Erratum

Landscape patterns and plant species diversity of forest reserves in the Kanto region, Japan

Katsue Fukamachi¹, Shigeo Iida² & Tohru Nakashizuka³¹Kansai Research Center, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Nagaihisatarokanyuuchi, Fushimi, Kyoto 612 Japan;²Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305 Japan;³Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Shimosakamoto, Ohtsu, Shiga, 520-01 Japan

Vegetatio Volume 124, No. 1, pp. 107–114 (May 1996).

When this article was first published several errors were erroneously included in Tables 3 and 4. The tables are printed again in full below.

Table 3. Single correlation among the diversity indices for trees and independent variables. The number in parentheses under the abundance class is the number of species belonging to each class

Diversity indices	Total species richness			Abundance class					
	Nt	Ht	Jt	FRt	F7t	F13t	F25t	F50t	F100t
				(5 6)	(2 7)	(1 7)	(2 8)	(1 9)	(1 7)
<i>Independent variables</i>									
AN	−0.342	−0.468*	0.260	0.275	−0.019	0.089	−0.179	−0.509*	−0.084
REN	−0.371	−0.382	−0.144	0.336	−0.095	0.141	−0.023	−0.491*	−0.063
PN	−0.517*	−0.497*	−0.282	0.388	−0.160	0.018	−0.329	−0.349	−0.258
MER	−0.350	−0.371	−0.275	0.302	−0.154	0.186	0.078	−0.462*	−0.046
HER	0.175	0.153	−0.053	−0.298	0.050	0.422	0.513*	−0.206	0.388
BA	0.016	0.088	0.126	0.313	−0.009	−0.081	0.264	0.191	0.338
TD	0.426	0.357	0.025	−0.234	0.039	0.251	0.698**	0.457**	0.883***
HB	−0.028	−0.054	0.057	−0.127	−0.060	−0.101	−0.182	−0.076	0.005
FB	−0.312	−0.265	0.053	0.263	−0.070	−0.036	−0.478*	0.077	−0.280

Significant levels: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Table 4. Single correlation among the diversity indices for forest floor plants and independent variables. The number in parentheses under the abundance class is the number of species belonging to each class

Diversity indices	Total species richness			Abundance class					
	Nf	Hf	Jf	FRf	F7f	F13f	F25f	F50f	F100f
				(1 8 1)	(7 2)	(4 5)	(5 3)	(3 2)	(1 2)
<i>Independent variables</i>									
AN	0.041	−0.071	−0.220	0.399	0.296	0.038	0.065	−0.264	0.385
REN	−0.078	−0.221	−0.344	0.411	0.260	0.059	−0.042	−0.213	0.400
PN	−0.018	−0.200	−0.346	0.452*	0.338	0.124	0.004	−0.036	0.246
MER	−0.005	−0.132	−0.261	0.403	0.352	0.156	0.150	−0.252	0.429
HER	−0.226	−0.227	−0.223	−0.258	−0.312	−0.197	−1.010	−0.360	0.425
BA	0.200	0.200	0.197	0.258	0.398	0.094	0.254	0.211	0.263
TD	−0.058	0.008	0.092	−0.336	−0.119	−0.270	0.125	0.048	0.327
HB	−0.305	−0.298	−0.221	−0.225	−0.366	−0.381	−0.490*	−0.224	0.014
FB	−0.308	−0.449*	−0.456*	0.078	−0.225	−0.354	−0.472*	−1.274	0.153

Significant levels: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

In the text the following two errors occurred.

In the Results, sub-section *Species richness of trees*, sub-sub-section *Single correlations*, the factors of landscape level are indicated by AN and PN. This should be AN and/or PN.

In the first paragraph of the Discussion a reference is made to Table 4. Here the height of under-growing dwarf bamboo is given as a factor effective in determining the species diversity. This should be the frequency of under-growing bamboo.