Check for updates

PERSPECTIVE OPEN Absence of a BCS-BEC crossover in the cuprate superconductors

John Sous $\mathbb{D}^{1 imes}$, Yu He $\mathbb{D}^{2 imes}$ and Steven A. Kivelson $\mathbb{D}^{1 imes}$

We examine key aspects of the theory of the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) to Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) crossover, focusing on the temperature dependence of the chemical potential, μ . We identify an accurate method of determining the change of μ in the cuprate high temperature superconductors from angle-resolved-photoemission data (along the 'nodal' direction), and show that μ varies by less than a few percent of the Fermi energy over a range of temperatures from far below to several times above the superconducting transition temperature, T_c . This shows, unambiguously, that not only are these materials always on the BCS side of the crossover (which is a phase transition in the *d*-wave case), but are nowhere near the point of the crossover (where the chemical potential approaches the band bottom).

npj Quantum Materials (2023)8:25; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-023-00550-1

INTRODUCTION

The zero temperature (T) superfluid density, $n_s(0)$, of the cuprate high-temperature superconductors is several orders of magnitude smaller than that of conventional superconductors^{1–3}. Indeed (when translated into energy units) it is comparable to the critical transition temperature $(T_c)^3$. This has led to the probably inescapable inference that T_{α} itself, is determined, at least to a significant degree, by the condensation scale (i.e. the phase ordering temperature, $T_{\theta} \propto n_s(0)$), rather than by the pairing scale ($\sim \Delta_0/2$), in contrast to the case in the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory of conventional superconductors. There is also compelling evidence that some degree of clearly identifiable superconducting fluctuations—colloquially referred to as 'pairing without phase coherence'—persists for a substantial range (at least 20% or so) above T_c^{4-14} . This has been known for some time for the underdoped cuprates, but it has recently become increasingly clear that the same is true for many or all overdoped cuprates as well (Fig. 1)^{8,13,15}. Indeed, as a function of doping, the onset temperature (however defined) of superconducting fluctuations more closely parallels T_c than it does the conventionally defined pseudo-gap crossover.

However, what is unclear is why this occurs, and what we should learn from this. One proposal is that this should be taken as evidence that the system is approaching a strong pairing situation, referred to as the Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) limit, in which the electrons form non-overlapping charge 2e bosons at a scale far above T_c^{-16-26} (see also Supplementary Note 1). However, as we will discuss below, there are other conceptually distinct, yet equally well understood circumstances in which T_c is determined by phase ordering and in which Cooper pairing persists above T_c . The purpose of this work is to analyze the behavior that would be expected of a system either in the BEC limit or approaching the BCS to BEC crossover from the BCS side, and to present direct experimental evidence that this is *not* the case for the cuprates.

FRAMING THE ISSUE

BCS theory is a weak coupling theory that is built on a starting point that is the electronic structure from band theory. The BEC

limit invokes electronic bound states. In the former case, the pairing is highly collective and the chemical potential, μ , is only weakly affected by the advent of pairing. In the latter, the chemical potential—by the definition of a bound state—must approach a value that lies below the band bottom as $T \rightarrow 0$. These differences do not refer to subtle low-energy phenomena but rather to entirely different regimes of microscopic physics on energy scales of the order of the Fermi energy, E_{Fr} , or larger²⁷.

From this perspective, the fact that the Fermi surface and general features of the electron dispersion seen in ARPES experiments across the superconducting dome of the cuprates are more or less in agreement with expectations from bandstructure calculations appears to be inconsistent with any large excursions toward the BEC limit. (This is illustrated in Fig. 2.) Emergent features of the low energy physics, such as a normal state pseudo-gap that competes with superconductivity (apparent below some generally relatively ill-defined T^*)^{7,28–34} and various low energy kinks in the dispersion relations are certainly interesting and important, but occur on energy scales small compared to E_{F}^{35-38} . The fact that the application of magnetic fields large enough to quench superconductivity produces quantum oscillations³⁹ is further evidence that pairing is a collective property of the superconducting state rather than a microscopic feature associated with bound-state formation, see Supplementary Note 2.

One important feature of the superconducting state in the cuprates is that it has *d*-wave symmetry and gapless, nodal quasiparticle excitations, see Supplementary Note 3. There can be no nodal quasi-particles in the BEC limit. (See Ref. ⁴⁰.) Thus, for this *d*wave case, the BCS to BEC crossover^{24,26} would constitute a (Lifshitz) phase transition from a nodal to a nodeless state^{40,41}. The existence of well-defined nodes is, of itself, proof that the cuprates are on the BCS side of the transition. This leaves only the question of how far on the BCS side they are from the point at which a BCS to BEC transition would have occurred, see Supplementary Note 4. In the language of effective field theories, the question we consider is not one concerning the correct infrared description (i.e.

¹Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ²Department of Applied Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ^{III}email: sous@stanford.edu; yu.he@yale.edu; kivelson@stanford.edu

J. Sous et al. (a) La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ (b) Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ} o Specific heat ARPES AN 120 $\overline{\nabla}$ 300 ARPES NN THz conductivity onset ▲ Torque magnetization ▼ Torque magnetization Nernst 100 Paraconductivity • Specific heat THz conductiivty onset ⊳ Paraconductivity 80 200 Temperature (K) Temperature (K) 0000 C 000 60 0 \mathcal{O} ₮ oß 40 100 8 0 20 T_a LSCO films "universal" T_c parabola LSCO crystals آر 0 0 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 Doping (x) Doping (p)

Fig. 1 Cuprates phase diagram. Phase diagram of two representative cuprates, (a) $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ (LSCO) and (b) $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ (Bi-2212), as a function of doped hole concentration and temperature. Open black markers indicate T_c for bulk crystals, while the solid black line is the same for crystalline films from Ref.¹⁰. The other symbols indicate crossover lines below which the existence of significant superconducting fluctuations are inferred from various different experiments that are directly sensitive to Cooper pair formation. Data, including error bars where applicable, are reproduced from Refs. ^{4–10,70–72}, see also Supplementary Note 8. The quantitative identification of any crossover depends on the criterion used, and moreover distinct probes should have different sensitivity to superconducting correlations, so it is reasonable that the various lines do not coincide.



Fig. 2 Electronic structure of Bi-based cuprates along high symmetry directions. a Schematic Fermi surface and momentum cut trajectory in the tetragonal Brillouin zone of a CuO₂ plane. **b** Low energy electronic structure near (π ,0) in Bi-2212 (p = 0.22, T_c = 66 K) in the normal state. Data are reproduced from Ref. ⁸. **c** Electronic structure along $\Gamma - X$ direction in LSCO (x = 0.23, T_c = 24 K) and Bi-2212. Light green lines are density functional theory (DFT) calculated band structure. For Bi-2212 only the antibonding band is shown. Deviations from the first principles dispersion apparent at low energies represent mass renormalization due to additional interaction effects, see Supplementary Note 9. Data are adapted from Refs. ^{8,73-75}.

phases of matter) but rather concerns the ultra-violet (high energy 'microscopic') description consistent with experimental data.

EXPERIMENTAL PERSPECTIVE

We will focus our attention on the behavior of the chemical potential, μ , as this is a fundamental thermodynamic quantity that exhibits qualitatively different behavior in the two limits. Since by definition, in the BEC limit the chemical potential is below the band bottom, on approach to the BEC limit from the BCS side one should see that the chemical potential is significantly depressed from its band theory value toward the bottom of the band. Moreover, it should show strong *T* dependencies for temperatures of order *T*_c.

npj Quantum Materials (2023) 25

A number of fortuitous features of the electronic structure of the cuprates make it possible to stringently bound the evolution of the chemical potential from the electron dispersion measured in ARPES along the 'nodal direction' in the Brillouin zone. Specifically, it is possible to determine the value of the Fermi momentum, $k_F = |k_F|$, as a function of temperature with a high degree of precision. In the superconducting state, since the gap vanishes along this direction, it is possible to measure the quasiparticle dispersion to where it crosses the chemical potential. Moreover, since the pseudo-gap-where it exists-also has a dwave structure (see Supplementary Note 3), it also vanishes along this direction. Working further to our advantage is the fact that this is the trajectory through the Brillouin zone along which the spectral peaks seen in ARPES are the sharpest. Indeed, in bilayer cuprates (such as Bi-2212), the quantum chemistry results in a vanishing bilayer splitting at the node, so there is no need to worry about this complication either.

While knowledge of the temperature evolution of nodal k_F does not permit an absolute measure of μ , it does allow a direct measure of changes in the chemical potential relative to a reference value,

$$\delta\mu(T) = v_F \delta k_F(T),\tag{1}$$

where v_F is the nodal velocity (which, conveniently, appears to be minimally dependent on *T* and on doped hole concentration, *x*, on the 10–100 meV energy scale^{36,37}). It should be noted that a cascade of nodal dispersion kinks can affect the temperature dependent shift of the nodal k_F up to 1% of the reciprocal lattice unit^{35–38}, which is comparable to experimentally observed values, but at least 2 orders of magnitude smaller than what is expected in the crossover regime.

In Fig. 3 we show $k_F(T)$ from ARPES data in Bi-2212 for several different values of p. The measured changes in k_F are sufficiently small that, within the uncertainties of interpretation, they are consistent with a temperature-independent chemical potential. (Specifically, minute but difficult to quantify shifts of k_F are expected to arise from temperature dependent low-energy band renormalizations due to electron-electron or electron-phonon



Fig. 3 Temperature dependence of *k_F* **along the nodal direction. a** Bi-2212 nodal momenta normalized to $\frac{2\pi}{a_0}$, where $a_0 = 3.8$ Åis the in-plane Cu–Cu separation under tetragonal approximation. Data are reproduced from Refs. ^{73,76,77}. (Note that if these materials were in the crossover regime, the chemical potential would drop to the band bottom, and consequently *k_F* would shift to zero.) **b** Chemical potential shifts $\delta \mu = v_F \delta k_F$, evaluated by multiplying the *k_F* shifts by the Fermi velocity³⁷ in absolute energy units and in units of *E_F* = 1.25 eV. In both panels, arrows indicate the corresponding values of *T_c*. Solid triangles (which correspond to the solid symbols in Fig. 1(b) represent the superconducting gap opening temperature as determined from ARPES^{7,8}. Dashed lines are guides to the eye. Typical error bars (not shown) are ≤4 meV (momentum resolution multiplied by Fermi velocity).



Fig. 4 Thermal evolution of the chemical potential in the BCS limit. Thermal evolution of (a) $\delta\mu(T) = \mu(T) - E_F$ and (b) k_F computed for the model of a *d*-wave BCS superconductor discussed in the text. The gray dashed line is for the normal state $\Delta_0 = 0$, and the blue and red solid lines are, respectively, for $\Delta_0 = 0.1t$ and $\Delta_0 = t$. We have taken a density of doped holes x = 0.2 (n = 0.8) and $T_c = 0.025t$. Temperatures are shown in units of T_c and energies in units of $E_F = 1.7t$.

interactions, even in the absence of any *T* dependence of μ .) This is our primary finding. Indeed below, and in Fig. 4, we show that the very small changes in the chemical potential expected on the basis of BCS theory are order-of-magnitude consistent with these findings, assuming reasonable values of the T = 0 gap, $\Delta_0 \approx 40$ meV. By contrast, even on the BCS side of the transition, in the regime proximate to a BEC limit a large shift in the chemical potential toward the band bottom would be expected.

There are a few aspects of the result that merit closer inspection. As can be seen in Fig. 2c, E_F (defined to be the position of the band-bottom at the Γ point relative to the chemical potential) is between 1 and 2 eV, which is large enough compared to Δ_0 that it would seem obvious that the system is deep in the BCS limit. However, the band is relatively shallow near the van-Hove point. The energy at the *M* point, $(0, \pi)$, is no more than 0.1 eV below μ (Fig. 2b)^{42,43}. This is only a few times Δ_0 , so that if we focused exclusively on this near 'antinodal' region of the Brillouin zone, we might have anticipated more in the way of a shift in the chemical potential. The idea that the anti-nodal 'heavy electrons' can be viewed as somehow distinct from the near-nodal 'light electrons', however, runs up against the experimental fact that the chemical potential does not show any of the T dependence across T_c or T^* that should be a corollary of such a two-patch theory (see next section). Indeed, the bound we have obtained on the chemical potential shifts are so stringent so that $|\delta \mu| < \Delta_0$. In addition, near the BCS-BEC crossover, the backbending momenta

of the Bogoliubov quasiparticle dispersion in the superconducting state should shift towards zero, which is not observed in the cuprates at any hole doping^{8,44,45}.

THEORY OF THE BCS TO BEC CROSSOVER

As in the experimental discussion, we focus our theoretical analysis on the thermal evolution of the chemical potential μ . Specifically, we illustrate the fact that variations of μ are small in the BCS limit, increase upon approach to the BCS to BEC crossover, and are large whenever the BEC perspective is relevant, see Supplementary Note 5.

The BCS analysis

Given that the existence of nodal quasi-particles places the cuprates on the BCS side of the transition, it is reasonable to consider signatures of the approach to the BEC limit in the context of BCS theory. It is an often neglected feature of BCS theory that, in addition to the familiar gap equation, there is a second self-consistency equation that determines the chemical potential as the implicit solution to

$$n = 2 \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \left[|u_{\vec{k}}|^2 (1 - f_{\vec{k}}) + |v_{\vec{k}}|^2 f_{\vec{k}} \right]$$
(2)

where *n* is the electron density, $f_{\vec{k}} = [e^{\beta E(\vec{k})} + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi function, $E(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{[\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu]^2 + |\Delta(\vec{k})|^2}$ and $\epsilon(\vec{k})$ are the quasiparticle energies in the superconducting and normal state respectively, $\Delta(\vec{k})$ is the gap function, with the coherence factors $|u_{\vec{k}}|^2 = [E(\vec{k}) - \epsilon(\vec{k}) + \mu]/2E(\vec{k})$ and $|v_{\vec{k}}|^2 = [E(\vec{k}) + \epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu]/2E(\vec{k})$, and $\beta = 1/T$. If there are multiple bands, then this expression needs to be generalized to include a sum over bands. Naturally, Δ depends implicitly on *T* and on the nature of the interactions through the usual self-consistency relation.

To illustrate why this equation is safely neglected in most cases, consider the illustrative example of free electrons $(\epsilon(\vec{k}) = \hbar^2 k^2/2m)$ in two dimensions (d = 2) with a \vec{k} independent (s-wave) gap function. Because the density of states is constant, the integrals above can be performed readily, with the result that $\mu(T, \Delta)$ is obtained as the implicit solution to

$$E_{F} = \frac{1}{2} \left[E_{\mu} + \mu \right] + T \ln \left[1 + e^{-\beta E_{\mu}} \right]$$

where the Fermi energy $E_F = \pi \hbar^2 n/m$ such that $\mu(T, \Delta = 0) \rightarrow E_F$ as $T \rightarrow 0$ and $E_{\mu} = \sqrt{\mu^2 + \Delta^2}$. The second term in this equation is typically exponentially small, $\sim e^{-\beta E_F}$, and hence

$$\mu(T,\Delta) = E_F \Big[1 - |\Delta(T)/2E_F|^2 \Big] + \mathcal{O}(Te^{-\beta E_F}).$$
(3)

If we define the BCS to BEC crossover as the point at which $\mu(0, \Delta) = 0$, this occurs when $\Delta_0 \equiv \Delta(0) = 2E_F$ —the shift of the chemical potential relative to its normal state, $[\mu(T > T_c) - \mu(0)]/\mu(T > T_c) \approx [E_F - \mu(0)]/E_F$, thus is directly a measure of how closely we have approached this crossover.

In more general circumstances, band-structure effects result in an energy dependent density of states. In the small Δ_0 (BCS) limit, this leads to a (logarithmically) larger shift in the chemical potential $\delta\mu = \mu(0, \Delta_0) - \mu(0, 0)$ of the form

$$\delta\mu \sim -\frac{1}{2} \frac{
ho'(\mu(0,0))}{
ho(\mu(0,0))} |\Delta_0|^2 \ln\left(\frac{2W}{\Delta_0}\right),$$
 (4)

where $\rho'(\mu)$ is the derivative of ρ with respect to μ and W is the electronic bandwidth. On the other hand, if we continue to follow the evolution of μ according to the BCS equations for a single band to the large Δ_0 limit (where, of course, BCS theory is not in any way justified) the result is asymptotically independent of the

4

$$\mu = \left[\frac{(n-1)}{\sqrt{n(2-n)}}\right] |\Delta_0| \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{W}{|\Delta_0|}\right)\right].$$
(5)

For a cuprate-like band-structure, these two asymptotic forms typically give rise to a non-monotonic dependence of μ on Δ_0 . The presence of a van-Hove point below the Fermi energy implies that $\rho'(\mu)$ is negative, meaning that for small Δ_0 , the superconductivity induced changes in the chemical potential are expected to be positive. On the other hand, for a hole-doped cuprate (with (n-1) < 0), the chemical potential must drop toward the band bottom for large enough Δ_0 .

To make closer contact with experimental reality, we have numerically carried through the BCS analysis for a two-dimensional (2D) model that incorporates significant features of the electronic structure of the cuprates. Here, we take $\epsilon(\vec{k}) = 4(t + t') - 4(t + t')$ $2t(\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)) - 4t'\cos(k_x)\cos(k_y)$ (the zero of energy has been chosen to coincide with the band bottom, i.e. such that $\epsilon(\vec{0}) =$ 0 and we have set the lattice constant a = 1) with t' = -0.3t(obtained from a fit to low binding energy ARPES data^{8,45}, see Supplementary Note 6) and $\Delta(\vec{k}) = \Delta(T)[\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y)]/2$, where we further assume that $\Delta(T) = \Delta_0 \sqrt{1 - (T/T_c)^2}$ for all $T < T_c$. We perform the calculation for hole concentration x = 0.2(n = 1 - x = 0.8) and we use $T_c = 0.025t$. The results are shown in Fig. 4 for two values of Δ_0 : 1) $\Delta_0 = 0.1t$, which is a reasonable value for the cuprates; 2) $\Delta_0 = t$, which is far larger than is plausible, included for illustrative purposes. Not only is the thermal evolution of $\mu(T)$ very weak relative to E_{Fr} but also it appears that it is very small relative to $\Delta(T)$. Note that this analysis is *not* meant to quantitatively explain the experimental results, but rather to provide an estimate of the expected magnitude shift in μ and k_F across T_c in the BCS limit.

The BEC limit

To develop intuition concerning the thermal evolution of μ in the BEC limit, we can carry out the same analysis for the case of a 2D non-interacting Bose gas. While this problem has no actual phase transition, in the presence of weak repulsive interactions, the superfluid transition occurs at a number of order 1 (which depends on the log-log of the interaction strength⁴⁶) times the characteristic energy $T_0 \equiv 2\pi\hbar^2 n_B/m_B$. (If we identify the areal density of the bosons, n_B with 1/2 the density of Fermions, n, and their mass m_B as twice the electron effective mass, m, then $T_0 = E_F/2$, where E_F is what would have been the Fermi energy in the absence of pair-binding.) Again, the fact that the density of states is a constant permits us to derive an analytic expression

$$\mu = T \ln[1 - e^{-T_0/T}]. \tag{6}$$

This result is shown in Fig. 5. From Eq. (6), it is easy to see that the chemical potential shifts by approximately a factor of 4 as the temperature changes from $T = T_0$ (roughly T_c) to $T = 2T_0$; a result that is qualitatively unchanged by weak interactions.

Mixture of heavy bosons and light fermions

Motivated by the proposal of heavy antinodal electron pairs mixing with light nodal quasiparticles in cuprates, it is interesting to consider a two component system in which a 2D Bose gas is in equilibrium with a BCS superconductor¹⁶. One could imagine this arising in a two-band system, in which one band is in a BCS and the other in a BEC limit. Now the chemical potential must simultaneously satisfy Eqs. (3) and (6)—which in turn means that the fraction of particles that are bosonic must be determined self-consistently according to

$$n_{tot} = n + 2n_B = (1/\pi\hbar^2)[mE_F + m_BT_0]$$
(7)



Fig. 5 Thermal evolution of the chemical potential in the BEC limit. Thermal evolution of $\delta\mu(T) = \mu(T) - \mu(0)$ in the BEC limit (black thick line) contrasted with its behavior in the BCS limit for $\Delta_0 = 0.1t$ (light gray thin line) and $\Delta_0 = t$ (dark gray thin line). As in Fig. 4, in the BCS calculation we have taken $T_c = 0.025t$ and a density of doped holes x = 0.2 (n = 0.8), which yields $E_F = 1.7t$. In comparing the BEC and BCS results we identified energy scales according to $T_c \equiv T_0$, $E_F \equiv 2T_0$, and scaled up the BCS results by a factor of 50 in order to make the *T* dependence visible.

where n_{tot} is the total electron density, and the factor 2 encodes the assumptions that two electrons can combine to form one boson. The result is a generally complicated thermal evolution of μ . However, in the limit that $m_B \gg m$ (i.e. where the bosonic density of states is large compared to the fermionic density of states), the result simplifies; here, the density of fermions does not change significantly over the relevant range of μ , so the *T* dependence of μ reduces to the same expression as for the pure bosonic problem, Eq. (6), with an approximately constant value of n_B .

QUANTIFYING COOPER PAIR OVERLAP

One line of analysis that is sometimes invoked in support of proximity to a BEC limit is based on an estimate of the number of Cooper pairs in a Cooper pair area^{26,47}. This is estimated as $N \equiv n\pi |\xi_0|^2$, where *n* is the density of conduction electrons per unit area and $\pi |\xi_0|^2$ represents the area associated with a given pair (ξ_0 is the correlation length). It is then proposed that *N* is a reasonable metric, such that $N \gg 1$ in the BCS limit and $N \lesssim 1$ in the BEC limit.

However, neither *n* nor ξ_0 is well defined. For instance, in the cuprate context, there is an order of magnitude uncertainty concerning what value of n is appropriate—whether it is proportional to x, the density of 'doped holes' relative to the undoped insulator, or (1 + x), the area enclosed by the Fermi surface, see Supplementary Note 7. $|\xi_0|^2$ is even more uncertain, given that this is a nodal superconductor. The Fermi surface average of $|\xi_0|^2$ is infinite due to its divergence in the nodal direction. Taking this at face value it suggests (not without reason) that a nodal SC can never approach the BEC limit. On the other hand, it is the Fermi surface average of ξ^{-2} that enters the meanfield estimate of H_{c2} , and this is dominated by the portions of the Fermi surface where the gap is maximal and/or the Fermi velocity is minimal. If one makes an estimate of N taking the shortest possible estimate of $|\xi_0|^2$ (obtained from the largest experimentally inferred values of the mean-field H_{c2} and the smallest possible value for $n \sim x$, the result suggests $N \sim 1-10$ for optimally doped cuprates-small enough that it might justify conjectures of a nearby BCS to BEC crossover. However, because of the uncertainties that lead to this estimate, we consider this analysis far less reliable than the analysis based on measurements of μ .

affect the superconducting state.

DATA AVAILABILITY

request.

tors.

elaborate theoretical approaches than the simple BCS meanfield theory that works so well in conventional superconduc-

The idea that a BCS to BEC crossover may be at play has been

mooted $^{\rm 64-69}$ in the context of a variety of other unusual

superconductors, including the Fe-based superconductors

and more recently twisted bilayer graphene. Obviously, the

evidence that this crosser is not relevant in the cuprates does

not prove that it is not significant in other materials.

Conversely, we propose that clear evidence can be obtained

one way or the other from careful measurements of the

evolution of μ as a function of T and other properties that

- preformed Cooper pairs. However, the unusually large degree of superconducting fluctuations and the many other strange low energy behaviors of these materials certainly require more
- properties of the cuprates. It resolves the high energy microscopic issue of what are the constituent degrees of freedom one should include in a theoretical treatment—they are roughly the quasi-particles of a Fermi liquid and not

that BCS theory is adequate to treat the emergent low energy

- Note 3), especially charge-density-wave order, likely plays a role in reducing the fraction of the electrons that contribute to $n_{\rm s}$. In concluding, we address two points of perspective concerning the present results that could easily be misinterpreted: The fact that the lack of substantial chemical potential shift with temperature is consistent with BCS theory does not prove
- undoped insulator, while the superfluid density grows linear with doping, $x^{51,52}$. Which, if any of these possibilities is essential in the cuprates is still open to debate. There is surely considerable evidence of significant inhomogeneity in the electronic structure revealed by local probes^{53–57}, so much so that there are suggestions that the cuprates should be viewed as electronic glasses^{15,58-63}. In this light, it certainly is worth considering whether the materials might

of BCS theory^{48,49}. A guasi-1D superconductor, where the pairing (gap formation) can occur in a BCS-like manner on a single superconducting wire, while T_c is small in proportion to a positive power of the coupling between wires⁵⁰

- persistence of pairing without phase coherence in an usually large range of temperatures above T_c . Three theoretically understood A granular superconductor or Josephson junction array where T_{θ} (and hence T_{c}) is determined by the magnitude of the Josephson coupling between superconducting grains, while
- examples of systems that exhibit these properties are:

To complete our analysis of the physics of pairing in the cuprates,

it is important to ask whether there are any alternatives to the BEC perspective that can account for the experimental observations of an intimate relation between T_c and T_{θ} and a corresponding

FURTHER ISSUES

- the pairing within a grain can be well described in the context
- A lightly doped spin-liquid of an appropriate variety, where the pairing scale is inherited from the spin correlations of the

in some ways behave like granular superconductors. While there is

no direct evidence of either guasi-1D electronic structure, or of

any spin-liquid phases—doped or otherwise, it is not obvious (in

the sense of adiabatic continuity) that these examples are totally

irrelevant. At the very least, the existence in the cuprate phase

diagram of a variety of 'intertwined orders' (see Supplementary

- 3. Emery, V. J. & Kivelson, S. A. Importance of phase fluctuations in superconductors with small superfluid density. Nature 374, 434 (1995). 4. Wang, Y. et al. Field-enhanced diamagnetism in the pseudogap state of the cuprate $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ superconductor in an intense magnetic field. *Phys. Rev.*
 - Lett. 95, 247002 (2005). 5. Li, L. et al. Diamagnetism and Cooper pairing above T_c in cuprates. Phys. Rev. B 81, 054510 (2010).

Received: 26 October 2022; Accepted: 31 March 2023;

6. Kondo, T. et al. Point nodes persisting far beyond T_c in Bi2212. Nat. Commun. 6. 7699 (2015).

1. Uemura, Y. J. et al. Universal correlations between T_c and $\frac{n_s}{m^*}$ (carrier density over

2. Uemura, Y. J. et al. Basic similarities among cuprate, bismuthate, organic, chevrel-

effective mass) in high-T_c cuprate superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2317

phase, and heavy-fermion superconductors shown by penetration-depth mea-

- 7. Chen, S.-D. et al. Incoherent strange metal sharply bounded by a critical doping in Bi2212. Science 366, 1099-1102 (2019).
- 8. He, Y. et al. Superconducting fluctuations in overdoped Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ}. Phys. Rev. X 11, 031068 (2021).
- 9. Corson, J., Mallozzi, R., Orenstein, J., Eckstein, J. N. & Božović, I. Vanishing of phase coherence in underdoped Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ}. Nature 398, 221 (1999).
- 10. Bilbro, L. S. et al. Temporal correlations of superconductivity above the transition temperature in $La_2 - xSr_xCuO_4$ probed by terahertz spectroscopy. Nat. Phys. 7, 298 (2011).
- 11. Hu, W. et al. Optically enhanced coherent transport in YBa2Cu3O6.5 by ultrafast redistribution of interlayer coupling. Nat. Mater. 13, 705 (2014).
- 12. Bergeal, N. et al. Pairing fluctuations in the pseudogap state of copper-oxide superconductors probed by the Josephson effect. Nat. Phys. 4, 608 (2008).
- 13. Zhou, P. et al. Electron pairing in the pseudogap state revealed by shot noise in copper oxide junctions. Nature 572, 493 (2019).
- 14. Božović, I., He, X., Wu, J. & Bollinger, A. T. Dependence of the critical temperature in overdoped copper oxides on superfluid density. Nature 536, 309 (2016).
- 15. Tromp, W. et al. Puddle formation, persistent gaps, and non-mean field breakdown of heterogeneous superconductivity in overdoped (Pb,Bi)₂Sr₂CuO_{6+δ}. Nat. Mater. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41563-023-01497-1 (2022).
- 16. Friedberg, R. & Lee, T. D. Gap energy and long-range order in the boson-fermion model of superconductivity. Phys. Rev. B 40, 6745-6762 (1989).
- 17. Randeria, M., Duan, J.-M. & Shieh, L.-Y. Bound states, Cooper pairing, and Bose condensation in two dimensions. Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 981-984 (1989).
- 18. Randeria, M., Duan, J.-M. & Shieh, L.-Y. Superconductivity in a two-dimensional Fermi gas: evolution from Cooper pairing to Bose condensation. Phys. Rev. B 41, 327-343 (1990).
- 19. Sá de Melo, C. A. R., Randeria, M. & Engelbrecht, J. R. Crossover from BCS to Bose superconductivity: Transition temperature and time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau theory. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3202-3205 (1993).
- 20. Alexandrov, A. S., Kabanov, V. V. & Mott, N. F. Coherent ab and c transport theory of high-T_c cuprates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4796 (1996).
- 21. Chen, Q., Kosztin, I., Jankó, B. & Levin, K. Pairing fluctuation theory of superconducting properties in underdoped to overdoped cuprates. Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4708 (1998).
- 22. Chen, O., Kosztin, I., Jankó, B. & Levin, K. Superconducting transitions from the pseudogap state: d-wave symmetry, lattice, and low-dimensional effects. Phys. Rev. B 59, 7083 (1999).
- 23. Levin, K. et al. The origin of the pseudogap phase: Precursor superconductivity versus a competing energy gap scenario. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 63, 2233 (2002). Proceedings of the Conference on Spectroscopies in Novel Superconductors.
- 24. Randeria, M. & Taylor, E. Crossover from Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer to Bose-Einstein condensation and the unitary Fermi gas. Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 5, 209 (2014).
- 25. Harrison, N. & Chan, M. K. Magic gap ratio for optimally robust fermionic condensation and its implications for high-T_c superconductivity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 017001 (2022).
- 26. Chen, Q., Wang, Z., Boyack, R., Yang, S. & Levin, K. When superconductivity crosses over: from BCS to BEC. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.01774 (2022).
- 27. Sá de Melo, C. A. R. When fermions become bosons: pairing in ultracold gases. Phys. Today 61, 45 (2008).

REFERENCES

(1989)

Published online: 23 May 2023

surements. Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2665 (1991).

- Alloul, H., Ohno, T. & Mendels, P. ⁸⁹Y NMR evidence for a Fermi-liquid behavior in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+x}. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 1700 (1989).
- Batlogg, B. et al. Normal state phase diagram of (La,Sr)₂CuO₄ from charge and spin dynamics. *Physica C: Superconduct.* 235, 130 (1994).
- 30. Loeser, A. G. et al. Excitation gap in the normal state of underdoped Bi_2Sr_2Ca-Cu_2O_{8+\delta}. Science **273**, 325 (1996).
- Ding, H. et al. Spectroscopic evidence for a pseudogap in the normal state of underdoped high-T_c superconductors. *Nature* **382**, 51 (1996).
- Renner, C., Revaz, B., Genoud, J.-Y., Kadowaki, K. & Fischer, Ø. Pseudogap precursor of the superconducting gap in under- and overdoped Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ}. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 149 (1998).
- Daou, R. et al. Linear temperature dependence of resistivity and change in the Fermi surface at the pseudogap critical point of a high-T_c superconductor. Nat. Phys. 5, 31–34 (2009).
- Hashimoto, M., Vishik, I. M., He, R.-H., Devereaux, T. P. & Shen, Z.-X. Energy gaps in high-transition-temperature cuprate superconductors. *Nat. Phys.* 10, 483 (2014).
- 35. Lanzara, A. et al. Evidence for ubiquitous strong electron-phonon coupling in high-temperature superconductors. *Nature* **412**, 510 (2001).
- 36. Zhou, X. J. et al. Universal nodal Fermi velocity. Nature 423, 398 (2003).
- Vishik, I. M. et al. Doping-dependent nodal Fermi velocity of the hightemperature superconductor Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈₊₆ revealed using high-resolution angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 207002 (2010).
- Anzai, H. et al. A new landscape of multiple dispersion kinks in a high-T_c cuprate superconductor. Sci. Rep. 7, 1 (2017).
- Sebastian, S. E. & Proust, C. Quantum oscillations in hole-doped cuprates. Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 6, 411–430 (2015).
- Duncan, R. D. & Sá de Melo, C. A. R. Thermodynamic properties in the evolution from BCS to Bose-Einstein condensation for a *d*-wave superconductor at low temperatures. *Phys. Rev. B* 62, 9675 (2000).
- Botelho, S. S. & Sá de Melo, C. A. R. Lifshitz transition in *d*-wave superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 71, 134507 (2005).
- Yoshida, T. et al. Systematic doping evolution of the underlying Fermi surface of La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 224510 (2006).
- He, Y. et al. Rapid change of superconductivity and electron-phonon coupling through critical doping in Bi-2212. *Science* 362, 62 (2018).
- Hashimoto, M. et al. Particle-hole symmetry breaking in the pseudogap state of Bi2201. Nat. Phys. 6, 414 (2010).
- Chen, S.-D. et al. Unconventional spectral signature of T_c in a pure d-wave superconductor. Nature 601, 562 (2022).
- Fisher, D. S. & Hohenberg, P. C. Dilute Bose gas in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 4936 (1988).
- 47. Leggett, A. J. What do we know about high T_c? Nat. Phys. 2, 134 (2006).
- Merchant, L., Ostrick, J., Barber, R. P. & Dynes, R. C. Crossover from phase fluctuation to amplitude-dominated superconductivity: A model system. *Phys. Rev. B* 63, 134508 (2001).
- Pelc, D., Anderson, Z., Yu, B., Leighton, C. & Greven, M. Universal superconducting precursor in three classes of unconventional superconductors. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1 (2019).
- Scalapino, D. J., Sears, M. & Ferrell, R. A. Statistical mechanics of one-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau fields. *Phys. Rev. B* 6, 3409 (1972).
- Kivelson, S. A., Rokhsar, D. S. & Sethna, J. P. Topology of the resonating valencebond state: Solitons and high-T_c superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* 35, 8865 (1987).
- Jiang, H.-C. & Kivelson, S. A. High temperature superconductivity in a lightly doped quantum spin liquid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 097002 (2021).
- Crocker, J. et al. NMR studies of pseudogap and electronic inhomogeneity in Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ}. *Phys. Rev. B* 84, 224502 (2011).
- Jurkutat, M., Erb, A. & Haase, J. T_c and other cuprate properties in relation to planar charges as measured by NMR. *Condens. Matter* 4, 67 (2019).
- 55. Pan, S. H. et al. Microscopic electronic inhomogeneity in the high- T_c superconductor Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ}. *Nature* **413**, 282 (2001).
- Howald, C., Fournier, P. & Kapitulnik, A. Inherent inhomogeneities in tunneling spectra of Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8-x} crystals in the superconducting state. *Phys. Rev. B* 64, 100504 (2001).
- 57. Parker, C. V. et al. Nanoscale proximity effect in the high-temperature superconductor $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ using a scanning tunneling microscope. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 117001 (2010).
- Emery, V. J. & Kivelson, S. A. Frustrated electronic phase-separation and hightemperature superconductors. *Physica C: Supercond.* 209, 597 (1993).
- Tranquada, J. M., Ichikawa, N. & Uchida, S. Glassy nature of stripe ordering in La_{1.6-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO₄. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 14712 (1999).
- 60. Hunt, A. W., Singer, P. M., Cederstrom, A. F. & Imai, T. Glassy slowing of stripe modulation in (La, Eu, Nd)_{2−x}(Sr, Ba)_xCuO₄: A ⁶³Cu and ¹³⁹La NQR study down to 350 mk. *Phys. Rev. B* **64** (2001).
- 61. Kohsaka, Y. et al. An intrinsic bond-centered electronic glass with unidirectional domains in underdoped cuprates. *Science* **315**, 1380 (2007).

- Mitrovic, V. F. et al. Similar glassy features in the ¹³⁹La NMR response of pure and disordered La_{1.88}Sr_{0.12}CuO₄. *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008).
- Pelc, D. et al. Unconventional short-range structural fluctuations in cuprate high-T_c superconductors. Sci. Rep. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-22150-y (2021).
- Lee, D.-H. Is FeSe a superconductor in the Bose–Einstein condensation limit. J. Club Condens. Matter Phys. https://doi.org/10.36471/JCCM_November_2017_01 (2017).
- 65. Uezono, Y. et al. Onset temperatures for superconducting fluctuations in T_eannealed FeTe_{1-x}Se_x single crystals: evidence for the BCS-BEC crossover. Preprint at https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.08238 (2022).
- 66. Lu, Y. F. et al. Zero-gap semiconductor to excitonic insulator transition in Ta_2NiSe_5 . *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1 (2017).
- Ma, L. et al. Strongly correlated excitonic insulator in atomic double layers. *Nature* 598, 585 (2021).
- Nakagawa, Y. et al. Gate-controlled BCS-BEC crossover in a two-dimensional superconductor. *Science* 372, 190 (2021).
- Shi, T., Zhang, W. & Sá de Melo, C. A. R. Density-induced BCS-Bose evolution in gated two-dimensional superconductors: The role of the interaction range in the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. *Europhys. Lett.* **139**, 36003 (2022).
- Tallon, J. L., Storey, J. G. & Loram, J. W. Fluctuations and critical temperature reduction in cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 092502 (2011).
- 71. Usui, T. et al. Doping dependencies of onset temperatures for the pseudogap and superconductive fluctuation in Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+ δ}, studied from both in-plane and out-of-plane magnetoresistance measurements. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **83**, 064713 (2014).
- Ando, Y., Komiya, S., Segawa, K., Ono, S. & Kurita, Y. Electronic phase diagram of high-T_c cuprate superconductors from a mapping of the in-plane resistivity curvature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 267001 (2004).
- He, Y. Spectroscopic Studies on Electronic Correlation and Lattice Coupling in Hole-Doped High-Tc Cuprates (Stanford University, 2018).
- 74. Lin, H., Sahrakorpi, S., Markiewicz, R. S. & Bansil, A. Raising Bi-O bands above the Fermi energy level of hole-doped $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ and other cuprate super-conductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 097001 (2006).
- Kramer, K. P. et al. Band structure of overdoped cuprate superconductors: density functional theory matching experiments. *Phys. Rev. B* 99, 224509 (2019).
- 76. Reber, T. J. et al. The origin and non-quasiparticle nature of Fermi arcs in $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}.$ Nat. Phys. ${\bf 8},$ 606 (2012).
- 77. Zhang, W. et al. Identification of a new form of electron coupling in the Bi_2Sr_2 - $CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ superconductor by laser-based angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 107002 (2008).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge useful discussions with I. Bozovic, V. Calvera, L. Glazman, A. Millis, D. Natelson, C. Sa de Melo, and especially with T. Devereaux, M. Greven, M. Hashimoto, D.-H. Lee, K. Levin, B. Ramshaw, Z.-X. Shen and J. Tranquada. J.S. acknowledges support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative through Grant GBMF8686 at Stanford University. Y.H. acknowledges support from National Science Foundation under Grant DMR-2132343 at Yale University. S.A.K. acknowledges support from National Science Foundation under Grant DMR-2000987 at Stanford University.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the inception, execution, and writing of this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-023-00550-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to John Sous, Yu He or Steven A. Kivelson.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023