

CLINICAL RESEARCH ARTICLE Primary immunodeficiency testing in a Massachusetts tertiary care NICU: persistent challenges in the extremely premature population

Lauren C. Frazer^{1,2} and Amy E. O'Connell^{1,2}

BACKGROUND: Prematurity presents a diagnostic challenge in interpreting primary immunodeficiency (PID) testing. **METHODS:** We retrospectively reviewed the charts of all infants in our level IV referral neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) in Massachusetts, with immunologic testing performed from 2006 to 2018.

RESULTS: The overall rate of PID testing was enriched in our population, with 1% of admitted patients having extended immunologic testing. The addition of TREC (T cell receptor excision circle) newborn screening in Massachusetts in 2009 increased the proportion of infants tested for PID in our NICU by 3-fold (1.21% post-newborn screening (NBS) vs. 0.46% pre-NBS). A majority of the term and late preterm (\geq 34 weeks) infants (31 of 41, 76%), as well as very premature (29–33 weeks) infants (12 of 17, 71%), who had immune testing, had a genetic diagnosis associated with secondary immunodeficiency or a PID. Most infants who were born extremely premature (EP, <29 weeks) (25 of 29, 86%) had no identifiable cause of immunodeficiency besides prematurity, despite a mean postmenstrual age of 40.1 weeks at the time of testing.

CONCLUSIONS: Persistent immune derangements were present within a subgroup of the EP population through term postmenstrual age. EP infants with significant infectious history and abnormal immune testing at term-corrected age should be considered for genetic testing.

Pediatric Research (2021) 89:549-553; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-020-0886-6

IMPACT:

- The role of immunologic testing in the premature population is unclear, we therefore reviewed the records of all infants in our NICU who had immunologic testing, to rule out immunodeficiency, done from 2006 to 2018.
- The addition of newborn screening for SCID in 2009 doubled the number of infants who had immune investigations.
- The extremely premature cohort included many infants with persistent immune derangements through term-corrected gestational age, suggesting a persistent effect of prematurity on immune development and potential function.
- We propose that former premature infants with clinical evidence of immunodeficiency and sustained immune abnormalities by term-corrected age undergo genetic testing for immunodeficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Primary immunodeficiency disorders (PIDs, also referred to as inborn errors of immunity) are a heterogeneous group of genetic diseases that cause dysfunction of the immune system.¹ They typically present with an increased frequency or severity of infections, and those that cause the most severe immune dysfunction generally present in infancy. Diagnosing PID, and particularly severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID), as early as possible improves clinical outcomes.^{2–4} The premature population presents a challenge for diagnosing PID given a state of relative immunocompromise when compared with term infants and adults.^{5–11} In addition, premature infants typically have comorbidities and tend to be critically ill, which can further alter the immunologic function and composition. These infants also frequently have indwelling central lines and endotracheal tubes, both of which predispose to infections.

Lastly, given these confounding factors, identifying clinical features that warrant immune investigation in this population is challenging.

Recent studies have shown that premature infants appear to have lasting delays in T cell maturation when compared with term infants,¹² suggesting that prematurity may cause persistent impairments in immunity. It is not known whether the incidence of PID in premature infants is equivalent to that of term-born infants. Also, whether immunodeficiency in the baby could lead to an abnormal uterine–immune interface that actually increases the risk of premature birth in the setting of PID is still unknown. There are many considerations that need further clarity.

While newborn screening (NBS) for SCID is undoubtedly beneficial for term infants, it is difficult to interpret in premature infants, and frequently leads to false-positive or equivocal results in this population.¹³ In this study, we examined the

¹Division of Newborn Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA and ²Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA Correspondence: Amy E. O'Connell (amy.oconnell@childrens.harvard.edu)

Received: 10 December 2019 Revised: 1 March 2020 Accepted: 24 March 2020 Published online: 8 April 2020

Primary immunodeficiency testing in a Massachusetts tertiary care NICU... LC Frazer and AE O'Connell

550



Fig. 1 Study population. **a** Study inclusion and evaluation numbers. **b** Total NICU census over study period (admission data for 2006 and 2007 not available). **c** Number of patients with immune testing per year, broken down by indication for testing. PID primary immunodeficiency, TRECs T cell receptor excision circles, SCID severe combined immunodeficiency.

Table 1. Overview of PID testing by gestational age group.										
Gestational age group	Mean GA at birth (weeks)	Mean PMA at testing (weeks) Testing indication		n	Total					
			Clinical history	Low TRECs						
Extremely premature (<29 weeks)	25.8	40.1	15	14	29					
Very premature (29–<34 weeks)	30.8	38.8	11	6	17					
Late premature or term (34 weeks or more)	37.4	42.5	17	24	41					

results of immunologic testing in premature infants with abnormal newborn screens as well as those who were tested based on clinical concern in an effort to determine the best way to identify preterm infants likely to have clinically significant immunocompromise. We retrospectively reviewed all of the medical charts of any patient admitted to our level IV NICU who had undergone any type of immunologic testing (beyond a complete blood count (CBC)) during their admission from 2006 to 2018. It should be noted that NBS for SCID using T cell receptor excision circles (TRECs) was implemented in 2009 in Massachusetts. While TREC screening is designed to be sensitive for SCID, it is not specific and also can detect other forms of T cell lymphopenia (TCL); however, it does not detect all forms of immunodeficiency.

METHODS

This research protocol was approved by the IRB at Boston Children's Hospital, protocol IRB-P00029621. The research was carried out under the ethical principles of the Belmont Report and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patient selection

We queried the medical record to identify all patients who had any of the following tests performed during the time of admission to the NICU: immunoglobulins (IgG, IgA, IgM, or IgE), T/B/natural killer (NK) cell panels, T cell memory panels, B cell memory panels, T cell mitogen testing, T cell antigen testing, or neutrophil oxidative burst assays. We assumed anyone who then underwent any more specific immune testing (genetic testing, etc.) would be captured by querying these categories.

Data collection

For each patient, we collected the demographic and clinical information, including gestational age, age at immune testing, results of immune testing, diagnoses and underlying conditions, reasons for immune testing, TREC results, recommendations from the immunology consult team if a consult was obtained, and disposition of the patient at the time of discharge.

NICU statistics

NICU census and admission data from 2006 to 2007 was not available. Admission data from 2008 to 2018 was obtained from our historical database. Since admissions were relatively consistent over time (average 651.9, std dev 51.6) and the size of the unit was stable, we estimated the 2007 admission number to be 652, in order to calculate the percentage of infants referred for testing in that year.

RESULTS

A total of 119 neonates met the criteria for inclusion in the study (Fig. 1a, Table 1). Of those, 32 infants had only testing for IgG without any other studies, typically done for consideration of immunoglobulin replacement in the setting of chylous effusions or in patients with congenital heart disease. Because there is a weak evidence basis for this practice, ¹⁴ and because no additional immune studies were done to give further insight, we did not consider these patients further in our assessment of testing for PID. Also, any infant with a true clinical concern for an immunologic problem would have had additional testing done, such as flow cytometry. Apart from infants with an IgG only, there were 87 infants who had immunologic testing due to concern for

PID. During the years of the study, admissions to the NICU and average census remained relatively stable (Fig. 1b). Forty-three patients (49%) of those tested for concern for PID were tested based on a clinical history of concern for infection, the remainder (n = 44, 51%) were tested primarily due to low TRECs on NBS (Fig. 1c). The proportion of PID testing done for TREC screening rather than clinical indications increased over time. Nine of the patients tested for PID based on clinical scenario were born prior to the initiation of NBS for SCID in Massachusetts (2009). TREC screening did increase the rate of additional immunologic testing in the NICU by roughly 2-fold. The pre-TREC screening proportion of immune testing was 0.53% (9 patients out of an estimated 1965 admissions), and the post-TREC screening rate was 1.02% (78 patients out of confirmed 7171 admissions).

Prematurity is a known condition of relative immunodeficiency and is associated with false-positive NBS for SCID.^{13,15} We therefore sub-analyzed the patients evaluated for PID by gestational age at birth. Of the cohort of patients evaluated for possible PID, 29 were extremely premature (EP, <29 weeks GA at birth). Of these, 15 were referred for immune testing due to clinical scenarios and the remaining 14 were due to low TRECs on NBS (Table 1, Supplementary Table S1). Of the 15 EP patients who were tested due to low TRECs, many had a significant infectious history; however, most of these patients had reassuring flow cytometry not suggestive of PID (Supplementary Table S2). Patient P-5 had idiopathic TCL that persisted through 3 years of age. Another patient, P-18, had hepatic and cerebral abscesses, and similarly had low recent thymic emigrants, low CD4 T cells, and a poor response to anti-CD3 mitogen stimulation. This anti-CD3 response was judged to be due to lab artifact, and PID risk was considered low. Repeat testing was recommended at 4 months of age; however, the patient ultimately died from liver failure in the setting of hepatic abscess and multiple comorbidities.

There were 15 EP patients who had immunologic evaluation done due to clinical concerns. Compared to the patients tested due to low TRECs, these patients were born at a slightly later gestational age, 26 2/7 weeks compared to 25 1/7 weeks for the infants screened based on TRECs. They were also older at the time of testing (43 2/7 weeks PMA vs. 37 1/7 weeks for patients with low TRECs). Of these infants, patient P-4 had a known diagnosis of DiGeorge syndrome. Patients P-11 and P-23 only had CBCs and immunoglobulin levels checked in the setting of known losses of proteinaceous fluids: post-operative chylothoraces and peritoneal dialysis for patient P-11 and congenital nephrotic syndrome for P-23. Patient P-14 had methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* 551

(MRSA) sepsis and a MRSA infection of the anterior chamber of the right eye in the setting of severe B cell and NK cell deficiency and other cytopenias. This patient had TRECS of <252 on the first four newborn screens, but then these levels subsequently normalized. This patient was ultimately diagnosed with bone marrow failure and did well after stem cell transplantation. Patient P-26 had an initial normal TREC followed by recurrent low TRECs and abnormal flow with TCL and low switched B cells, as well as absent proliferation to CD3. While PID was initially considered, it was recommended to repeat testing once the patient was less lymphopenic. Repeat testing with a higher absolute lymphocyte count showed the same defects; however, the patient died only a few days later from fulminant sepsis. Exome sequencing was sent after the patient died and sequencing showed heterozygous deleterious mutations in a gene related to immune synapse formation. Confirmatory testing for causality is still underway. Thus, we had a likely explanation for immunocompromise in 4 of 15 (27%) of EP infants tested due to clinical concern and none of the patients tested for low TRECs alone.

Seventeen infants referred for PID testing were very premature (VP, 29–33 weeks). Six of the VP infants had low TREC screens, and all of those six patients were already known to have conditions that were associated with secondary immunodeficiency at the time of extended testing, including hydrops, CHARGE association, Noonan-like syndrome, and Niemann Pick Type C (Table 2).

Forty-one late preterm or term infants (LP/T, ≥34 weeks) underwent PID testing. Seventeen of those were referred solely for clinical indications and the remaining 24 were solely or initially referred for abnormal NBS. A majority of the LP/T infants who had immune testing had other co-diagnoses that have been known to cause low TRECs, such as trisomy 21 (T21), other trisomies, chylous loses/hydrops, history of ECMO (extracorporeal membrane oxygenation), or history of DiGeorge syndrome in the patient or parent. One patient was found to have combined immunodeficiency due to homozygous in trans mutations in RAC2 and another patient was diagnosed with combined immunodeficiency later likely due to homozygous biallelic BCL11B mutations. Another term patient whose mother had a clinical diagnosis of incontinentia pigmenti presented with and died from disseminated MSSA infection. The patient also had low TRECs with TCL and severely diminished naive T cells. This patient was ultimately found to have a hemizygous IKBKG mutation causing NEMO (nuclear factor-KB essential modulator) deficiency.

The majority of the VP (71%) and LP/T (76%) infants had a diagnosis that is known to cause secondary lymphopenia or a

Table 2. Diagnoses by gestational group.									
	Extremely premature		Very premature		Late premature/term				
Patients	29	Diagnoses	17	Diagnoses	41	Diagnoses			
Confirmed genetic disorder	0		5	T21 (2), CHARGE (2), Neimann Pick type C	9	T21 (4), CHARGE, T18, T13, Goldenhar syndrome, 48XXY + 22qdup			
Likely genetic disorder	0		2	Multiple anomalies, Noonan-like syndrome	2	Multiple anomalies (MCA) with septo-optic dysplasia, MCA with renal anomalies			
Hydrops	0		3		4	Congenital heart block (2), congenital hydrops NOS (2)			
Immunodeficiency	2	DiGeorge, congenital bone marrow failure	2	DiGeorge, T cell lymphopenia not specified	9	Jacobsen's syndrome (3), Bcl11b mutation, DiGeorge (2), NEMO deficiency, benign neutropenia, Rac2 mutation			
Lymphatic losses	2	D-TGA/chylothorax and peritoneal dialysis, congenital nephropathy	0		7	Nephrotic syndrome, chylothorax after heart surgery (2, ToF/PS, TA), s/p ECMO (2), chylous effusions NOS (2)			
Congenital viral infection					2	Disseminated HSV, congenital CMV			
Inflammatory disorder					5	HLH (4), Degos disease			
Unknown	25		5		10				
Patients with diagnosis (%)		4 (14 %)		12 (71%)		31 (76%)			

552

diagnosis that causes primary immune dysfunction or immunodeficiency (Table 1). In contrast, only 4 of the 29 (14%) EP infants had any other identifiable cause of immunodeficiency aside from prematurity, and all of these patients had immunologic testing done due to clinical concern. While prematurity is known to be a cause of secondary immunodeficiency and TCL, this is characterized as happening while the infants are still premature. However, the mean postmenstrual age for testing in this cohort was at termcorrected gestational age, or 40 weeks. Despite this, most of the infants who had T cell profiles done had CD4⁺ TCL even after 37 weeks, when they would be considered term equivalent (Fig. 2). Only two of the EP patients who had T cell profiles done had underlying diagnoses that might affect immune profiles, patients P-4 with DiGeorge syndrome and P-14 (bone marrow failure), the rest had no identifiable risks other than prematurity.

Corticosteroids are often administered to premature infants in the NICU for treatment of lung disease and ionotropic effects, but they can also cause immunosuppression. We found that 54% (15 of 28) of EP infants received corticosteroids within 48 h or less of immunologic testing (data were not available for one patient). We compared CD3⁺CD4⁺ T cell counts between EP patients who did and did not receive recent corticosteroid treatment and found no difference between the groups (P = 0.93, Mann–Whitney U test, Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S2). None of the EP patients were on immunomodulators other than corticosteroids at the time of testing.

DISCUSSION

In our cohort of 87 babies receiving immune testing over a 12-year period, none were definitively diagnosed with SCID. This is not surprising, given the low incidence of SCID in the population and previous studies showing that a majority of patients with SCID



Fig. 2 Premature infants without other diagnoses had T cell lymphopenia after term-corrected age. CD4⁺ T cell counts for premature infants who had immune testing. The shaded area represents the normal range for age and the dashed vertical line is term age.



Fig. 3 Steroid-exposed infants did not have lower T cell counts than non-exposed infants. CD4⁺ T cell counts (cells/mm³) for the premature infant groups were subdivided into those who were not exposed to steroid therapy within 48 h of immune testing (No) and those who were (Yes). Data are the mean for the group with the standard deviation depicted by the error bars.

were healthy term infants who were not in the NICU.¹⁶ We did identify several patients with known PID, however, including a patient with Rac2 deficiency, which has previously been reported to be detected by neonatal TREC screening.^{16,17} Another patient was identified to have NEMO deficiency, one patient had complete DiGeorge syndrome, and a fourth patient was eventually determined to have *BCL11B* mutations causing immunodeficiency.

In the first 7 years of TREC-based NBS in California, which used an initial TREC cut-off of 40 copies/µL, they screened 3.25 million infants, and of those, 33 premature infants were found to have TCL (<1500 CD3⁺ T cells/m³).¹⁶ In Massachusetts the cut off for low TRECs is higher, at 252 copies/µL. In our single NICU over a period of 10 years, we evaluated 20 VP or EP infants with flow cytometry after low TRECs were detected on the NBS. Assuming similar detection rates of TCL to California (33 out of 3.25 million or 1:98,000), we should have identified approximately seven preterm infants with TCL of an estimated 725,000 babies born in Massachusetts during this time period. In our center alone, we identified five premature babies who were referred for additional testing due to low TRECs and found to have TCL who had no other known contributory conditions. Because our NICU sees a very low proportion of the extremely low birth weight (ELBW) and very low birth weight (VLBW) infants born in the state of Massachusetts, it seems likely that we are capturing more premature infants with TCL in Massachusetts. Because these infants' TCL tends to resolve over time, it is unclear whether the use of a higher TREC cut-off in premature infants is beneficial. Nonetheless, at our center only 29 EP infants had extended immune testing over the 10 years of the study, meaning an average of 2–3 EP infants annually.

Surprisingly, the average time of testing for this population was 40 weeks postmenstrual age, or term-corrected gestational age. We suspect that this is because of the known contribution of prematurity to low TREC levels, and the typical recommendation to simply repeat testing at 2-week intervals when low TRECs are detected in EP infants. Moreover, in some infants who had flow cytometry earlier, the conclusion of the immunology team was that the patient was too small, ill, or lymphopenic to reliably interpret the tests, and the clinical recommendation was to repeat testing when the patient was more stable or had a higher lymphocyte count.

Several EP infants with clinical symptoms of PID in the form of recurrent or unusual infections were ultimately found to have abnormal immune function testing. The March of Dimes and the CDC quote the rate of VP birth at 1.5-2%; however, premature infants have been historically underrepresented in the identification of SCID. That means that some premature infants with SCID and other severe PIDs are likely going undetected and potentially dying before the severity of their immunodeficiency is identified. In the multi-state cohort report of TREC screening, no cases of SCID were noted to have been identified in VP or EP infants.¹³ It is unknown whether SCID might precipitate premature delivery, which would further compound this number. One other study closely scrutinized premature infants with abnormal TRECs, and they also found a high incidence of inconclusive lymphocyte subset testing and a high rate of death in premature infants. They concluded that the deaths were due to prematurity without additional substantiation. Ultimately, data to establish appropriate thresholds for TREC levels in this population would lead to clearer designations on how to interpret neonatal PID screening in premature infants. Recent studies have begun to tackle establishing normal thresholds for T cell numbers in premature infants;12,19 however, whether the standard TREC cut-off is appropriate in premature infants remains yet to be determined.

This study is limited by the small number of patients reviewed, and by applicability of the findings in a tertiary, all outborn NICU population. We are further limited in translatability to other states in terms of the impact of TREC screening because of Massachusetts' distinctly higher TREC screening cut-off of 252 copies/µL. Finally, the retrospective nature of the study limits additional data collection. Based on this study, we propose that EP and VP infants with both persistent low TREC levels, as they approach term postmenstrual age, and significant clinical history of infection undergo additional testing for PID expediently, rather than delaying definitive testing. Based on our experience, tracheitis is common in this population, and should not be a concerning factor. We recommend that clinical concern be triggered for recurrent bloodstream infections (especially when an indwelling central line is not present), unusual organisms, or unusual types of infection such as abscesses. The distinction here is that abnormal immunodeficiency screening in a premature infant with a clinical history of significant infection should proceed to genetic testing for potential PID, rather than having the abnormality ascribed to prematurity alone and not investigated further.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Henry Feldman for his critical review of the manuscript. We would also like to thank the patients and families who contributed to this study. Dr. O'Connell's work was conducted with support from Harvard Catalyst | The Harvard Clinical and Translational Science Center (National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health Award UL 1TR002541) and financial contributions from Harvard University and its affiliated academic healthcare centers. Dr. Frazer's work was supported by the National institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under award number T32HD09061. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of Harvard Catalyst, Harvard University and its affiliated academic healthcare centers, or the National Institutes of Health.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data—L.C.F. and A.E.O. Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content—L.C.F. and A.E.O. Final approval of the version to be published—L.C.F. and A.E.O.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-020-0886-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Patient consent: The study was granted an informed consent waiver by our IRB; consent was not required.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

553

REFERENCES

- Picard, C. et al. International Union of Immunological Societies: 2017 Primary Immunodeficiency Diseases Committee Report on Inborn Errors of Immunity. J. Clin. Immunol. 38, 96–128 (2018).
- Fischer, A., Notarangelo, L. D., Neven, B., Cavazzana, M. & Puck, J. M. Severe combined immunodeficiencies and related disorders. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* 1, 15061 (2015).
- Buelow, B. J., Verbsky, J. W. & Routes, J. M. Newborn screening for SCID: lessons learned. *Expert Rev. Hematol.* 9, 579–584 (2016).
- Heimall, J. & Cowan, M. J. Long term outcomes of severe combined immunodeficiency: therapy implications. *Expert Rev. Clin. Immunol.* 13, 1029–1040 (2017).
- 5. Dowling, D. J. & Levy, O. Ontogeny of early life immunity. *Trends Immunol.* 35, 299–310 (2014).
- Drossou, V. et al. Impact of prematurity, stress and sepsis on the neutrophil respiratory burst activity of neonates. *Biol. Neonate* 72, 201–209 (1997).
- 7. Filias, A. et al. Phagocytic ability of neutrophils and monocytes in neonates. *BMC Pediatr.* **11**, 29 (2011).
- Huenecke, S. et al. Percentiles of lymphocyte subsets in preterm infants according to gestational age compared to children and adolescents. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 84, 291–298 (2016).
- Kollmann, T. R., Levy, O., Montgomery, R. R. & Goriely, S. Innate immune function by Toll-like receptors: distinct responses in newborns and the elderly. *Immunity* 37, 771–783 (2012).
- Levy, O. Impaired innate immunity at birth: deficiency of bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (BPI) in the neutrophils of newborns. *Pediatr. Res.* 51, 667–669 (2002).
- Xu, L. et al. Cord blood CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells fail to inhibit cord blood NK cell functions due to insufficient production and expression of TGF-beta1. *Cell Immunol.* 290, 89–95 (2014).
- 12. Olin, A. et al. Stereotypic immune system development in newborn children. *Cell* **174**, 1277–1292, e1214 (2018).
- 13. Kwan, A. et al. Newborn screening for severe combined immunodeficiency in 11 screening programs in the United States. *JAMA* **312**, 729–738 (2014).
- Orange, J. S., Geha, R. S. & Bonilla, F. A. Acute chylothorax in children: selective retention of memory T cells and natural killer cells. *J. Pediatr.* 143, 243–249 (2003).
- Kwan, A. et al. Newborn screening for severe combined immunodeficiency and Tcell lymphopenia in California: results of the first 2 years. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 132, 140–150 (2013).
- Amatuni, G. S. et al. Newborn screening for severe combined immunodeficiency and T-cell lymphopenia in California, 2010–7. *Pediatrics* 143, 51–63 (2019).
- Accetta, D. et al. Human phagocyte defect caused by a Rac2 mutation detected by means of neonatal screening for T-cell lymphopenia. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 127, 535–538, 531–532 (2011).
- Accetta, D. J., Brokopp, C. D., Baker, M. W., Verbsky, J. & Routes, J. M. Cause of death in neonates with inconclusive or abnormal T-cell receptor excision circle assays on newborn screening. J. Clin. Immunol. **31**, 962–967 (2011).
- Amatuni, G. S. et al. Reference intervals for lymphocyte subsets in preterm and term neonates without immune defects. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 144, 1674–1683 (2019).