

REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN Targeting macrophages in cancer immunotherapy

Zhaojun Duan^{1,2} and Yunping Luo^{1,2}

Immunotherapy is regarded as the most promising treatment for cancers. Various cancer immunotherapies, including adoptive cellular immunotherapy, tumor vaccines, antibodies, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and small-molecule inhibitors, have achieved certain successes. In this review, we summarize the role of macrophages in current immunotherapies and the advantages of targeting macrophages. To better understand and make better use of this type of cell, their development and differentiation characteristics, categories, typical markers, and functions were collated at the beginning of the review. Therapeutic strategies based on or combined with macrophages have the potential to improve the treatment efficacy of cancer therapies.

Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy (2021)6:127

; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00506-6

As a type of phagocytic cell that was initially identified as clearing foreign pathogens by Elie Metchnikoff, macrophages have gradually been considered for cancer immunotherapy in recent years. In light of their positive roles in current therapeutic strategies, they have become a promising target for improved cancer treatments. To facilitate the use of macrophages in cancer immunotherapy, we summarize their related characterization and research progress in this review.

CATEGORIES AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MACROPHAGES

Development and differentiation of macrophages

It is now widely accepted that macrophages in tissues, as well as monocytes in the peripheral blood, are classified as the mononuclear phagocytic system (MPS). This concept has developed over a long history, and its current version takes the origin, morphology, function, and kinetics of the cells into consideration.¹ In MPS, macrophages originate from bone marrow stem cells, and their development goes through sequential stages as granulocyte-monocyte progenitor cells, pro-monocytes, and mature monocytes. After entering various tissues, monocytes differentiate into macrophages.² However, in some lower multicellular organisms without circulating monocytes, such as Porifera, macrophages still exist. For patients with monocytopenia, their macrophages do not diminish correspondingly.³ These phenomena indicate that macrophages could come from other sources in addition to monocytes. This notion has been supported by additional studies. As shown in Fig. 1, based on studies from mouse models, macrophages possibly have at least four origins:^{4,5} (1) F4/80^{hi} macrophages from the yolk sac that mainly reside in tissues such as the liver, spleen, lung, brain, pancreas, and kidney; (2) F4/80^{lo} macrophages derived from bone marrow and developed through a mature stage as Ly6C⁺ monocytes; (3) Langerhans cells from the fetal liver (regarding Langerhans cells as macrophages but not DC cells⁶); (4) A few studies also have claimed that a minority of tumor-associated macrophages may come from extramedullary hematopoiesis, especially in the spleen.^{7,8} It has been reported that Ly6C⁻ patrolling monocytes are mainly responsible for detecting pathogens intravascularly and maintaining vascular integrity, while Ly6C⁺ inflammatory monocytes are recruited to infectious sites and injuries, mediating extravascular inflammatory responses and then differentiating into macrophages.^{4,9} Some studies have also indicated that both Gr1⁺/Ly6C^{high10-12} and Gr1⁻/Ly6C^{lo} monocytes have the potential to enter tissues and turn into macrophages, ¹³ but the former are more likely to become M1 macrophages, while the latter are M2 phenotypes.¹⁴ Above all, macrophages in tissues are probably a mixture of embryo- and adult-derived cells.

Wherever the macrophages originated from, the macrophage colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) is a key receptor that induces their differentiation. CSF1 and IL-34 are two ligands of CSF1R. These two factors function in different ways. It has been reported that macrophages in the liver, spleen, or bone marrow are typically regulated by CSF1-mediated signals,¹⁵ while IL-34 dominates the development of macrophages in the brain.¹⁶ Given the importance of CSF1R, its inhibitors are often used in scientific studies to deplete macrophages. In addition, the lack of Sfpi1, which is a pioneering transcriptional regulator in myeloid lineage development, could result in a total depletion of CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ macrophages.¹⁷ An expression disparity of Sfpi1 determines the differentiation of Ly6C^{hi} monocytes into iNOS⁺ macrophages or monocyte-derived dendritic cells.¹⁸ ld3 is indispensable for liver macrophages.¹⁹ PPARy maintains the anti-inflammatory function of alveolar macrophages.²⁰ Gata6 controls the proliferative renewal of peritoneal macrophages.²¹ LXR deficiency could cause a failure in the generation of splenic marginal zone macrophages and metallophilic macrophages.²² Epigenetic changes drive the differentiation of monocytes into macrophages.²³ More factors participating in the differentiation of macrophages have been described in previous reviews.4,24,2

Categories

Macrophages are widely distributed in various tissues. According to their histological locations, macrophages residing in specific

Correspondence: Yunping Luo (ypluo@ibms.pumc.edu.cn)

Received: 13 August 2020 Revised: 14 January 2021 Accepted: 22 January 2021 Published online: 26 March 2021

¹Department of Immunology, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences; School of Basic Medicine, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China and ²Collaborative Innovation Center for Biotherapy, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences; School of Basic Medicine, Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China



Fig. 1 Development of macrophages

tissues can be categorized into Kupffer cells in the liver, microglial cells in the brain, osteoclasts in the osseous tissue, alveolar macrophages in the lung, mesangial cells in the kidney, subcapsular sinus macrophages in lymph, and so on.^{26,27} A summary of the ontogeny, functions, and markers of macrophages in different tissues is listed in Table 1. It has been shown that macrophages from different tissues possess diverse expression profiles for transcripts and proteins, which can have a profound impact on their phenotypes and functions.^{28,29}

Based on phenotypes and functions, macrophages can be typically divided into M1 (proinflammatory, classically activated macrophages) and M2 (anti-inflammatory, alternatively activated macrophages) types (Fig. 2). In brief, M1 macrophages can be induced by IFN-y, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), TNF-a or granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), followed by activation of Toll-like receptor signaling pathways. They play a positive role in the removal of pathogens and tumor cells. On the one hand, M1 macrophages express high levels of antigen-presenting MHC complexes, which accelerate the activation of adaptive immune responses. On the other hand, they act directly on target cells by generating nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species, and reactive nitrogen species. Moreover, M1 macrophages promote inflammatory responses by secreting cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12, IL-18, and IL-23.^{30,31} Excessive M1 macrophage-mediated responses may lead to tissue damage, which is the main cause of atherosclerosis and other chronic inflammation.^{32–34} M2 macrophages can be induced by cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-13, glucocorticoids, M-CSF/CSF1, IL-10, IL-33, IL-21, and TGF- β .^{31,35–37} Accompanied by increased production of polyamines and ornithine through the arginase pathway, high secretion of IL-10, PGE_2 , $TGF-\beta$, but low IL-12, they are major participants in the clearance of parasites and homeostasis, such as tissue remodeling and regeneration, wound healing and antiinflammation.^{38,39} When M2 macrophages develop further, they are refined into M2a, M2b, M2c, and M2d subgroups.⁴⁰ Their specific characterizations have been reviewed by Abbas Shapouri Moghaddam et al.⁴¹ Macrophages have strong plasticity. It has been shown that different phenotypes could possibly transform mutually under certain conditions.

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) generally represent a major component of myeloid cells present in tumors. For some solid tumors, TAMs can arise from several origins: as residual macrophages derived from the yolk sac, infiltrating macrophages as the major replenishment recruited from bone marrow/Ly6C⁺-circulating monocytes, and a minority from the

spleen.^{8,42–47} It has been demonstrated that TAMs with different origins may act differently than anti-macrophage oncotherapies.⁴ In most established tumors, TAMs tend to be considered M2skewed macrophages because they possess the majority of the representative properties of M2 macrophages, usually including but not limited to high expression levels of arginase-1, mannose receptor, and a low MHC class II complex.⁴⁸ Transcriptome profile analysis revealed that TAMs are more similar to fetal macrophages but not inflammatory macrophages.⁴¹ However, as macrophages are plastic, there is also evidence suggesting that TAMs actually have both M1 and M2 expression patterns or expression patterns distinct from those of M1 and M2 macrophages. 49 Since 90–95% of neoplasms are closely associated with a chronic inflammatory status, it has been suggested that M1 macrophages may induce tumor initiation by creating a mutagenic microenvironment, while M2 macrophages promote malignancy progression.^{36,50} It is also believed that TAMs may exert both tumor-promoting and tumor-inhibiting functions,^{51,52} which make TAMs a potential target for cancer therapies.

Typical markers

To be distinguished from other immunocytes, macrophages can be characterized by phagocytosis and the expression of CD11b, F4/80, and CSF1R in mice or CD79, CD163, CD16, CD312, and CD115 in humans.⁴¹ Specifically, to present antigens and activate adaptive immune responses, M1 macrophages often express high levels of MHC class II molecules and costimulatory molecules, such as CD40, CD80, and CD86, while M2 macrophages contain upregulated levels of endocytosis-related receptors, such as the human scavenger receptors CD163 and Stabilin-1 and C-type lectin receptors, including CD206, CD301, detin-1 and CD209.³¹ In addition to the proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory cytokines mentioned above, polarized macrophages generate different types of chemokines. CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CCL5 are usually secreted by M1 macrophages to recruit Th1, Th17, and cytotoxic T cells, while CCL2, CCL17, CCL18, CCL22, and CCL24 are produced by M2 macrophages in most cases.^{31,38,40}

Basic functions of macrophages

One of the basic functions of macrophages is phagocytosis. Through phagocytosis, macrophages can clear erythrocytes, apoptotic cells, and effete cells to maintain homeostasis. Neutropenia and splenomegaly may occur when neutrophils and erythrocytes in the spleen and liver cannot be phagocytized.⁵³ This type of clearance process is independent of immune

		-			
Tissue	Macrophage	Ontogeny	Function	Identifying markers	Refs.
Liver	Kupffer cells	Yolk sac derived	Clearance of bacteria, aged erythrocytes, and cellular debris from the blood; regulation of the immune response; involvement in liver injury repair	$F4/80^{hi}$ $CD11b^{lo}$ $Siglec-1^+$ $CD68^+$ $Galectin-3^+$ $CD80^{lo/-}$ $PPAR\delta^+$ $Ly6C^-$ $CX3CR1^-$ $Clec4F^+$ $TIM-4^+$	27,62,223-225
	Monocyte-derived liver macrophage (MoMFs)	Monocyte derived	Rapid accumulation and involvement in immune responses after organ damage	F4/80 ⁺ CD11b ^{hi} MHC-II ⁺ CCR2 ^{lo} (transferring from CCR2 ^{hi}) CD64 ⁺ CX3CR1 ^{hi} (transferring from CX3CR1 ^{lo})	226-229
	Liver capsular macrophages	Monocyte derived	Sensing bacteria reaching the hepatic capsule; inhibition of the hepatic spread of peritoneal pathogens; recruiting neutrophils	F4/80 ⁺ MHC-II ⁺ CD11b ⁺ CD64 ⁺ CD103 ⁻ CX3CR1 ⁺ TIM-4 ⁻ CD207 ⁺	230
Lung	Alveolar macrophages	Yolk sac and fetal liver progenitors	Immune surveillance; phagocytosis of inhaled particles	$\begin{array}{l} {\sf F4/80}^{\sf lo} \\ {\sf CD11b}^{\sf lo} \\ {\sf CD11c}^{\sf hi} \\ {\sf CD200R}^{\sf hi} \\ {\sf DEC205}^{\sf inter} \\ {\sf MHC-II}^{\sf lo} \\ {\sf CD68}^+ \\ {\sf Siglec} \; {\sf F}^+ \\ {\sf MARCO}^+ \\ {\sf CD206}^+ \\ {\sf Dectin-1}^+ \\ {\sf Galectin-3}^+ \\ {\sf Mertk}^+ \\ {\sf CD64}^+ \\ {\sf Siglec-1}^+ \\ \end{array}$	27,223,231-233
	Interstitial macrophages	Fetal liver and bone marrow- derived monocytes	Immune surveillance	F4/80 ⁺ CD11b ⁺ CD11c ⁺ CD68 ⁺ MHC-II ⁺ CD24 ⁻ CD86 ⁺ Ly6C ⁻ Siglec F ⁻ CD64 ⁺	233-236
Central nervous system	Microglial cells	Yolk sac derived	Functioning as immune surveillance; promote neuronal survival and remove dead neurons; synaptic remodeling	F4/80 ⁺ CD11b ⁺ CD45 ^{lo} CX3CR1 ^{hi} Iba-1 ⁺ P2RY12 ⁺	26,27,236,237
	Perivascular macrophages	Yolk sac or fetal liver progenitors	Blood-brain barrier integrity; phagocytosis; antigen presentation; lymphatic clearance	CD45 ^{hi} CD11b ⁺ F4/80 ^{hi} CX3CR1 ^{hi} Iba-1 ^{hi} P2RY12 ⁻ CD163 ⁺ CD206 ⁺ Lyve-1 ⁺	237-248

,		
-		

Table 1. continued					
Tissue	Macrophage	Ontogeny	Function	Identifying markers	Refs.
	Meningeal macrophages	Yolk sac derived	Immune surveillance	F4/80 ⁺ CD11b ⁺ CD45 ^{hi} CX3CR1 ^{hi} Iba-1 ⁺ CD209b ⁺ Chnrb4 ⁺	27,237,249
Bone	Osteoclast	Monocyte derived	Resorption of organic matter and minerals from the bone matrix	Calcitonin receptor ⁺ Calcr ⁺ RANKL ⁺	26,27,250-252
	Bone marrow macrophages	Yolk sac derived or fetal liver- derived monocytes	Promoting erythropoiesis; maintenance of the hematopoietic stem cells niche	Siglec-1 ⁺ ER-HR3 ⁺ F4/80 ⁺ Tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) ⁻	250,253
Spleen	Marginal zone macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Clearance of pathogens present in the circulation; retention of marginal zone B cells	CD68 ⁺ Dectin-2 ⁺ F4/80 ^{lo} LXRa ⁺ MARCO ⁺ TIM-4 ⁺ SIGN-R1 ⁺	22,254–256
	Marginal metallophilic macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Clearance of pathogens present in the circulation	$\begin{array}{l} \text{CD68}^{+} \\ \text{F4/80}^{\text{Io}} \\ \text{LXR}\alpha^{+} \\ \text{Siglec-1}^{+} \end{array}$	257
	White pulp (tingible body) macrophages	Not clear	Clearance of apoptotic B cells	CD68 ⁺ MFG-E8 ⁺ Mertk ⁺ TIM-4 ⁺ CD36 ⁺	257–259
	Red pulp macrophages	Yolk sac-derived or fetal liver- derived monocytes	Clearance of effete red blood cells; immunosurveillance; detoxification; iron recycling; antigen delivery to DCs	$\begin{array}{c} {\sf F4/80}^{\sf hi} \\ {\sf CD11b}^{\sf lo} \\ {\sf Siglec-1}^{\sf lo} \\ {\sf CD68}^+ \\ {\sf MHC-II}^{\sf lo} \\ {\sf CSF1R}^+ \\ {\sf SIRP\alpha^+} \\ {\sf Siglec} {\sf F}^- \\ {\sf CD163}^+ \\ {\sf Dectin-2}^+ \\ {\sf VCAM1}^+ \\ {\sf Spi-C}^+ \\ {\sf Heme} {\sf Oxigenase}^+ \\ {\sf Ferroportin}^+ \end{array}$	223,255,259-261
Kidney	Mesangial cell	Monocyte derived	Intraglomerular mesangial cells; regulation of glomerular filtration; mesangial matrix formation; phagocytosis; monitoring of glucose concentrations	F4/80 ⁺ CD11b ^{lo} CD103 ⁻ CX3CR1 ⁺ SIRP α^+ Siglec F ⁻	223
Lymph node	Subcapsular sinus macrophages	Yolk sac-derived or bone marrow- derived monocyte	Limiting the systemic dissemination of pathogens and bacterial infections; promote the presentation of antigens	F4/80 ^{lo} MARCO ⁺ Siglec-1 ^{hi} CD11b ^{hi} Ligands for the cysteine-rich domain of the mannose receptor ⁺	223,262,263
	Medullary macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Highly phagocytic and rapidly clear pathogens	CD11b ⁺ Siglec-1 ⁺ F4/80 ⁺ MARCO ⁺ SIGN-R1 ⁺	223,263,264
Serosal Tissues	Pleural macrophages		Immune surveillance	CD11b ^{hi} F4/80 ^{hi}	265–267

ı			
-			
	4		

Table 1. continued					
Tissue	Macrophage	Ontogeny	Function	Identifying markers	Refs.
	Large peritoneal macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes Yolk sac-derived or fetal liver- derived monocytes	Regulation of IgA production in the gut by peritoneal B1 cells	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Siglec } \text{F}^- \\ \text{RELM} \alpha^+ \\ \text{TIM-4}^+ \\ \text{CD11b}^{\text{hi}} \\ \text{CD11c}^{\text{lo}} \\ \text{SIGN-R1}^- \\ \text{F4/80}^{\text{hi}} \\ \text{GATA-6}^+ \\ \text{MHC-II}^{\text{lo}/-} \\ \text{CD62L}^- \\ \text{TIM-4}^+ \end{array}$	268-270
	Small peritoneal macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Immune surveillance	CD11b ^{lo} CD11C ⁻ SIGN-R1 ⁺ F4/80 ^{lo} MHC-II ^{hi} CD62L ⁺ TIM-4 ⁻	265,269,270
Skin	Langerhans cells	Yolk sac-derived or fetal liver- derived monocytes	Interaction with T lymphocytes; immune surveillance	CD11b ⁺ CD11c ⁺ F4/80 ⁺ Id2 ⁺ Langerin ⁺ RUNX3 ⁺	27,271,272
	Dermal macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Immune surveillance	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm CD11b}^+ \\ {\rm CD11c}^{\rm lo} \\ {\rm CD301}^+ \\ {\rm Dectin-1}^+ \\ {\rm Dectin-2}^+ \\ {\rm F4/80}^+ \\ {\rm CD64}^{\rm hi} \\ {\rm Mertk}^+ \\ {\rm MHC-II}^{\rm lo} \\ {\rm CD206}^+ \\ {\rm Siglec-1}^{\rm hi} \end{array}$	27,223,255,273
Adipose Tissue	Adipose tissue- associated macrophages	Not clear	Adipogenesis; adaptive thermogenesis; regulation of insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance	CD45 ⁺ F4/80 ⁺ PPARγ ⁺	274,275
Gastrointestinal Tract	Intestinal lamina propria macrophages	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Maintenance of intestinal homeostasis; recognition and removal of intestinal pathogens; maintenance of gut epithelial integrity	CD11b ⁺ CD11c ⁺ CX3CR1 ^{hi} F4/80 ⁺ CD64 ⁺ MHC-II ^{hi}	27,276
Blood	Ly6C ^{lo} monocytes	Bone marrow- derived monocytes	Immune surveillance; maintenance of vascular integrity	CD11b ^{hi} CD43 ⁺ CX3CR1 ⁺ F4/80 ⁺ Ly6C ^{lo} CSF1R ⁺ NR4A1 ⁺	27,277,278
Tumor	Tumor-associated macrophage	Yolk sac derived or monocyte derived	Promote tumor growth; inhibit tumoricidal immune response; initiate angiogenesis; activate matrix remodeling; aid invasion and intravasation	Murine: Ly6C ⁺ MHC-II ⁺ CX3CR1 ⁺ CCR2 ⁺ CD62L ⁺ TIE2 ⁺ Human: CD14 ⁺ CD312 ⁺ CSF1R ⁺ CD16 ⁺	42,279-281

responses and is regarded as the fundamental function of macrophages.⁵⁴ When pathogens or aberrant cells, such as tumor cells, are recognized by macrophages, they can be phagocytized and processed into antigen peptides. Macrophages present these

peptides to MHC class II molecules on their surface and stimulate T-cell proliferation and activation with the synergistic effect of costimulatory molecules.^{55,56} It has been reported that adult macrophages are primarily responsible for host defense, while



Fig. 2 Categories of macrophages

fetal macrophages are involved in tissue remodeling.⁴⁰ Macrophages play an important role in the development and homeostasis. For example, microglia are required in almost every precise developmental stage of the central nervous system.⁵⁷ Cardiac macrophages help maintain homeostasis in the steadystate heart by facilitating myocardial conduction.⁵⁸ CCR2⁻ macrophages are instrumental in cardiac recovery, coronary development, and postnatal coronary growth.^{59,60} Impaired activation or depletion of Kupffer cells leads to hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance.^{61–63} Defects in perivascular macrophages can give rise to the unsuccessful establishment of the blood–brain barrier.⁶⁴ It is well known that macrophages are related to many diseases. Here, we will focus on its role in tumors in the following sections.

FUNCTIONS OF MACROPHAGES IN CANCERS

By secreting various factors and affecting other immune cells, macrophages not only play a role in chronic inflammation but also initiate, promote, or suppress the development of cancer. Ornithine, VEGF, EGF, and TGF- β are examples of tumor-promoting factors derived from macrophages, while nitric oxide generated by inducible nitric oxide synthase in macrophages can inhibit tumor growth.^{32,33,65,66} Macrophages have been demonstrated to be involved directly or indirectly in several key features of malignant tumors, including angiogenesis, invasiveness, metastasis, regulation of the tumor microenvironment, and therapeutic resistance (Fig. 3).

Angiogenesis

By expressing WNT7B, WNT5A, WNT11, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, and other factors, macrophages are deeply involved in vasculogenesis and lymphogenesis.^{67–70} In addition, TAMs can enhance tumor hypoxia and glycolysis,⁷¹ two important causes of angiogenesis.^{72,73} HIF-1a is a protein induced in hypoxia conditions. It has been demonstrated that HIF-1a is an important transcriptional factor regulating the transcription of angiogenesis-associated genes, such as VEGF, bFGF, PDGF, and PGE₂ in TAMs.^{74,75} Through the synthesis of WNT7B, macrophages also stimulate vascular endothelial cells to produce VEGF.⁷⁶ Other TAM-produced proangiogenic molecules that recruit or activate endothelial cells include CXCL12, TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-8, Sema4d, adrenomedullin, and thymidine phosphorylase.^{41,77,78} Studies on liver diseases have revealed that in addition to producing proangiogenic molecules, macrophages can benefit the formation of complex vascular networks by interacting with the sprouting vasculature.⁷⁹ Live imaging showed that macrophages drive sprouting angiogenesis via VEGF signaling and coordinate blood vessel regression in wound healing by clearing apoptotic endothelial cells.⁸⁰ Preventing macrophages from entering avascular areas by blocking the Sema3A/Nrp1 signaling pathway could inhibit angiogenesis.⁸¹ It has been reported that angiogenic macrophages are similar to fetal counterparts based on their characteristic expression of TIE2.^{77,82} Targeting TIE2 or its ligand ANG2 inhibits angiogenesis in certain tumor models, such as those for breast and pancreatic cancers.⁸² Depletion of TAMs inhibits angiogenesis.^{74,83} A close relationship between macrophages and angiogenesis has been discussed in previous reviews.^{84,85}

Invasiveness and metastasis

Macrophages can not only increase the density of blood vessels but also promote the invasiveness and metastasis of tumor cells. By expressing matrix metalloproteinases, cathepsin, urokinase plasminogen activator, and matrix remodeling enzymes, such as lysyl oxidase and osteonectin, macrophages dissolve the extracellular matrix to pave the path for tumor cell escape.⁴ TAMs upregulate cytokines, such as IL-1ra, to promote metastasis by enhancing tumor cell stemness.⁸⁶ Secretion of TGF- β and growth factors, such as EGF analogs, promotes epithelial–mesenchymal transition and invasiveness of tumor cells.^{87–90} Exosomes released from M2 macrophages are responsible for cancer metastasis by transferring certain miRNAs into cancer cells, such as colorectal cancer and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells.^{91,92}

In addition to macrophages in primary tumors, macrophages can also assist in tumor survival and colonization at premetastatic lesions. It has been demonstrated that macrophages are required for the early dissemination of breast cancer, and early disseminated macrophages contribute to late metastasis.⁹³ Tumor exosomes are crucial in tumor organotropic metastasis. It has been observed that pancreatic cancer cell-derived exosomes preferentially colocalize with macrophages in liver metastasis sites.⁹⁴ Exosome-educated macrophages facilitate premetastatic niche formation via secretion of $TGF-\beta$.⁹⁵ In addition, the interplay between integrin a4 on macrophages and VECAM1 on tumor cells promotes cancer cell survival.⁹⁶ Results from other studies support the indispensable role of monocytes/macrophages recruited to premetastatic niches in promoting circulating tumor cell survival and colonization in metastatic lesions.^{97,98} At lung metastasis nodules of breast cancer, CCL2 produced by tumor cells is an important chemokine for the recruitment and retention of inflammatory monocytes/macrophages.⁹⁹ By recruiting Ly6C⁺ monocytes via CCL2, fibrocytes prepare a premetastatic niche in the lung for melanoma cells.¹⁰⁰ After differentiating of CCR2⁺Ly₆C⁺ inflammatory monocytes into Ly₆C⁻ macrophages, these cells accelerate tumor cell extravasation by generating VEGF.¹⁰

7



Fig. 3 Functions of macrophages in cancers. (1) promotion of angiogenesis; (2) induction of invasiveness and metastasis; (3) regulation of the tumor microenvironment; and (4) induction of therapeutic resistance

Tissue-resident macrophages have also been demonstrated to promote or restrict metastasis. Alveolar macrophages promote hepatocellular carcinoma lung metastasis by producing an inflammatory mediator, leukotriene B4.¹⁰² By suppressing Th1 responses, alveolar macrophages facilitate breast tumor cells to metastasize.¹⁰³ Kupffer cells engulf cancer cells in a Dectin-2-dependent manner to limit liver metastasis.¹⁰⁴

Effects of macrophages on tumor microenvironment

Many factors, such as CSF1, VEGF-A, CXCL12, ANG2, CCL5, and CCL2, in solid tumors, can recruit angiogenic macrophages.^{77,101,105–108} This enrichment allows macrophages to play a major role in the construction of the tumor immune microenvironment. Granulin generated by TAMs can induce fibrosis, which prevents T cells from infiltrating.^{109,110} Attenuation of the TAM antigen presentation ability results in a reduction in T-cell activation and proliferation.⁴⁰ Exosomes consisting of various miRNAs derived from TAMs orchestrate an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by causing Treg/Th17 imbalance.¹¹¹ It has been summarized that

tumor-associated macrophages support a suppressive tumor microenvironment in three ways:¹¹² (1) by consuming the metabolites, (e.g., L-arginine, which is essential for T-cell activation, can be metabolized by TAMs with high expression of ARG1.) (2) by producing the cytokines and chemokines, IL-10, TGF- β , and PGE₂, which are primarily secreted by TAMs, to inhibit the activation and function of various immune cells, including cytotoxic T cells, but induce and maintain regulatory T cells, (3) by expressing inhibitory molecules. TAMs elicit immune suppression through the expression of inhibitory receptors or immune checkpoint ligands (e.g., MHC-I molecules, PD-L1, PD-L2, CD80, CD86, B7-H4 and VISTA). These molecules deliver an inhibitory signal to ligand- or receptor-expressing immune cells.

Therapeutic resistance

Macrophages are also an important cell-extrinsic factor that mediates the resistance of tumor cells to chemotherapy or radiotherapy. By expressing IL-6, TNF- α , cathepsin B and S, or inducing other cells to produce IL-6, macrophages activate STAT3

8

in tumor cells, which enhances the proliferation and survival of malignant cells under treatment with several chemotherapeu-The epithelial to mesenchymal transition, which can be tics. elicited by macrophages, has been demonstrated to be another mechanism behind chemoresistance.^{114–116} Exosomal miR-223 from macrophages has been reported to cause a chemoresistant phenotype after being delivered into epithelial ovarian cancer cells.¹¹⁷ miR-21 derived from macrophages is responsible for cisplatin resistance in gastric cancer cells.¹¹⁸ Macrophages exacerbate fatty acid beta-oxidation of gastric cancer cells by generating growth differentiation factor 15 so that the cancer cells are more resistant to 5-fluorouracil treatment.¹¹⁹ Metabolites. including deoxycytidine, from macrophages, weakened the therapeutic effect of gemcitabine in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.¹²⁰ Murine pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma models showed an enhanced therapeutic response toward gemcitabine after depleting macrophages with liposomal clodronate.¹ As summarized by Marek Nowak et al., TAMs contribute to chemoresistance by inducing prosurvival and antiapoptotic signals in cancer cells, as well as their protumoral polarization.¹²

It has been reported that irradiation promotes the accumulation and M2 polarization of macrophages.¹²³ Heparin-binding epidermal growth factor, which is primarily secreted by macrophages, could reduce the radiosensitivity of head and neck cancer cells by activating the nonhomologous end-joining pathway.¹²⁴ TNF-α has a radioprotective function in a TAM-produced VEGF-dependent manner.¹²⁵ Carcinoembryonic antigen has been identified as a radioresistance marker in colorectal cancer because it induces M2 polarization of macrophages.¹²⁶ Inhibition of differentiation of M2 macrophages showed enhanced responses to radiotherapy in breast cancer.¹²⁷ Of note, dying cancer cells after treatment with chemotherapeutics or radiation might also initiate antitumor immune responses. Whether the function of macrophages leads to sensitization or resistance to traditional therapy is complex.^{128,129} Better understanding of the mechanisms can improve the efficacy of traditional oncotherapy.

INVOLVEMENT OF MACROPHAGES IN CURRENT IMMUNOTHERAPY

Due to the limitations and shortages of traditional cancer treatments, immunotherapy has become the most promising cancer treatment. Various cancer immunotherapy strategies have emerged. These include adoptive cellular immunotherapy, tumor vaccines, antibodies, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and small-molecule inhibitors. Although most of these strategies are not meant to target macrophages directly or originally, macrophages contribute significantly to the final outcomes.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors

To date, many immune checkpoint blockade therapies have been reported, but the most commonly used therapies in the clinic are anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 therapies. Cancer immunotherapy based on inhibiting the immune checkpoints CTLA-4 and PD-1 aim at relieving immune suppression rather than simply reinforcing immune responses. Blocking the PD-1/PD-L1 pathways with inhibitors to enhance the cytotoxic function of T cells has made certain achievements in the resolution of malignancies.¹¹ However, even if the adaptive immune system is compromised¹³¹ or the function of T cells cannot be fully recovered by PD-1 inhibitors under specific circumstances,¹³² PD-1/PD-L1 antagonisms can still increase antitumor efficacy. Therefore, more immune cell types should be involved in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor treatment. Additional studies revealed that both PD-L1 and PD-1 are expressed in TAMs,^{131,133,134} promoting immune suppression and escape. PD-1⁺ TAM phagocytosis can be rescued by PD-1/ PD-L1 blockade, which leads to a direct decrease in tumor burden.¹³¹ Furthermore, anti-PD-1 or PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade induced an M1 macrophage polarization.^{135,136} M1 macrophage polarization or repolarization has been linked to an enhanced antineoplastic effect by numerous studies.^{137–140} Of note, macrophages might play a negative role in anti-PD-1 treatment, such as by preventing cytotoxic T cells from reaching tumor cells.¹⁴¹ In addition, in vivo imaging showed the transfer of an anti-PD-1 antibody from CD8⁺ T cells to TAMs through the binding of Fc-Fc γ receptors shortly after its administration. Blocking such binding reduced the accumulation of anti-PD-1 antibody in TAMs and prolonged its retention time in CD8⁺ T cells, leading to the regression of tumors.¹⁴²

Along with the concept of immune checkpoints on T cells, several checkpoints that are mainly associated with macrophages have also been discovered. CD47 is a poor prognostic factor in tumor cells, and its interaction with SIRPa on macrophages helps tumor cells evade phagocytic clearance by macrophages.^{143,144} Blocking CD47 has resulted in macrophage-mediated tumor inhibition.¹⁴⁵ The inhibitory receptor LILRB1 expressed on macrophages prevents tumor cells from being phagocytosed by interacting with the beta-2 microglobulin (β 2M) subunit of the MHC class I complex.¹⁴⁶ The CD24-Siglec-10 axis promotes immune evasion by downregulating macrophage phagocytosis.¹⁴⁷ Inhibition of these immune checkpoints has significantly increased cancer immunotherapy efficacy.

Tumor vaccines

Vaccines can be divided into two categories: preventive vaccines and therapeutic vaccines. Preventive vaccines are often designed to induce specific adaptive immunity, chiefly humoral immunity, before the occurrence of disease, which is normally caused by infection with a virus or bacteria. Thus, it can be used to reduce the incidence of viral or bacterial infection-induced carcinoma. Typical examples of preventive vaccines are those for HBV or HPV. Although a proper adaptive immune response is believed to be the primary reason for the effectiveness of these vaccines, it has been reported that immediate innate immunity other than timeconsuming adaptive immunity is principally responsible for the spontaneous regression of cancer.^{148,149}

Therapeutic vaccines are usually designed to elicit protective T cells. However, Maxime Thoreau et al. demonstrated that cooperation between T cells and macrophages is required to achieve the effects of a therapeutic vaccine. A denser presence of macrophages along with tumor regression has shown to precede the infiltration of $CD8^+$ T cells.¹⁵⁰ Numerous approaches choose synthetic peptides, recombinant proteins, whole tumor cells, viral vectors, bacteria or nucleic acids as vaccination candidates to activate T cells via antigen-presenting cells, which are mostly dendritic cells.¹⁵¹ Among these, some regimens that used GM-CSF as an adjuvant generated obvious immune responses.^{151,152} Sipuleucel-T was the first therapeutic vaccine approved by the FDA to be used in a particular group of prostate cancer patients. A fusion protein combining a targeting tumor antigen prostate acid phosphatase with GM-CSF was used to induce antigen-specific T cells. It prolonged the survival of patients in a few clinical trials.¹⁵³ A STING agonist formulated with GM-CSF showed remarkable antitumor efficacy in multiple established tumors.¹⁵⁴ Some tumor cells used as whole-cell vaccines can secrete GM-CSF.^{155,156} In addition, oncolytic virotherapy, which increases the targeting of cancer cells through virus infection, could induce antitumor immune responses, especially in cells that had been engineered to express GM-CSF.^{157,158} GM-CSF is combined for the purpose of enhancing DC functions and limiting Treg regulation. However, GM-CSF could also induce M1 macrophage polarization and activate macrophages to exert an antitumor function.^{40,159,160} In another virus-related tumor immunotherapy study, Danyang Wang et al. used an NF-KB-activating gene expression adenoassociated virus system to express an artificial neoantigen on the tumor cell surface, which could be targeted by specific immune

cells. When they chose calreticulin, a signal to promote phagocytic uptake, the cancer cells could be engulfed by macrophages.¹⁶¹ In addition, exosomes derived from M1- but not M2-polarized macrophages boosted the antitumor vaccine by eliciting a release of Th1 cytokines and a stronger antigen-specific cytotoxic T-cell response.¹⁶² Xu et al. reported that a listeria-based tumor vaccine benefited anti-PD-1 therapy against hepatocellular carcinoma by skewing macrophage polarization.¹⁶³

Antibodies

Checkpoint inhibitors, such as nivolumab (Opdivo) and pembrolizumab (Keytruda), are monoclonal antibodies. In addition, many other monoclonal antibodies have been approved for clinical cancer immunotherapy by the FDA. Rituximab and trastuzumab are examples of these monoclonal antibodies. Rituximab is used in B-cell lymphoma by targeting CD20. B lymphoma cells are more sensitive to macrophages in the presence of rituximab.¹⁰ lts combination with cyclophosphamide induced nearly complete tumor elimination in resistant bone marrow by activating macrophages.¹⁶⁵ After blocking the CD47-SIRPa axis, rituximabinduced macrophage phagocytosis was augmented in nongerminal center B diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients.¹⁶⁶ Trastuzumab is an HER2-targeting antibody that has shown promising efficacy in breast cancer therapy. It has been reported that antibody-dependent cell phagocytosis mediated by macrophages is the main cause of the effectiveness of trastuzumab plus CD47 blockade.¹⁶⁷ By binding with Fcy receptors on macrophages, trastuzumab triggered macrophage phagocytic killing, and this function was augmented after increasing the expression of Fcy receptors on macrophages.¹⁶⁸ In addition, trastuzumab resistance was overcome by shifting macrophages from the M2 to M1 phenotype.¹⁶

Adoptive cell therapy

Adoptive cell therapy is also a very promising therapy that induces tumor regression by transferring specific immune cells to the tumor-bearing host. These cells may come from the host itself or some other donors. They are commonly manipulated to possess better effector functions and proliferate to a sufficient number in vitro before administration.¹⁷⁰ Typical examples include T cells with engineered chimeric antigen receptors (CAR-Ts) or genemodified T-cell receptors (TCR-Ts). In 2006, the adoptive transfer of TCR-engineered lymphocytes, which recognize an antigen named MART-1, caused tumor regression in metastatic melanoma patients.¹⁷¹ In 2010, administration of CAR-T cells against CD19 efficiently eliminated B cells in a patient with follicular lymphoma.¹⁷² However, insufficient infiltration into solid tumors is a major limitation for these T-cell-based immunotherapies. Local low-dose irradiation increased T-cell recruitment by inducing M1phenotype macrophage differentiation.¹⁷³ Cytokine release syndrome is considered to be closely related to the efficacy of adoptive cell therapy, but serious cytokine release syndrome may lead to death. It has been reported that cytokine release syndrome induced by CAR-T-cell transfer is mediated by macrophages.¹ Inhibiting or neutralizing GM-CSF abolished macrophage-derived cytokines, which released syndrome-related cytokines and enhanced CAR-T cell functions.^{175,176} Therefore, taking the response of macrophages into account may benefit adoptive modified T-cell therapy. Modified macrophages with the chimeric antigen receptor (CARMA) have also been tested by Klichinsky et al. The first generation of chimeric antigen receptors, which combine the scFv of anti-CD19, anti-mesothelin, or anti-HER2 antibodies with a CD3 intracellular domain, has been constructed. This CARMA displayed a strong tumoricidal function in preclinical models.¹⁷⁷

Small-molecule inhibitors

Because of several advantages, such as oral bioavailability, the relatively low cost, ease of crossing physiological barriers or access to intracellular targets, small-molecule drugs are complementary

9

and synergistic with other immune-oncology therapies.¹⁷⁸ Numerous small-molecule inhibitors have been proven to suppress tumors by targeting macrophage-associated molecules. For example, IDO is a poor prognosis indicator that is often highly expressed in macrophages, dendritic cells, and tumor cells. Smallmolecule inhibitors targeting IDO have been tested in clinical trials to reestablish positive immune responses.^{179,180} ARG1 is a cytosolic enzyme that plays a key role in the immunosuppressive function of TAMs. Compounds inhibiting arginase have shown potential in tumor suppression.¹⁸¹ RRX-001, a small-molecule inhibitor, downregulated not only CD47 on cancer cells but also SIRPa on macrophages and showed hypotoxicity but strong antitumor activity in clinical trials.¹⁸² In addition, small-molecule inhibitors have great potential in combination with other oncotherapy strategies. Inhibition of Bcl-2 family members improved the efficacy of CAR-T therapy in B-cell malignancy.¹ PI3K-y inhibitors, such as IPI-549, overcome immune checkpoint resistance by reshaping the tumor microenvironment, including switching macrophage polarization from the M2 to M1 phenotype.¹⁸⁴ Small-molecule inhibitors targeting CXCR2 on neutrophils and CCR2 on macrophages improve the chemotherapeutic effects in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma models.¹⁸⁵ PLX-3397, a small-molecule inhibitor of CSF1R, cKIT, and FLT3 has been demonstrated to decrease tumor burden by reducing M2 macrophages in combination with adoptive cell transfer immunotherapy or other small-molecule inhibitors.^{186,187} FAK is indispensable for the migration and stable protrusion formation of macrophages. Small-molecule inhibitors against FAK have shown promising antitumor activity, especially when combined with chemotherapy and immunotherapy strategies.¹⁸⁸

PROSPECT: MACROPHAGES ARE A PROMISING TARGET IN FUTURE CANCER IMMUNOTHERAPY

To date, great endeavors to boost T cell-directed anticancer immune responses have been made. As reported, the incidence of cancerogenesis is low in invertebrates with no T or B cells, indicating that innate immune cells are of great importance for preventing the initiation and development of cancer.^{189–191} In addition to their supporting role in all kinds of immunotherapies, macrophages may become a promising target in future cancer immunotherapy.^{33,192} Many targets and pharmacological agents related to macrophages in oncotherapy have been summarized in recent reviews.^{128,193} We updated the typical macrophagestargeting agents that have been registered for cancer-related clinical trials (excluding projects those are in the status of terminated, withdrawn, unknown, not yet recruiting) in Table 2. The potential and promising strategies targeting macrophages have been categorized into six types based on their objectives in Fig. 4. There are several advantages to target macrophages in cancer immunotherapy. Low infiltration is a major barrier for Tcell-based anticancer therapy, and macrophages account for ~30-50% of infiltrating immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. As mentioned above, circulating monocytes are a major source of infiltrating macrophages in tumors, and the accessibility of peripheral blood mononuclear cells makes it easy to operate if a macrophage-based therapy strategy is adopted in the clinic.

Currently, it is generally believed that cancer cells originate from endogenous cells in humans. Even if numerous tumor-specific antigens have been identified, most specific antigens still exist in a few normal cells. In contrast, not all cancer cells express just one specific antigen because of tumor heterogeneity. Clearance of specific antigen-expressing cancer cells may only result in temporary and limited antitumor efficacy. Nevertheless, as a type of innate immune cell, macrophages can exert a tumor-suppressive function without targeting one specific antigen.^{194,19}

Targeting macrophages in cancer immunotherapy Duan and Luo

Table 2. Cance	r-associated clinical trials	s (excluding projects those are	in the status of termi	nated, withdrawn, unknown, not yet recruiting) usi	ng typical macrophage-targeting agents	
Target	Agent	Organization	ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier	Tumors	Other interventions	Phase
CSF1	Lacnotuzumab	Novartis Oncology	NCT02435680	Advanced triple-negative breast cancer	Carboplatin, gemcitabine	=
			NCT01643850	Pigmented villonodular synovitis	None	= :
			NCT03694977	Gastric cancer	PDR001	_
CCL2	Carlumab (CNTO 888)	Centocor Research &	NCT01204996	Solid tumors	Standard of care	_
		Development	NCT00992186	Prostate cancer	None	=
SIRPα	TTI-622	Trillium Therapeutics	NCT03530683	Advanced relapsed or refractory lymphoma or myeloma	Rituximab, PD-1 inhibitor, proteasome- inhibitor regimen	_
	CC-95251	Celgene	NCT03783403	Advanced solid and hematologic cancer	None	_
	BI 765063 (OSE-172)	OSE Immunotherapeutics	NCT03990233	Advanced solid tumors	BI 754091	_
	FSI-189	Gilead Sciences	NCT04502706	Relapsed/refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma	None	_
TIE2	CEP-11981 (ESK981)	Karmanos Cancer Institute	NCT04159896	Prostate cancer	Nivolumab	=
			NCT00875264	Advanced cancer	None	_
			NCT03456804	Prostate cancer	None	=
	Regorafenib (BAY 73-	Bayer	NCT04170556	Hepatocellular carcinoma	Nivolumab	1/1
	4506)		NCT04476329	Hepatocellular carcinoma	None	=
	Arry-614	Array BioPharma	NCT01496495	Myelodysplastic syndromes	None	_
			NCT00916227	Myelodysplastic syndromes	None	_
Arginase	INCB001158 (CB1158)	Incyte	NCT03910530	Advanced solid tumors	None	_
			NCT02903914	Advanced/metastatic solid tumors	Pembrolizumab	II/I
			NCT03314935	Solid tumors	Oxaliplatin, leucovorin, 5-fluorouracil, gemcitabine, cisplatin, paclitaxel	IVI
			NCT03837509	Multiple myeloma	Daratumumab	1/1
HER2	CAR-macrophage	Carisma Therapeutics Inc.	NCT04660929	HER2 overexpressing solid tumors	None	_
GC vitamin D- binding protein	EF-022	Efranat	NCT02052492	Solid tumors	None	_
CD40	SEA-CD40	Seattle Genetics	NCT02376699	Solid tumors	Pembrolizumab	_
	APX005M	Apexigen	NCT03389802	Pediatric CNS	None	_
			NCT04130854	Locally advanced rectal adenocarcinoma	None	=
			NCT02482168	Non-small-cell lung cancer, melanoma, urothelial carcinoma, head and neck cancer	None	_
			NCT03165994	Esophageal cancer, gastroesophageal cancer	Radiation therapy, paclitaxel, carboplatin	=
			NCT03719430	Soft tissue sarcoma	Doxorubicin	=
			NCT03214250	Metastatic pancreatic Adenocarcinoma	Nivolumab, nab-paclitaxel, gemcitabine	1/1
			NCT04337931	Melanoma	None	=
			NCT02706353	Melanoma	Pembrolizumab	I/I
	CP-870,893	VLST Corporation	NCT01103635	Metastatic melanoma	Tremelimumab (anti- CTLA-4)	_
	Selicrelumab	Roche	NCT02760797	Advanced solid tumors	Anti-PD-L1	_
	(K0/0098/9)		NCT02665416	Advanced solid tumors	Bevacizumab or vanucizumab	_
			NCT02304393	Solid tumors	Atezolizumab	_
			NCT02588443	Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	Gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel	_

Table 2. contir	ned					
Target	Agent	Organization	ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier	Tumors	Other interventions	Phase
	CDX-1140	Celldex Therapeutics	NCT04491084 NCT04520711	Non-small-cell lung cancer, lung cancer Malignant epithelial neoplasms	CDX-301 TCR-T. pembrolizumab	<u> </u>
			NCT04616248	Unresectable or metastatic breast cancer	Poly ICLC, radiation therapy, recombinant Flt3 licand	_
			NCT04364230	Melanoma	6MHP, NeoAg-mBRAF, Poly ICLC	IV
			NCT03329950	Advanced malignancies	CDX-301, pembrolizumab, chemotherapy	_
	Dacetuzumab (SGN-	Genentech, Inc.	NCT00525447	Multiple myeloma	Lenalidomide, dexamethasone	_
	40)	Seagen Inc.	NCT00079716	Multiple myeloma	None	_
			NCT00435916	Large B-cell diffuse lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma	None	=
			NCT00103779	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	None	_
			NCT00655837	Large B-cell diffuse lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Rituximab, gemcitabine	_
			NCT00556699	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	Rituximab	_
			NCT00664898	Multiple myeloma	Bortezomib	_
			NCT00283101	Lymphocytic, chronic leukemia	None	1/1
	Lucatumumab	Novartis Pharmaceuticals	NCT00670592	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma	None	IVI
	(HCD122)		NCT01275209	Follicular lymphoma	None	_
			NCT00231166	Multiple myeloma	None	_
	2141 V-11	Rockefeller University	NCT04547777	Glioma	None	_
			NCT04059588	Solid tumor, skin cancer	D2C7-IT	_
	ADC-1013 (JNJ- 64457107)	Janssen Research & Development, LLC	NCT02829099	Advanced solid neoplasms	None	_
	LVGN7409	Lyvgen Biopharma Holdings Limited	NCT04635995	Cancer	None	_
	Chi Lob 7/4	Cancer Research UK	NCT01561911	Neoplasms, lymphoma, non-Hodgkin, B cell	None	_
	NG-350A	PsiOxus Therapeutics	NCT03852511	Metastatic cancer, epithelial tumor	None	_
ВТК	Ibrutinib (PCI-32765)	Pharmacyclics LLC	NCT02599324	Renal cell, urothelial, gastric, colon, pancreatic adenocarcinoma	None	II/qI
			NCT01478581	Multiple myeloma	Dexamethasone	_
			NCT01752426	Leukemia	heavy water (2H ₂ O)	I, II
			NCT01236391	Mantle cell lymphoma	None	=
			NCT01105247	B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	None	= 'i
			NCT01614821	Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia	None	=
			NCT01292135	B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	None	_
			NCT01520519	Leukemia	Rituximab	=
			NCT01109069	B-cell lymphoma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia	None	=
			NCT01217749	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia	Ofatumumab	I, II
			NCT02403271		Durvalumab	l, II

Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy (2021)6:127

11

SPRINGER NATURE

Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy (2021)6:127	'
--	---

Table 2. contir	Jued					
Target	Agent	Organization	ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier	Tumors	Other interventions	Phase
				Non-small-cell lung cancer, breast cancer,		
			NCT01646021	pancreatic cancer Mantle cell lymphoma	Temsirolimus	=
			NCT01855750	Lymphoma	Rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone	=
			NCT01980628	Marginal zone lymphoma, B-cell lymphoma	None	=
			NCT01589302	Prolymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia	None	=
			NCT01325701	Diffuse large cell B lymphoma	None	=
			NCT01578707	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	Ofatumumab	≡
			NCT01722487	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	Chlorambucil	≡
			NCT02436668	Metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma	Gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel	=
			NCT01980654	Follicular lymphoma, B-cell lymphoma, non- Hodgkin's lymphoma	Rituximab	=
			NCT01973387	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	Rituximab	≡
			NCT01611090	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	Bendamustine, hydrochloride, rituximab	≡
			NCT02401048	Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, follicular lymphoma	MEDI4736	= 1
			NCT02639910	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	Tafasitamab, idelalisi, venetoclax	=
			NCT02902965	Multiple myeloma	Bortezomib dexamethasone	=
			NCT01744691	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia with 17p deletion, small lymphocytic lymphoma with 17p deletion	None	=
			NCT02264574	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small-cell lymphoma	Obinutuzumab, chlorambucil	≡
			NCT02514083	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	Fludarabine	=
	Acalabrutinib (ACP-	Acerta Pharma BV	NCT02112526	Activated B-cell diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	None	_
	196)		NCT02180724	Waldenström macroglobulinemia	None	=
			NCT02213926	Mantle cell lymphoma	None	=
			NCT02211014	Multiple myeloma	None	_
	Zanubrutinib (BGB-	BeiGene	NCT03206970	Mantle cell lymphoma	None	=
	3111)		NCT03206918	Chronic lymphocytic leukemia, small lymphocytic lymphoma	None	=
CSF1R	Pexidartinib (PLX-	Plexxicon	NCT02371369	Tenosynovial giant cell tumor	None	=
	3397)		NCT02472275	Intermediate- or high-risk prostate cancer	None	_
			NCT02584647	Sarcoma, malignant peripheral nerve shealth tumors	Sirolimus	_
			NCT01596751	Metastatic breast cancer	Eribulin	Il/qI

Table 2. COI	ntinued					
Target	Agent	Organization	ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier	Tumors	Other interventions	Phase
			NCT02777710 NCT02734433	Pancreatic or colorectal cancers Advanced solid tumors	Durvalumab None	
			NCT03158103	Gastrointestinal stromal tumor	MEK162	_
	BLZ945	Novartis	NCT02829723	Advanced solid tumors	PDR001	_
	ARRY-382	Array Biopharma	NCT01316822	Metastatic cancer	None	_
			NCT02880371	Advanced solid tumors	Pembrolizumab	=
	Edicotinib (JNJ- 40346527)	Johnson & Johnson	NCT03177460	Prostate cancer	None	_
	IMC-CS4(LY3022855)	Eli Lilly	NCT01346358	Advanced solid tumors	None	_
			NCT02265536	Advanced breast, prostate cancer	None	_
			NCT02718911	Solid tumor	Durvalumab, tremelimumab	_
			NCT03101254	Melanoma	Vemurafenib cobimetinib	I & II
			NCT03153410	Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	Cyclophosphamide, pembrolizumab, GVAX	_
	Cabiralizumab	Five Prime Therapeutics	NCT02471716	Tenosynovial giant cell tumor	None	=
	(FPA008)		NCT03927105	Peripheral T-cell lymphoma	Nivolumab	=
			NCT03502330	Melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma	APX005M nivolumab	_
			NCT04331067	Triple-negative breast cancer	Nivolumab	II/qI
			NCT03158272	Advanced malignancy	Nivolumab	_
			NCT02526017	Advanced solid tumors	Nivolumab	_
	Emactuzumab	Hoffman La Roche	NCT02323191	Advanced solid tumors	Atezolizumab	_
	(RO5509554)		NCT02760797	Advanced solid tumors	RO7009789	_
			NCT01494688	Advanced solid tumors	Paclitaxel	_
			NCT03708224	Advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma	Atezolizumab	=
			NCT03193190	Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	Additional therapies	1/1
	ТРХ-0022	Turning Point Therapeutics, Inc.	NCT03993873	Advanced solid tumor	None	_
	DCC-3014	Deciphera	NCT04242238	Sarcoma	Avelumab	_
		Pharmaceuticals LLC	NCT03069469	Advanced malignant neoplasm	None	I & II
	Q702	Qurient Co., Ltd.	NCT04648254	Solid tumor	None	_
	SNDX-6532	Syndax	NCT03238027	Solid tumor	Durvalumab	_
			NCT04301778	Unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma	Durvalumab	=
CD47	Magrolimab (Hu5F9-	Gilead Sciences	NCT02216409	Solid tumor	None	_
	G4)		NCT03248479	Hematological Malignancies	Azacitidine	_
			NCT02678338	Acute myeloid leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome	None	_
			NCT03527147	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	AZD9150 acalabrutinib AZD6738 rituximab AZD5153	_
			NCT04599634	B-cell malignancies	Obinutuzumab venetoclax	_

Targeting macrophages in cancer immunotherapy Duan and Luo

13

Duan and Luo

Targeting macrophages in cancer immunotherapy

Table 2. c	continued					
Target	Agent	Organization	Clinical Trials.gov Identifier	Tumors	Other interventions	Phase
			NCT02953782	Advanced solid malignancies and colorectal	Cetuximab	_
			NCT03558139	Ovarian cancer	Avelumab	_
			NCT03248479	Hematological malignancies	Azacitidine	_
			NCT04541017	T-cell lymphoma	Mogamulizumab	IVI
			NCT03922477	Acute myeloid leukemia	Atezolizumab	_
			NCT04435691	Acute myeloid leukemia	Azacitidine, venetoclax	II/I
			NCT03869190	Urothelial carcinoma	Atezolizumab, enfortumab, vedotin, niraparib	IV
			NCT02953509	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Rituximab, gemcitabine, oxaliplatin	1/1
			NCT04313881	Myelodysplastic syndromes	Azacitidine	≡
	ТТІ-621	Trillium Therapeutics	NCT02890368	Solid tumors and mycosis fungoides	PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor, pegylated interferon- «2a, radiation, talimogene laherparepvec	_ _
			NCT02663518	Small-cell lung cancer	None	_
	AO-176	Arch Oncology	NCT03834948	Solid tumor	Paclitaxel	1/1
			NCT04445701	Multiple myeloma	Bortezomib, dexamethasone	1/1
	IBI322	Innovent Biologics (Suzhou)	NCT04328831	Advanced malignancies	None	_
		Co., Ltd	NCT04338659	Advanced malignancies	None	_
	ZL1201	Zai Lab (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.	NCT04257617	Locally advanced solid tumor	None	_
	CC-90002	Celgene	NCT02367196	Hematologic neoplasms	Rituximab	_
	HX009	Waterstone Hanxbio Pty Ltd	NCT04097769	Advanced solid tumor	None	_
	IB1188	Innovent Biologics (Suzhou)	NCT03717103	Advanced malignancies	Rituximab	_
		Co. Ltd.	NCT03763149	Advanced malignancies	None	_
	SRF231	Surface Oncology	NCT03512340	Advanced solid cancers, hematologic cancers	None	_
	AK117	Akesobio Australia Pty Ltd	NCT04349969	Neoplasms malignant	None	_
	IMC-002	ImmuneOncia Therapeutics Inc.	NCT04306224	Solid tumor, lymphoma	None	_
CCR2	BMS-813160	Bristol-Myers Squibb	NCT03184870	Colorectal/pancreatic cancer	Chemotherapy or nivolumab	II/qI
			NCT03496662	Pancreatic cancer	Nivolumab abraxane, gemcitabine	I/I
			NCT03767582	Pancreatic cancer	Radiation therapy, nivolumab, GVAX	1/1
			NCT04123379	Non-small-cell lung cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma	Nivolumab, BMS-986253	=
			NCT02996110	Advanced cancer	Nivolumab, ipilimumab, relatlimab, BMS- 986205	=
	CCX872-B	ChemoCentryx	NCT03778879	Pancreatic cancer	Radiation therapy	=
	MLN1202	Millenium	NCT01015560	Bone metastases	None	=
	PF-04136309	Pfizer	NCT02732938	Metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	Nab-paclitaxel, Gemcitabine	=



Macrophages are a double-edged sword in the tumor microenvironment. As a prominent component of tumor stromal cells, macrophages can gather around blood vessels, induce angiogenesis, and promote tumor invasion. On the other hand, they could also phagocytose cancer cells and remodel the tumor microenvironment. Fortunately, the polarization of macrophages can be repolarized. The transformation from M2- to M1-phenotype macrophages is sufficient to cause a tumor-suppressive effect.^{194–196} Of note, the polarization of macrophages is independent of T cells, while M1 macrophages are able to induce Th1 immune responses, and M2 macrophages can

15

16

Fig. 4 Strategies for targeting macrophages for tumor immunotherapy. These strategies are categorized into six types based on their objectives. Agents or drugs are listed as examples in the subcategory for one of their main effects. This may not be the only effective because of their complex mechanisms. (1) Suppression of macrophage recruitment;^{81,82,205} molecules on monocytes/macrophages, such as CCR2, CCR5, VEGFR, CSF1R, ITGA4, and C5a, contribute to the infiltration of macrophages into tumors. Inhibitors or antibodies against them or some of their ligands (such as CCL2, CCL5, VEGF, and CSF1) could suppress the recruitment of macrophages. Reduced angiogenesis caused by targeting Nrp1 and ANG2 could also result in a decrease in macrophage recruitment. (2) Reduction of macrophage survival.² ⁸ As CSF1 is a crucial signal for the differentiation of macrophages, CSF1 inhibitors restrain the formation of macrophages. Trabectedin could also be used to reduce the survival of macrophages by inducing apoptosis. Immunotoxins targeting scavenger receptor-A or folate receptor β (FR β) can deplete TAMs, and bisphosphonates are metabolic analogs that reduce macrophages. (3) Inhibition of tumor-promoting functions; Tim-3 blocking antibody is reported to regulate the activation of TAMs. By inhibiting angiogenesis, anti-VEGF, anti-VEGFR, and tyrosine kinase inhibitors could weaken the protumoral function of TAMs. TAMs contribute to an immunosuppressive microenvironment by expressing indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), heme oxygenase, arginase, TGFβ, IL-10, prostaglandins, and so on. Aspirin reduces the generation of prostaglandins. Blocking immune checkpoints (PD-L1, PD-L2, B7-H4, VISTA, B7-1, and B7-2) on macrophages could relieve the function of other immune cells. (4) Removal of the macrophage blockade; 207,212,213 interactions between CD47 on tumors and SIRP α on macrophages help tumor cells evade macrophage phagocytosis. Antibodies against CD47 or SIRPα could remove the blockage. In addition, antibodies against MUC1 and EGFR inhibit SIRPα. (5) Induction of repolarization;^{43,113,193,207,210,214–222} M1 polarization of TAMs is associated with antitumor responses, while M2 polarization is associated with protumor activities. Several factors can induce M1 polarization, including IFN_γ, CD40 agonists, inhibitors of PI3Ky/mTOR/DICER, agonists of TLR4/7/8/9, methionine sulfoximine, histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, and antibodies against macrophage receptors with collagenous structures (MARCOs). In contrast, factors inhibiting M2 polarization, such as CSF1R inhibitors, corosolic acid, omeprazole, Gpr132 inhibitors, MEK/STAT3 inhibitors, fast-mimicking diets, and antibodies against IL-4, IL-4 $R\alpha$, and IL-13, can also reduce the tumor burden. (6) Modification of effector cells.¹⁷⁷ Chimeric antigen receptor macrophages (CAR-Ms) similar to CAR-T cells have been used to enhance tumoricidal functions. Targets, such as CD19, HER2, and mesothelin, have been explored

trigger Th2 immune responses.¹⁹⁷ This provides an opportunity to target macrophages in cancer immunotherapy. More importantly, the direction of macrophages to T or B cells does not rely on the existence of tumor-specific antigens. While IFN- γ from M1 macrophages is an incentive for Th1 responses, TGF- β and IL-10-derived M2 macrophages cause the generation of Treg cells.^{32,113,197}

Trogocytosis is a process in which a tumor-derived antigen is transferred to Fcy receptor-expressing lymphocytes with the help of certain antibodies. It has been demonstrated that tumor cells decrease the expression of specific antigens by delivering them to CAR-T cells or NK cells, leading to fratricide T cells or NK cells.^{198,199} Trogocytosis has also been discovered between tumor cells and macrophages and is partially responsible for tumor immune escape.^{200,201} However, Velmurugan et al. reported that persistent trogocytosis of macrophages eventually leads to the killing of antibody-opsonized tumor cells. They explained that these discrepancies might be caused by limited contact time between two types of cells and the lack of competing endogenous antibodies under physiological conditions.²⁰² Moreover, macrophages are capable of presenting antigens. Proteins that have been passed to the plasma membrane by trogocytosis might be more likely to be processed and presented than cytosolic proteins.

In addition, as mentioned above, macrophages from different sources may exert different functions. This offers an opportunity for more accurately targeted immunotherapy. For example, CCR2⁺Ly6C⁺ inflammatory monocytes can be recruited to pulmonary metastasis sites by CCL2 secreted by tumor cells and then differentiate into Ly6C⁻ macrophages that promote metastasis.¹⁰¹ Selectively targeting this group of monocytes may reduce metastasis without damaging the homeostasis maintaining functions of residual macrophages.

Macrophages also have advantages in certain types of cancer. Approximately 20% of nonparenchymal cells in the liver are macrophages. Macrophages in different locations function differently. By stimulating adaptive immune responses, they exert tumoricidal or protumoral and, in general, protumoral functions.²⁰³ It has been summarized in a previous review that targeting pathogenic macrophages is a promising option for patients with liver disease.²⁰⁴ Moreover, ascites is a common pathological phenomenon in liver cancer that is often accompanied by a poor prognosis. Integrated single-cell RNA sequencing revealed that lymphocytes in ascites are similar to those in peripheral blood, while myeloid cells in ascites are more likely to originate from tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells. This notion was

further confirmed by RNA velocity and phylogenetic trees of macrophages from various tissues. According to this study, intratumoral macrophage-based immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma can not only resolve tumor burden in situ but also relieve ascites.

Thus, macrophages provide a force to be considered in tumor immunotherapy. Research on macrophages might open a new door for oncotherapy. To address various malignancies, more strategies based on or combined with macrophages need to be explored in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Several elements used for figures in this review were downloaded from https://smart. servier.com. The Servier Medical Art by Servier is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (No. 81672914), (No. 81472654) (Y. Luo), (No. 81601374) (Z. Duan), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (3332020033, Z. Duan).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Z.D. wrote the paper and Y.L. revised it.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- van Furth, R. et al. The mononuclear phagocyte system: a new classification of macrophages, monocytes, and their precursor cells. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 46, 845–852 (1972).
- Xuetao Cao, W. H. Medical Immunology, third edn. (People's Medical Publishing House, 2015).
- Hashimoto, D. et al. Tissue-resident macrophages self-maintain locally throughout adult life with minimal contribution from circulating monocytes. *Immunity* 38, 792–804 (2013).
- Wynn, T. A., Chawla, A. & Pollard, J. W. Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease. *Nature* 496, 445–455 (2013).
- DeNardo, D. G. & Ruffell, B. Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 19, 369–382 (2019).
- Satpathy, A. T., Wu, X., Albring, J. C. & Murphy, K. M. Re(de)fining the dendritic cell lineage. *Nat. Immunol.* 13, 1145–1154 (2012).
- 7. Cortez-Retamozo, V. et al. Origins of tumor-associated macrophages and neutrophils. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 109, 2491–2496 (2012).
- Shand, F. H. et al. Tracking of intertissue migration reveals the origins of tumorinfiltrating monocytes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 7771–7776 (2014).

- Ginhoux, F. & Jung, S. Monocytes and macrophages: developmental pathways and tissue homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 392–404 (2014).
- Robben, P. M., LaRegina, M., Kuziel, W. A. & Sibley, L. D. Recruitment of Gr-1+ monocytes is essential for control of acute toxoplasmosis. J. Exp. Med. 201, 1761–1769 (2005).
- Shechter, R. et al. Infiltrating blood-derived macrophages are vital cells playing an anti-inflammatory role in recovery from spinal cord injury in mice. *PLoS Med.* 6, e1000113 (2009).
- Dunay, I. R. et al. Gr1(+) inflammatory monocytes are required for mucosal resistance to the pathogen *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Immunity* 29, 306–317 (2008).
- Martinez, F. O., Helming, L. & Gordon, S. Alternative activation of macrophages: an immunologic functional perspective. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 27, 451–483 (2009).
- 14. Geissmann, F. et al. Development of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells. *Science* **327**, 656–661 (2010).
- Wang, Y. et al. IL-34 is a tissue-restricted ligand of CSF1R required for the development of Langerhans cells and microglia. *Nat. Immunol.* 13, 753–760 (2012).
- Nandi, S. et al. The CSF-1 receptor ligands IL-34 and CSF-1 exhibit distinct developmental brain expression patterns and regulate neural progenitor cell maintenance and maturation. *Dev. Biol.* 367, 100–113 (2012).
- Schulz, C. et al. A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells. *Science* **336**, 86–90 (2012).
- Menezes, S. et al. The heterogeneity of Ly6C(hi) monocytes controls their differentiation into iNOS(+) macrophages or monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *Immunity* 45, 1205–1218 (2016).
- Mass, E. et al. Specification of tissue-resident macrophages during organogenesis. Science 353, 6304 (2016).
- Gautier, E. L. et al. Systemic analysis of PPARgamma in mouse macrophage populations reveals marked diversity in expression with critical roles in resolution of inflammation and airway immunity. J. Immunol. 189, 2614–2624 (2012).
- 21. Rosas, M. et al. The transcription factor Gata6 links tissue macrophage phenotype and proliferative renewal. *Science* **344**, 645–648 (2014).
- N, A. G. et al. The nuclear receptor LXRalpha controls the functional specialization of splenic macrophages. *Nat. Immunol.* 14, 831–839 (2013).
- Saeed, S. et al. Epigenetic programming of monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and trained innate immunity. *Science* 345, 1251086 (2014).
- Ahmad, I., Valverde, A., Ahmad, F. & Naqvi, A. R. Long noncoding RNA in myeloid and lymphoid cell differentiation, polarization and function. *Cells* 9, 269 (2020).
- Kolter, J., Kierdorf, K. & Henneke, P. Origin and differentiation of nerveassociated macrophages. J. Immunol. 204, 271–279 (2020).
- Varol, C., Mildner, A. & Jung, S. Macrophages: development and tissue specialization. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 33, 643–675 (2015).
- Davies, L. C., Jenkins, S. J., Allen, J. E. & Taylor, P. R. Tissue-resident macrophages. Nat. Immunol. 14, 986–995 (2013).
- Bian, Z. et al. Deciphering human macrophage development at single-cell resolution. *Nature* 582, 571–576 (2020).
- 29. Gautier, E. L. et al. Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. *Nat. Immunol.* **13**, 1118–1128 (2012).
- Mills, C. D., Lenz, L. L. & Harris, R. A. A breakthrough: macrophage-directed cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Res.* 76, 513–516 (2016).
- Klink, M. Interaction of Immune and Cancer Cells (Chemical Industry Press Co, Ltd, 2016).
- Mills, C. D. Anatomy of a discovery: M1 and M2 macrophages. Front. Immunol. 6, 212 (2015).
- Mills, C. D. M1 and M2 macrophages: oracles of health and disease. Crit. Rev. Immunol. 32, 463–488 (2012).
- Mills, C. D. & Ley, K. M1 and M2 macrophages: the chicken and the egg of immunity. J. Innate Immun. 6, 716–726 (2014).
- 35. Lawrence, T. & Natoli, G. Transcriptional regulation of macrophage polarization: enabling diversity with identity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **11**, 750–761 (2011).
- Noy, R. & Pollard, J. W. Tumor-associated macrophages: from mechanisms to therapy. *Immunity* 41, 49–61 (2014).
- Petty, A. J. & Yang, Y. Tumor-associated macrophages: implications in cancer immunotherapy. *Immunotherapy* 9, 289–302 (2017).
- Mantovani, A., Biswas, S. K., Galdiero, M. R., Sica, A. & Locati, M. Macrophage plasticity and polarization in tissue repair and remodelling. *J. Pathol.* 229, 176–185 (2013).
- Sica, A. & Mantovani, A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. J. Clin. Investig. 122, 787–795 (2012).
- 40. Shapouri-Moghaddam, A. et al. Macrophage plasticity, polarization, and function in health and disease. *J. Cell Physiol.* **233**, 6425–6440 (2018).
- Qian, B. Z. & Pollard, J. W. Macrophage diversity enhances tumor progression and metastasis. *Cell* **141**, 39–51 (2010).

- Franklin, R. A. et al. The cellular and molecular origin of tumor-associated macrophages. Science 344, 921–925 (2014).
- Pyonteck, S. M. et al. CSF-1R inhibition alters macrophage polarization and blocks glioma progression. *Nat. Med.* 19, 1264–1272 (2013).
- Movahedi, K. et al. Different tumor microenvironments contain functionally distinct subsets of macrophages derived from Ly6C(high) monocytes. *Cancer Res.* **70**, 5728–5739 (2010).
- Franklin, R. A. & Li, M. O. Ontogeny of tumor-associated macrophages and its implication in cancer regulation. *Trends Cancer* 2, 20–34 (2016).
- Liu, Y. & Cao, X. The origin and function of tumor-associated macrophages. *Cell Mol. Immunol.* **12**, 1–4 (2015).
- Williams, C. B., Yeh, E. S. & Soloff, A. C. Tumor-associated macrophages: unwitting accomplices in breast cancer malignancy. *npj Breast Cancer* 2, 1–12 (2016).
- Mantovani, A., Sozzani, S., Locati, M., Allavena, P. & Sica, A. Macrophage polarization: tumor-associated macrophages as a paradigm for polarized M2 mononuclear phagocytes. *Trends Immunol.* 23, 549–555 (2002).
- Biswas, S. K. et al. A distinct and unique transcriptional program expressed by tumor-associated macrophages (defective NF-kappaB and enhanced IRF-3/ STAT1 activation). Blood 107, 2112–2122 (2006).
- Salmaninejad, A. et al. Tumor-associated macrophages: role in cancer development and therapeutic implications. *Cell. Oncol.* 42, 591–608 (2019).
- Chanmee, T., Ontong, P., Konno, K. & Itano, N. Tumor-associated macrophages as major players in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancers* 6, 1670–1690 (2014).
- 52. Yang, L. & Zhang, Y. Tumor-associated macrophages: from basic research to clinical application. J. Hematol. Oncol. **10**, 58 (2017).
- Gordy, C., Pua, H., Sempowski, G. D. & He, Y. W. Regulation of steady-state neutrophil homeostasis by macrophages. *Blood* **117**, 618–629 (2011).
- Mosser, D. M. & Edwards, J. P. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8, 958–969 (2008).
- Shevach, E. M. & Rosenthal, A. S. Function of macrophages in antigen recognition by guinea pig T lymphocytes. II. Role of the macrophage in the regulation of genetic control of the immune response. J. Exp. Med. 138, 1213–1229 (1973).
- Medzhitov, R., Preston-Hurlburt, P. & Janeway, C. A. Jr A human homologue of the Drosophila Toll protein signals activation of adaptive immunity. *Nature* 388, 394–397 (1997).
- 57. Li, Q. & Barres, B. A. Microglia and macrophages in brain homeostasis and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **18**, 225–242 (2018).
- Hulsmans, M. et al. Macrophages facilitate electrical conduction in the heart. *Cell* 169, 510–522 e520 (2017).
- Lavine, K. J. et al. Distinct macrophage lineages contribute to disparate patterns of cardiac recovery and remodeling in the neonatal and adult heart. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 16029–16034 (2014).
- 60. Leid, J. et al. Primitive embryonic macrophages are required for coronary development and maturation. *Circ. Res.* **118**, 1498–1511 (2016).
- Kang, K. et al. Adipocyte-derived Th2 cytokines and myeloid PPARdelta regulate macrophage polarization and insulin sensitivity. *Cell Metab.* 7, 485–495 (2008).
- Odegaard, J. I. et al. Alternative M2 activation of Kupffer cells by PPARdelta ameliorates obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Cell Metab.* 7, 496–507 (2008).
- Huang, W. et al. Depletion of liver Kupffer cells prevents the development of dietinduced hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 59, 347–357 (2010).
- Yamamoto, S. et al. A subset of cerebrovascular pericytes originates from mature macrophages in the very early phase of vascular development in CNS. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 3855 (2017).
- Hibbs, J. B. Jr., Vavrin, Z. & Taintor, R. R. L-arginine is required for expression of the activated macrophage effector mechanism causing selective metabolic inhibition in target cells. *J. Immunol.* **138**, 550–565 (1987).
- Mills, C. D. Macrophage arginine metabolism to ornithine/urea or nitric oxide/ citrulline: a life or death issue. *Crit. Rev. Immunol.* 21, 399–425 (2001).
- Ran, S. & Montgomery, K. E. Macrophage-mediated lymphangiogenesis: the emerging role of macrophages as lymphatic endothelial progenitors. *Cancers* 4, 618–657 (2012).
- 68. Rao, S. et al. Obligatory participation of macrophages in an angiopoietin 2mediated cell death switch. *Development* **134**, 4449–4458 (2007).
- Stefater, J. A. 3rd et al. Regulation of angiogenesis by a non-canonical Wnt-Flt1 pathway in myeloid cells. *Nature* 474, 511–515 (2011).
- Spiric, Z., Eri, Z. & Eric, M. Significance of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C and VEGF-D in the progression of cutaneous melanoma. *Int. J. Surg. Pathol.* 23, 629–637 (2015).
- Jeong, H. et al. Tumor-associated macrophages enhance tumor hypoxia and aerobic glycolysis. *Cancer Res.* **79**, 795–806 (2019).
- 72. Feng, J. et al. Emerging roles and the regulation of aerobic glycolysis in hepatocellular carcinoma. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. **39**, 126 (2020).
- Srinivasan, S. et al. Hypoxia-induced expression of phosducin-like 3 regulates expression of VEGFR-2 and promotes angiogenesis. *Angiogenesis* 18, 449–462 (2015).

- 18
- Squadrito, M. L. & De Palma, M. Macrophage regulation of tumor angiogenesis: implications for cancer therapy. *Mol. Asp. Med.* 32, 123–145 (2011).
- Jetten, N. et al. Anti-inflammatory M2, but not pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages promote angiogenesis in vivo. Angiogenesis 17, 109–118 (2014).
- 76. Yeo, E. J. et al. Myeloid WNT7b mediates the angiogenic switch and metastasis in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* **74**, 2962–2973 (2014).
- Murdoch, C., Muthana, M., Coffelt, S. B. & Lewis, C. E. The role of myeloid cells in the promotion of tumour angiogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8, 618–631 (2008).
- Zhou, H., Binmadi, N. O., Yang, Y. H., Proia, P. & Basile, J. R. Semaphorin 4D cooperates with VEGF to promote angiogenesis and tumor progression. *Angiogenesis* 15, 391–407 (2012).
- Ramirez-Pedraza, M. & Fernandez, M. Interplay between macrophages and angiogenesis: a double-edged sword in liver disease. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 2882 (2019).
- Gurevich, D. B. et al. Live imaging of wound angiogenesis reveals macrophage orchestrated vessel sprouting and regression. *EMBO J* 37, e97786 (2018).
- Casazza, A. et al. Impeding macrophage entry into hypoxic tumor areas by Sema3A/Nrp1 signaling blockade inhibits angiogenesis and restores antitumor immunity. *Cancer Cell* 24, 695–709 (2013).
- Mazzieri, R. et al. Targeting the ANG2/TIE2 axis inhibits tumor growth and metastasis by impairing angiogenesis and disabling rebounds of proangiogenic myeloid cells. *Cancer Cell* 19, 512–526 (2011).
- Belgiovine, C., D'Incalci, M., Allavena, P. & Frapolli, R. Tumor-associated macrophages and anti-tumor therapies: complex links. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 73, 2411–2424 (2016).
- Du Cheyne, C., Tay, H. & De Spiegelaere, W. The complex TIE between macrophages and angiogenesis. *Anat. Histol. Embryol.* 49, 585–596 (2019).
- Albini, A., Bruno, A., Noonan, D. M. & Mortara, L. Contribution to tumor angiogenesis from innate immune cells within the tumor microenvironment: implications for immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 527 (2018).
- Wang, W. et al. miR-100 maintains phenotype of tumor-associated macrophages by targeting mTOR to promote tumor metastasis via Stat5a/IL-1ra pathway in mouse breast cancer. *Oncogenesis* 7, 97 (2018).
- Hanahan, D. & Coussens, L. M. Accessories to the crime: functions of cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* 21, 309–322 (2012).
- Bonde, A. K., Tischler, V., Kumar, S., Soltermann, A. & Schwendener, R. A. Intratumoral macrophages contribute to epithelial-mesenchymal transition in solid tumors. *BMC Cancer* **12**, 35 (2012).
- Kessenbrock, K., Plaks, V. & Werb, Z. Matrix metalloproteinases: regulators of the tumor microenvironment. *Cell* 141, 52–67 (2010).
- Mason, S. D. & Joyce, J. A. Proteolytic networks in cancer. Trends Cell Biol. 21, 228–237 (2011).
- Lan, J. et al. M2 macrophage-derived exosomes promote cell migration and invasion in colon cancer. Cancer Res. 79, 146–158 (2019).
- Yin, Z. et al. Macrophage-derived exosomal microRNA-501-3p promotes progression of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma through the TGFBR3-mediated TGF-beta signaling pathway. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38, 310 (2019).
- Linde, N. et al. Macrophages orchestrate breast cancer early dissemination and metastasis. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 21 (2018).
- 94. Hoshino, A. et al. Tumour exosome integrins determine organotropic metastasis. *Nature* **527**, 329–335 (2015).
- 95. Costa-Silva, B. et al. Pancreatic cancer exosomes initiate pre-metastatic niche formation in the liver. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **17**, 816–826 (2015).
- Chen, J. et al. CCL18 from tumor-associated macrophages promotes breast cancer metastasis via PITPNM3. *Cancer Cell* 19, 541–555 (2011).
- Peinado, H. et al. Melanoma exosomes educate bone marrow progenitor cells toward a pro-metastatic phenotype through MET. *Nat. Med.* 18, 883–891 (2012).
- Psaila, B. & Lyden, D. The metastatic niche: adapting the foreign soil. Nat. Rev. Cancer 9, 285–293 (2009).
- Kitamura, T. et al. CCL2-induced chemokine cascade promotes breast cancer metastasis by enhancing retention of metastasis-associated macrophages. J. Exp. Med. 212, 1043–1059 (2015).
- 100. van Deventer, H. W., Palmieri, D. A., Wu, Q. P., McCook, E. C. & Serody, J. S. Circulating fibrocytes prepare the lung for cancer metastasis by recruiting Ly-6C + monocytes via CCL2. J. Immunol. **190**, 4861–4867 (2013).
- 101. Qian, B. Z. et al. CCL2 recruits inflammatory monocytes to facilitate breasttumour metastasis. *Nature* **475**, 222–225 (2011).
- Nosaka, T. et al. Alveolar macrophages drive hepatocellular carcinoma lung metastasis by generating leukotriene B4. J. Immunol. 200, 1839–1852 (2018).
- Sharma, S. K. et al. Pulmonary alveolar macrophages contribute to the premetastatic niche by suppressing antitumor T cell responses in the lungs. J. Immunol. 194, 5529–5538 (2015).
- 104. Kimura, Y. et al. The innate immune receptor Dectin-2 mediates the phagocytosis of cancer cells by Kupffer cells for the suppression of liver metastasis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 14097–14102 (2016).

- Du, R. et al. HIF1alpha induces the recruitment of bone marrow-derived vascular modulatory cells to regulate tumor angiogenesis and invasion. *Cancer Cell* 13, 206–220 (2008).
- Quail, D. F. & Joyce, J. A. Microenvironmental regulation of tumor progression and metastasis. *Nat. Med.* 19, 1423–1437 (2013).
- Lin, E. Y. et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor restores delayed tumor progression in tumors depleted of macrophages. *Mol. Oncol.* 1, 288–302 (2007).
- Linde, N. et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor-induced skin carcinogenesis depends on recruitment and alternative activation of macrophages. J. Pathol. 227, 17–28 (2012).
- Quaranta, V. et al. Macrophage-derived granulin drives resistance to immune checkpoint inhibition in metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res.* 78, 4253–4269 (2018).
- Nielsen, S. R. et al. Macrophage-secreted granulin supports pancreatic cancer metastasis by inducing liver fibrosis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 18, 549–560 (2016).
- 111. Zhou, J. et al. Exosomes released from tumor-associated macrophages transfer miRNAs that induce a Treg/Th17 cell imbalance in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 6, 1578–1592 (2018).
- 112. Li, X. et al. Harnessing tumor-associated macrophages as aids for cancer immunotherapy. *Mol. Cancer* **18**, 177 (2019).
- 113. Ruffell, B. & Coussens, L. M. Macrophages and therapeutic resistance in cancer. *Cancer Cell* 27, 462–472 (2015).
- 114. Sun, D. et al. M2-polarized tumor-associated macrophages promote epithelialmesenchymal transition via activation of the AKT3/PRAS40 signaling pathway in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J. Cell Biochem 121, 2828–2838 (2020).
- 115. Kuwada, K. et al. The epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition induced by tumorassociated macrophages confers chemoresistance in peritoneally disseminated pancreatic cancer. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 37, 307 (2018).
- Dudas, J., Ladanyi, A., Ingruber, J., Steinbichler, T. B. & Riechelmann, H. Epithelial to mesenchymal transition: a mechanism that fuels cancer radio/chemoresistance. *Cells* 9, 428 (2020).
- Zhu, X. et al. Macrophages derived exosomes deliver miR-223 to epithelial ovarian cancer cells to elicit a chemoresistant phenotype. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38, 81 (2019).
- Zheng, P. et al. Exosomal transfer of tumor-associated macrophage-derived miR-21 confers cisplatin resistance in gastric cancer cells. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 36, 53 (2017).
- 119. Yu, S. et al. Activated HIF1alpha of tumor cells promotes chemoresistance development via recruiting GDF15-producing tumor-associated macrophages in gastric cancer. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* **69**, 1973–1987 (2020).
- Halbrook, C. J. et al. Macrophage-released pyrimidines inhibit gemcitabine therapy in pancreatic cancer. *Cell Metab.* 29, 1390–1399 e1396 (2019).
- 121. Buchholz, S. M. et al. Depletion of macrophages improves therapeutic response to gemcitabine in murine pancreas cancer. *Cancers* **12**, 1978 (2020).
- 122. Nowak, M. & Klink, M. The role of tumor-associated macrophages in the progression and chemoresistance of ovarian cancer. *Cells* **9**, 1299 (2020).
- 123. Chiang, C. S. et al. Irradiation promotes an m2 macrophage phenotype in tumor hypoxia. *Front. Oncol.* **2**, 89 (2012).
- 124. Fu, E. et al. M2 macrophages reduce the radiosensitivity of head and neck cancer by releasing HBEGF. *Oncol. Rep.* **44**, 698–710 (2020).
- 125. Meng, Y. et al. Blockade of tumor necrosis factor alpha signaling in tumorassociated macrophages as a radiosensitizing strategy. *Cancer Res.* **70**, 1534–1543 (2010).
- 126. Huang, E. Y. et al. Carcinoembryonic antigen as a marker of radioresistance in colorectal cancer: a potential role of macrophages. *BMC Cancer* **18**, 321 (2018).
- 127. Rahal, O. M. et al. Blocking interleukin (IL)4- and IL13-mediated phosphorylation of STAT6 (Tyr641) decreases M2 polarization of macrophages and protects against macrophage-mediated radioresistance of inflammatory breast cancer. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **100**, 1034–1043 (2018).
- Beltraminelli, T. & De Palma, M. Biology and therapeutic targeting of tumourassociated macrophages. J. Pathol. 250, 573–592 (2020).
- 129. Wu, Q. et al. Macrophage biology plays a central role during ionizing radiationelicited tumor response. *Biomed. J.* **40**, 200–211 (2017).
- 130. Gong, J., Chehrazi-Raffle, A., Reddi, S. & Salgia, R. Development of PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors as a form of cancer immunotherapy: a comprehensive review of registration trials and future considerations. J. Immunother. Cancer 6, 8 (2018).
- 131. Gordon, S. R. et al. PD-1 expression by tumour-associated macrophages inhibits phagocytosis and tumour immunity. *Nature* **545**, 495–499 (2017).
- 132. Ansell, S. M. et al. PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **372**, 311–319 (2015).
- Prima, V., Kaliberova, L. N., Kaliberov, S., Curiel, D. T. & Kusmartsev, S. COX2/ mPGES1/PGE2 pathway regulates PD-L1 expression in tumor-associated macrophages and myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 114, 1117–1122 (2017).

- Noguchi, T. et al. Temporally distinct PD-L1 expression by tumor and host cells contributes to immune escape. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 5, 106–117 (2017).
- Sun, N. Y. et al. Blockade of PD-L1 enhances cancer immunotherapy by regulating dendritic cell maturation and macrophage polarization. *Cancers* **11**, 1400 (2019).
- Gubin, M. M. et al. High-dimensional analysis delineates myeloid and lymphoid compartment remodeling during successful immune-checkpoint cancer therapy. *Cell* **175**, 1014–1030 e1019 (2018).
- Chen, D. et al. Chloroquine modulates antitumor immune response by resetting tumor-associated macrophages toward M1 phenotype. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 873 (2018).
- Meng, Y. et al. Galactan isolated from *Cantharellus cibarius* modulates antitumor immune response by converting tumor-associated macrophages toward M1like phenotype. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 226, 115295 (2019).
- 139. Ma, Q. et al. PIGF signaling and macrophage repolarization contribute to the anti-neoplastic effect of metformin. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 863, 172696 (2019).
- Rodell, C. B. et al. TLR7/8-agonist-loaded nanoparticles promote the polarization of tumour-associated macrophages to enhance cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Biomed. Eng.* 2, 578–588 (2018).
- 141. Peranzoni, E. et al. Macrophages impede CD8 T cells from reaching tumor cells and limit the efficacy of anti-PD-1 treatment. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E4041–e4050 (2018).
- Arlauckas, S. P. et al. In vivo imaging reveals a tumor-associated macrophagemediated resistance pathway in anti-PD-1 therapy. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 9, 389 (2017).
- Majeti, R. et al. CD47 is an adverse prognostic factor and therapeutic antibody target on human acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. *Cell* 138, 286–299 (2009).
- 144. Willingham, S. B. et al. The CD47-signal regulatory protein alpha (SIRPa) interaction is a therapeutic target for human solid tumors. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 6662–6667 (2012).
- Weiskopf, K. et al. CD47-blocking immunotherapies stimulate macrophagemediated destruction of small-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Investig. 126, 2610–2620 (2016).
- 146. Barkal, A. A. et al. Engagement of MHC class I by the inhibitory receptor LILRB1 suppresses macrophages and is a target of cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Immunol.* **19**, 76–84 (2018).
- Barkal, A. A. et al. CD24 signalling through macrophage Siglec-10 is a target for cancer immunotherapy. *Nature* 572, 392–396 (2019).
- Wiemann, B. & Starnes, C. O. Coley's toxins, tumor necrosis factor and cancer research: a historical perspective. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 64, 529–564 (1994).
- 149. Thomas, J. A. & Badini, M. The role of innate immunity in spontaneous regression of cancer. *Indian J. Cancer* **48**, 246–251 (2011).
- Thoreau, M. et al. Vaccine-induced tumor regression requires a dynamic cooperation between T cells and myeloid cells at the tumor site. *Oncotarget* 6, 27832–27846 (2015).
- Santos, P. M. & Butterfield, L. H. Dendritic cell-based cancer vaccines. J. Immunol. 200, 443–449 (2018).
- Walter, S. et al. Multipeptide immune response to cancer vaccine IMA901 after single-dose cyclophosphamide associates with longer patient survival. *Nat. Med.* 18, 1254–1261 (2012).
- Cheever, M. A. & Higano, C. S. PROVENGE (Sipuleucel-T) in prostate cancer: the first FDA-approved therapeutic cancer vaccine. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 17, 3520–3526 (2011).
- 154. Fu, J. et al. STING agonist formulated cancer vaccines can cure established tumors resistant to PD-1 blockade. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **7**, 283ra252 (2015).
- 155. Agarwalla, P., Barnard, Z., Fecci, P., Dranoff, G. & Curry, W. T. Jr Sequential immunotherapy by vaccination with GM-CSF-expressing glioma cells and CTLA-4 blockade effectively treats established murine intracranial tumors. *J. Immunother.* **35**, 385–389 (2012).
- 156. Dranoff, G. et al. Vaccination with irradiated tumor cells engineered to secrete murine granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor stimulates potent, specific, and long-lasting anti-tumor immunity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **90**, 3539–3543 (1993).
- Chiocca, E. A. & Rabkin, S. D. Oncolytic viruses and their application to cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 2, 295–300 (2014).
- 158. Senzer, N. N. et al. Phase II clinical trial of a granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor-encoding, second-generation oncolytic herpesvirus in patients with unresectable metastatic melanoma. J. Clin. Oncol. 27, 5763–5771 (2009).
- Halstead, E. S. et al. GM-CSF overexpression after influenza a virus infection prevents mortality and moderates M1-like airway monocyte/macrophage polarization. *Respir. Res.* **19**, 3 (2018).
- Murray, P. J. et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. *Immunity* 41, 14–20 (2014).
- Wang, D., Dai, W. & Wang, J. A cell-specific nuclear factor-kappa b-activating gene expression strategy for delivering cancer immunotherapy. *Hum. gene Ther.* 30, 471–484 (2019).

- 162. Cheng, L., Wang, Y. & Huang, L. Exosomes from M1-polarized macrophages potentiate the cancer vaccine by creating a pro-inflammatory microenvironment in the lymph node. *Mol. Ther.* **25**, 1665–1675 (2017).
- 163. Xu, G. et al. Listeria-based hepatocellular carcinoma vaccine facilitates anti-PD-1 therapy by regulating macrophage polarization. *Oncogene* **39**, 1429–1444 (2019).
- 164. Lefebvre, M. L., Krause, S. W., Salcedo, M. & Nardin, A. Ex vivo-activated human macrophages kill chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells in the presence of rituximab: mechanism of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and impact of human serum. J. Immunother. 29, 388–397 (2006).
- 165. Roghanian, A. et al. Cyclophosphamide enhances cancer antibody immunotherapy in the resistant bone marrow niche by modulating macrophage FcgammaR expression. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 7, 1876–1890 (2019).
- 166. Bouwstra, R. et al. CD47 expression defines efficacy of rituximab with CHOP in non-germinal center B-cell (non-GCB) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients (DLBCL), but not in GCB DLBCL. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 7, 1663–1671 (2019).
- Tsao, L. C. et al. CD47 blockade augmentation of trastuzumab antitumor efficacy dependent on antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis. *JCI Insight* 4, 24 (2019).
- Shi, Y. et al. Trastuzumab triggers phagocytic killing of high HER2 cancer cells in vitro and in vivo by interaction with Fcgamma receptors on macrophages. J. Immunol. 194, 4379–4386 (2015).
- Xu, M. et al. Intratumoral delivery of IL-21 overcomes anti-Her2/Neu resistance through shifting tumor-associated macrophages from M2 to M1 phenotype. J. Immunol. **194**, 4997–5006 (2015).
- Rosenberg, S. A. & Restifo, N. P. Adoptive cell transfer as personalized immunotherapy for human cancer. *Science* 348, 62–68 (2015).
- 171. Morgan, R. A. et al. Cancer regression in patients after transfer of genetically engineered lymphocytes. *Science* **314**, 126–129 (2006).
- 172. Kochenderfer, J. N. et al. Eradication of B-lineage cells and regression of lymphoma in a patient treated with autologous T cells genetically engineered to recognize CD19. *Blood* **116**, 4099–4102 (2010).
- Klug, F. et al. Low-dose irradiation programs macrophage differentiation to an iNOS(+)/M1 phenotype that orchestrates effective T cell immunotherapy. *Cancer Cell* 24, 589–602 (2013).
- 174. Giavridis, T. et al. CAR T cell-induced cytokine release syndrome is mediated by macrophages and abated by IL-1 blockade. *Nat. Med.* **24**, 731–738 (2018).
- Sterner, R. M. et al. GM-CSF inhibition reduces cytokine release syndrome and neuroinflammation but enhances CAR-T cell function in xenografts. *Blood* 133, 697–709 (2019).
- 176. Sachdeva, M., Duchateau, P., Depil, S., Poirot, L. & Valton, J. Granulocytemacrophage colony-stimulating factor inactivation in CAR T-cells prevents monocyte-dependent release of key cytokine release syndrome mediators. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 5430–5437 (2019).
- 177. Klichinsky, M. et al. Human chimeric antigen receptor macrophages for cancer immunotherapy. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38**, 947–953 (2020).
- 178. Adams, J. L., Smothers, J., Srinivasan, R. & Hoos, A. Big opportunities for small molecules in immuno-oncology. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **14**, 603–622 (2015).
- Okamoto, A. et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase serves as a marker of poor prognosis in gene expression profiles of serous ovarian cancer cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 11, 6030–6039 (2005).
- Liu, X. et al. Selective inhibition of IDO1 effectively regulates mediators of antitumor immunity. *Blood* 115, 3520–3530 (2010).
- Rodriguez, P. C. et al. Arginase I production in the tumor microenvironment by mature myeloid cells inhibits T-cell receptor expression and antigen-specific Tcell responses. *Cancer Res.* 64, 5839–5849 (2004).
- Cabrales, P. RRx-001 acts as a dual small molecule checkpoint inhibitor by downregulating CD47 on cancer cells and SIRP-alpha on monocytes/macrophages. *Transl. Oncol.* 12, 626–632 (2019).
- Karlsson, H. et al. Combining CAR T cells and the Bcl-2 family apoptosis inhibitor ABT-737 for treating B-cell malignancy. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 20, 386–393 (2013).
- De Henau, O. et al. Overcoming resistance to checkpoint blockade therapy by targeting PI3Kgamma in myeloid cells. *Nature* 539, 443–447 (2016).
- 185. Nywening, T. M. et al. Targeting both tumour-associated CXCR2(+) neutrophils and CCR2(+) macrophages disrupts myeloid recruitment and improves chemotherapeutic responses in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Gut* 67, 1112–1123 (2018).
- Ngiow, S. F. et al. Co-inhibition of colony stimulating factor-1 receptor and BRAF oncogene in mouse models of BRAF(V600E) melanoma. *Oncoimmunology* 5, e1089381 (2016).
- Mok, S. et al. Inhibition of CSF-1 receptor improves the antitumor efficacy of adoptive cell transfer immunotherapy. *Cancer Res.* 74, 153–161 (2014).
- Osipov, A., Saung, M. T., Zheng, L. & Murphy, A. G. Small molecule immunomodulation: the tumor microenvironment and overcoming immune escape. J. Immunother. Cancer 7, 224 (2019).

- Robert, J. Comparative study of tumorigenesis and tumor immunity in invertebrates and nonmammalian vertebrates. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 34, 915–925 (2010).
- Wang, J. et al. Novel mechanism of macrophage-mediated metastasis revealed in a zebrafish model of tumor development. *Cancer Res.* 75, 306–315 (2015).
- Mills, C. D., Ley, K., Buchmann, K. & Canton, J. Sequential immune responses: the weapons of immunity. J. Innate Immun. 7, 443–449 (2015).
- Cavaillon, J. M. The historical milestones in the understanding of leukocyte biology initiated by Elie Metchnikoff. J. Leukoc. Biol. 90, 413–424 (2011).
- Pathria, P., Louis, T. L. & Varner, J. A. Targeting tumor-associated macrophages in cancer. *Trends Immunol.* 40, 310–327 (2019).
- 194. O'Sullivan, T. et al. Cancer immunoediting by the innate immune system in the absence of adaptive immunity. *J. Exp. Med.* **209**, 1869–1882 (2012).
- Mills, C. D., Shearer, J., Evans, R. & Caldwell, M. D. Macrophage arginine metabolism and the inhibition or stimulation of cancer. *J. Immunol.* 149, 2709–2714 (1992).
- Beatty, G. L. et al. CD40 agonists alter tumor stroma and show efficacy against pancreatic carcinoma in mice and humans. *Science* 331, 1612–1616 (2011).
- 197. Mills, C. D., Kincaid, K., Alt, J. M., Heilman, M. J. & Hill, A. M. M-1/M-2 macrophages and the Th1/Th2 paradigm. *J. Immunol.* **164**, 6166–6173 (2000).
- Hamieh, M. et al. CAR T cell trogocytosis and cooperative killing regulate tumour antigen escape. *Nature* 568, 112–116 (2019).
- 199. Nakamura, K. et al. Fratricide of natural killer cells dressed with tumor-derived NKG2D ligand. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 9421–9426 (2013).
- Boross, P., Jansen, J. H., Pastula, A., van der Poel, C. E. & Leusen, J. H. Both activating and inhibitory Fc gamma receptors mediate rituximab-induced trogocytosis of CD20 in mice. *Immunol. Lett.* 143, 44–52 (2012).
- 201. Beum, P. V. et al. Loss of CD20 and bound CD20 antibody from opsonized B cells occurs more rapidly because of trogocytosis mediated by Fc receptor-expressing effector cells than direct internalization by the B cells. J. Immunol. 187, 3438–3447 (2011).
- Velmurugan, R., Challa, D. K., Ram, S., Ober, R. J. & Ward, E. S. Macrophagemediated trogocytosis leads to death of antibody-opsonized tumor cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **15**, 1879–1889 (2016).
- Wu, Y. & Zheng, L. Dynamic education of macrophages in different areas of human tumors. *Cancer Microenviron.* 5, 195–201 (2012).
- Tacke, F. Targeting hepatic macrophages to treat liver diseases. J. Hepatol. 66, 1300–1312 (2017).
- Mantovani, A., Marchesi, F., Malesci, A., Laghi, L. & Allavena, P. Tumourassociated macrophages as treatment targets in oncology. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 14, 399–416 (2017).
- Bak, S. P., Walters, J. J., Takeya, M., Conejo-Garcia, J. R. & Berwin, B. L. Scavenger receptor-A-targeted leukocyte depletion inhibits peritoneal ovarian tumor progression. *Cancer Res.* 67, 4783–4789 (2007).
- Cassetta, L. & Pollard, J. W. Targeting macrophages: therapeutic approaches in cancer. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 17, 887–904 (2018).
- Nagai, T. et al. Targeting tumor-associated macrophages in an experimental glioma model with a recombinant immunotoxin to folate receptor beta. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 58, 1577–1586 (2009).
- 209. Komohara, Y. et al. The coordinated actions of TIM-3 on cancer and myeloid cells in the regulation of tumorigenicity and clinical prognosis in clear cell renal cell carcinomas. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* **3**, 999–1007 (2015).
- 210. Mehla, K. & Singh, P. K. Metabolic regulation of macrophage polarization in cancer. *Trends Cancer* **5**, 822–834 (2019).
- Ngambenjawong, C., Gustafson, H. H. & Pun, S. H. Progress in tumor-associated macrophage (TAM)-targeted therapeutics. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **114**, 206–221 (2017).
- Tseng, D. et al. Anti-CD47 antibody-mediated phagocytosis of cancer by macrophages primes an effective antitumor T-cell response. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 11103–11108 (2013).
- Ring, N. G. et al. Anti-SIRPalpha antibody immunotherapy enhances neutrophil and macrophage antitumor activity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 114, E10578–E10585 (2017).
- Komohara, Y., Fujiwara, Y., Ohnishi, K. & Takeya, M. Tumor-associated macrophages: potential therapeutic targets for anti-cancer therapy. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 99, 180–185 (2016).
- Baer, C. et al. Suppression of microRNA activity amplifies IFN-gamma-induced macrophage activation and promotes anti-tumour immunity. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 18, 790–802 (2016).
- Georgoudaki, A. M. et al. Reprogramming tumor-associated macrophages by antibody targeting inhibits cancer progression and metastasis. *Cell Rep.* 15, 2000–2011 (2016).
- 217. Takenaka, M. C. et al. Control of tumor-associated macrophages and T cells in glioblastoma via AHR and CD39. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 729–740 (2019).

- Lettieri-Barbato, D. & Aquilano, K. Pushing the limits of cancer therapy: the nutrient game. Front. Oncol. 8, 148 (2018).
- O'Flanagan, C. H., Smith, L. A., McDonell, S. B. & Hursting, S. D. When less may be more: calorie restriction and response to cancer therapy. *BMC Med.* 15, 106 (2017).
- Di Biase, S. et al. Fasting-mimicking diet reduces HO-1 to promote T cellmediated tumor cytotoxicity. *Cancer Cell* 30, 136–146 (2016).
- Palmieri, E. M. et al. Pharmacologic or genetic targeting of glutamine synthetase skews macrophages toward an M1-like phenotype and inhibits tumor metastasis. *Cell Rep.* 20, 1654–1666 (2017).
- 222. Guerriero, J. L. et al. Class Ila HDAC inhibition reduces breast tumours and metastases through anti-tumour macrophages. *Nature* **543**, 428–432 (2017).
- Hashimoto, D., Miller, J. & Merad, M. Dendritic cell and macrophage heterogeneity in vivo. *Immunity* 35, 323–335 (2011).
- 224. Klein, I. et al. Kupffer cell heterogeneity: functional properties of bone marrow derived and sessile hepatic macrophages. *Blood* **110**, 4077–4085 (2007).
- Elchaninov, A. V., Fatkhudinov, T. K., Vishnyakova, P. A., Lokhonina, A. V. & Sukhikh, G. T. Phenotypical and functional polymorphism of liver resident macrophages. *Cells* 8, 1032 (2019).
- Dal-Secco, D. et al. A dynamic spectrum of monocytes arising from the in situ reprogramming of CCR2+ monocytes at a site of sterile injury. J. Exp. Med. 212, 447–456 (2015).
- Karlmark, K. R. et al. Hepatic recruitment of the inflammatory Gr1+ monocyte subset upon liver injury promotes hepatic fibrosis. *Hepatology* 50, 261–274 (2009).
- Holt, M. P., Cheng, L. & Ju, C. Identification and characterization of infiltrating macrophages in acetaminophen-induced liver injury. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 84, 1410–1421 (2008).
- Varol, C., Yona, S. & Jung, S. Origins and tissue-context-dependent fates of blood monocytes. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 87, 30–38 (2009).
- Sierro, F. et al. A liver capsular network of monocyte-derived macrophages restricts hepatic dissemination of intraperitoneal bacteria by neutrophil recruitment. *Immunity* 47, 374–388 e376 (2017).
- Hussell, T. & Bell, T. J. Alveolar macrophages: plasticity in a tissue-specific context. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 14, 81–93 (2014).
- 232. Taylor, P. R. et al. The beta-glucan receptor, dectin-1, is predominantly expressed on the surface of cells of the monocyte/macrophage and neutrophil lineages. J. Immunol. **169**, 3876–3882 (2002).
- Sabatel, C. et al. Exposure to bacterial CpG DNA protects from airway allergic inflammation by expanding regulatory lung interstitial macrophages. *Immunity* 46, 457–473 (2017).
- Misharin, A. V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Mutlu, G. M., Budinger, G. R. & Perlman, H. Flow cytometric analysis of macrophages and dendritic cell subsets in the mouse lung. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **49**, 503–510 (2013).
- Bedoret, D. et al. Lung interstitial macrophages alter dendritic cell functions to prevent airway allergy in mice. J. Clin. Investig. 119, 3723–3738 (2009).
- Gibbings, S. L. et al. Three unique interstitial macrophages in the murine lung at steady state. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 57, 66–76 (2017).
- Prinz, M., Priller, J., Sisodia, S. S. & Ransohoff, R. M. Heterogeneity of CNS myeloid cells and their roles in neurodegeneration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1227–1235 (2011).
- Nissen, S. K. et al. Alterations in blood monocyte functions in Parkinson's disease. *Mov. Disord.* 34, 1711–1721 (2019).
- Yang, T., Guo, R. & Zhang, F. Brain perivascular macrophages: recent advances and implications in health and diseases. CNS Neurosci. Ther. 25, 1318–1328 (2019).
- Zeisel, A. et al. Brain structure. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347**, 1138–1142 (2015).
- 241. Kim, W. K. et al. CD163 identifies perivascular macrophages in normal and viral encephalitic brains and potential precursors to perivascular macrophages in blood. *Am. J. Pathol.* **168**, 822–834 (2006).
- 242. Borda, J. T. et al. CD163, a marker of perivascular macrophages, is up-regulated by microglia in simian immunodeficiency virus encephalitis after haptoglobinhemoglobin complex stimulation and is suggestive of breakdown of the bloodbrain barrier. Arn. J. Pathol. **172**, 725–737 (2008).
- Fabriek, B. O. et al. CD163-positive perivascular macrophages in the human CNS express molecules for antigen recognition and presentation. *Glia* 51, 297–305 (2005).
- Aspelund, A. et al. A dural lymphatic vascular system that drains brain interstitial fluid and macromolecules. J. Exp. Med. 212, 991–999 (2015).
- Galea, I. et al. Mannose receptor expression specifically reveals perivascular macrophages in normal, injured, and diseased mouse brain. *Glia* 49, 375–384 (2005).
- Wang, G. et al. Microglia/macrophage polarization dynamics in white matter after traumatic brain injury. J. Cereb. Blood Flow. Metab. 33, 1864–1874 (2013).
- 247. Hu, X. et al. Microglia/macrophage polarization dynamics reveal novel mechanism of injury expansion after focal cerebral ischemia. *Stroke* 43, 3063–3070 (2012).

- 248. Goldmann, T. et al. Origin, fate and dynamics of macrophages at central nervous system interfaces. *Nat. Immunol.* **17**, 797–805 (2016).
- Song, E. & Iwasaki, A. Monocytes inadequately fill in for meningeal macrophages. *Trends Immunol.* 40, 463–465 (2019).
- Quinn, J. M. et al. Calcitonin receptor antibodies in the identification of osteoclasts. *Bone* 25, 1–8 (1999).
- 251. Yoshida, H. et al. The murine mutation osteopetrosis is in the coding region of the macrophage colony stimulating factor gene. *Nature* **345**, 442–444 (1990).
- Kong, Y. Y. et al. OPGL is a key regulator of osteoclastogenesis, lymphocyte development and lymph-node organogenesis. *Nature* 397, 315–323 (1999).
- Sadahira, Y. & Mori, M. Role of the macrophage in erythropoiesis. *Pathol. Int.* 49, 841–848 (1999).
- Miyake, Y. et al. Critical role of macrophages in the marginal zone in the suppression of immune responses to apoptotic cell-associated antigens. J. Clin. Investig. 117, 2268–2278 (2007).
- 255. Taylor, P. R. et al. Dectin-2 is predominantly myeloid restricted and exhibits unique activation-dependent expression on maturing inflammatory monocytes elicited in vivo. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **35**, 2163–2174 (2005).
- You, Y. et al. Marginal zone B cells regulate antigen capture by marginal zone macrophages. J. Immunol. 186, 2172–2181 (2011).
- 257. den Haan, J. M. & Kraal, G. Innate immune functions of macrophage subpopulations in the spleen. J. Innate Immun. **4**, 437–445 (2012).
- Hanayama, R. et al. Autoimmune disease and impaired uptake of apoptotic cells in MFG-E8-deficient mice. *Science* **304**, 1147–1150 (2004).
- N, A. G. & Castrillo, A. Origin and specialization of splenic macrophages. *Cell Immunol.* 330, 151–158 (2018).
- Kohyama, M. et al. Role for Spi-C in the development of red pulp macrophages and splenic iron homeostasis. *Nature* 457, 318–321 (2009).
- Lloyd, C. M., Phillips, A. R., Cooper, G. J. & Dunbar, P. R. Three-colour fluorescence immunohistochemistry reveals the diversity of cells staining for macrophage markers in murine spleen and liver. J. Immunol. Methods 334, 70–81 (2008).
- Mondor, I. et al. Lymphatic endothelial cells are essential components of the subcapsular sinus macrophage niche. *Immunity* 50, 1453–1466 e1454 (2019).
- 263. Kuka, M. & lannacone, M. The role of lymph node sinus macrophages in host defense. *Ann. N. Y Acad. Sci.* **1319**, 38–46 (2014).
- 264. Gray, E. E. & Cyster, J. G. Lymph node macrophages. J. Innate Immun. 4, 424–436 (2012).
- Jenkins, S. J. et al. Local macrophage proliferation, rather than recruitment from the blood, is a signature of TH2 inflammation. *Science* 332, 1284–1288 (2011).
- Bain, C. C. et al. Long-lived self-renewing bone marrow-derived macrophages displace embryo-derived cells to inhabit adult serous cavities. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 1–14 (2016).
- 267. Cailhier, J. F. et al. Resident pleural macrophages are key orchestrators of neutrophil recruitment in pleural inflammation. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **173**, 540–547 (2006).

- 268. Miyanishi, M. et al. Identification of Tim4 as a phosphatidylserine receptor. *Nature* **450**, 435–439 (2007).
- Cassado Ados, A., D'Imperio Lima, M. R. & Bortoluci, K. R. Revisiting mouse peritoneal macrophages: heterogeneity, development, and function. *Front. Immunol.* 6, 225 (2015).
- Ghosn, E. E. et al. Two physically, functionally, and developmentally distinct peritoneal macrophage subsets. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 2568–2573 (2010).
- Fainaru, O. et al. Runx3 regulates mouse TGF-beta-mediated dendritic cell function and its absence results in airway inflammation. *EMBO J.* 23, 969–979 (2004).
- Hacker, C. et al. Transcriptional profiling identifies Id2 function in dendritic cell development. *Nat. Immunol.* 4, 380–386 (2003).
- Dupasquier, M. et al. The dermal microenvironment induces the expression of the alternative activation marker CD301/mMGL in mononuclear phagocytes, independent of IL-4/IL-13 signaling. J. Leukoc. Biol. 80, 838–849 (2006).
- Nguyen, K. D. et al. Alternatively activated macrophages produce catecholamines to sustain adaptive thermogenesis. *Nature* 480, 104–108 (2011).
- 275. Odegaard, J. I. et al. Macrophage-specific PPARgamma controls alternative activation and improves insulin resistance. *Nature* **447**, 1116–1120 (2007).
- Zigmond, E. & Jung, S. Intestinal macrophages: well educated exceptions from the rule. *Trends Immunol.* 34, 162–168 (2013).
- Carlin, L. M. et al. Nr4a1-dependent Ly6C(low) monocytes monitor endothelial cells and orchestrate their disposal. *Cell* **153**, 362–375 (2013).
- Hettinger, J. et al. Origin of monocytes and macrophages in a committed progenitor. *Nat. Immunol.* 14, 821–830 (2013).
- Ruffell, B., Affara, N. I. & Coussens, L. M. Differential macrophage programming in the tumor microenvironment. *Trends Immunol.* 33, 119–126 (2012).
- Doak, G. R., Schwertfeger, K. L. & Wood, D. K. Distant relations: macrophage functions in the metastatic niche. *Trends Cancer* 4, 445–459 (2018).
- Loyher, P. L. et al. Macrophages of distinct origins contribute to tumor development in the lung. J. Exp. Med. 215, 2536–2553 (2018).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021