Sub-Miniature ExB Sector-Field Mass Spectrometer

Jorge A. Diaz*

Universidad de Costa Rica, San Jose, Costa Rica

Clayton F. Giese and W. Ronald Gentry

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

A novel sub-miniature double-focusing sector-field mass spectrometer has been fabricated at the University of Minnesota using a combination of conventional machining methods and thin film patterning techniques typically used in the sensor technology industry. Its design is based on the mass separation capabilities of a 90° cylindrical crossed electric and magnetic sector-field analyzer with a 2-cm radius, which under proper conditions is able to effectively cancel the angular and chromatic dispersion of the ion beam, thus improving the resolving power of the instrument. Simulations using finite element analysis and computer modeling were employed to verify and optimize the performance of the proposed instrument before and during its fabrication. The prototype was able to attain a resolving power of 106 full-width at half-maximum (FWHM), a detection limit close to 10 parts per million, a dynamic range of 5 orders of magnitude and a mass range up to 103 Da. Its overall size, including the magnet assembly, is 3.5 cm wide, 6 cm long and 7.5 cm tall, it weighs 0.8 kg, and its power consumption was measured to be 2.5 W. The performance of the instrument was found to be comparable to that of commercial residual gas analyzers, at a fraction of the cost. All these characteristics make this miniature mass spectrometer suitable for portable and low-cost analytical instrumentation. (J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2001, 12, 619–632) © 2001 American Society for Mass Spectrometry

Among all the possible analytical instruments, few are more powerful and have a wider range of applications than mass spectrometers. Despite all their capabilities, traditional mass spectrometers do have several limitations. The major disadvantage of mass spectrometry as an analytical technique is the complexity of the instrumentation required and its associated cost. Although some special-purpose mass spectrometers are available with prices in the \$5,000 range, as in the case of Residual Gas Analyzers (RGA), the typical cost of a general-purpose analytical mass spectrometer is \$25,000 to \$150,000 in the case of very high resolution instruments. The components, such as sample inlet, ion source, mass analyzer, detector housing, and vacuum envelope are typically manufactured

by conventional machining techniques, assembled and aligned manually, and finally each one is individually tuned and calibrated to meet the manufacturer's product specification. Due to the number of independent pieces and the elaborate geometry of a typical instrument, the manufacturing process is not compatible with mass production techniques. Also, commercially available instruments require high vacuum systems to operate, and usually have high power requirements. Such requirements hinder the use of this powerful technique in applications that require a reliable, low-cost, small and portable analytical sensor, such as environmental sampling in situ.

We began this research project with the belief that the complexity, and hence the cost of analytical mass spectrometry, can be drastically reduced, to permit this powerful technique to be used in applications where it has previously not been practical or cost-effective. By the appropriate choice of design, together with the use of ion optics simulations, we thought that the weight and size might be reduced from one to several orders of magnitude in comparison with existing mass spectrometers. This, in conjunction with the use of new materials and modern mass production manufacturing techniques, could lead to a new generation of simpler,

Address reprint requests to Jorge A. Diaz, Universidad de Costa Rica, Escuela de Fisica, Casillero #8, San Pedro de Montes de Oca, Costa Rica. E-mail: jdiaz@micit.go.cr

* Also at University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

Disclosure: A U.S. patent application has been filed which covers the intellectual property generated in the course of this research project. As inventors, JAD, CFG and WRG are all participants in the University of Minnesota's royalty-sharing policy. In addition, WRG is a consultant to and shareholder of Mass Sensors, Inc, a private company to which this technology has been licensed by the University of Minnesota.

Figure 1. Compact double focusing mass spectrometer (CDFMS) core.

smaller, more reliable, and much less costly mass spectrometers for general analytical applications. Following this course of action we have developed a novel miniature mass spectrometer (Figure 1) that we call the Compact Double-Focusing Mass Spectrometer (CDFMS). The resulting design is small, lightweight, and has low power consumption. It can also be made compatible with mass production manufacturing techniques, making this new instrument suitable for a wide variety of applications where continuous and real time on-site monitoring of mass spectral data is required.

Instrument Design

The design of the CDFMS is based on the mass separation and focusing capabilities of sector-field analyzers—more specifically a 90° double-focusing mass analyzer using cylindrical crossed electric and magnetic fields [1].

Background

In mass spectrometry, double focusing is achieved when ions emerging from an ion source with spreads in both energy and direction are efficiently focused to points in space which depend only on the ion mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) in first order. Under this condition, the resolving power of the mass spectrometer can be much greater than in single-focusing instruments that provide direction-focusing only.

For sector-field instruments, direction focusing can be achieved using magnetic fields alone [2]. Magnetic field mass analyzers are capable of separating the ions by their m/z ratio and bringing them to focus at the detector slit. On the other hand, energy focusing requires the use of electric fields [3]. Traditionally, electric and magnetic sectors are placed in tandem to create a double-focusing mass spectrometer [4–6].

Another way to obtain double focusing of the ion beam is by superimposing electric and magnetic fields with vector directions perpendicular to each other. This

kind of geometrical arrangement is called Crossed Electric and Magnetic Fields or ExB Superimposed Fields and has been used in the design and construction of Wien filters, cycloidal mass spectrometers [7] and ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometers [8]. These three types of crossed field analyzers have been employed in various small mass spectrometers, for example: a 2-cm 180° magnetic analyzer with Wien filter [9], a miniature (2.7 cm from slit to detector) cycloidal focusing mass spectrometer [10], a compact (1 cm radius) helium detector [11], and a back-pack portable ion cyclotron resonance instrument [12].

Very few mass spectrometers have been designed with cylindrical ExB superimposed fields having double focusing capabilities. In this design, the radial electric field of cylindrical symmetry is superimposed at right angles to the homogeneous magnetic field, and double focusing is achieved only with a special ratio of E/B . Bartky and Dempster [13] were the first to propose such an arrangement for the specific case of a homogeneous magnetic field placed perpendicular to a cylindrical condenser, with ions travelling through the crossed fields and focusing at a deflection angle of $\pi/\sqrt{2}$ rad (127.3°). Based on this design, Bondy and Popper [14] built a 127.3° superimposed ExB mass spectrometer having the detector and the ion source located at the field boundaries. They were able to achieve a resolving power R of 380 at 23 Da (Na^+). They later used the apparatus to measure the relative isotopic abundances of potassium (^{39}K , ^{41}K) and rubidium (^{85}Rb , ^{87}Rb) [15]. The analyzer was relatively small ($r = 4$ cm), but the instrument operated at a constant accelerating voltage (100 V) with sweeping of the magnetic field and thus required a very large electromagnet.

The general properties of crossed electric and magnetic fields having cylindrical symmetry, for both homogeneous and inhomogeneous magnetic field cases, were first summarized by Fisher [16]. He established the universal formulas for dispersion, resolution, resolving power, and beam spread for such analyzers and provided mathematical descriptions of some basic experimental configurations. Parallel to his mathematical treatment, Fisher built another 127.3° cylindrical ExB analyzer [17] similar to Bondy and Popper's spectrometer but with a radius of 14 cm and higher accelerating voltage ($V = 1000$ V). He used the apparatus to study the focal properties of this kind of analyzer under different field conditions and was able to measure the neon isotopes (^{20}Ne , ^{22}Ne) with a resolving power close to 240.

Matsuda [18] further developed the mathematical treatment of the crossed electric and magnetic field analyzer, including special electric field shapes to achieve directional focusing in both the plane of deflection and perpendicular to this plane. He also introduced the 90° cylindrical ExB analyzer with image and object focal points outside of the field boundaries. He fabricated a large mass spectrometer using this geometry

Figure 2. Sector field ion focusing. (a) General analyzer, (b) Cylindrical 90° superimpose ExB analyzer.

[19]. He and his collaborators used the crossed analyzer in tandem with a cylindrical energy analyzer [20], running the ion beam at a constant accelerating voltage and compensating for the change of the focal point by modifying the electric field shape with a pair of plates (Matsuda plates) placed above and below the cylindrical electrodes [21, 22]. Double focusing was not obtained by the crossed-field mass analyzer itself, but with the second energy analyzer. With this configuration, they were able to achieve mass spectra with high resolution ($R \approx 1000$). Although Matsuda suggested the use of the 90° crossed-field geometry to realize a double focusing mass spectrometer, the device was mainly employed for MS/MS applications [23] in which the accelerating voltage was kept constant, and chromatic focusing was obtained by separate cylindrical energy analyzers. Naito [24] also proposed an ExB analyzer running at constant voltage V , but with either a quadrupole lens or another ExB field arranged in tandem with the first superimposed sector to compensate for the change in focal length.

Because of the compact geometry and the double focusing capabilities that the 90° cylindrical ExB sector-field analyzer offers, we used this principle in developing a miniature mass spectrometer targeted for gas monitoring applications. To our knowledge, this is the first time this particular configuration has been used in a miniature mass spectrometer [25].

Ion Focusing Principles

In a typical mass spectrometer, the ion source focuses the beam into the entrance slit, and the resulting ion beam enters the analyzer region with both direction and energy spreads. The mathematical treatment of the ion trajectories associated with sector fields was summarized by Mattauch and Herzog [26, 27]. The sector could be a radial electric field, a homogeneous magnetic field,

or a combination of both in the same space. Figure 2 defines the parameters for ions travelling through such a sector-field analyzer. For the general case (Figure 2a), ions leave the object point O with velocity v and half angular spread α , at a distance l_o from the field boundary. The ions enter normal to the field boundary and are deflected through an angle ϕ , in a circular path of radius r_o . The ions exit the field normal to the boundary and are focused at position I after travelling a distance l_i . The system satisfies the following ion optics equations:

$$(l_o - g)(l_i - g) = f^2 \quad (1)$$

$$f = r_o / [k_r \sin(k_r \phi)] \quad (2)$$

$$g = f \cos(k_r \phi) = r_o / [k_r \tan(k_r \phi)] \quad (3)$$

where

- l_o : object distance (source slit to field boundary);
- l_i : image distance (detector slit to field boundary);
- f : system's focal length (characteristic of the specific field geometry);
- g : distance between field boundary and principal focus;
- k_r : constant (depends on the particular field being used).

If the source and the detector are placed symmetrically with respect to the field boundaries ($l_o = l_i$), from eq 1, 2 and 3, directional focusing of the ion beam will occur at:

$$l_{o,i} = f + g = \left(\frac{r_o}{k_r} \right) [\csc(k_r \phi) + \cot(k_r \phi)] \quad (4)$$

For the superimposed ExB analyzer, the electric field E is oriented parallel to the radial vector $\hat{r}$ and the magnetic field B is placed perpendicular to the electric field (Figure 2b). The signs of the electric and magnetic fields are chosen such that the forces from them are anti-parallel. From Matsuda [18], if the electric and magnetic fields can be written in the form:

$$E_r = U_0 r^l \text{ and } B_z = B_0 \left(\frac{r_o}{r} \right) \quad (5)$$

Then, k_r can be expressed as:

$$k_r^2 = \frac{r^2}{r_m^2} + \left[\left(1 - \frac{r}{r_m} \right) \cdot (l + 3) + n \cdot \left(\frac{r}{r_m} \right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where r is the radius of the trajectory followed by an ion traversing the superimposed fields, and r_m is the radius of the same ion travelling through the same magnetic field but without the electric field. In our case $r/r_m = 2$. For a homogeneous magnetic field $n = 0$, and if the electric field is cylindrical, $l = -1$, and thus $k_r^2 = 2$. Substituting these values into eq 4 with $\phi = 90^\circ$, directional focusing occurs at:

$$l_o = l_i \approx 0.35 r \quad (7)$$

If a radial positive force is defined as inward and a negative force is outward along the radius of curvature, then the equation of motion of an ion of mass m and charge $q = -ze$ is given by:

$$F = qBv - qE = \frac{mv^2}{r} \quad (8)$$

Solving for r we have:

$$r = \frac{mv^2}{qBv - zE} \quad (9)$$

In order to achieve energy focusing, the dispersion of r with respect to v inside the analyzer must be zero [28]. If E and B are kept constant for a particular m/z , differentiating eq 9 with respect to v , will give:

$$\frac{dr}{dv} = \left(\frac{Bv - 2E}{Bv - E} \right) \frac{r}{v} \quad (10)$$

For energy focusing, we have the condition $dr/dv = 0$ and thus $Bv = 2E$. Thus the magnetic force is twice the electric force or:

$$\left(\frac{F_m}{F_e} \right) = -2 \quad (11)$$

In summary, double-focusing of the ion beam with the crossed-field analyzer will occur when the magnetic force is twice the electric force, and the focal points of the ion source and the detector slits are placed symmet-

rically at a distance of 0.35 times the design radius from the sector-field boundaries.

From Fischer [16], the theoretical resolving power (R_{Theory}) full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) for a superimposed ExB cylindrical analyzer with homogeneous magnetic field ($n = 0$) is given by:

$$R_{Theory} = \frac{m}{\Delta m} = \frac{2 \cdot r_o(1 - l)}{\left((S_o + S_i) \cdot (1 + l^2) + r_o(1 + l) \frac{dV}{V} \right)} \quad (12)$$

In our case we have $l = -1$, then:

$$R_{Theory} = \frac{m}{\Delta m} = \frac{2 \cdot r_o}{(S_o + S_i)} \quad (13)$$

where S_o and S_i are the widths of the entrance (object) and exit (image) slits of the analyzer.

Geometry and Parameter Calculation

To meet our small size and portability goals, a radius (r_o) of 2 cm was chosen for the first CDFMS analyzer. From eq 7, the positions of the object and image focal points are both 7 mm from the field entrance and exit boundaries.

If r_o is the fixed radius at the center of the cylindrical ExB analyzer and B is kept constant, the selected mass is given by:

$$m = \frac{c_o}{V} \text{ with } c_o = \frac{qB^2 r_o^2}{8} : \text{constant} \quad (14)$$

The mass spectrum is produced by sweeping the voltage over the range of the selected m/z . To avoid the cumbersome use of electromagnets as in the case of previous cylindrical crossed field spectrometers, the magnetic field in our instrument is provided by permanent magnets. We designed the gap to be just 4 mm. If $B = 1 \text{ Tesla}$ and $r_o = 2 \text{ cm}$, then the selected mass of singly charged ions for the CDFMS will be:

$$m(Da) = \frac{4824}{V(\text{volts})} \text{ (Crossed DF Theory)} \quad (15)$$

The electric field is generated by two cylindrical plates 2.5 mm high, with radii $r_+ = 0.95r_o = 19 \text{ mm}$ and $r_- = 1.05r_o = 21 \text{ mm}$. This creates a 2-mm wide cylindrical corridor where the mass separation takes place. As explained in the last section, to achieve double focusing, the direction of the electric field vector needs to be parallel to $\hat{r}$. Thus, the inner electrode is set to a voltage V_+ higher than the outer electrode voltage V_- . The cylindrical electric field across the analyzer gap, assuming no fringing field effects, is given by:

Figure 3. Entrance and exit electric fringing field effects and correction. (a) Upper ion simulation, (b) lower ion simulation.

$$E = \frac{k_o}{r}; \quad k_o = \text{constant} \quad (16)$$

Under double focusing conditions, from eq 9, $r = 2V/E$. Therefore $k_o = 2V$. If the analyzer voltages are set such that the value of the equipotential at r_o is zero, then the voltage V_a inside the analyzer gap is:

$$V_a(r) = 2V \ln\left(\frac{r_o}{r}\right) \quad (17)$$

and the voltages of the cylindrical electrodes are both functions of the acceleration voltage V :

$$V_+ = V_a(r_+) = 0.1026 V \quad (18)$$

$$V_- = V_a(r_-) = -0.0976 V \quad (19)$$

Ion Trajectory Simulations

Using the expressions above and the proposed analyzer geometry, a computer model was created to simulate the trajectories of ions travelling through the 90° cylindrical ExB sector and to verify and optimize the mass separating capabilities of the small analyzer. The finite element modeling and analysis was done using SIMION 6.0, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID [29].

A two-dimensional (2D) model of the proposed analyzer was first created and the trajectory of m/z 28 ions (N_2^+ parent peak) through the analyzer was simu-

lated (Figure 3). From eq 15, 18, and 19, the required kinetic energy to select these ions is 172.3 eV, with the cylindrical condenser plate voltages set to $V_- = -16.82$ volts and $V_+ = 17.68$ volts. We assumed a typical angular spread of 6° and at first no energy spread for the ions entering the analyzer.

As depicted in Figure 3a, the analyzer was not able to bring the ion beam to a stigmatic focus. This is due to the fringing field effects, which are very critical for the dimensional scales involved in small mass spectrometers, and may ultimately define the performance of the instrument. The failure to address and to correct for such effects has been a very important limiting factor for most of the miniature mass spectrometers built in the past. For large mass spectrometers, the corrections for fringing field effects have been commonly done in two ways. The first is to use a set of higher-order focusing equations and to characterize the properties of the double-focusing analyzers for different field conditions, shaping the trajectory of the ion beam and the fields to achieve very high resolution spectra [30]. The shortcoming of this method is the complexity of the numerical calculations and their experimental verification. The second way to correct the fringing field effects, and the one more traditionally used in the design and construction of mass spectrometers, is to add compensation lenses which are adjusted empirically to achieve the best resolution. This method is generally satisfactory for large mass spectrometers in which there is ample room along the ion path to install the correction lenses.

With the introduction of computer ion trajectory

simulation packages, where the electric and magnetic field geometries can be designed and tested before construction, the identification and correction of fringing field effects can be easily done without the necessity of finding analytical solutions to a large set of ion beam optical equations. Final empirical adjustment of the beam and fields may still be needed, but the iteration time is small.

To limit the electric field distortion in the xy plane at the entrance and exit of the analyzer, a grounded L-shaped electrode is placed surrounding the analyzer at a distance of 1 mm from the boundaries of the electric and magnetic sectors (Figure 3b).

The change in the field boundary conditions makes the relationship between the accelerating voltage and selected mass (eq 15) slightly different from that of the ideal field geometry. The updated ion trajectory model was used to determine the optimum kinetic energy to select $m/z = 28$ Da ions and to detect them at the image position. The corrected set of voltages for $m/z = 28$ Da were: $V = 169.3$ volts, $V^- = -16.52$ volts, $V^+ = 17.37$ volts, therefore:

$$m(\text{Da}) = \frac{4740}{V(\text{volts})} \quad (\text{Ion Simulation}) \quad (20)$$

The next step was to confirm the double focusing capabilities of the 2-cm 90° cylindrical ExB analyzer. The same ions and voltage settings as in Figure 3 were used, but instead of a monoenergetic beam of ions, an angular spread of 6° ($\alpha = 3^\circ$) and kinetic energy spread (dE) of 10% were assumed (Figure 4). The ions were focused in both direction and energy as predicted. In addition, the image focal point was verified to be 7 mm from the sector-field boundary.

Once the performance of the scaled analyzer was characterized and the first set of operating parameters established, a complete 3-D finite element model including the filament, ion source, and detector slits was designed for the miniature mass spectrometer. The geometry for the CDFMS computer model is depicted in Figure 5.

The ion source and the detector are placed at the design separation distances from the sector-field boundaries. The ion source consists of filament (V_f), filament gate (V_g), anode electrode (V_o), ion extractor electrodes (V_{ext}), focusing electrodes (V_{foc}^{+-}), and entrance electrodes (grounded). The anode electrode contains the ionization chamber, and has slit apertures $250 \mu\text{m}$ wide at its top and bottom to define the electron beam. This e-beam is provided by a small hairpin filament placed directly above the anode electrode and aligned parallel to the slit. The filament electrode is mounted so as to make small changes in position possible (Figure 6).

The CDFMS model simulates the electron beam produced by thermionic emission from a heated tungsten wire. The thermally emitted electrons are acceler-

Figure 4. Mass 28 double focusing verification.

ated by the 70 volts potential difference between the filament V_f and the anode V_o . To avoid too much spread of the e-beam and to shield the influence of other electrodes on the filament, the gate electrode is designed to surround the filament with its voltage V_g set equal to V_f . Serendipitously, the fringing field pro-

Figure 5. CDFMS ion simulation model.

Figure 6. Ion source simulation.

duced by the permanent magnet provides effective collimation of the electron beam. The extractor, focusing, and exit electrodes are 0.5 mm thick, with a spacing of 1 mm. The extractor plates are positioned 0.5 mm away from the ionization chamber and the entrance slit is placed flat against the grounded bracket. The width of the extractor and focusing slits is 0.7 mm and that of the entrance slit is 0.2 mm. The 9-mm height of the ion source electrodes is enough to approximate a 2-D slit geometry, minimizing the electric fringing field effects at the top and bottom of the electrodes. In addition, the shape of the ionization chamber contributes to focusing of the beam in the z direction as seen in Figure 6.

With the ionization chamber set to V_o and the exit slit grounded, singly-charged ions are accelerated by the ion source electrodes to be injected into the analyzer with a kinetic energy close to eV_o , which makes:

$$V = V_o \text{ (simulation)} \quad (21)$$

The computer model was used to find the proper set of extractor and focusing electrode voltages to achieve focusing of the ion beam with small angular dispersion in the xy plane and an acceptably low energy spread. The tuning of the simulated ion beam generated the following settings for the ion source:

$$V_f = V_g = V_o - 70 \text{ volts} \quad (22)$$

$$V_{ext} = 0.8 V_o \quad (23)$$

$$V_{foc}^+ = 0.44 V_o; V_{foc}^- = 0.40 V_o \quad (24)$$

The offset between the focusing electrodes (V_{foc}^+ , V_{foc}^-) was used to compensate for the effect on the ion

trajectories from the magnetic fringing field present in the ion source. From eq 20 and 21, the m/z is selected by setting the anode voltage V_o to $(m/4740)$ volts. All the other electrode voltages, both at the ion source and analyzer, are directly proportional to this anode voltage. In this way, it is possible to acquire a mass spectrum by sweeping just one power supply voltage.

With the use of eq 18 through 24, the operating parameters to detect any m/z can be calculated. For example, to measure $m/z = 28$ Da with the simulated CDFMS design ($r_o = 2$ cm, $B = 1.0$ T), the operating voltages would be:

$$\text{Ion Source: } V_o = 169.3 \text{ V}, V_f = V_g = 99.3 \text{ V},$$

$$V_{ext} = 135.5 \text{ V}, V_{foc}^+ = 74.5 \text{ V};$$

$$V_{foc}^- = 71.4 \text{ V}, \text{Slit} = 0 \text{ V}$$

$$\text{Analyzer: } V_+ = 17.37 \text{ V}, V_- = 16.52 \text{ V},$$

$$\text{Bracket} = \text{Detector Slit} = 0 \text{ V}.$$

Using these settings, we simulated the trajectory of three different ions ($m/z = 27, 28$, and 29 Da) to evaluate the CDFMS performance (Figure 7). The simulation showed good focusing and mass separation.

A more complete study of the CDFMS resolving power was done using the finite element model, with $m/z = 99, 100$, and 101 Da ions, 0.1 mm slits and the software features of SIMION 6.0. The settings were now changed to detect $m/z 100$ while rejecting $m/z 99$ and 101 . Five hundred ion trajectories per m/z value were simulated. The ion beam illuminated the 0.1 mm object slit (S_o), entering the analyzer with about 10% spread in energy and 6° spread in angle. The 90° cylindrical ExB

Figure 7. Miniature mass spectrometer 3D ion simulation for $m/z = 27, 28$, and 29 Da .

analyzer separated the incoming beam into the three different m/z values, achieving double focusing for each ion beam. The trajectory of each single ion was recorded and saved into a file; then the position of each ion, when it reached the detector slit plane, was extracted from the data. The 2-mm radial gap between the cylindrical

electrodes at the detector was divided into 100 different bins each 0.02 mm wide. For each value of m/z , the number of ions hitting that particular bin position was counted. By plotting ion counts versus bin position, we thus obtained a simulated mass spectrum resolved in space (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Mass spectrum obtained using the CDFMS 3D ion simulation. ($m/z = 99, 100$ and 101 Da).

Figure 9. Compact double focusing mass spectrometer prototype design.

The simulated miniature mass spectrometer was able to resolve the three different ions satisfactorily. For mass 100, the peak position was located at $r = 20.005$ mm, which was in close agreement with the design radius (20.000 mm). The radial dispersion $dr = 0.105$ mm was measured for the same peak, full-width at half-maximum. The corresponding resolving power calculated from Figure 10 is:

$$R_{\text{Simulation}} = \frac{m}{dm} = \frac{r}{dr} = \frac{20.005}{0.105} = 190.5 \quad (25)$$

which is very similar to the simple theoretical value (see eq 13):

$$R_{\text{Theory}} = \frac{2 \cdot r_o}{S_i + S_o} = \frac{2 \cdot 20.00}{0.20} = 200 \quad (26)$$

On the basis of these simulations, it appears that a CDFMS with 2-cm radius and 0.1-mm slits would be capable of a mass range and resolving power suitable for detection of most of the gas species commonly present in environmental gas samples.

Device Fabrication and Testing

Once the design of the CDFMS was established, the first prototype was fabricated with the help of numerically

controlled machining and thin film techniques. Figure 9 describes the different components of the CDFMS prototype. Copper (Cu) and stainless steel (SS) were used as conductive material for the electrodes, while Vespel and Teflon were used for the insulators. The ion beam electrodes and their respective insulators were designed to self-align when mounted to the bracket. Commercially available [31] slits were used. Connections to the plates were provided by directly soldering 20-gauge wires to them, or by brass connectors placed on the mounting screws. The SS anode contained an ionization chamber 4 mm long, 2 mm wide and 3 mm deep, and the electron beam was injected through a 250 μm slit. The gas sample was introduced to the ionization chamber through a 250 μm hole. This geometry provides a closed ion source configuration, allowing at least two orders of magnitude pressure differential between the ion source and the analyzer.

The electron beam for the ion source was produced by a tungsten hairpin filament. The filament wire was spot-welded in place on the insulated screws attached to the filament holder and optically aligned over the anode electrode. When the filament burned out, the whole filament assembly was easily detached from the anode and replaced by an already mounted spare filament, avoiding the need to perform a critical alignment in the field. The electron beam current passing through the slits on both the top and bottom of the ionization chamber could be monitored via a trap electrode.

Figure 10. SIMION 3D ion simulation: electric fringing field effects correction. ExB analyzer cross section.

The most important element of the whole construction process for the miniature mass spectrometer was the design and fabrication of the small 90° cylindrical electric sector, in which microfabricated copper-clad

alumina were used to control the electric field inside the analyzer. Without any correction of the electric fringing field effect, adequate double focusing of the ion beam by the miniature ExB analyzer cannot be achieved. In order to correct the electric field distortions at the top and bottom of the sector electrodes, the appropriate potentials had to be independently established at the radial gap between the opposing edges of the electrodes. This was accomplished by placing at the top and bottom of the radial gap an array of intermediate electrodes, each of which was a circular segment with the same axis as the cylindrical electrodes. Each individual segment electrode was set to a voltage V_n varying logarithmically with r , thus creating the same $1/r$ electric field dependence which would exist if the cylinders were infinite in height. The appropriate number of electrodes was established using the finite element model again. We found that nine equally spaced electrodes with a width of 100 μm were able to provide adequate electric fringing field corrections (Figure 10).

The circular segment array of fringing field electrodes was formed lithographically on 0.5-mm thick copper-clad alumina. On the opposite side of each electrode plate an array of voltage divider resistors was lithographically deposited, and each was laser trimmed to the desired resistance (Figure 11).

The inner and outer cylindrical electrodes were fab-

Figure 11. Analyzer plate microfabrication.

ricated from copper plate by conventional machining methods. Assembly was done using 2-mm sapphire balls to align the cylindrical electrodes all along the radial gap. Each of the copper clad alumina plates was then attached to the cylindrical electrodes using a silver based conductive epoxy, and aligned optically until both the correcting and the cylindrical electrodes had the same center axis. To protect the resistor network from scratches, the resistor side was coated with a thin film (0.1 mm) of hard epoxy. The thickness of the entire resulting assembly was still smaller than the 4-mm magnet gap.

The next step in the construction of the CDFMS prototype was the mounting of the ion detector. The detector used was a Micro-Channel Plate (MCP) particle multiplier from Galileo Corporation, Sturbridge, MA. [32]. We used the smallest standard MCP available from Galileo, having dimensions of 11 mm $\times$ 12 mm and 1.1 mm thick, which was much bigger than the active area required by the mass spectrometer.

The ion source, mass analyzer and detector were all mounted on the same L-shaped metal bracket. The three components together constituted the core of our miniature mass spectrometer, depicted in Figure 1. The size of the CDFMS core was just 4 cm $\times$ 3.5 cm $\times$ 1 cm, which is considerably smaller than any double focusing mass spectrometer previously described in the literature.

The MCP offered the advantages of fast response, high gain and low noise, as well as a high maximum operating temperature (350 °C). In addition, it has been demonstrated [33] that such MCP detectors are able to operate at relatively high pressures, (10 mtorr), which makes them potentially compatible with relatively simple vacuum systems for portable applications. The MCP was placed inside a machined fixture that provided electrical connections to the external bias voltage, as well as shielding from any external source of radiation or current.

The magnetic field was established by two rare-earth neodymium iron boron (NdFeB) permanent magnets with high energy product (48 MGO) [34], mounted on a ferromagnetic yoke made of vanadium cobalt iron (VCoFe) alloy ($\mu_m = 2000$ G/Oe) known as Hyperco 50A, Carpenter Technology Corporation, Reading, PA [35]. Its construction, design and materials were selected in such a way as to achieve a very high magnetic flux density, while avoiding the saturation of the magnetic circuit and consequent flux leakage. The field obtained was 1.07 T. These magnets also have high resistance to demagnetization, and can be used at temperatures up to 150° C, which is important for environmental applications. We also found that ordinary cold-rolled steel as the yoke material was almost as good as the very expensive VCoFe alloy. The field obtained with such yoke was 0.98 T.

An aluminum fixture was used to attach the mass spectrometer core to the magnet assembly. Figure 12 shows the entire CDFMS prototype. The overall size of

Figure 12. Compact double focusing mass spectrometer prototype.

this first instrument, including the magnet assembly, was approximately 3.5 cm $\times$ 6 cm $\times$ 7.5 cm = 157.5 cm³ and its weight was less than 0.8 kg.

Results

For testing, the mass spectrometer was mounted on an aluminum flange that provided all the necessary electrical and gas feedthrough connections and was put into a diffusion-pumped vacuum chamber having a background pressure of 5.0×10^{-7} torr. The 0.076-mm diameter tungsten filament furnished 10 μ A of emission current with a heating current of 0.9 A and a power consumption of 2 W.

In a series of mass spectra measured for residual gases, the optimum voltage ratios for the extractor and focussing electrodes were experimentally determined and found to be in good agreement with the values found in the computer simulations. The same was true for the inner and outer cylindrical electrode voltages. As suggested by the computer model, all the electrode voltages are proportional to a single scanning voltage V , thus requiring only one control voltage to be scanned in producing a mass spectrum. The CDFMS prototype was able to detect the common residual gas species present in the diffusion pump vacuum system, including oil peak clusters from hydrocarbons up to 100 Da, as shown in Figure 13.

The first CDFMS prototype was equipped with 0.2-mm slits, so as to provide a theoretical resolving power of 100 FWHM. The corresponding value determined experimentally for the nitrogen peak ($m/z = 28$ Da) was 92.3. The background gas measurements demonstrated a dynamic range of about 5 orders of magnitude and a residual gas detection sensitivity of about 10 ppm with the single-stage MCP detector.

The next characterization test performed was the detection of gas samples introduced into the miniature

Figure 13. CDFMS Experimental Mass Spectrum: residual gas analysis of diffusion pump vacuum system.

mass spectrometer through a molecular flow leak inlet. There are several advantages for using a molecular flow inlet [36] to inject an atmospheric-pressure sample directly into the ionization chamber (usually at an operating pressure of 10^{-4} torr). First, the sample can be introduced without the use of a bypass flow arrangement or an auxiliary pump. Second, the flow of the individual gases through the inlet is independent of the composition and relative concentration of species in the sample. Our molecular flow leak inlets were constructed from sintered stainless steel frits commercially available from Mott Corporation, Farmington, CT [37]. For our application, we specified a conductance of 15 standard cubic centimeters per minute (scm) at 1500 psi differential pressure across the material. The frit was placed in a special machined stainless steel holder and sealed radially using an O-ring.

This fixture provided a cap that had a soldered male Luer-lock (Upchurch Scientific, WA) adapter to connect a needle, and a Teflon valve for laboratory gas analysis. The other side of the frit holder was connected to the vacuum chamber gas feedthrough. Laboratory air could then be sampled by simply opening the teflon valve.

Figure 14 shows the mass spectrum obtained with the CDFMS for laboratory air sampled through the molecular flow inlet assembly. These measurements were made with slit widths of 0.16 mm. For this slit width, the theoretical maximum resolving power is 125. The experimental value measured for the N_2^+ ion peak was 106. The miniature mass spectrometer was able to detect the expected ion peaks from all the main gas molecules present in the air sample. The inlet assembly and closed ion source design provided a pressure about a factor of 100 higher inside the ionization chamber than

in the rest of the vacuum system. In this way, the ion signal was increased and the concentrations of the background gases were negligible compared to the concentration of the sampled gas species coming through the inlet. Some water vapor was detected (H_2O^+ and OH^+ ion peaks in Figure 15), probably coming from the vacuum and inlet systems, because they were not purged of residual water.

To determine the effective mass range of the instrument, a sample of Freon 11 (Cl_3FCH_3) vapor was introduced through the molecular flow inlet. As a standard for comparison, the mass spectrum of the Freon 11 sample was also measured with a commercial mass spectrometer, a Stanford Research Systems QMS-300 (California, USA). Figure 16 shows both spectra, confirming that the miniature mass spectrometer was

Figure 14. CDFMS Mass Spectrum: laboratory air sample, molecular frit inlet.

Figure 15. CDFMS Mass spectrum: (a) Laboratory Air Sample. (b) Log Scale Comparison with QMS Library.

able to detect and resolve ion peaks with m/z ratios up to 103 Da. Differences in the peak intensities in the two spectra are the result of different peak shapes and different mass-dependent transmission efficiencies for the two types of analyzer, either of which must be carefully calibrated for quantitative measurements. It is clear, however, that the CDFMS prototype is capable of performing analyses of atmospheric gas components up to 100 Da, which is sufficient for many environmental monitoring applications.

Figure 16. CDFMS Mass Range Test. Commercial QMS vs Prototype spectra.

Discussion

In exploring further the limitations of the prototype CDFMS design, the experiments described above were repeated with 0.10 mm analyzer slit widths. Although the computer simulations predicted $R = 190$ for the narrower slits, this time it was not possible to measure any higher experimental resolving power than was obtained with 0.16-mm slits. We believe the explanation likely lies in magnetic field inhomogeneity and fringing field details which are not fully incorporated into the computer model at present.

As mentioned above, double focusing of a particular m/z requires a ratio of magnetic force to electric force of -2 along the entire ion path through the crossed sector-field analyzer. With a variation in the magnetic field along the ion path, this ratio cannot be maintained exactly with the present electric sector design. Future work will be directed toward shaping the electric field to match the corresponding magnetic field at each point along the ion path between the two analyzer slits.

To further increase the sensitivity of the CDFMS, we plan to substitute a sub-miniature channeltron-style multiplier for the microchannel plate multiplier. The gain with this new detector is predicted to be as high as 10^6 , which is 100 times higher than the one used in the experiments reported here. With the new detector, the CDFMS should be capable of detecting gas concentrations of about 0.1 ppm, but more testing will need to be done to verify this possibility.

The finite element model and ion trajectory simulations provided a very practical and inexpensive method for testing the design ideas and optimizing operating parameters for the first CDFMS to be constructed. We are gratified that the experimental performance was predicted so well by a relatively simple computer model.

One application which we envisioned from the beginning of this project is on-site monitoring of volcanic gaseous emissions, which occur at many sites around the world, including Costa Rica and several western states in the U.S. From these first results, we conclude that the CDFMS prototype design is able to detect all of the important gas molecules present in volcanic gaseous emissions. The construction of a portable vacuum system and electronics package suitable for such testing is underway, and the results of such experiments will be presented in a later paper.

Looking further ahead, we can envision a miniature mass spectrometer constructed solely by microfabrication techniques, to lower the construction time and cost as well as to perform alignment and fringing field corrections that are more difficult to do with conventionally-machined and manually assembled electrodes. The design of such device has already been done [38], incorporating its own vacuum envelope to minimize the gas volume to be pumped out.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the University of Minnesota for financial support of this research. The project was financed by the Microtechnology Laboratory through Research Initiative #200 and by a similar initiative from the Academic Health Center.

The authors also thank the Graduate School of the University of Minnesota for a Grant-in-Aid. JAD wishes to express his appreciation to the Fulbright-Laspau Program and the University of Costa Rica for awarding him a fellowship to conduct his doctoral studies at UM.

References

1. Diaz, J. A. Sub-miniature double-focusing mass spectrometer for in-situ environmental monitoring and application to volcanic gaseous emissions. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Minnesota, 1999.
2. Duckworth, H. E. et al. *Mass Spectrometry*, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press, 1986; p 8.
3. Wien, W. *Annalen der Physik*, Leipzig, **1902**, 8, 224.
4. Nier, A. O.; Hayden, J. L. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, **1971**, 6, 339.
5. Carrico, J. P. et. al. *Journal of Physics E- Scientific Instruments*, **1974**, 7, 6.
6. Sinha, M. P.; Tomassian, A. D. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, **1991**, 62, 2618.
7. Bleakney, W.; Hipple, J. A. *Physical Review*, **1938**, 53, 521.
8. Smith, L. G. *Physical Review*, **1951**, 81, 295.
9. Swinger, D. L. *Vacuum*, **1971**, 21, 121.
10. Robinson, C. F.; Hall, L. G. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, **1956**, 27, 504.
11. Swinger, D. L. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Physics*, **1975**, 17, 321.
12. Hemond, H. F. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, **1991**, 62, 1420.
13. Bartky, W.; Dempster, A. J. *Physical Review*, **1929**, 33, 1019.
14. Bondy, Von H.; Popper, K. *Annalen der Physik*, **1933**, 17, 425.
15. Bondy, Von H.; Johannsen, G.; Popper, K. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, **1935**, 95, 46.
16. Fischer, Von D. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, **1952**, 133, 455.
17. Fischer, Von D. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, **1952**, 133, 471.
18. Matsuda, H. *US Patent* 3984682, Oct 5, 1976.
19. Matsuda, H. *Mass Spectrometry*, **1973**, 21, 4.
20. Matsuda, H.; Naito, M. et al. *Advances in Mass Spectrometry*, **1976**, 7b, 846.
21. Matsuda, H. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, **1961**, 32, 850.
22. Matsuda, H.; Fujita, Y. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Physics*, **1975**, 16, 395.
23. Kunihiro, F.; Naito, M.; Naito, Y. *32nd Annual Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics: Retrospective Lectures*; 1984; p523.
24. Naito, M. *US Patent* 4054796, October 18, 1977
25. Diaz, J. A.; Gentry, W. R.; Giese, C. F. *Patent Application*, University of Minnesota, Docket No. 96035, 1999
26. Mattauch, J.; Herzog, R. *Annalen der Physik*, **1934**, 19, 345.
27. Mattauch, J.; Herzog, R. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, **1934**, 89, 789.
28. Gentry, W. R. Notes on Crossed Field Selectors, *personal notes (unpublished)*, 25 October 1971.
29. Dahl, David A. SIMION 3D Version 6.0. *Idaho National Engineering Laboratory*. P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415.
30. Matsuda, H. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Process*, **1989**, 93, 315.
31. Precision Air Slit. Part Number: H38560. *Edmund Scientific Company. Industrial Optics Division*. 101 East Gloucester Pike, Barrington, NJ 08007.
32. Long Life Micro Channel Plate. Part Number:1393-1200. *Galileo Corporation*. P.O. Box 550, Sturbridge, MA 01566.
33. Laparade, B.; Cochran, R. *Pittcon 1998 Presentation*; Galileo Corporation. P.O. Box 550, Sturbridge, MA 01566.
34. Neodymium Iron Boron Block. Part Number: CM40729-3714 and PN48R0100B. *Dexter Magnetic Materials Division*. 1050 Morse Ave., Elk Grove Village, IL 60007.
35. Hyperco 50A. *Carpenter Technology Corporation*. 101 W. Bern St., Reading, PA 19612.
36. Moore, G. V.; Scott, B. W. Final Report Inlet Leak Study. *The Perkin-Elmer Corporation Aerospace Division*, **1971**, 4, 1.
37. Sintered SS Frit Part Number: 5010793. *Mott Corporation* 84 Spring Lane, Farmington, CT 06032.
38. Diaz, J. A. Design, Modeling and Process Module of a Micro-Fabricated Mass Spectrometer on a Chip for Analytical Applications. MSEE Thesis. University of Minnesota, 1998.