SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 15 December 2016 Accepted: 26 May 2017 Published online: 06 July 2017

OPEN Retinoic Acid affects Lung Adenocarcinoma growth by inducing differentiation via GATA6 activation and EGFR and Wnt inhibition

Giovanni Zito¹, Flores Naselli¹, Laura Saieva¹, Stefania Raimondo¹, Giovanna Calabrese², Claudio Guzzardo¹, Stefano Forte³, Christian Rolfo⁴, Rosalba Parenti² & Riccardo Alessandro¹

A fundamental task in cancer research aims at the identification of new pharmacological therapies that can affect tumor growth. Differentiation therapy might exploit this function not only for hematological diseases, such as acute promyelocytic leukemia (APML) but also for epithelial tumors, including lung cancer. Here we show that Retinoic Acid (RA) arrests in vitro and in vivo the growth of Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKI) resistant Non Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). In particular, we found that RA induces G0/G1 cell cycle arrest in TKI resistant NSCLC cells and activates terminal differentiation programs by modulating the expression of GATA6, a key transcription factor involved in the physiological differentiation of the distal lung. In addition, our results demonstrate that RA inhibits EGFR and Wnt signaling activation, two pathways involved in NSCLC progression. Furthermore, we uncovered a novel mechanism in NSCLC that shows how RA exerts its function; we found that RA-mediated GATA6 activation is necessary for EGFR and Wnt inhibition, thus leading to 1) increased differentiation and 2) loss of proliferation. All together, these findings prove that differentiation therapy might be feasible in TKI resistant NSCLCs, and shed light on new targets to define new pharmacological therapies.

One of the major goals of cancer research is to identify the molecular mechanisms that can trigger tumor arrest and potential tumor regression over time. Research progresses in the past decades identified genetic and epigenetic modifications as main hallmarks of neoplastic transformations, leading to a block of normal cell differentiation coupled with uncontrolled proliferation. Currently, most of the tumors are treated with cytotoxic agents in order to induce cancer cell death. Unfortunately, over the last 50 years of treating cancer patients, we learned that conventional approaches (e.g., conventional cytotoxic agents, targeted antibodies or small molecule inhibitors) are still not sufficient in defining cures for the majority of cancer patients¹. In addition, prolonged chemotherapy treatment in many cases leads to acquired resistance to the drugs, thus reducing the chances of patients to survive to the disease. For this reason, several attempts in the past have been tried to overcome this problem, including the tumor differentiation therapy. Differentiation therapy re-activates endogenous differentiation programs in cancer cells with subsequent loss of the tumor phenotype, mainly due to cell maturation². In the past decades, a variety of agents including retinoids, histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACI), PPAR₂ agonists, and others, currently in use for a variety of malignancies, have been shown to induce differentiation in solid tumors³⁻⁶. However, the lack of deep knowledge on the molecular mechanisms of normal cell/organ differentiation made this type of treatment quite unsuccessful, at least for most of the solid tumors. To date, tumor differentiation therapy based on Retinoic Acid (RA) treatment is the only one successfully used to treat patients with acute promyelocytic

¹Department of Biopathology and Medical Biotechnology, Biology and Genetics Section, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy. ²Department of Biomedical and Biotechnological Sciences, Physiology Section, University of Catania, Catania, Italy. ³IOM Ricerca, Viagrande, Catania, Italy. ⁴Phase I - Early Clinical Trials Unit, Oncology Department, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium. Giovanni Zito and Flores Naselli contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.A. (email: riccardo.alessandro@unipa.it)

leukemia (APML)³. In particular, it has been showed that the combined use of RA and chemotherapy leads to 75% of complete remission in newly diagnosed APML patients⁷. Recently, our group and others began to define the molecular mechanisms mediated by RA to induce epithelial cancer differentiation in skin, breast and endometrial cancer models^{8–10}.

Lung cancer is the leading major cause of death for both men and women worldwide¹¹. Clinically, two main types of lung cancer are known: small cell lung cancer (SCLC, 10-15%) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC, 85%), both originating from epithelial tissue of the lung structures¹². The prognosis is extremely poor, as the majority of patients with NSCLC are in advanced stage of disease at the time of the diagnosis, and half of the patients treated initially for potentially curable early stage disease will recur with metastatic disease¹³. Recently, the identification of mutations in lung cancer led to the development of targeted therapy to improve the survival of subsets of patients with metastatic disease¹⁴. In particular, subsets of NSCLC, defined by specific mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene¹⁵, can be treated with Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKIs), including Gefitinib, Erlotinib and Afatinib, by achieving tumor response rate of 70-80% and progression free survival of 10 to 14 months¹⁶. Unfortunately, patients treated with TKIs often develop a mechanism of resistance to the drug, due in most of the cases to a secondary mutation in the EGFR gene $(T790M)^{17}$. In light of the above data, there is still the need to develop new therapies able to overcome the mechanisms of acquired resistance in the treatment of advanced stage NSCLC. Here we report for the first time a novel axis of signaling activation regulated by RA in NSCLC cells. In particular, we show that RA induces in vitro terminal differentiation in TKI resistant NSCLC cell lines by activating the transcription factor GATA6. In addition, our findings demonstrate that GATA6 directly down-regulates EGFR transcription and Wnt signaling activation. Finally, we show that RA treatment in vivo delays tumor proliferation rate in a xenograft model of NSCLC. Taken together, our results provide a molecular basis to understand mechanisms of NSCLC differentiation, thus suggesting new therapeutical approaches for the treatment of the disease.

Results

Retinoic Acid affects *in vitro* **NSCLC cell growth.** In order to test whether RA could be used as differentiation inducer in epithelial tumors, we decided to use NSCLC cell lines as models for our studies. In particular, we used adenocarcinoma cell lines resistant or not to the action of TKIs (Gefitinib-sensitive A549 and HCC827 cells, Gefitinib-resistant H-1975 cells). First, to test how RA affects NSCLC growth, we performed cell viability assays, and interestingly, we found that RA affects the growth of TKI resistant H-1975 NSCLC cells. In particular, our analysis showed that increasing doses of RA (1 μ M and 10 μ M, the former representing the peak plasma levels of a single oral dose of RA¹⁸) arrest cell growth at 48 and 72 hours, while the same treatment did not have the same effect on A549 and HCC827 cells (Fig. 1a). To define the specificity of RA treatment in the induction of the described phenotype, we performed a co-treatment with BMS493, a pan inverse RA agonist¹⁹. qRT-PCR data clearly showed a down-regulation of RA target genes (*RARB*) or RA-associated genes (*CRABP2* and CYP26B1) when the cells were co-treated with different doses of RA and BMS 493 (Fig. S1a).

If RA affects the growth of H-1975 cells, we asked which was the cellular mechanism activated by the RA treatment. To test whether apoptosis occurred, we performed AnnexinV/Propidium Iodide FACS experiments. Interestingly, our results on H-1975 cells suggested that RA did not activate early apoptotic events, as showed in Figs 1b and S1b (quadrants Q2 and Q3–Ctrl vs RA treatment for 24 and 48 hrs). Notably, we found moderate apoptosis when the cells were treated with RA for 72 hrs (Fig. S1b right and left panel). Furthermore, we performed cell cycle analysis on H-1975 cells, and we found that RA (particularly $10\,\mu$ M) arrested the cell cycle in the G0/G1 phase (Fig. 1c), consistent with previous reports⁹. All together, these experiments might suggest that RA affects H-1975 cell growth by arresting the cell cycle at early time points and moderate apoptosis at late ones.

RA affects the growth of a new generated TKI resistant cell line. Given the RA specific effect to affect the growth of TKI resistant NSCLC cells, we decided to generate a new TKI resistant cell line starting from a sensitive one. For this purpose, HCC827 were subjected to increased doses of Gefitinib, as described in detail in the material and method section (Supplementary Fig. S2a). The generated cell lines HCC827R1 and HCC827R2 were treated with high doses of gefitinib $(1 \,\mu M)$ and MTT assay was performed to check cell viability. As expected, our findings demonstrated that HCC827R1 and HCC827R2 were resistant to high doses of gefitinib, while the sensitive one was strongly affected in its viability (Supplementary Fig. S2b). Moreover, gefitinib treatment a month after the end of the selection demonstrated that, even in absence of daily TKI treatment, HCC827R1 and HCC827R2 maintained their resistant phenotype (Supplementary Fig. S2c). Finally, HCC827R1 and HCC827R2 cells were treated with RA and cell viability, as well as apoptosis, were analyzed. Our results showed that RA treatment affects the growth of both cell lines at 48 and 72 hrs, in particular when compared with HCC827 TKI sensitive cells (Supplementary Fig. S3a). However, the effect was not as strong as we found for H-1975 cells showed in Fig. 1a. Moreover, AnnexinV/PI treatment at 48 hrs did not show any sign of apoptotic events (Supplementary Fig. S3b). Taken together, these results demonstrated the specific effect of RA to affect the growth of TKI resistant cells, although it has still to be defined the biological and molecular mechanisms involved.

RA activates differentiation programs in TKI resistant NSCLC cells. The results described above suggest that differentiation programs might occur in TKI resistant NSCLC cells upon RA treatment. In order to verify this hypothesis, we looked at the expression of transcription factors known to initiate the differentiation cascade in normal type II alveolar epithelial cells of the distal lung, such as GATA6 and NKX2.1²⁰⁻²². Quantitative Real-Time-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis on RA treated H-1975 and HCC827R1 cells showed that *GATA6* is considerably up-regulated 24 hours after treatment in comparison with the untreated cells, both at 1 and 10 µM RA

а





Figure 1. Retinoic Acid affects the growth of TKI resistant NSCLC cells. (a) Cell viability assay (MTT) of A549, HCC827 and H-1975 NSCLC cell lines treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 24–48 and 72 hrs. Black arrows indicate the effect of RA on the TKI resistant H-1975 NSCLC cell line (right and left panel). Data are represented as mean \pm SD and indicate the percentage of RA treated cells versus an untreated control (n = 4). (b) Representative Annexin V/PI assay analyzed by FACS to assess whether RA treatment induces apoptosis in H-1975 cells (n = 3). (c) Cell cycle analysis of H-1975 NSCLC cells treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 72 hours (n = 4). Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired multiple t test.

(Fig. 2a, left panel and Supplementary Fig. S4a). Notably, *GATA6* activation was arrested at later time points (48 hrs), thus indicating that a transient up-regulation occurs in the treated cells. Western Blotting experiments confirmed our findings, thus showing GATA6 up-regulation also at a protein level (Fig. 2b). In contrast, we found that *NKX2.1* transcription levels were not regulated by RA treatment. Finally, to define whether GATA6 activation could activate differentiation programs in NSCLC cells upon RA treatment, we screened for GATA6 target genes associated with lung terminal differentiation, including *SFPTB* and *MUC4*^{23, 24}. Consistent with our hypothesis, qRT-PCR analysis showed that *SFPTB* and *MUC4* were strongly up-regulated in TKI resistant H-1975 cells, both at 48 and 72 hours after treatment (Fig. 2c), while their up-regulation was milder in the HCC827R1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4b). Notably, *FABP6*, that is not a differentiation marker, did not change expression upon

а

H-1975 cell line (resistant to TKIs)



Figure 2. RA treatment induces TKI resistant NSCLC cell differentiation. (a) qRT-PCR analysis of *GATA6* and *NKX2.1* in H-1975 NSCLC cell line treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 24 and 48 hrs. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of each transcript analyzed in untreated cells. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis has been obtained by paired t test (n = 3). (b) Left panel, Representative Western blotting analysis of GATA6 and GAPDH in H-1975 cells treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 24 and 48 hrs. Right panel, densitometric analysis of GATA6 levels, normalized versus GAPDH, used as loading control (n = 4) (*=0.02). (c) qRT-PCR analysis of GATA6 target genes in H-1975 NSCLC cells treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 48 and 72 hrs. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of each transcript analysis has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of each transcript analyzed in untreated cells. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired t test (n = 3).

RA treatment. All together, these results suggested that RA treatment activates terminal differentiation programs in TKI resistant cells through the positive regulation of the master transcription factor of lung differentiation GATA6.

RA inhibits EGFR signaling *in vitro*. Terminal differentiation does not account by itself for the TKI resistant cell phenotype described above upon RA treatment. In particular, we reasoned that increased differentiation alone could not justify the phenotype observed in the experiments showed in Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig S3a. Thus, we hypothesized that RA negatively regulated other signaling pathways. Among them, we focused our attention on EGFR signaling, as different mutations leading to its constitutive activation have been described in a high percentage of lung adenocarcinomas²⁵. In order to test whether RA treatment affected EGFR signaling, we performed Western Blotting analysis on H-1975 and HCC827R1 lysates collected after RA treatment. Interestingly, we found that RA was able to reduce EGFR protein levels, leading to a strong down-regulation of the activated form pEGFR (Fig. 3a right and left panel). In particular, the densitometric analysis of EGFR and pEGFR, normalized by using GAPDH as loading control, revealed that while EGFR protein levels started to be down-regulated at 72 hours, pEGFR levels were already modulated at 48 hrs (Fig. 3b, right and left panel). These findings suggested that EGFR and pEGFR down-regulation could depend on different mechanisms occurring upon RA treatment. In order to test whether EGFR inhibition is regulated at a transcriptional levels, mRNA from H-1975 cells RA-treated was subjected to qRT-PCR for the detection of EGFR transcript. Interestingly, our results clearly show that RA treatment affects the EGFR transcription at all the time points analyzed (24 to 72 hrs, Fig. 3c). Finally, to prove that EGFR signaling pathway was switched off in H-1975 cells, we checked AKT phosphorylation status in the cells upon RA treatment. FACS experiments on total and phosphorylated AKT levels demonstrated that RA treatment reduces pAKT, specifically with a 50% reduction at 72 hrs with the two RA doses (1 and 10 µM, Fig. 3d,e). These findings might suggest that RA directly regulates EGFR transcription and the activation of the signaling pathway.

RA down-regulates Wnt signaling activation in TKI resistant H-1975 cells. Recent studies showed that RA is able to affect Wnt signaling in different tumor models. In particular, we previously demonstrated that RA signaling activation leads to the spontaneous regression of Keratoacanthoma skin tumors by inhibiting Wnt pathway and stimulating terminal differentiation⁸. In addition, several evidences in the past clearly showed that Wnt signaling activation is required for NSCLC growth and metastatic progression^{26, 27}. For all the findings described above, we reasoned that RA could affect Wnt activation, along with EGFR inhibition, thus justifying NSCLC tumor growth arrest. In order to evaluate this hypothesis, we measured the levels of total and active CTNNB1 (a hallmark of activated Wnt pathway) in H-1975 cells upon RA treatment. Our results showed in Fig. 4a clearly demonstrated that RA treatment down-regulated not only CTNNB1 total levels, but also the non-phosphorylated active form. The densitometric analysis suggested a time and dose-dependent CTNNB1 down-regulation at 48 and 72 hours at 1 and 10 µM RA. If CTNNB1 was down-regulated, then we reasoned that also its nuclear levels could be negatively regulated by the treatment. To address this question, we decided to perform immunofluorescence stainings on H-1975 cells upon RA treatment and checked by confocal microscopy CTNNB1 localization within the cells. Our results showed a reduction in CTNNB1 expression in H-1975 cells treated with RA, when compared with the untreated control (Fig. 4b). In particular, we observed a strong reduction in the number of nuclear CTNNB1⁺ cells. These results suggested that the loss of CTNNB1⁺ cells might account for Wnt inhibition in H-1975 cells upon RA treatment. To test this hypothesis, we screened by qRT-PCR the expression of the Wnt target genes SOX9 and *c*-MYC. We found that RA down-regulated the expression levels of such target genes, in a dose and time-dependent manner (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, we demonstrated that RA treatment strongly up-regulated the Wnt inhibitor SFRP4, consistently with previous reports on skin and liver cancer^{8, 28}. To functionally address whether RA treatment inhibits Wnt activation, we performed Luciferase assay analysis by using the Wnt reporter TOP-Flash system²⁹. Consistent with our previous findings, we found that H-1975 cells treated with RA reduced the luciferase activity, thus confirming an effect of RA on the activation of Wnt pathway (Fig. 4d). Finally, we found that RA revert Wnt signaling activation mediated by Lithium Chloride (LiCl) treatment (Fig. 4e, right and left panel). All together, these data sustain the hypothesis that RA affect NSCLC growth not only by inducing terminal differentiation, but also by inhibiting the two proliferation pathways EGFR and Wnt.

RA affects *in vivo* NSCLC xenograft tumor growth by inhibiting EGFR and Wnt signaling. To validate our in vitro findings, we tested in vivo the effect of RA on NSCLC xenograft tumors in immunodeficient athymic nude mice. H-1975 cells were injected subcutaneously into the left flank of the animals, and 2 weeks after the injection, when the tumors started to be palpable, 1 µM RA or PBS were administered intratumorally twice/ week until the experimental endpoint (Fig. 5a). Our results showed that RA treatment delayed tumor growth in comparison with PBS alone treated tumors used as control (Fig. 5b and c). In particular, we found that tumor growth was arrested in the first 10 days of RA treatment, while in the next time points we observed a slight growth of the xenografts. However, Fig. 5c showed that the growth rate is still slower and significantly different from the control tumors. All together, these data suggested that RA affects tumor growth *in vivo* by delaying the proliferation rate at the first stages of tumor progression. In order to define the molecular mechanisms affected by RA treatment in vivo, we decided to screen for the expression and activation of EGFR and Wnt signaling pathways. Western Blotting analysis performed on tumor lysates at the end of the experimental regimen showed that EGFR protein was lost in RA-treated xenografts (Fig. 5d). In a similar manner, we found that total and active CTNNB1 were dramatically reduced in their expression, as confirmed by the densitometric analysis performed using GAPDH as loading control (Fig. 5d). Taken together, these findings clearly confirmed our *in vitro* data, thus suggesting that RA treatment arrests xenograft growth by down-regulating EGFR protein and by inhibiting Wnt/ CTNNB1 activation.

а



Figure 3. RA affects EGFR signaling activation in TKI resistant NSCLC cell line. (a) Representative Western Blotting analysis of EGFR and pEGFR in H-1975 and HCC827R1 cells treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 48 and 72 hrs (n = 3). (b) Densitometric analysis of EGFR and pEGFR protein levels in H-1975 (left panel) and HCC827R1 (right panel) NSCLC cell lines upon RA treatment for 48 and 72 hrs. The analysis has been performed by using GAPDH as normalizer loading control (n = 3) (*=0.02). (c) qRT-PCR analysis of *EGFR* H-1975 NSCLC cells treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 24, 48 and 72 hrs. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of each transcript analyzed in untreated cells. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired t test (n = 3) (*=0.02). (d) Representative FACS plot representing AKT and pAKT levels in H-1975 cells treated with RA for 72 hrs (n = 3). (e) Quantification analysis of pAKT levels in H-1975 cells treated with RA for 48 and 72 hrs (n = 3). (*=0.02).

.....

GATA6 regulates H-1975 tumor cell arrest via EGFR and Wnt down-regulation. Our results suggested a role for GATA6 to induce terminal differentiation and growth arrest in TKI resistant NSCLC cells, by down-regulating EGFR and Wnt signaling activation. Specifically, the results showed in Figs 3a and 4a suggested a direct role of GATA6 to inhibit *EGFR* and *CTNNB1* transcription. In order to test this hypothesis, we performed a Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay (CHIP). For this purpose, we designed primers that recognized GATA6 consensus sequences (A/T/C)GAT(A/T)(A) in the *EGFR* and *CTNNB1* promoter (Supplementary Table S1). qRT-PCR analysis on the GATA6 immunoprecipitated chromatin clearly showed that GATA6 bound



Figure 4. Wnt signaling activation is inhibited by RA in TKI resistant NSCLC cell line. (a) Left panel, representative Western Blotting analysis of total and active-CTNNB1 in H-1975 treated with 1 and 10 µM RA for 48 and 72 hrs (n = 3). Right panel, densitometric analysis of total and active-CTNNB1 protein levels in H-1975 cells upon RA treatment for 48 and 72 hrs. The analysis has been performed by using GAPDH as normalizer loading control (n = 3) (*=0.02, **=0.05). (b) Immunofluorescence staining of CTNNB1 in H-1975 cells treated with or not with 1 µM RA for 24 hrs (see also insets for all the experimental conditions). Cells are counterstained with Actingreen 488 (green label) and nuclei are marked in blue with DAPI (scale bar $50 \,\mu\text{m}$, n = 3). (c) qRT-PCR analysis of Wnt target genes and the Wnt inhibitor *SFRP4* in H-1975 cells treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 48 hrs. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to GAPDH transcript. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of each transcript analyzed in untreated cells. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired t test (n = 3). (d) Luciferase assay of H-1975 cells transfected with Wnt reporters TOPFlash/FOPFlash and treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 48 hrs. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. The histograms represent the value obtained by calculating the Firefly/Renilla ratio, the latter used to measure transfection efficiency. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of luciferase activity in H-1975 untreated cells. Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired t-test (n = 3). (e) Representative Western Blotting analysis of total and active-CTNNB1 protein levels in H-1975 cells treated with 10 µM RA, 2.5 mM LiCl or co-treated (10 µM RA + 2.5 mM LiCl) for 72 hrs and its relative densitometric analysis (central and right panel). The analysis has been performed by using GAPDH as normalizer loading control (n = 3).

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | 7: 4770 | DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-05047-z



Figure 5. *In vivo* effects of RA on H-1975 xenografts. (a) Schematic representation of the *in vivo* experiment performed by injecting H-1975 cells in athymic nude mice. (b) Representative micrographs obtained after tumor harvesting (PBS treated vs 1 μ M RA treated mice). (c) Tumor weight analysis of PBS and 1 μ M RA treated mice. Tumor size was measured at the time points indicated in the *x* axis. Data are represented as mean \pm SD (*=0.02, **=0.05, ***=0.002, obtained by unpaired t test analysis, n = 5). (d) Left panel, Western Blotting of EGFR, GAPDH total and active- CTNNB1 from biopsies taken from PBS and 1 μ M RA treated mice. Right panel, densitometric analysis of EGFR, total and active CTNNB1 protein levels in tumor biopsies from PBS and 1 μ M RA treated groups. The analysis has been performed by using GAPDH as normalizer loading control (n = 3 for each group).

to *EGFR* and *CTNNB1* promoter. Specifically, we found that GATA6 binds to all the consensus sequences of the *EGFR* promoter, while it binds to three out of the four consensus sequences analyzed in the CTNNB1 promoter/ first intron (Fig. 6a).

Recently, it has been showed that GATA6 inhibits Wnt signaling activation by inducing the transcription of the Wnt antagonist *FZD2* in the distal epithelium of the lung. Thus, our findings, along with the described discoveries by other groups, led us to hypothesize that *GATA6* up-regulation mediated by RA could modulate TKI resistant cell phenotype by directly inhibiting *EGFR* transcription and Wnt signaling activation. To test this hypothesis, we knock down (KD) *GATA6* in H-1975 cells, followed by RA treatment for 24 hours (Fig. 6b). *GATA6*



Figure 6. GATA6 knockdown restores *EGFR* transcription and Wnt activation. (a) CHIP analysis of EGFR and CTNNB1 promoters after chromatin immunoprecipitation with anti-GATA6 antibody. Signals obtained from each immunoprecipitation were expressed as a percent of the total input chromatin (see material and method section for further details) (n = 2, *=0.02, **=0.05, ***=0.002). (b) Schematic representation of *GATA6* silencing and RA treatment experiment in H-1975 cells. (c) qRT-PCR analysis of *GATA6* transcript after *GATA6* silencing. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. Data are represented as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired t-test (n = 3). (d,e) qRT-PCR analysis of *EGFR* and *CTNNB1* transcript level after *GATA6* silencing and 1 or 10 µM RA treatment for 24 hrs. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. The fold changes were obtained as ratio of each mRNA sample versus the Ctrl scrambled. Data are represented as mean ± SD (*<0.05, **<0.001, obtained by unpaired t test analysis, n = 3). (f) qRT-PCR analysis of *FZD2* transcript after *GATA6* silencing and 1 or 10 µM RA treatment for 24 hrs. The comparison has been conducted by using the $\Delta\Delta$ CT method and normalized to *GAPDH* transcript. Dotted line represents the normalized expression levels of each transcript analyzed in scramble transfected treated/untreated cells.

Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis has been obtained by unpaired t-test (n = 3). (g) Left panel, representative Western blotting analysis of GATA6, total and active CTNNB1 in H-1975 cells after transfection with siRNA GATA6 or scramble and treated or not with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 24 hrs. Right panel, densitometric analysis of total and active-CTNNB1 levels, normalized versus GAPDH or total CTNNB1, the former used as loading control represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3).

.....

down-regulation in siRNA-transfected cells was confirmed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 6c). To assess whether GATA6 KD altered EGFR transcript levels, we performed a qRT-PCR on KD and scrambled transfected H-1975 cells upon RA treatment. Consistent with our previous data, EGFR mRNA was down-regulated in scrambled transfected/RA treated cells (Fig. 6d). Interestingly, EGFR transcript levels were significantly rescued in GATA6 KD/RA treated cells in comparison with the scrambled transfected/RA treated ones (Fig. 6d). In particular, our findings showed that 24 hours post RA treatment, EGFR mRNA was restored to the levels of the scrambled untreated cells. These results confirmed that GATA6 regulated directly EGFR transcription, and begin to shed light on the mechanisms of RA-mediated EGFR inhibition. In order to assess whether GATA6 affects Wnt signaling activation, we performed qRT-PCR analysis on the transcription levels of CTNNB1 and FZD2. Our results in Fig. 6e showed that the expression levels of CTNNB1 were restored in GATA6 KD/RA treated cells in comparison with the scrambled transfected/RA treated ones. These results further confirmed a direct link between GATA6 and CTNNB1 transcript down-regulation. In addition, we found that RA treatment induces FZD2 up-regulation, and that GATA6 KD down-regulated its transcription, thus confirming previous findings³⁰ (Fig. 6f). These results suggested that GATA6 might regulate Wnt activation at different levels, (1) by down-regulating CTNNB1 transcript, and (2) by activating the transcription of Wnt inhibitors. Finally, we performed Western Blotting analysis to evaluate Wnt activation levels in KD H-1975 cells. Interestingly, we showed that GATA6 KD/RA treated cells increased active- CTNNB1 levels compared to the scrambled transfected/RA treated control cells (Fig. 6g). In particular, the densitometric analysis in Fig. 6g, right panel, showed that active-CTNNB1 levels were restored to the levels of the scramble transfected/untreated cells (see black arrows). Furthermore, gRT-PCR analysis of Wnt target genes SOX9 and c-MYC upon GATA6 silencing and RA treatment showed a rescue of Wnt signaling activation (Supplementary Fig. S5). Taken together, these data demonstrate that GATA6, along with its major function in activating terminal differentiation programs in H-1975 cells, plays also a crucial role in (1) blocking EGFR and CTNNB1 transcription, and (2) inhibiting Wnt signaling activation.

Discussion

A major challenge in cancer biology is to define new therapeutical approaches that can increase the survival rate of the patients. Tumor differentiation therapy has been studied for long time in the past as a possible approach to overcome chemotherapic side effect, however the results obtained were difficult to interpret. First, the lack of deep knowledge on the precise molecular mechanisms driving normal cell differentiation made this type of therapy quite complicated to pursue. Second, it was difficult to envision how differentiation therapy could restore a benign phenotype in tumor cells with immutable genetic level mutation driving cancer initiation and progression. However, recent studies sustained the hypothesis that tumor differentiation might be possible in solid tumors. Dow and collaborators demonstrated that *APC* restoration in colon cancer cells could revert the malignant phenotype to functional differentiated intestinal units, thus inducing regression in tumors harboring *KRAS^{G12D}* and *TRP53* mutations³¹. In addition, our group showed that (1) spontaneous regression of murine cutaneous Keratoacanthoma skin tumor is mediated by terminal differentiation induced by RA treatment, and (2) ectopic RA treatment of skin squamous cell carcinomas led to tumor regression by inhibiting Wnt signaling and activating differentiation programs⁸. All together, these findings clearly suggested that differentiation therapy might be feasible for the treatment of epithelial tumors, although it is important to identify the ideal differentiating agent to use according to the tumor genetic background.

While RA signaling was showed to induce cellular senescence in different cell types³² it is mainly involved in regulating the differentiation of several citotypes at early stages of organogenesis³³⁻³⁶, including the development of the alveolar tree in the fetal lung³⁷. Thus, RA was used to induce tumor differentiation, and the most successful results were obtained in the treatment of APML patients⁷. In the present study, we demonstrate that RA affects the growth of NSCLC cell lines, by arresting the cells at G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle, thus inducing the activation of terminal differentiation programs. Interestingly, our data show that RA acts preferentially on TKI resistant NSCLC cell lines, with very little effects on the TKI sensitive ones. To our knowledge, these findings are quite novel for an epithelial tumor model, while the correlation between RA and TKI resistant and TKI resistant and TKI resistant and the TKI resistant of Hippo signaling when compared with the sensitive counterpart^{39,40}. In addition, Park and collaborators suggested that IGFR1 signaling pathway is up-regulated in TKI resistant NSCLC cell lines, with the concurrent loss of *IGFBP3⁴¹*. These findings clearly suggest that understanding the molecular mechanisms that differentially mediate RA effect on TKI resistant and sensitive NSCLC cell lines is crucial to define new therapies specifically directed towards TKI resistant NSCLC tumors.

In the past years, it has been showed that two major transcription factors, GATA6 and NKX2.12.1 (TTF-1) play a pivotal role in regulating the differentiation of the distal epithelium during lung morphogenesis^{42, 43}. Interestingly, RA positively regulates GATA6 expression, thus confirming the activation of differentiation programs in TKI resistant NSCLC cells. While RA-mediated GATA6 up-regulation was already showed to regulate macrophages differentiation and polarization⁴⁴, very little is known about the precise molecular mechanisms behind this phenotype.



Figure 7. A working model of the signaling mechanisms regulated by RA to induce NSCLC regression. RA treatment increases GATA6 expression levels in differentiated NSCLC cells. GATA6 acts (1) by inhibiting *EGFR* and CTNNB1 transcript, and (2) by activating the expression of the Wnt inhibitor *FZD2*. These molecular effects lead to the down-regulation of EGFR and Wnt signaling pathways, by arresting tumor cell proliferation and promoting cell differentiation.

RA-mediated terminal differentiation does not account by itself for the NSCLC regression we observed *in vivo*. Thus, we thought that RA might regulate other molecular mechanisms driving NSCLC progression. It is well known that (1) different genetic mutations in EGFR gene are described in a high percentage of NSCLC patients worldwide⁴⁵, and (2) EGFR constitutive activation is required for lung adenocarcinoma progression²⁵. In accordance with previous reports^{46, 47}, our results clearly show that RA inhibits EGFR signaling, by reducing *EGFR* transcript and down-regulating the active pEGFR protein levels. Specifically, we demonstrate that GATA6 directly binds to *EGFR* promoter. Our finding are in accordance with recent studies that demonstrated that Gata6 represses *Egfr* transcription in a pancreatic model of murine ductal adenocarcinoma⁴⁸, thus inducing acinar differentiation. By using siRNA technology, we demonstrate that GATA6 regulates *EGFR* transcription in TKI resistant NSCLC cells. Taken together, these findings are quite novel as they provide for the first time to our knowledge the precise mechanisms by which RA exploits its function in NSCLC adenocarcinoma model (Fig. 7).

NSCLC progression and metastasization is mediated by Wnt signaling⁴⁹, thus the inhibition of this pathway is key to define new therapies to arrest NSCLC growth. We found that RA down-regulates Wnt activation, by reducing the levels of active-CTNNB1. In addition, we clearly demonstrate that Wnt inhibition is regulated by GATA6-mediated (1) *FZD2* transcription⁵⁰ and (2) CTNNB1 transcriptional down-regulation. Our data are consistent with several other studies showing that RA inhibits Wnt signaling in different tumor model^{8, 28, 51}; however, we show for the first time to our knowledge the molecular mechanisms by which RA exerts its function in NSCLC^{52, 53}.

Taken together, our data begin to shed lights on the molecular mechanisms that drive RA-mediated NSCLC differentiation, and sustain the hypothesis that differentiation therapy might be feasible for the treatment of aggressive epithelial tumors. Furthermore, our results open to the possibility to define new therapeutical strategies that could overcome TKI resistance in NSCLC.

Material and Methods

Ethics Statement. The study was conducted in compliance with Italian and European laws concerning animal experiments. The research protocol was authorized by Italian Ministry of Health (2014/01/13) according to Legislative Decree 116/92 and was performed according to Legislative Decree 26/2014.

Cell culture and reagents. The human NSCLC cell lines H-1975 and HCC827 were provided by Prof. Rolfo, University of Antwerp. A549 human adenocarcinoma cell line was purchased from ATCC (ATCC[®] CCL-185TM). Cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Euroclone, Milan, Italy) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Euroclone) and were cultured at 37 °C in a humid incubator with 5% CO₂. Retinoic Acid (RA) and Lithium chloride (LiCl) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA), Gefitinib and Doxorubicin were purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA), BMS493 was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA).

Generation of NSCLCTKI resistant cells. To generate NSCLC TKI resistant cells, HCC827 TKI sensitive parental cells were grown in increasing doses of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor Gefitinib. Specifically, HCC827 cells were treated with Gefitinib with a starting concentration of 10 nM (Fig. S2b). Gefitinib concentration was increased usually every two weeks, once the cells began to adapt to new drug concentration. The experimental endpoint was fixed to $1 \mu M$ Gefitinib. Cells were maintained in $1 \mu M$ Gefitinib for one month, and then the drug was removed in order to verify TKI resistance.

Viability assay. Cell viability on NSCLC cell lines was evaluated with Thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT, M5655-1G, Sigma Aldrich) assay⁵⁴.

Annexin V, cell cycle and AKT analysis. Apoptosis was detected in flow cytometry by double staining with Annexin V/propidium iodide (PI). Specifically, H-1975 cells and HCC827 TKI resistant cells were seeded into 6-well plates and after an overnight incubation, they were treated with RA (1μ M and 10μ M) or Doxorubicin 0,5 μ M. After 24 and 48 h incubation, apoptosis assay was performed. Cell were incubated with 5 μ l of Annexin V-FITC (BD-Biosciences, Muntan View, CA, USA) and 5 μ L of PI (20μ g/ml, Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature in the dark for 15 min.

For the cell cycle assay, RA-treated cells were harvested and re-suspended in ice-cold PBS. Cold 100% Ethanol has been added drop by drop to each sample, and then the cells were incubated for 30 min on ice. At the end of the incubation, the cells were washed with PBS/1% BSA and then re-suspended in a solution containing $50 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ PI. Cells were incubated for 1hr in the dark.

The level of AKT and pAKT were detected in flow cytometry by using LEUCOPERMTM reagents from AbD Serotec (Raleigh, NC, USA). Specifically, H-1975 cells were seeded into 6-well plates and after an overnight incubation, they were treated with RA 1 μ M and 10 μ M. According to the manufacturer's protocol, cells were fixed with Reagent A and then permeabilized with reagent B. Finally, cells were incubated 30 min at room temperature with unconjugated primary antibody (anti-AKT #9272 1:50 or anti-pAKT #4060 1:100 from Cell Signaling) and after washing with PBS/BSA cells were incubated with the FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

For all the experiments described, stained cells were acquired on FACSCaliburTM (BD-Biosciences) and analyzed by using the FlowJo software (LLC) or the BD CellQuest Pro^{TM} .

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with RA at different time points. RNA was extracted using the Illustra RNAspin Mini Isolation Kit (GE Healthcare, UK), according to manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA ($1 \mu g$) was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystem). RT-QPCR was performed in 48-well plates using the Step-One Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystem).

For quantitative SYBR[®]Green qRT-PCR, reactions were carried out in a total volume of 20 μ l containing 2 × SYBR[®]Green I Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 1 μ l cDNA and 1 μ l of 10 μ M forward and reverse primers. Primers sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Western blotting and antibodies. SDS-PAGE Electrophoresis and Western Blotting were performed as previously described⁵⁴. The primary antibodies used were as follows: EGFR (#2232, 1:1000), pEGFR (#3777, 1:800), active β -catenin (#8814, 1:1000), GATA6 (#5851, 1:800) and PARP (#9532, 1:1000) from Cell Signalling Technology (Lane Danvers, MA); CTNNB1 (sc-7963, 1:1000) and GAPDH (sc-25778, 1:5000) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Chemiluminescence was detected using AmershamTM ECLTM Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healhtcare).

Immunofluorescence. H-1975 cells were seeded in 24-well plates and treated with RA for 48 and 72 hrs. At the end of the experimental endpoints, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were then permeabilized in PBS/0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature and blocked in PBS/3% BSA for 30 min. H-1975 cells were then incubated with primary antibody, anti- CTNNB1 (clone 6B3, 1:200, Cell Signaling, USA) over night at 4°C. At the end of the incubation, cells were then incubated with secondary antibody Alexa 594 (1:200) from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, USA), for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were counterstained with Actingreen 488 (Molecular probes, Eugene, OR, USA) and Hoescht Stain Solution (1:1000) was used to label the nuclei. The preparations were analyzed by confocal microscopy (Nikon A1 Confocal Laser Microscope).

Luciferase assay. H-1975 cells were seeded in 24 well plates and were transfected the next day with M50/ M51 Super 8x TOPFlash/FOPFlash plasmid, kindly provided by Prof. Greco, Yale University. For all luciferase assay transfections pRenilla-CMV luciferase vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used as an internal transfection control. 24 hours after transfection, cells were treated with RA and, 24 hours later, luciferase readings were taken by Glomax (Promega), using the Dual-Glo luciferase assay kit (Promega), by following the manufacturer's instructions.

In vivo RA treatment of NSCLC xenografts. Five weeks old female AthymicNude-Foxn1nu mice (n = 10) were purchased from Harlan (Harlan Laboratories, San Pietro al Natisone, Italy). Animal care and handling were carried out in accordance with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU. Mice received were housed in groups of five in individual cages with *ad libitum* access to water and food (Teklad rodent diet, Harlan Laboratories). Animals were observed daily and clinical signs were noted. After an adaptation period of 10 days, each mouse was inoculated subcutaneously in the right flank with viable single human H-1975 TKI resistant NCSLC cell lines (3×10^6) re-suspended 1:1 in a solution containing PBS and Matrigel (BD Biosciences) in a final volume of 0.2 ml. On day 14, when tumors were palpable, mice carrying H-1975 xenografts were randomly assigned two groups of five and were treated as follow: group 1 - PBS (vehicle Control), group $2-1 \mu M RA$ (experimental group). The day of the first RA treatment was considered as day 0. The two groups have been injected with RA or PBS twice/week till day 21 post RA injection, considered as the end of the experimental regimen. The mice from both groups were sacrificed and tumors were harvested. Specifically, we collected samples devoted to protein extraction from three xenograft, while from the other two we collected samples in RNA later devoted to RNA extraction.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (CHIP). Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed using SimpleChIP Plus kit (Agarose bead) (#9004) from Cell Signaling, according to the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, chromatin was immunoprecipitated with GATA6 antibody (#5851, 1:50) and Normal Rabbit IgG (#2729, 1:50) from Cell Signaling used as negative control. The immunoprecipitated DNA and the 2% input (DNA not immunoprecipitated) has been subjected to qRT-PCR by using primers that cover the GATA6 consensus sequences in the promoters of EGFR and CTNNB1 genes (Supplemental Table S1). Signals obtained from each immunoprecipitation were expressed as a percent of the total input chromatin, by using the established following equation⁵⁵:

PercentInput = $2\% \times 2^{(C[T]2\%InputSample-C[T]IPSample)}$.

RNA interference. H-1975 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and transiently transfected with GATA6 specific small interfering RNA (siRNA). The siRNA oligos of GATA6 (L-008351-00), and the negative control siRNAs (D-001810-10-05) were purchased from GE Healthcare DharmaconTM, (Lafayette, CO, USA). Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for siRNA transfection according to the manufacturer's protocol. 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with 1 and 10 μ M RA for 24 h o 48 h and harvested for RNA or protein extraction.

Statistical analysis. All the experiments performed in the manuscript has been replicate at least three times (biological replicates) in order to perform the appropriate statistical analysis. Statistical analysis has been done by using the multiple unpaired t-test (GraphPad Prism 7.0).

References

- 1. Jemal, A. et al. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 59, 225-249 (2009).
- 2. Cruz, F. D. & Matushansky, I. Solid tumor differentiation therapy is it possible? Oncotarget 3, 559-567 (2012).
- 3. Tallman, M. S. *et al.* All-trans retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia: long-term outcome and prognostic factor analysis from the North American Intergroup protocol. *Blood* **100**, 4298–4302 (2002).
- 4. Barlow, J. W. et al. Differentiation of rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines using retinoic acid. Pediatr Blood Cancer 47, 773-784 (2006).
- 5. Sarraf, P. *et al.* Differentiation and reversal of malignant changes in colon cancer through PPARgamma. *Nat Med* **4**, 1046–1052 (1998).
- 6. Marks, P. et al. Histone deacetylases and cancer: causes and therapies. Nat Rev Cancer 1, 194-202 (2001).
- De Botton, S. et al. Incidence, clinical features, and outcome of all trans-retinoic acid syndrome in 413 cases of newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia. The European APL Group. Blood 92, 2712–2718 (1998).
- 8. Zito, G. *et al.* Spontaneous tumour regression in keratoacanthomas is driven by Wnt/retinoic acid signalling cross-talk. *Nat Commun* 5, 3543 (2014).
- 9. Berardi, D. E. et al. Involvement of protein kinase C alpha and delta activities on the induction of the retinoic acid system in mammary cancer cells. *Mol Carcinog* 54, 1110–1121 (2015).
- Carter, C. A., Parham, G. P. & Chambers, T. Cytoskeletal reorganization induced by retinoic acid treatment of human endometrial adenocarcinoma (RL95-2) cells is correlated with alterations in protein kinase C-alpha. *Pathobiology* 66, 284–292 (1998).
- 11. Siegel, R., Ma, J., Zou, Z. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin 64, 9-29 (2014).
- 12. Sher, T., Dy, G. K. & Adjei, A. A. Small cell lung cancer. Mayo Clin Proc 83, 355-367 (2008).
- 13. Hirsch, F. R. et al. Lung cancer: current therapies and new targeted treatments. Lancet, (2016).
- 14. Pao, W. & Girard, N. New driver mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lancet Oncol* **12**, 175–180 (2011).
- 15. Shtivelman, E. et al. Molecular pathways and therapeutic targets in lung cancer. Oncotarget 5, 1392-1433 (2014).
- 16. Mok, T. S. et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med 361, 947-957 (2009).
- Yun, C. H. et al. The T790M mutation in EGFR kinase causes drug resistance by increasing the affinity for ATP. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105, 2070–2075 (2008).
- Kim, H. J. & Lotan, R. Identification of retinoid-modulated proteins in squamous carcinoma cells using high-throughput immunoblotting. *Cancer Res* 64, 2439–2448 (2004).
- Rinkevich, Y., Paz, G., Rinkevich, B. & Reshef, R. Systemic bud induction and retinoic acid signaling underlie whole body regeneration in the urochordate Botrylloides leachi. *PLoS Biol* 5, e71 (2007).
- Keijzer, R. et al. The transcription factor GATA6 is essential for branching morphogenesis and epithelial cell differentiation during fetal pulmonary development. Development 128, 503–511 (2001).
- Lazzaro, D., Price, M., de Felice, M. & Di Lauro, R. The transcription factor TTF-1 is expressed at the onset of thyroid and lung morphogenesis and in restricted regions of the foetal brain. *Development* 113, 1093–1104 (1991).
- Stahlman, M. T., Gray, M. E. & Whitsett, J. A. Expression of thyroid transcription factor-1(TTF-1) in fetal and neonatal human lung. J Histochem Cytochem 44, 673–678 (1996).
- 23. Winslow, M. M. et al. Suppression of lung adenocarcinoma progression by Nkx2-1. Nature 473, 101-104 (2011).
- Nakamura, N. *et al.* Identification of tumor markers and differentiation markers for molecular diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma. Oncogene 25, 4245–4255 (2006).
- 25. Pirazzoli, V. et al. Acquired resistance of EGFR-mutant lung adenocarcinomas to afatinib plus cetuximab is associated with activation of mTORC1. Cell Rep 7, 999–1008 (2014).
- Nakashima, T. et al. Wnt1 overexpression associated with tumor proliferation and a poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer patients. Oncol Rep 19, 203–209 (2008).
- 27. Nakashima, N. *et al.* Wnt3 gene expression promotes tumor progression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* **76**, 228–234 (2012).
- Froeling, F. E. et al. Retinoic acid-induced pancreatic stellate cell quiescence reduces paracrine Wnt-beta-catenin signaling to slow tumor progression. Gastroenterology 141, 1486–1497, 1497 e1481–1414 (2011).
- Veeman, M. T., Slusarski, D. C., Kaykas, A., Louie, S. H. & Moon, R. T. Zebrafish prickle, a modulator of noncanonical Wnt/Fz signaling, regulates gastrulation movements. *Curr Biol* 13, 680–685 (2003).
- 30. Singh, I. et al. Hmga2 is required for canonical WNT signaling during lung development. BMC Biol 12, 21 (2014).
- Dow, L. E. *et al.* Apc Restoration Promotes Cellular Differentiation and Reestablishes Crypt Homeostasis in Colorectal. *Cancer. Cell* 161, 1539–1552 (2015).
- 32. Park, S. H., Lim, J. S. & Jang, K. L. All-trans retinoic acid induces cellular senescence via upregulation of p16, p21, and p27. Cancer Lett 310, 232–239 (2011).
- 33. Grandel, H. et al. Retinoic acid signalling in the zebrafish embryo is necessary during pre-segmentation stages to pattern the anterior-posterior axis of the CNS and to induce a pectoral fin bud. Development 129, 2851–2865 (2002).

- 34. Gudas, L. J. & Wagner, J. A. Retinoids regulate stem cell differentiation. J Cell Physiol 226, 322-330 (2011).
- Kumar, S. & Duester, G. Retinoic acid controls body axis extension by directly repressing Fgf8 transcription. *Development* 141, 2972–2977 (2014).
- 36. Kikuchi, K. *et al.* Retinoic acid production by endocardium and epicardium is an injury response essential for zebrafish heart regeneration. *Dev Cell* **20**, 397–404 (2011).
- Cardoso, W. V. et al. Retinoic acid induces changes in the pattern of airway branching and alters epithelial cell differentiation in the developing lung in vitro. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 12, 464–476 (1995).
- Wang, Z. et al. ATRA-induced cellular differentiation and CD38 expression inhibits acquisition of BCR-ABL mutations for CML acquired resistance. PLoS Genet 10, e1004414 (2014).
- Lee, J. E. et al. Hippo pathway effector YAP inhibition restores the sensitivity of EGFR-TKI in lung adenocarcinoma having primary or acquired EGFR-TKI resistance. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 474, 154–160 (2016).
- 40. Zhang, Z. et al. Activation of the AXL kinase causes resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy in lung cancer. Nat Genet 44, 852–860 (2012).
- Park, J. H. et al. Activation of the IGF1R pathway potentially mediates acquired resistance to mutant-selective 3rd-generation EGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget 7, 22005–22015 (2016).
- 42. Yang, H., Lu, M. M., Zhang, L., Whitsett, J. A. & Morrisey, E. E. GATA6 regulates differentiation of distal lung epithelium. Development 129, 2233-2246 (2002).
- Liu, C., Glasser, S. W., Wan, H. & Whitsett, J. A. GATA-6 and thyroid transcription factor-1 directly interact and regulate surfactant protein-C gene expression. J Biol Chem 277, 4519–4525 (2002).
- Okabe, Y. & Medzhitov, R. Tissue-specific signals control reversible program of localization and functional polarization of macrophages. *Cell* 157, 832–844 (2014).
- Lynch, T. J. et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 350, 2129–2139 (2004).
- Salvatori, L. et al. Action of retinoic acid receptor on EGFR gene transactivation and breast cancer cell proliferation: Interplay with the estrogen receptor. Biomed Pharmacother 65, 307–312 (2011).
- Sah, J. F., Eckert, R. L., Chandraratna, R. A. & Rorke, E. A. Retinoids suppress epidermal growth factor-associated cell proliferation by inhibiting epidermal growth factor receptor-dependent ERK1/2 activation. J Biol Chem 277, 9728–9735 (2002).
- Martinelli, P. et al. The acinar regulator Gata6 suppresses KrasG12V-driven pancreatic tumorigenesis in mice. Gut 65, 476–486 (2016).
- 49. Nguyen, D. X. *et al.* WNT/TCF signaling through LEF1 and HOXB9 mediates lung adenocarcinoma metastasis. *Cell* **138**, 51–62 (2009).
- Zhang, Y. et al. A Gata6-Wnt pathway required for epithelial stem cell development and airway regeneration. Nat Genet 40, 862–870 (2008).
- Zhu, X. *et al.* All-Trans Retinoic Acid-Induced Deficiency of the Wnt/beta-Catenin Pathway Enhances Hepatic Carcinoma Stem Cell Differentiation. *PLoS One* 10, e0143255 (2015).
- 52. Felsher, D. W. Tumor dormancy and oncogene addiction. APMIS 116, 629-637 (2008).
- 53. Botting, G. M., Rastogi, I., Chhabra, G., Nlend, M. & Puri, N. Mechanism of Resistance and Novel Targets Mediating Resistance to EGFR and c-Met Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *PLoS One* **10**, e0136155 (2015).
- Raimondi, L. et al. Involvement of multiple myeloma cell-derived exosomes in osteoclast differentiation. Oncotarget 6, 13772–13789 (2015).
- 55. Lin, X., Tirichine, L. & Bowler, C. Protocol: Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) methodology to investigate histone modifications in two model diatom species. *Plant Methods* **8**, 48 (2012).

Acknowledgements

We kindly thank Valentina Greco (Yale) for providing the Wnt reporter TOPFlash/FOPFlash; Elisa Giuffrida (University of Catania) for helping with the *in vivo* xenograft experiments; Alice Conigliaro (University La Sapienza) and Lavinia Raimondi (Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli) for critical feedbacks on the manuscript. SR is the recipient of the AIRC (Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro) fellowship. RA and Alessandro lab are supported by Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC, Grant # 12763).

Author Contributions

G.Z., F.N. and R.A. designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. G.Z. and F.N. performed and analyzed the experiments. L.S. helped with the FACS experiments and their analysis. S.R. performed some of the Western Blotting showed in the manuscript. G.C., S.F. and R.P. shared the mouse facility and helped with the *in vivo* experiments. C.G. performed some of the qRT-PCR experiments showed in the manuscript. C.R. provided some of the cell lines used in the manuscript and critically contributed to the data analysis.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05047-z

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017