential contract value if all options are exercised.

Source: NIH

Principal investigator	Institution	Project summary	Potential value (\$M)
Jay Evans	GlaxoSmithKline plc (LSE:GSK; NYSE:GSK)	Synthesize and screen libraries of molecules that could function as adjuvants for a tuberculosis vaccine	13.4
Sunil David	The University of Kansas	Screen small molecule libraries for agonists of toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2), TLR5, TLR7, TLR9, caspase recruitment domain family member 4 (CARD4; NOD1) and CARD15 (NOD2) that could act as adjuvants	10.3
Jay Nelson	Oregon Health & Science University	Screen small molecule libraries for agonists of interferon regulatory transcription factors as vaccine adjuvants	10
Dennis Carson	University of California, San Diego	Screen small molecule libraries for compounds that inhibit the negative feedback signals in antigen-presenting cells and prolong their activation	9.8
Nikolai Petrovsky	Vaxine Pty. Ltd.	Screen libraries of natural compounds that activate dendritic cells and run high-throughput computational screens to identify compounds that activate NK T cells or stimulate TLR9	9.7
Ofer Levy	Boston Children's Hospital	Screen small molecule libraries to identify potential adjuvants for use in adults, newborns or the elderly	9.4
Herman Staats	Duke University	Screen small molecule libraries for stimulators of mast cells as adjuvants	8.4

Leitner told *SciBX* that the NIH wants new adjuvants that can reduce those risks by triggering specific immune responses associated with individual vaccines. “Ideally you are picking a couple different adjuvants to test with your vaccines,” he said. “By having that toolbox of adjuvants with very defined mechanisms of action and defined immune profiles, it will be much easier to find the right combination for different types of vaccines.”

According to Leitner, industry activity has been sluggish in the field in part because of regulatory concerns about adjuvant safety. “Rino Rappuoli wrote in one of his articles¹ that the development of vaccine adjuvants may be one of the slowest processes in the history of medicine,” he said. “I couldn’t agree more.”

Rappuoli is global head of R&D at Novartis Vaccines.

Leitner added that when pharma does pursue adjuvant discovery it is primarily in the context of a specific vaccine product and does not necessarily yield adjuvants that can be used with other vaccines. “Pharmas are also limited in what they can combine because they need IP on the components. So, for example, GSK is only combining the compounds that they own.”

Jay Evans, senior scientist and investigator at GSK, told *SciBX* that from industry’s perspective, adjuvant discovery and development is time consuming, challenging and costly. Evans is one of the recipients of the NIAID awards.

He added that the best way to make progress in the field is to develop a suite of agents that would give a company versatility for its internal programs. “The traditional model has been to develop an adjuvant and use it for everything in your portfolio, but we are finding now that each one has its own useful indication, but it does not work for all indications,” he said. “We are finding that the toolbox might have some gaps in it, especially for some of the harder-to-treat diseases which adjuvants are being used for now.”

Filling in the gaps

Because little is known about how alum acts as an adjuvant, a second major goal of the NIH program is to better understand the mechanisms of action of new adjuvants that are discovered.

“If you think about alum, it has been around for a hundred years and we still have no clue how it works,” said Leitner. “We will have researchers looking at why alum does what it does, how it does it, and that will keep them busy for a while.”

In the NIAID-funded projects’ first few years, the research teams will screen a combined total of more than one million compounds to find agents capable of stimulating human immune cells involved in both the innate and adaptive responses. Unlike many previous screening efforts, the primary screens will include assays to eliminate compounds likely to have safety issues.

Herman Staats, an award recipient and a professor of pathology at **Duke University**, told *SciBX* that his team will be looking for a new class of adjuvant that directly stimulates mast cells. “Mast cells upon activation release several key immune regulatory mediators that activate dendritic cells and T cells, resulting in powerful adaptive immune responses,” he said.

Nelson’s team at the Oregon Health & Science University plans to screen at least 100,000 compounds that directly stimulate human dendritic cells—a key player in adaptive immunity—and use primary screens to eliminate compounds that are cytotoxic, cause nonspecific activation, directly stimulate T and B cell activation or induce inflammatory cytokines. Nelson told *SciBX* that these more rigorous filters will help the researchers identify compounds tailored to stimulate specific immune pathways that have a lower risk of safety problems.

Evans said that the GSK team will screen for classes of adjuvants that are new to GSK and could fill a potential gap in the company’s pipeline of adjuvants. He said that the team would assess both the mechanism and potential side effects early in the screening process to optimize the design of safe adjuvants with tailored responses.

Parmley, S. *SciBX* 7(44); doi:10.1038/scibx.2014.1281
Published online Nov. 13, 2014

“We want a pipeline of novel adjuvants, and we want adjuvants that give you dose sparing and that improve efficacy of the vaccine but without increasing the toxicity or adverse events.”

—Wolfgang Leitner,
National Institute of Allergy and
Infectious Diseases

Table 2. Selected adjuvants and adjuvanted vaccines for infectious disease. Although many marketed vaccines for infectious diseases use alum as an adjuvant, there are three marketed vaccines and one in registration that use newer adjuvants. In addition, there are at least 17 new adjuvants being paired with protective vaccines in various stages of development. At least two other preclinical adjuvant candidates are not yet paired with a vaccine.

Source: BCIQ: BioCentury Online Intelligence

Adjuvant	Adjuvant description	Corresponding vaccine	Company	Status
AS03	Oil-in-water emulsion with squalene, DL- α -tocopherol and polysorbate 80	Q-Pan, an H5N1 influenza vaccine	GlaxoSmithKline plc (LSE:GSK; NYSE:GSK)	Marketed
AS04	Alum and monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL), a toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) ligand	Cervarix, an HPV vaccine	GSK	Marketed
MF59	Oil-in-water emulsion with squalene	Fluad, an influenza vaccine	Novartis AG (NYSE:NVS; SIX:NOVN)	Marketed
AS01	GSK's MPL and Agenus Inc.'s (NASDAQ:AGEN) QS-21 Stimulon, a purified saponin adjuvant	Mosquirix, a malaria vaccine	GSK; Agenus	Registration
Immunostimulatory DNA sequences	TLR9 ligand	Heplisav, an HBV vaccine	Dynavax Technologies Corp. (NASDAQ:DVAX)	Phase III
IC31	Leucine-rich peptide KLK and synthetic oligonucleotide ODN1a	AERAS-456, a <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> (TB) vaccine	Aeras; Statens Serum Institute; Valneva SE (Euronext:VLA; VSE:VLA)	Phase II
IL-2 protein	Vector-encoded <i>IL-2</i> gene	TG4001, an HPV vaccine	Transgene S.A. (Euronext:TNG); European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer	Phase II
Endocine	Endogenous human lipids	Immunose FLU, an influenza vaccine	Eurocine Vaccines AB (AktieTorget:EUCI)	Phase I/II
Bacterium-like particles (BLPs)	Self-adjuvanting vehicles	FluGEM, an influenza vaccine	Mucosis B.V.	Phase I/II
Matrix M	Saponin-derived purified product	Influenza vaccine	Novavax Inc. (NASDAQ:NVAX); Johnson & Johnson (NYSE:JNJ)	Phase I/II
Flagellin	TLR5 ligand	VAX102, an influenza vaccine	VaxInnate Corp.	Phase I/II
ABX196	Undisclosed	ABX196, an HBV vaccine	Abivax S.A.S.	Phase I
Glucopyranosyl lipid A (GLA)	TLR4 ligand	MEDI7510, a respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) vaccine	AstraZeneca plc (LSE:AZN; NYSE:AZN); Immune Design Corp. (NASDAQ:IMDZ)	Phase I
Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; CSF2) protein	Vector-encoded <i>GM-CSF</i> gene	GOVX-B21, an HIV vaccine	GeoVax Labs Inc. (OTCBB:GOVX)	Phase I
GLA-stable emulsion (GLA-SE)	TLR4 ligand	TB vaccine	Infectious Disease Research Institute; Aeras	Phase I
W805EC	Oil-in-water emulsion	NB-1008, an influenza vaccine	NanoBio Corp.; Merck & Co. Inc. (NYSE:MRK)	Phase I
Immunostimulatory double-strand RNA sequences	TLR3 ligand	ND1.1, an influenza vaccine	Vaxart Inc.	Phase I
Advax	Inulin-derived product	HBV vaccine	Vaxine Pty. Ltd.	Phase I
Vaxfectin	Cationic lipid-based formulation	Dengue virus vaccine	Vical Inc. (NASDAQ:VICL)	Phase I
Adjuplex	Lecithin and carbomer homopolymer	Not applicable	Advanced BioAdjuvants LLC	Preclinical
AT-1004	Zonulin receptor peptide agonist	Not applicable	Alba Therapeutics Corp.	Preclinical
MAS-1	Oil-and-water nanoparticle emulsion	MER4101, an influenza vaccine	Mercia Pharma Inc.	Preclinical
P3CSK4	TLR2 lipopeptide ligand	RSV vaccine	Mymetics Corp. (OTCBB:MYMX)	Preclinical

REFERENCES

1. De Gregorio, E. *et al.* *Eur. J. Immunol.* **38**, 2068–2071 (2008)

COMPANIES AND INSTITUTIONS MENTIONED

Duke University, Durham, N.C.

GlaxoSmithKline plc (LSE:GSK; NYSE:GSK), London, U.K.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Md.

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.

Novartis AG (NYSE:NVS; SIX:NOVN), Basel, Switzerland

Oregon Health & Science University, Beaverton, Ore.

Vaxine Pty. Ltd., Garran, Australian Capital Territory, Australia