# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 9 October 2017 Accepted: 24 April 2018 Published online: 18 May 2018

## **OPEN** Formation of zinc sulfide species during roasting of ZnO with pyrite and its contribution on flotation

Yong-xing Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Jin-fang Lv<sup>3</sup>, Hua Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Shu-ming Wen<sup>1</sup> & Jie Pang<sup>3</sup>

In this paper, formation of zinc sulfide species during roasting of ZnO with FeS<sub>2</sub> was investigated and its contribution on flotation was illustrated. The evolution process, phase and crystal growth were investigated by thermogravimetry (TG), X-Ray diffraction (XRD) along with thermodynamic calculation and scanning electron microscopy-Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS), respectively, to interpret the formation mechanism of ZnS species. It was found that ZnS was initially generated at about 450 °C and then the reaction prevailed at about 600 °C. The generated Fe<sub>x</sub>S would dissolve into ZnS and then form (Zn, Fe)S compound in form of Fe<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> when temperature increased to about 750 °C. This obviously accelerated ZnS phase formation and growth. In addition, it was known that increasing of ZnO dosage had few effects on the decomposition behavior of FeS<sub>2</sub>. Then, flotation tests of different zinc oxide materials before and after treatment were performed to further confirm that the flotation performances of the treated materials could be obviously improved. Finally, a scheme diagram was proposed to regular its application to mineral processing. It was systematically illustrated that different types of ZnS species needed to be synthetized when sulfidization roasting-flotation process was carried out to treat zinc oxide materials.

Zinc is one of the most important metals supporting modern society. Nowadays, more than 70% of Zn is produced from zinc sulfide concentrates by conventional roasting-leaching-electrowinning processes in the world<sup>1,2</sup>. With continuous exploitation of resources, the primary resources are presently insufficient to supply demand. Fortunately, there are still abundant of zinc oxide resources undeveloped, such as zinc oxide ore, lead and zinc smelter slags and steelmaking dust. However, it seems to be difficult for valuable metal recovery from the refractory zinc oxide resources, which are usually characterized by low grade, complex composition and high content of slime<sup>3,4</sup>.

Flotation is the most common and commercial technique applied to recover nonferrous oxide minerals and for zinc recovery, sulfidization with alkali metal sulfides, followed by treatment with cationic collectors is usually adopted. After sulfidization, the hydrophilicity of the mineral surface decreases due to the presence of the sulfide ion adsorbed. In present case, the mineral can be well collected with cationic collectors<sup>5,6</sup>. However, its effectiveness is not entirely satisfactory when significant amounts of slime occur<sup>3</sup>. Moreover, it becomes almost impossible to recover zinc by conventional flotation when the zinc exists in form of amorphous glassy state, e.g. zinc in the lead smelter slag<sup>7,8</sup>.

In order to overcome the above disadvantages, many metallurgical methods are directly proposed. In the pyrometallurgical ones, Waelz and Ausmelt methods are commonly and industrially applied to recover zinc. But these processes need consuming considerable amounts of power and coal for providing high operating temperature (1150–1250 °C)<sup>9</sup>. Meanwhile, a variety of pollution caused by heavy metals, SO<sub>2</sub> and waste water are produced. So, it seems not to be economically and technically feasible, especially for the low grade materials. In the hydrometallurgical ones, acid leaching and alkaline leaching are widely used to extract zinc. Acid leaching using sulfuric acid as solvent is usually considered to be effective in treating the zinc oxide materials, but there are many limitations for the materials containing silicates and basic gangues. A large quantity of silicates will dissolve and transform to gel, inhibiting the separation of the zinc sulfate solution from the slurry<sup>10,11</sup>. But for the basic gangues, they mainly

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Complex Nonferrous Metal Resources Clean Utilization, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, 650093, China. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Metallurgical and Energy Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, 650093, China. <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Land Resource Engineering, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, 650093, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed toY.-x.Z. (email: yongxingzheng2017@126.com) or J.-f.L. (email: jflv2017@126.com)



**Figure 1.** XRD patterns of the synthesized and natural samples (**a**) synthesized ZnO; (**b**) natural smithsonite; (**c**) natural sphalerite; (**d**) natural pyrite).

consume considerable amounts of sulfuric acid and then transform into precipitation of calcium sulfate, making the whole process more complex and in view of this, alkaline leaching using various solvents such as ammonia, ammonium chloride and ammonium carbonate exhibits good selectivity against the basic gangues<sup>12,13</sup>. However, the work environment will become bad caused by the volatilization of ammonia.

It is well known that the sulfide minerals are easier to float than their corresponding oxide minerals. If an effective method to vulcanize the oxide mineral is developed, the existing mineral processing and metallurgical processes can be applied to treat these synthetic sulfides. In the available literature, mechanical-chemical<sup>14,15</sup> and hydrothermal processes<sup>16,17</sup> were suggested to vulcanize the zinc oxide materials, but there were some limitations in application due to slow transformation process and fine particle nature. Generally, high temperature is favorable for improving reaction rate and crystallinity<sup>7,18</sup>. Therefore, roasting process was proposed to vulcanize the zinc oxide materials. Li *et al.*<sup>19</sup> and Zheng *et al.*<sup>20</sup> investigated the sulfidization of zinc oxide mineral with elemental sulfur at high temperatures and their sulfidization extents could reach above 90%. Zheng<sup>8</sup> studied mineralogical reconstruction of the lead smelter slag using pyrite as vulcanizing reagent and zinc recovery. The results showed that the sulfidization extent of zinc reached 85.62% and the zinc grade increased from 14.07% to 25.12% after one stage of flotation. However, the previous researches about sulfidization roasting have been mainly restricted to the investigation of process optimization. Studies about interaction mechanisms between zinc oxide and vulcanizing reagent and flotation responses of the treated materials are limited.

In this paper, the formation mechanisms of zinc sulfides involving evolution process, phase variation and crystal growth were investigated by TG, XRD, thermodynamic calculation and SEM-EDS. Then, flotation tests of different zinc oxide materials including natural smithsonite before and after sulfidization roasting, natural sphalerite and lead smelter slag (mainly  $Zn_2SiO_4$ ) were carried out to further confirm that the treated zinc oxide materials exhibited good flotation responses in conventional flotation system. Finally, a systematic technical scheme was devised. The objective of this study was to clarify the formation process of zinc sulfide species at high temperatures and provide an excellent theory reference for recovering Zn from different zinc oxide resources.

#### **Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** ZnO sample at a particle size less than 74  $\mu$ m was prepared by decomposing basic zinc carbonate with an analytical grade. About 150 g of the sample was loaded into an alundum crucible equipped with a cover. Then, the pan was placed in the furnace heated at 600 °C for 1.5 h and then cooled. The obtained roasting products were analyzed by XRD, as shown in Fig. 1(a), which revealed that the sample is of high purity. Smithsonite, sphalerite and pyrite are natural crystal minerals, which were provided from a mine in Yunnan province. They were ground to  $-74 \,\mu$ m, waiting for roasting tests and various analyses. Chemical analyses<sup>21,22</sup> showed that the



Figure 2. Experimental schematic of the micro-flotation.

smithsonite containing 51.24% Zn, the sphalerite containing 65.3% Zn and 32.8% S and the pyrite containing 47% Fe and 47.9% S. XRD patterns of these natural minerals are shown in Fig. 1(b–d), which also disclosed them with high purity.

Argon (Ar) was used as a protective gas with a purity of 99.9%. Copper sulphate as an activator, butyl xanthate as a collector, and terpineol as a frother were used in the micro-flotation experiments.

**Experimental method.** *TG tests.* Roasting of zinc oxide with pyrite was conducted at a thermal-analyzer (NETZSCH5, STA 449 F3). Some parameters such as initial and final temperature ( $25 \sim 900$  °C), gas flow rate, sample mass and heating rate could be exactly preset. Firstly, pyrite and zinc oxide were weighted using an electronic balance with an accuracy of  $10^{-4}$  g, and then were mixed on an  $Al_2O_3$  pan, suspended on a holder. A vertical electric furnace tube was moved downward and a vacuum of 99% was obtained. With the flow of argon from bottom of the furnace at a rate of 100 mL/min, the vacuum was slowly released to zero. The non-isothermal tests were carried out within 25 to 900 °C at a heating rate of 15 °C/min. In the isothermal tests, sample was heated at a heating rate of 30 °C/min to a desired temperature and then reacted for about 120 min. The related reactions can be described as<sup>7,23</sup>:

$$2\text{FeS}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{FeS} + \text{S}_2(g) \tag{1}$$

$$2ZnO + 3FeS_2 = 2ZnS + 3FeS + SO_2(g)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

From Eqs (1) and (2), it can be known that 1 mole of elemental sulfur will be lost in form of sulfur vapour ( $S_2$ ) when 1 mole of pyrite was heated. When ZnO was added into the system while the pyrite dosage was fixed as 1 mole, only 1/3 mole of elemental sulfur will be lost in form of SO<sub>2</sub>. In order to investigate the effect of ZnO introduced on the pyrite decomposition behaviors, which was nearly considered to be the interaction mechanism of ZnO and pyrite, the amount of pyrite (30.0 mg) was fixed while the amount of ZnO (6.75~20.25 mg) was varied in the non-isothermal process. Nevertheless, the remaining percentage outputted by the computer was based on the total amounts of zinc oxide and pyrite. Therefore, the remaining percentage relative to the amount of pyrite (30.0 mg) can be transformed as:

$$R = 1 - \frac{M_1 \times (1 - a\%) \times 1/2}{M_2} \times 100\%$$
(3)

where R is the remaining percentage of pyrite when the zinc oxide was added;  $M_1$  is the total weight of zinc oxide and pyrite,  $M_2$  is the weight of pyrite (30.0 mg); a% is the remaining percentage for the mixed sample of pyrite and zinc oxide; 1/2 is the weight percentage of sulfur accounting for the sulfur dioxide.

Pretreatment of zinc oxide minerals and flotation tests. Sulfidization roasting were usually performed in the temperature range of  $600-750 \,^{\circ}C^{20,24}$ . In order to examine the flotation performances of zinc oxide minerals after treatment, the roasting temperature was determined as  $650 \,^{\circ}C$ . In our previous theoretical calculation<sup>25</sup>, the amount of ZnS nearly reached 100% when the FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio was fixed to be about 0.7 at 700  $\,^{\circ}C$ . Therefore, FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratios of 0.30 and 0.15 for the surface thermal modification were selected in this paper. Pyrite and smithsonite were mixed in a desired mole ratio. The mixture was loaded into a 50 mL alundum crucible equipped with a cover. Then, the alundum crucible was placed in the furnace while the argon was introduced at a flow rate of 1.8 L/min. Finally, the heating procedure was started up until the desired temperature was obtained. After 60 min of residence time, the roasted sample was cooled under argon, waiting for flotation tests.

Micro-flotation was carried out in a cell with an effective volume of approximate 40 cm<sup>3</sup> and the flotation flow sheet is shown in Fig. 2. After flotation, concentrate and bottom product were washed with distilled water, filtered, dried, weighed and calculated.



**Figure 3.** TG and DTG curves of the samples with respect to temperature and different mole ratio of FeS<sub>2</sub> to ZnO.

**XRD and SEM-EDS analyses.** The samples obtained from the isothermal tests were examined on a Bruker-axs D8 Advance XRD (Germany) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å). The operation voltage and current kept at 40 kV and 40 mA, respectively. Morphological analyses of the obtained products were detected by SEM. The SEM (JEOL. LTD, JSM-6360LV) was working at 20 kV electron accelerating voltage. Semiquantitative information analyses were also performed using an X-ray energy dispersive spectrometer (EDAX.LTD, EDX-GENESIS 60S).

#### **Results and Discussions**

**ZnO roasting in presence of pyrite.** *TG tests.* Figure 3 shows the non-isothermal TG and DTG curves of the samples. From Fig. 3(a), it can be seen that the mass for single pyrite (Curve 1) slightly decreased when temperature increased from 480 °C to 550 °C. This may be accounted by the oxidization reaction of pyrite and adsorbed oxygen<sup>26</sup>. The mass slightly fluctuated as temperature increased from 550 °C. Mass loss continued and its maximum rate appeared at about 680 °C when temperature increased to 700 °C. These can be explained as<sup>27,28</sup>:

$$2\text{FeS}_2(s) \rightarrow 2\text{FeS}_x(s) + (2 - x)\text{S}_2(g) \tag{4}$$

$$2Fe_3O_4 + 5S_2(g) \rightarrow 6FeS + 4SO_2(g) \tag{5}$$

With further increasing temperature, the mass slightly decreased, indicating that the decomposition of pyrrhotine (FeS<sub>x</sub>) continuously occurred (Eq. (6))<sup>28</sup>. These results suggested that the decomposition process of pyrite under argon atmosphere was a slow release process of sulfur from FeS<sub>2</sub> to FeS.

$$2\text{FeS}_{x}(s) = 2\text{FeS}(s) + (x - 1)\text{S}_{2}(g)$$
(6)

When ZnO was introduced into the system (Curves 2–4), it can be observed that the mass slightly fluctuated and then began to decrease when temperature increased to about 450 °C. This can be explained by the solid-solid reaction (Eq. (7)).

$$9\text{FeS}_2 + 16\text{ZnO} \to 16\text{ZnS} + 3\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 2\text{SO}_2(\text{g}) \quad (\Delta\text{G}_{\text{T}}^{\theta} = -133.3\text{kJ}, \text{ T} = 298\text{K})$$
(7)

Mass loss continued and its maximum rate (Fig. 3(b)) appeared at about 600 °C when temperature increased to about 650 °C. In addition, it was found that the remaining percentage of the sample was more than that of the single pyrite, confirming that the solid-gas reaction occurred (Eq. (8))<sup>20,24</sup>. In other words, the released elemental sulfur from pyrite was fixed in form of ZnS, resulting in mass increase of the remaining sample.

$$4ZnO(s) + 3S_2(g) = 4ZnS(s) + 2SO_2(g)$$
(8)

Additionally, mass loss of the sample still continued when the temperature increased above 650 °C. This may be attributed to the decomposition of pyrrhotine (FeS<sub>x</sub>) (Eq. (6)) and its further reaction with ZnO. However, it seemed that increasing of ZnO dosage had few effects on the decomposition behavior of pyrite, indicating that its capacity of fixing sulfur was limited.

Figure 4 shows the isothermal TG curves of the sample. It can be seen that mass loss slowly decreased in the time range of 15–50 min when temperature was fixed as 550 °C. When the temperature increased, the mass loss sharply decreased in the time range of 15–20 min. Combining with the TG curves (Fig. 3), it can be known that the mass loss was mainly attributed to the interaction of zinc oxide and the generated sulfur vapor (Eq. (8)). With further prolonging their holding time, the mass was nearly constant at 550 °C and 650 °C, but the the mass decreased at 750 °C and 850 °C, indicating that the further decomposition of pyrrhotine (FeS<sub>x</sub>) occurred (Eq. (6)). In addition, it can be also observed that the temperature was the higher, the less the remaining sample mass was. This can be explained that the decomposition of pyrite was accelerated when the temperature increased.







**Figure 5.** XRD patterns of the roasted products at different temperatures ( $n_{FeS2}$ : $n_{ZnO} = 1:1$ ).

*Phase transformation.* In order to confirm the above deductions, phase analyses were carried out for the obtained products under isothermal TG tests and the results are shown in Fig. 5. According to this figure, it can be seen that there were obvious peaks of ZnS and Fe<sub>x</sub>S, weak peaks of ZnO and Fe<sub>2.964</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at 550 °C, indicating that the reactions involving Eqs (4), (7) and (8) occurred. The peak of ZnO disappeared and the peak intensity of ZnS increased when the temperature increased to 650 °C. With further increasing temperature, the peak intensity of ZnS further increased and interestingly, the Fe<sub>x</sub>S peak completely disappeared at 850 °C. Moreover, the FeS peak which was generated by the further decomposition of Fe<sub>x</sub>S (Eq. (6)) couldn't be also observed. This may be explained that the ZnS and FeS formed the (Zn, Fe)S compound.

Additionally, it can be also observed that the (111) peak shifted towards low diffraction angle areas with increasing roasting temperature, indicating that the Fe<sup>2+</sup> in FeS replaced the Zn<sup>2+</sup> in ZnS and then formed the (Zn, Fe)S compound in form of Fe<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub>. As Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the ilmenite have larger ionic radius than that of Fe<sup>3+</sup> generated by magnetic modification, the unite cell exhibits continuous expansion with increasing roasting temperature<sup>29-31</sup>, corresponding to the change in (111) peak position.

In order to gain insight into the phase transformation process, the phase stability boundaries were calculated using the Tpp Diagrams module of Out-okumpu HSC5.0<sup>25,32</sup>, assuming that all solids have a unit activity. The predominance area diagram of Zn-S-O (red dot line) and Fe-S-O (black solid line) system at 800 °C were plotted, as shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the condensed phases were obviously affected by the partial pressures of  $O_2$  and SO<sub>2</sub>. In this study, the desired ZnS could be prepared using ZnO and FeS<sub>2</sub> as raw material by controlling their partial pressures. In addition, it can be observed that other phases such as Fe, FeO, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, FeS, Fe<sub>0.877</sub>S and FeS<sub>2</sub> could exist with ZnS. Pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) would be decomposed into Fe<sub>x</sub>S in practice, but it would be difficult to further transform into metallic Fe. On the other hand, FeS<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>x</sub>S were detected by XRD.

*Morphology changes.* It was surprising that the phase of  $Fe_xS$  disappeared when roasting temperature increased to 850 °C. In order to further clarify this phenomenon, the obtained samples under isothermal TG tests were examined by SEM-EDS and their corresponding results are shown in Fig. 7. It can be seen that the ZnS particles with cotton wool configuration were formed at 550 °C. There was no obvious change when the temperature increased to 650 °C. With further temperature increasing, the ZnS particles started to aggregate and grow, resulting in occurrence of some grains with tetrahedron and kidney shapes, which exhibited complete crystal nature. This may be accounted by the explanation that the molten phase is responsible for the rapid grain coarsening, where smaller particles will go into solution preferentially and precipitate on larger particles, accelerating the transport rate as liquids diffuse faster than solids<sup>833,34</sup>. In addition, the EDS spectrums of the roasted samples also



Figure 6. Predominance area diagrams of Zn-S-O and Fe-S-O system at 800 °C.

exhibits that the Fe content increased with increasing the roasting temperature, confirming that the ZnS and FeS formed the (Zn, Fe)S compound. This result explained that the  $Fe_xS$  phase could not be detected by XRD. Besides, the elemental sulfur content also increased with increasing the temperature, corresponding to the peak intensity of ZnS increased in Fig. 5.

Therefore, pyrite can be considered as an effective vulcanizing agent for sulfidization of ZnO. On the one hand, it can not only provide sulfur at high temperatures, but also serve as an activating agent (mainly FeS) to accelerate the formation of ZnS phase. However, it is not favorable for the following flotation when excessive dosage of FeS<sub>2</sub> is added due to the increase of Fe content in (Zn, Fe)S compound. In addition, the un-reacted Fe<sub>x</sub>S can easily report the flotation concentrate in the process of zinc recovery, making the whole separation process more complex.

**The effect of above pretreatment on flotation**. Surface sulfidization and flotation responses. It is technically feasible to recover valuable metals from the refractory oxides resources by sulfidization roasting followed by flotation. In the available literature, studies mainly focused on improving sulfidization extent of ZnO materials<sup>19,24,34</sup>. Factually, it is not fully advisable that the flotation response is an absolute positive correlation with its sulfidization extent. It is well known that copper and lead oxide minerals, except zinc oxide minerals, after treatment with Na<sub>2</sub>S can be well collected by xanthate<sup>5,35</sup>. The poor zinc recovery is mainly ascribed to a terrible surface sulfidization of ZnO mineral in the pulp. Combining with the above analyses, a stable thin film of ZnS could be formed on the surface of the ZnO mineral by sulfidization roasting with pyrite in a temperature range of 450–750 °C. In order to confirm that the zinc oxide mineral after sulfidization roasting can be recovered by conventional flotation technology, micro-flotation tests were carried for different minerals, as shown in Fig. 8.

From Fig. 8(a), it can be seen that flotation yield of natural sphalerite increased to 97.5%, whereas flotation yield of smithsonite only reached 15% when copper sulphate concentration increased to  $6 \times 10^{-5}$  M. Increasing of butyl xanthate concentrations also had little effects on the flotation yield of smithsonite (Fig. 8(b)). After sulfidization roasting with pyrite at different dosages, their flotation performances had obviously changed. From Fig. 8(c), it can be observed that flotation yield of the treated smithsonite increased from 20.5% to 66% and from 21% to 76%, respectively corresponding to the FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratios of 0.15 and 0.30, when copper sulphate concentration increased from 0 M to  $18 \times 10^{-5}$  M. With further increasing copper sulphate concentration, their flotation yields had little changes. Therefore, the optimal copper sulphate concentration was determined to be  $18 \times 10^{-5}$  M and the following experiments were carried out at this concentration. The effect of butyl xanthate concentrations on their flotation yields were shown in Fig. 8(d). It can be seen that their flotation yields reached 66.5% and 75% when butyl xanthate concentrations increased  $24 \times 10^{-5}$  M. With further increasing butyl xanthate concentration, there were little changes for their flotation yields. Compared with the natural sphalerite, the flotation yield seemed to be lower. This may be accounted by the fact that not only ZnS films formed on the surface of zinc oxide minerals, but also iron oxides such as  $Fe_3O_4$  appeared after roasting. The latter could not be collected by the xanthate, resulting in the lower flotation yield of the mixed material. In other word, the flotation yield for the separate smithsonite after treatment should be higher than 75%. Therefore, it was concluded that the smithsonite after sulfidization roasting had a similar flotation behavior to the natural sphalerite. In addition, smithsonite roasted with pyrite at a FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio of 0.3 exhibited a good flotation performance contrast to the sulfidization treatment at a FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio of 0.15, further verifying the moderate FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio (0.3) in our previous studies about surface modification of smithsonite at high temperatures<sup>25</sup>.

*Complete sulfidization and flotation responses.* It is very necessary to completely transform the ZnO mineral at a fine size fraction into ZnS mineral and even though, the artificial ZnS mineral can be also difficult to be collected by xanthate due to the fine particle nature<sup>7,8,34</sup>. Taking the lead smelter slag for example, zinc oxide mainly existed in form of  $Zn_2SiO_4^{7,8}$ . It is usually difficult to transform the  $Zn_2SiO_4$  into ZnS in the temperature range of 450–750 °C. This can be explained that the sulfidization of  $Zn_2SiO_4$  needed more rigorous thermodynamic conditions than that of the common ZnO.

550°C	Element	Wt%-	At%
	OK	02.24	06.58-
	AlK	00.67-	01.17-
	SiKe	01.07-	01.79.
	SK	27.08-	39.74.
	FeK	08.95	07.54
	ZnK	59.99.	43.17.
	Matrix	Correction	ZAF
	Element.	Wt‰	At‰
650°C	OK	01.68	04.86
		00.59	00.00
A Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An	AIK	00.38	00.99
and the second s	<u>Sik</u>	00.88	01.44.
a start and a	SK	31.33.	45.200
	FeK	09.29.	07.70.
the second second	ZnK	56.24.	39.80.
	Matrix	Correction	ZAF
750°C	Element	Wt‰	At%-
750°C	Element. OK.	Wt%» 01.11»	At%= 03.12=
750°C	Element OK- <u>AlK</u> -	Wt%= 01.11= 00.49=	At%~ 03.12~ 00.81~
750°C	Element OK <u>AlK</u> <u>SiK</u>	Wt%> 01.11> 00.49> 00.73>	At‰ 03.12. 00.81. 01.16.
750°C	Element           OK- <u>AlK-</u> <u>SiK-</u> SK-	Wt%- 01.11- 00.49- 00.73- 35.80-	At% - 03.12 - 00.81 - 01.16 - 50.23 -
750°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- FeK-	Wt%- 01.11- 00.49- 00.73- 35.80- 17.99-	At%. 03.12. 00.81. 01.16. 50.23. 14.49.
75°C	Element OK AlK SiK SK Fek ZnK	Wt%- 01.11- 00.49- 00.73- 35.80- 17.99- 43.88-	At% · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
750°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- FeK- ZnK- Matrix-	Wt%- 01.11- 00.49- 00.73- 35.80- 17.99- 43.88- Correction-	At%> 03.12> 00.81> 01.16> 50.23> 14.49> 30.19> ZAF>
750°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- Fek- ZnK- Matrix-	Wt%> 01.11- 00.49> 00.73> 35.80> 17.99> 43.88> Correction> Wt%>	At% 03.12. 00.81. 01.16. 50.23. 14.49. 30.19. ZAF. At%
750°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- FeK- ZnK- Matrix- Element- OK-	Wt%-           01.11-           00.49-           00.73-           35.80-           17.99-           43.88-           Correction-           Wt%-           04.89-	At% 03.12 00.81 01.16 50.23 14.49 30.19 ZAF At% 12.86
750°C EEI 2µm 850°C	Element OK AlK SiK SK SK Fek Znk Matrix Element OK AlK	Wt%           01.11           00.49           00.73           35.80           17.99           43.88           Correction           Wt%           04.89           00.56	At%- 03.12- 00.81- 01.16- 50.23- 14.49- 30.19- ZAF- ZAF- 12.86- 00.87-
750°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- Element- OK- AlK- SiK-	Wt%-           01.11-           00.49-           00.73-           35.80-           17.99-           43.88-           Correction-           Wt%-           04.89-           00.56-           01.15-	At% 03.12. 00.81. 01.16. 50.23. 14.49. 30.19. ZAF. At% 12.86. 00.87. 01.73.
750°C EEI 2µm 850°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- FeK- ZnK- Matrix- Element- OK- AlK- SiK- SK-	Wt%-           01.11-           00.49-           00.73-           35.80-           17.99-           43.88-           Correction-           Wt%-           04.89-           00.56-           01.15-           30.35-	At% 03.12 00.81 01.16 50.23 14.49 30.19 ZAF 12.86 00.87 01.73 39.80
750°C FEI 2µm 850°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- Element- OK- AlK- SiK- SK- SK-	Wt%-           01.11-           00.49-           00.73-           35.80-           17.99-           43.88-           Correction-           Wt%-           04.89-           00.56-           01.15-           30.35-           38.39-	At% 03.12. 00.81. 01.16. 50.23. 14.49. 30.19. ZAF. At% 00.87. 00.87. 01.73. 39.80. 28.90.
750°C EEI 2µm 850°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- FeK- ZnK- Matrix- Element- OK- AlK- SiK- SK- FeK- ZnK-	Wt%-           01.11-           00.49-           00.73-           35.80-           17.99-           43.88-           Correction-           Wt%-           04.89-           00.56-           01.15-           30.35-           38.39-           24.65-	At% 03.12 00.81 01.16 50.23 14.49 30.19 ZAF 12.86 00.87 01.73 39.80 28.90 15.85
750°C 5E1 2µm 850°C	Element OK- AlK- SiK- SK- Element- OK- AlK- SiK- SK- SK- FeK- ZnK- Matrix-	Wt%           01.11-           00.49-           00.73-           35.80-           17.99-           43.88-           Correction-           Wt%-           04.89-           00.56-           01.15-           30.35-           38.39-           24.65-           Correction-	At%         03.12.         00.81.         01.16.         50.23.         14.49.         30.19.         ZAF.         00.81.         230.19.         230.19.         30.19.         30.19.         2AF.         12.86.         00.87.         01.73.         39.80.         28.90.         15.85.         ZAF.

Figure 7. BSE images and EDS spectrums of the roasted products at different temperatures.

In our previous studies<sup>7,8</sup>, it was found that temperature had an obvious affect on the sulfidization extent of  $Zn_2SiO_4$ . The results showed that the sulfidization extent of  $Zn_2SiO_4$  increased from about 21% to 83%, when the temperature increased from 550 °C to 850 °C. In addition, it was also confirmed that the (Zn, Fe)S compound could be more easily formed at a higher temperature, which obviously facilitated the aggregation of ZnS particles. After one stage of flotation for the materials roasted at 850 °C, the zinc grade and recovery increased from about 14% to 25% and from 0 to 67%, respectively.

*Contribution on flotation.* In summary, different types of ZnS species need to be synthetized when sulfidization roasting-flotation process is carried out to treat different zinc oxide materials. This significantly depends on the



**Figure 8.** Flotation performances of natural smithsonite before and after treatment and natural sphalerite at a natural pH and a terpineol dosage of  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  M (**a**) butyl xanthate concentrations:  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  M; (**b**) copper sulphate concentrations:  $6 \times 10^{-5}$  M; (**c**) butyl xanthate concentrations:  $40 \times 10^{-5}$  M; (**d**) copper sulphate concentrations:  $18 \times 10^{-5}$  M).



Figure 9. Proposed scheme diagram for sulfidization of refractory zinc oxides materials.

.....

roasting temperature and pyrite dosage. In order to regularize the application of sulfidization roasting to mineral processing, a scheme diagram for the sulfidization of refractory zinc oxides materials was proposed, as shown in Fig. 9.

According to the figure, it can be known that recovery of zinc from different zinc materials needed different sulfidization methods. When zinc recovery from the zinc oxide ores, where the zinc mainly exists in form of carbonate, is carried out, surface sulfidization will be reasonable. On the one hand, the FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio should be lower than 0.7, which is the critical value in theory for complete transformation of ZnO into ZnS<sup>25</sup>. On the other hand, the roasting temperature should be controlled in the range of 450–750 °C. when the FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio nears to 0.7 and even beyond this value, the remaining iron sulfides also reports the flotation concentrate. In addition, when the roasting temperature beyond 750 °C, the generated ZnS mineral not only aggregated with Fe<sub>x</sub>S, followed by formation of (Zn, Fe)S compound, but also aggregated with other gangues. Both of which were not beneficial for improving grade of the flotation concentrate. When zinc recovery from the zinc silicate

materials is performed, it is necessary to completely transform zinc silicates to zinc sulfides. On the one hand, the  $FeS_2/ZnO$  mole ratio should be higher than 0.7. On the other hand, the roasting temperature should be higher than 750 °C. According to Fig. 7 and our previous studies<sup>7,8</sup>, the roasting temperature should be around 850 °C. The (Zn, Fe)S compound could be more easily formed at this temperature, accelerating the aggregation of ZnS particles.

### Conclusions

- (1) Formation of zinc sulfide species during roasting of ZnO with pyrite was accompanied by the decomposition and transformation of FeS<sub>2</sub>. Many species such as ZnS, (Zn, Fe)S compound in form of Fe<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub>, Fe<sub>x</sub>S and Fe<sub>2.964</sub>O<sub>4</sub> were formed in the process. Pyrite could not only provide sulfur at high temperatures, but also serve as an activating agent (mainly FeS) to accelerate the formation of ZnS phase.
- (2) Zinc sulfide was initially generated at about 450 °C and then the sulfidization reaction prevailed at about 600 °C. The generated Fe<sub>x</sub>S would dissolve into ZnS and then form (Zn, Fe)S compound in form of Fe<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>3</sub>S<sub>5</sub> when temperature increased to about 750 °C. With further increasing temperature, the ZnS particles obviously aggregated, making the particle size increase. In addition, increasing of ZnO dosage had few effects on the decomposition behavior of pyrite.
- (3) Zinc recovery from different zinc oxide materials by sulfidization roasting-flotation process was carried out. Flotation yield of the natural smithsonite after roasting with pyrite at a FeS<sub>2</sub>/ZnCO<sub>3</sub> mole ratio of 0.30 increased by about 55%, contrast to the un-treated natural smithsonite. The zinc silicates in the lead smelter slag could be well transformed into zinc sulfides and the zinc flotation performances were obviously improved.
- (4) A scheme diagram for the sulfidization of refractory zinc oxides materials was proposed to regularize the application of sulfidization roasting to mineral processing. Different types of ZnS species needed to be synthetized when sulfidization roasting-flotation process was carried out to treat different zinc oxide materials. The formation of ZnS species mainly depended on the roasting temperature and pyrite dosage.

#### References

- 1. Balarini, J. C., Polli, L. D. O., Miranda, T. L. S., Castro, R. M. Z. D. & Salum, A. Importance of roasted sulphide concentrates characterization in the hydrometallurgical extraction of zinc. *Miner Eng* **21**, 100–110 (2008).
- 2. Han, J. W. et al. Recovery of zinc and iron from high iron-bearing zinc calcine by selective reduction roasting. J Ind Eng Chem. 22, 272–279 (2015).
- 3. Wills, B. A. & Finch, J. A. In Wills' Mineral Processing Technology (Eighth Edition) 265–380 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 2016).
- Lan, Z. Y., Li, D., Liu, Q. & Tong, X. In Environmental Protection and Resources Exploitation. *Adv Mater Res.* 2317–2322 (2013).
   Ejtemaei, M., Gharabaghi, M. & Irannajad, M. A review of zinc oxide mineral beneficiation using flotation method. *Adv Colloid Inter*
- Sci. 206, 68-78 (2014).
- 6. Wu, D., Wen, S., Deng, J., Liu, J. & Mao, Y. Study on the sulfidation behavior of smithsonite. *Appl Surf Sci.* **329**, 315–320 (2015).
- Zheng, Y. X. *et al.* Mineralogical Reconstruction of Lead Smelter Slag for Zinc Recovery. *Sep Sci Technol.* 49 (2013).
   Zheng, Y. X. *et al.* Improvement for sulphidation roasting and its application to treat lead smelter slag and zinc recovery. *Can Metall*
- Q. 54, 92–100 (2015).
- 9. Peng, R., Ren, H. & Zhang, X. Metallurgy of lead and zinc (Beijing: Science Press, 2003).
- Xu, H. S. et al. Selective recovery of valuable metals from partial silicated sphalerite at elevated temperature with sulfuric acid solution. J Ind Eng Chem. 20, 1373–1381 (2014).
- 11. Zhang, Y. D. et al. Recovery of zinc from a low-grade zinc oxide ore with high silicon by sulfuric acid curing and water leaching. *Hydrometallurgy* **166**, 16–21 (2016).
- 12. Ding, Z., Yin, Z., Hu, H. & Chen, Q. Dissolution kinetics of zinc silicate (hemimorphite) in ammoniacal solution. *Hydrometallurgy* **104**, 201–206 (2010).
- 13. Yang, S. H. *et al.* Leaching kinetics of zinc silicate in ammonium chloride solution. *Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China.* **26**, 1688–1695 (2016).
- 14. Chai, L. Y. et al. Mechano-chemical sulfidization of zinc oxide by grinding with sulfur and reductive additives. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China. 23, 1129–1138 (2013).
- Wang, J., Lu, J., Zhang, Q. & Saito, F. Mechanochemical sulfidization of nonferrous metal oxides by grinding with sulfur and iron. Ind Eng Chem Res. 42, 5813–5818 (2003).
- Li, C. X. et al. Kinetics of hydrothermal sulfidation of synthetic hemimorphite with elemental sulfur. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China. 23, 1815–1821 (2013).
- 17. Liang, Y. J. *et al.* Hydrothermal sulfidation and floatation treatment of heavy-metal-containing sludge for recovery and stabilization. *J Hazard Mater.* **21**7, 307–314 (2012).
- Sun, B. *et al.* Rapid synthesis and enhanced thermoelectric properties of Ba<sub>8</sub>Cu<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>8x</sub>Si<sub>40-8x</sub> (x = 0, 1, 2, 3) alloys prepared using high-temperature, high-pressure method. *J Alloy Compd.* 681, 374–378 (2016).
- 19. Li, Y. et al. Sulfidation roasting of low grade lead-zinc oxide ore with elemental sulfur. Miner Eng. 23, 563-566 (2010).
- Zheng, Y. X. *et al.* Sulfidation roasting of lead and zinc carbonate with sulphur by temperature gradient method. *J Cent South Univ.* 22, 1635–1642 (2015).
- 21. Zhang, H. B. Chemical phase analysis of ore and industrial product (B: Metallurgical Industry Press, 1992).
- 22. Steger, H. Chemical phase-analysis of ores and rocks: A review of methods. Talanta 23, 81-87 (1976).
- 23. Han, J. et al. Effects of sodium salts on the sulfidation of lead smelting slag. Miner Eng. 108, 1-11 (2017).
- Zheng, Y. X. et al. An innovative technology for recovery of zinc, lead and silver from zinc leaching residue. Physicochemical Prob Miner Proc. 52, 943–954 (2016).
- 25. Lv, J. F. et al. Study on the surface sulfidization behavior of smithsonite at high temperature. Appl Surf Sci. 437, 13–18 (2018).
  - 26. Li, H. Y. & Zhang, S. H. Detection of mineralogical changes in pyrite using measurements of temperature-dependence susceptibilities. *Chinese J. Geophys.-Chinese Ed* **48**, 1384–1391 (2005).
  - Lv, W., Yu, D., Wu, J., Zhang, L. & Xu, M. The chemical role of CO<sub>2</sub> in pyrite thermal decomposition. Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 35, 3637–3644 (2015).
  - 28. Hu, G., Dam-Johansen, K., Wedel, S. & Hansen, J. P. Decomposition and oxidation of pyrite. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 32, 295–314 (2006).

- 29. Zhu, D., Yang, C., Pan, J. & Li, X. Comparison of the Oxidation Behaviors of High FeO Chromite and Magnetite Concentrates Relevant to the Induration of Ferrous Pellets. *Metall Mater Trans B* 47 (2016).
- 30. Wang, Z. Y. *et al.* Phase Transitions and Atomic-Scale Migration During the Preoxidation of a Titania/Ferrous Oxide Solution. *JOM* **68**, 656–667 (2016).
- 31. Lv, J. F. *et al.* Innovative methodology for recovering titanium and chromium from a raw ilmenite concentrate by magnetic separation after modifying magnetic properties. *J Hazard Mater.* **325**, 251–260 (2017).
- Sohn, H. Y. & Kim, B. S. A novel cyclic process using CaSO<sub>4</sub>/CaS pellets for converting sulfur dioxide to elemental sulfur without generating secondary pollutants: Part I. Feasibility and kinetics of the reduction of sulfur dioxide with calcium-sulfide pellets. *Metall Mater Trans B* 33, 711–716 (2002).
- 33. German, R. M., Suri, P. & Park, S. J. Review: liquid phase sintering. J Mater Science 44, 1–39 (2009).
- 34. Han, J. et al. Selective Sulfidation of Lead Smelter Slag with Pyrite and Flotation Behavior of Synthetic ZnS. Metall Mater Trans B 47 (2016).
- 35. Liu, W. *et al.* Immobilization of Cu(II) and Zn(II) in simulated polluted soil using sulfurizing agent. *Chem Eng J.* 277, 312–317 (2015).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51604131) and the Yunnan Province Applied Basic Research Project (2017FB084).

#### **Author Contributions**

Yong-xing Zheng finished the TG tests. Jin-fang Lv wrote the manuscript text, devised scheme diagram in Fig. 9 and contributed with XRD and thermodynamic analyses. Hua-Wang assisted the polish of this paper; Shu-ming Wen assisted the modification-polish of this paper; Jie Pang contributed with the micro-flotation tests.

#### Additional Information

**Competing Interests:** Prof. Yong-xing Zheng's work had been funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and meanwhile, had received the financial support from Yunnan Province Technology Hall. Prof. Hua Wang, Prof. Shu-ming Wen, Dr Jin-fang Lv and Mr Jie Pang declare no potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018