

ERRATUM

Lennart Åqvist, (1999): “The Logic of Historical Necessity as Founded on Two-Dimensional Modal Tense Logic”, *J. Philos. Logic* 28 (4), 329–369.

The references were inadvertently omitted from the above mentioned article, published in the *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, Volume 28, No 4. Here follows the complete list.



REFERENCES

- Åqvist, L. (1974): A new approach to the logical theory of actions and causality, in S. Stenlund (ed.), *Logical Theory and Semantic Analysis. Essays Dedicated to Stig Kanger on His Fiftieth Birthday*, Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 73–91.
- Åqvist, L. (1991): Discrete tense logic with beginning and ending time: An infinite hierarchy of complete axiomatic systems, *Logique et Anal.* 34 (1991), 359–401.
- Åqvist, L. (1996): Discrete tense logic with infinitary inference rules and systematic frame constants: A Hilbert-style axiomatization, *J. Philos. Logic* 25 (1996), 45–100.
- Åqvist, L. and Hoepelman, J. (1981): Some theorems about a ‘tree’ system of deontic tense logic, in R. Hilpinen (ed.), *New Studies in Deontic Logic*, Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 187–221.
- Åqvist, L. and Mullock, Ph. (1989): *Causing Harm: A Logico-Legal Study*, de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Di Maio, M. C. and Zanardo, A. (1996): A Gabbay-rule free axiomatization of $T \times W$ validity, Preprint N. 27, Università degli studi di Padova, Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata.
- Gabbay, D. M. (1981): An irreflexivity lemma with applications to axiomatizations of conditions on tense frames, in U. Mönnich (ed.), *Aspects of Philosophical Logic*, Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 67–89.
- Kutschera, F. von (1997): $T \times W$ completeness, *J. Philos. Logic* 26 (1997), 241–250.
- Seeger, K. (1973): Two-dimensional modal logic, *J. Philos. Logic* 2 (1973), 77–96.
- Thomason, R. H. (1984): Combinations of tense and modality, in D. Gabbay and F. Guenther (eds.), *Handbook of Philosophical Logic, Vol.11: Extensions of Classical Logic*, Reidel, Dordrecht, pp. 135–165.
- Zanardo, A. (1985): A finite axiomatization of the set of strongly valid Ockhamist formulas, *J. Philos. Logic* 14 (1985), 447–468.

*Department of Law,
Uppsala University,
75120 Uppsala, Sweden*