REVIEW



Open Access

Role of biochar toward carbon neutrality



Liuwei Wang¹, Jiayu Deng¹, Xiaodong Yang¹, Renjie Hou² and Deyi Hou^{1*}

Abstract

Carbon neutrality by the mid-twenty-first century is a grand challenge requiring technological innovations. Biochar, a traditional soil amendment which has been used for fertility improvement and contaminant remediation, has revealed new vitality in this context. In this review we highlight the huge potential of biochar application in different fields to mitigate as high as 2.56×10^9 t CO₂ e total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions per year, accounting for 5.0% of the global GHG emissions. Soil applications of biochar as either a controlled-release fertilizer or an immobilization agent offer improved soil health while simultaneously suppressing the emissions of CH₄ and N₂O. Non-soil applications of biochar also contribute to carbon neutrality in unique ways. Firstly, biochar application as a ruminant feed decreases CH₄ emissions via physical sorption and enhanced activities of methanotrophs. Secondly, biochar can be used as a green catalyst for biorefinery. Besides, biochar as an additive to Portland cement and low impact development (LID) infrastructure lowers the carbon footprint and builds resilience to climate change. Furthermore, biochar can be used as novel batteries and supercapacitors for energy storage purposes. Finally, the high CO₂ adsorption capacity makes it possible for biochar being used as a sorbent for carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS). We advocate that future research should further explore the effectiveness of biochar systems for climate change mitigation in large scale applications, and assess the economic and social viability of local biochar systems to combat climate change.

Highlights

- Biochar application mitigates 2.56×10^9 t CO₂e greenhouse gas emissions per year.
- Biochar contributes to carbon neutrality due to carbon negative nature, CO₂ sorption, and negative priming effects.
- Future research should explore the effectiveness in large scale applications.

Keywords Biochar, Sustainability, Climate change, Amendment, Remediation

*Correspondence: Deyi Hou houdeyi@tsinghua.edu.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



1 Introduction

Carbon neutrality is defined as a state where a balance between carbon emission and carbon absorption from the atmosphere is reached (European Parliament 2022; UNEP 2019). Carbon neutrality is essential to achieving the Paris Agreement Goal to limit global warming within 2°C (UNFCCC 2015). One hundred thirty-five countries have committed to achieve carbon neutrality by midtwenty-first century (Statista 2022). However, grand challenges remain to reach that ambitious goal. For instance, decoupling economic growth from GHG emissions is the fundamental challenge of many developing countries including China toward carbon neutrality (Liu et al. 2022b). Technological innovation is an important aspect of achieving this aim.

Soil is the largest carbon reservoir in the terrestrial environment (Friedlingstein et al. 2022). Organic carbon stock and stability in the soil environment determine the effectiveness of our effort towards carbon neutrality (Bradford et al. 2016; Lehmann et al. 2020; Schmidt et al. 2011). The non-renewable nature of soil also highlights the necessity of soil organic carbon preservation (Antoneli et al. 2022; Bombino et al. 2022; FAO 2015). However, degradation is occurring in billions of hectares of the world's land, resulting in irreversible carbon loss (Gibbs and Salmon 2015; Rickson et al. 2015; UN 2022). Human activity-induced land use change is a key process worsening the scenario (Asare et al. 2022; Borrelli et al. 2020; Siqueira-Neto et al. 2022; Vasilchenko et al. 2022). It is crucial that suitable soil use and management practices should be made to restore carbon in ground. In addition to soil use and management-related emissions, it is well acknowledged that various other human activities such as electricity generation, transportation, and industry emit a considerable amount of CO₂ into the atmosphere. For instance, the aforementioned three activities contribute to 25%, 27%, and 24% global GHG emissions in 2020, respectively (US EPA 2022).

In this case, biochar is a promising material for both soil and non-soil applications toward carbon neutrality. On the one hand, it is an intrinsically carbon-negative soil amendment, because its production converts labile biomass into recalcitrant carbon that persists in the environment on centennial timescales (Glaser et al. 2009; Lehmann et al. 2021). Around 60 billion metric tons of carbon are taken up via photosynthesis annually, of which 10% are able to be converted to waste biomass. Converting these 6 billion tons of carbon into biochar via pyrolysis, therefore, produces 3 billion tons of biochar annually (assuming the biomass yield to be 50%), thus reducing CO_2 emissions by the same amount (Kleiner 2009). On the other hand, several recent findings suggest that biochar also possesses huge potential to combat climate change in non-soil applications including aquaculture (Man et al. 2021), chemical engineering (Shen et al. 2014), construction (Lunt et al. 2022), carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS) (Shafawi et al. 2021), and energy storage (Cheng et al. 2017).

This review summarizes recent advances of biochar application toward carbon neutrality. Apart from its traditional use as a soil amendment, novel uses of biochar for non-soil applications are critically summarized. A roadmap of biochar toward carbon neutrality is provided based on quantitative estimation of biochar's climate change mitigation potential in different fields. Existing challenges and future research directions are also discussed.

2 An overview of biochar's role toward carbon neutrality

Biochar is an old material which has revealed new vitality in the context of carbon neutrality. As early as 500 to 2500 years B.P., Pre-Columbian Indians have made use of biological wastes to produce Amazonian Dark Earths with high fertility (Denevan 1992; Eden et al. 1984; Sanford Jr et al. 1985). The core idea of turning waste to highly fertile soils was termed pyrolysis, namely, thermal conversion of biomass into charcoal under oxygenlimited conditions (Figueiredo et al. 1989; Maschio et al. 1992; Mobarak et al. 1982). It was not until the twentyfirst century that the concept of "biochar" became popular (Lehmann 2007; Marris 2006). It is defined as a carbon-rich material derived from thermal conversion (including pyrolysis and hydrothermal carbonization) of biomass feedstock under oxygen-limited conditions (IBI 2015). Various feedstocks can be used to produce biochar, including wood, grass, crop residues, animal waste, sewage sludge, anaerobic digestate, bone, etc. (Alkurdi et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020g). Pyrolysis refers to the process where biomass feedstock was subjected to thermal conversion under oxygen-limited environment at temperatures usually between 250 to 900 °C. In contrast, hydrothermal carbonization is conducted via mixing biomass with water and heating in a closed reactor below 250 °C (Masoumi et al. 2021; Yaashikaa et al. 2020). However, one should note that to produce biochar at large quantities for field applications, pyrolysis seems to be a more feasible one with higher technical maturity. It takes around 449 ~ 1847 dollars to produce 1 metric ton of biochar at a large scale, which is comparable with other soil fertilizers and immobilization agents (Nematian et al. 2021).

Biochar has long been used as an amendment to increase soil fertility. Its ability to immobilize heavy metals and organic contaminants also manifested itself as a green immobilization agent (Hou et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2022b; Wang et al. 2021b). Apart from its traditional application as a soil amendment to improve soil fertility and immobilize contaminants, non-soil applications of biochar have also emerged in recent years, which have been proven to exhibit much potential to mitigate climate change (Bartoli et al. 2020; Bolan et al. 2022). For instance, it has been used as an additive to animal feed to enhance its growth while reducing methane (CH_4) emissions simultaneously (Leng et al. 2012; Man et al. 2021). Besides, it has been applied in biorefinery as a green catalyst (Kumar et al. 2020a; Xiong et al. 2017). Biochar can also be added to cementitious materials to enhance hydration and reduce the use of traditional Portland cement with a high carbon footprint (Danish et al. 2021; Maljaee et al. 2021a). It is also used for novel batteries and supercapacitors to store energy (Cheng et al. 2017; Li et al. 2021). Finally, it can be directly applied as a sorbent for carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS) (Cao et al. 2022; Jung et al. 2019). The detailed discussion on the role of biochar toward carbon neutrality in these different soil and non-soil applications is provided in the following sections (Sections $3 \sim 4$).

Here we provide a preliminary calculation of the potential for biochar utilization toward carbon neutrality based on available data (Table 1, Fig. 1). It is assumed that 10% of traditional practices of biomass waste management, animal feeding, cement production, CCUS, and land restoration are altered by biochar systems. Firstly, converting waste biomass into biochar, bio-oil, and syn-gas intrinsically stores 2.20×10^9 t CO₂e annually, because it turns labile carbon that will be rapidly mineralized into recalcitrant carbon whose half life exceeds 1000 years (Ippolito et al. 2020; Lehmann et al. 2021; Spokas 2010). Secondly, application of biochar to restore degraded land is the key area of carbon emission mitigation, consuming 5.50×10^8 t CO₂e of as-formed biochar annually even at a mild application rate of 1.5 t/ha. Amending

Table 1	Calculating	the highest	potential of biochar towards carbon neutrality	/

Description	Value	Reference
Global annual biomass production	6×10^{10} t of C	(Kleiner 2009; UNEP 2009)
Rate of biomass to be discarded as waste	10%	(Kleiner 2009)
Rate of waste biomass to be converted to biochar	10%	Assumed
Biochar yield	50% ^a	(Kleiner 2009; Laghari et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2020g)
Bio-oil and syn-gas yield	50% ^a	(Kleiner 2009; Laghari et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2020g)
Global annual feed production	$1.17 \times 10^{9} t$	(IFIF 2021)
Proportion of ruminant feed	8%	(IFIF 2021)
Proportion of animal feed with biochar amendment	10%	Assumed
Biochar amending rate to animal feed	9%	(Hansen et al. 2012)
Global annual methane production via ruminant emissions	$9.7 \times 10^7 \text{ t CH}_4$	(Chang et al. 2019)
Methane emission reduction rate following biochar addition to animal feed	17%	(Hansen et al. 2012)
Global annual cement production	$4.1 \times 10^{9} t$	(GCCA 2022)
Proportion of cement with biochar amendment	10%	Assumed
CO_2 generated per ton of cement production	0.5 t CO ₂	(Ali et al. 2011; Andrew 2018)
Biochar application rate in cement	2%	(Chen et al. 2020; Gupta et al. 2018b; Maljaee et al. 2021a)
Annual capture capacity of CO ₂ in CCUS	$4.5 \times 10^{7} t$	(IEA 2022)
Proportion of CO ₂ captured by biochar	10%	Assumed
Adsorption capacity of biochar towards CO ₂	40 mg/g	(Cao et al. 2022; Huang et al. 2015; Karimi et al. 2022)
Total area of global degraded land that is committed to be restored	1 × 10 ⁹ ha	(UN 2022)
Proportion of degraded land with biochar amendment	10%	Assumed
Biochar application rate	1.5 t/ha ^b	(An et al. 2022; Paneque et al. 2016; Peng et al. 2021)
Percentage of soil CO_2 emission mitigation by biochar	1%	(Lyu et al. 2022)
Average CO_2 emissions from soil without amendment	811 g C/(m ² ·year)	(Bond-Lamberty and Thomson 2010)
Percentage of soil CH_4 emission mitigation by biochar	7%	(Lyu et al. 2022)
Average CH ₄ emissions from soil without amendment	87.6 g CH ₄ /(m ² ·year)	(Le Mer and Roger 2001)
Percentage of soil N_2O emission mitigation by biochar	31%	(Lyu et al. 2022)
Average $\mathrm{N_2O}$ emissions from soil without amendment	$0.876 \text{ g N}_2\text{O-N/(m}^2 \cdot \text{year})$	(Wu et al. 2021)

^a Slow pyrolysis, which is the most widely used biochar fabrication method

^b A relatively mild rate of biochar application at field

biochar also alters the GHG flux of the soil environment via altering the microbial activities, providing an additional mitigated emission of CO₂, CH₄, and N_2O_2 , whose reduced emissions would be $2.97 \times 10^7 t$ CO_2e , 1.53×10^8 t CO_2e , and 1.27×10^8 t CO_2e per year, respectively (Bond-Lamberty and Thomson 2010; Le Mer and Roger 2001; Lyu et al. 2022; Wu et al. 2021). Thirdly, it requires 3.86×10^7 t CO₂e biochar to be used as an additive to ruminant feed per year, which theoretically reduces 4.12×10^7 t CO₂e of CH₄ emissions from ruminants annually. Besides, 3.01×10^7 t CO₂e biochar can be incorporated into cementitious materials to improve their mechanical strength, reducing 4.10×10^6 t CO₂e emissions per year due to material replacement. Furthermore, application of biochar as a sorbent for CO₂ capture may directly sorb 4.5×10^6 t CO₂e from the atmosphere, assuming that biochar accounts for 10% CO₂ sorption in CCUS. Finally, the remaining 6.93×10^7 t CO₂e biochar can be used for other purposes including catalyst in chemical engineering, supercapacitor for energy storage, wastewater treatment, contaminated site remediation, etc. The preliminary calculation provided here highlights the huge potential of biochar to mitigate as high as 2.56×10^9 t CO₂e total emissions per year, accounting for 5.0% of the global GHG emissions of $5.15 imes 10^{10}$ t CO₂e (UNEP 2021). It is noteworthy that biochar production itself contributes 85.9% of the reduced emissions. A recent precise calculation provided by Lehmann et al. (2021) suggested that the highest potential of biochar's mitigation could reach 2.4×10^9 t CO₂e ~ 3.9×10^9 t CO₂e per year. Another calculation provided by Woolf et al. (2010) suggested that 1.8×10^9 t CO₂e annual net emissions of GHG can be reduced via biochar systems.



Fig. 1 Potential use of biochar for various applications toward carbon neutrality

3 Biochar as a soil amendment reducing GHG emissions

The promise of biochar as an organic fertilizer is tremendous. Firstly, it delivers necessary inorganic nutrients to plants via dissolution of its ash components (Silber et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2020f). In this case, ash-rich and animal waste-derived biochars, such as manure biochar may be the best candidate for inorganic nutrient delivery (Binh Thanh et al. 2022; Hossain et al. 2020; Subedi et al. 2016). Secondly, the high cation exchange capacity and anion exchange capacity of biochar manifest itself as a good sorbent preventing nutrient loss (Al-Wabel et al. 2018; Lawrinenko and Laird 2015). Low temperature biochars possess more ion exchange sites, which may have performed better in nutrient retention (Li et al. 2019). The slow release nature of biochar-based fertilizers makes it a better candidate for soil fertility improvement in the long term as compared with other traditional fertilizers (Sim et al. 2021; Yao et al. 2013). It could be that the well-developed pores of biochar serve as barriers that delay nutrient release from biochar matrix to the soil environment (Rombel et al. 2022). It's critical that inorganic nutrients can be released gradually at a slow rate to prevent water contamination by nitrate and phosphate (Corbett et al. 2022; Kumari and Maiti 2022; Yin et al. 2022; Zou et al. 2022). Thirdly, the organic matrix of biochar acts as promising habitat for plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB) (Bertola et al. 2019). Again, low-temperature biochars with low aromaticity are good candidates because bacteria can utilize aliphatic moieties much easier than aromatic ones (Luo et al. 2018; Zhong et al. 2020). Stimulated microbial activities within the charosphere account for improved soil health within the rhizosphere, thus leading to an elevated plant biomass yield (Dissanayake et al. 2022; Weralupitiya et al. 2022).

The indirect effect of biochar on plant growth promotion should not be neglected. Improved water holding capacity (Bruun et al. 2022; Razzaghi et al. 2020), enhanced aggregation characteristics (Islam et al. 2021), suppressed acidity (Dai et al. 2017), reduced salinity (Wang et al. 2022f), suppressed activities of pathogens (de Medeiros et al. 2021), as well as enhanced activities of soil fauna (Lehmann et al. 2011) contribute to biochar's performance in promoting plant growth.

Biochar has also been widely used as a sorbent for metal and organic contaminant immobilization in soil, whose mechanisms have been thoroughly reviewed (Ahmad et al. 2014; Bandara et al. 2020). Here we stress that application of biochar in remedies aligns very well with the ongoing Green and Sustainable Remediation (GSR) movement due to the following reasons (Fig. 2) (Hou 2020; Hou 2021a; Wang et al. 2021b). Firstly, biochar material is a green amendment because it is wastederived and carbon-negative (Fig. 2a) (Glaser et al. 2009; Hou 2021c, 2022). Besides, application of biochar to the soil environment promotes soil health, thus leading to multifaceted benefits including enhancing plant growth, and increasing soil diversity on contaminated land (Fig. 2b) (Ayaz et al. 2022; Baveye 2021; Bolan et al. 2022). The restored ecosystems may also serve as a good place



Fig. 2 How biochar application aligns with the ongoing GSR movement

for leisure and entertainment (Fig. 2c) (Ghosh and Maiti 2021). Furthermore, biochar application can increase the resilience and effectiveness of nature-based solutions (NBS) including phytoremediation, constructed wetland, and in-situ bioremediation with native soil microorganisms (Fig. 2d) (Wang et al. 2020a; Wang et al. 2020e). Finally, engineered biochar can achieve excellent long-term effectiveness, rendering elevated resilience to external environmental changes (Fig. 2e) (Wang et al. 2022d; Zhao et al. 2020).

Apart from the fact that biochar application to soil directly increases the soil organic carbon pool, soil application of biochar also provides an additional benefit for climate change mitigation, that is, reducing GHG emissions from soil to the atmosphere. The following sections discuss how biochar application reduces soil GHG emissions.

3.1 CO₂ emissions

Recent attempts have been made to reduce organic carbon loss from soils for climate change mitigation, whose measures include crop residue incorporation (Lupwayi et al. 2022; Zhu et al. 2022a), organic matter stabilization with clay and metal oxides (Baumann et al. 2022; Di et al. 2022), fertilizer application (da Silva et al. 2022; Gasser et al. 2022; Qiu et al. 2022; Thakur et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2022b), reduced or conservational tillage (Ferrara et al. 2022; He et al. 2022a; Islam et al. 2022), rotational grazing (Abdalla et al. 2022; Dong et al. 2021), silvopastoral system (Aryal et al. 2019; Valenzuela Que et al. 2022), and ecological restoration (Howson et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2020b; Zhao et al. 2022). Among them, application of biochar is a promising one due to simultaneous achievement of waste management, nutrient delivery, contaminant immobilization, and climate change mitigation.

The role of biochar in regulating soil CO₂ emissions is quite complicated. Previous studies have shown that biochar amendment can increase (Johnson et al. 2017; Yuan et al. 2014), decrease (Gascó et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2014), or have negligible effect (Sackett et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2017) on soil CO_2 emissions. Multiple mechanisms affect this process. Firstly, biochar itself contains certain proportions of labile carbon (i.e., aliphatic moieties) that can be easily metabolized by soil microorganisms (Wang et al. 2020f; Zhong et al. 2020). Secondly, biochar sorbs soil organic matter directly and form aggregates, thus preventing organic matter from being used by microorganisms (Weng et al. 2018; Zheng et al. 2021). Besides, gaseous CO_2 within soil pores can be directly sorbed by biochar (Dissanayake et al. 2020; Jung et al. 2019). Biochar application also alters the activities of certain soil microorganisms that are involved in the carbon cycle (Chen et al. 2016; Palansooriya et al. 2019). The crop utilization efficiency of carbon is also altered following

biochar application, leading to decreased CO_2 emissions (because of plant utilization of labile carbon) (Joseph et al. 2021). Furthermore, sorption of plant root exudates causes a diminished CO_2 emission mitigation effect due to biochar acidification (Weng et al. 2017).

Biochar is relatively stable in the soil environment, which may take over a thousand years to reach full mineralization (Lehmann et al. 2012). Assuming that the mineralization of biochar carbon only had a minor contribution to the total CO_2 flux annually, focusing on the mineralization of native soil organic matter is therefore a necessary step to predict CO_2 emissions from biochar amended soils. Hence it is crucial to judge whether and to what extent the mineralization process of native soil organic matter could be altered by biochar carbon.

Amending fresh organic amendments (e.g., manure, charcoal) to soil has long been acknowledged to alter the mineralization rate of native organic carbon, which is referred to as the priming effect (He et al. 2022c; Kuzya-kov et al. 2000; Santoni et al. 2022). Both positive and negative priming could occur for biochar amended soils. The former one refers to the process where carbon mineralization is stimulated, while the latter one refers to the process where the mineralization rate is lowered (thus leading to suppressed CO_2 emissions) (Maestrini et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2022c). Positive priming is induced via

the addition of fresh labile carbon which can stimulate the activities of soil microorganisms, thus accelerating the carbon mineralization process (Fang et al. 2019; Keith et al. 2011; Zimmerman and Ouyang 2019). In contrast, negative priming is achieved via the physical entrapment of labile organic carbon by biochar matrix, thus preventing organic matter from being mineralized (Abbruzzini et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2018b; Lu et al. 2014).

The intrinsic carbon stability and bioavailability of biochar is the key determinant of the priming direction (Fig. 3). Amending Florida soils with a range of biochars produced at different temperatures, Zimmerman et al. (2011) found that low-temperature biochars (250 and 400 °C) induced positive priming while high-temperature biochars (525 and 650°C) induced negative priming. A high lignin content of the feedstock also favors negative priming, because the resulting biochar should possess higher aromatic moieties which are much harder to be metabolized as compared with aliphatic ones (Wang et al. 2020g). A low ash content is also favorable for negative priming, because of the limited introduction of inorganic nutrients for microbial growth (Murray et al. 2015). Besides, it is believed that the introduction of certain toxic substances of biochar such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) also contributed to negative priming because of suppressed microbial activity (Lyu et al.



Fig. 3 Effects of biochar properties and soil characteristics on priming directions, affecting BC priming effects. Reproduced with permission from Rasul et al. (2022). Copyright 2022 Elsevier

2016). Soil type is also an important factor affecting the direction of priming (Fig. 3). Wood biochars caused positive priming in clay-poor Inceptisol, but induced negative priming for clay-rich soils including Oxisol and Vertisol (Fang et al. 2015). A high organic carbon content, a low soil pH is also favorable for negative priming (Rasul et al. 2022). Previous meta-analysis suggested that biochar application generally tended to induce negative priming (average retardation of soil organic matter mineralization by 3.8%) (Wang et al. 2016).

It is of note that at the initial stage of biochar application positive priming may be the dominant process because of the microbial utilization of labile carbon fractions from biochar, followed by decreased or even reversed priming intensity following several months of biochar application (Rasul et al. 2022). The initial stimulated positive priming upon biochar application is attributed to the activities of r-strategist microorganisms that respond rapidly to the fresh carbon sources amended to soil (Chen et al. 2021a). The long-term aging of biochar at field benefits negative priming via different mechanisms. A 9.5-year long-term field trial of biochar priming suggested that field aged biochar led to negative priming (via slowing down soil organic matter mineralization by 5.5%) (Weng et al. 2017). Formation of microaggregates protected native soil organic matter from mineralization. Sorption of root exudates, and enhanced organomineral protection also accounted for negative priming (Weng et al. 2017). A previous study developed a boosted regression trees machine learning model to depict biochar-induced soil priming effects (Ding et al. 2018). Interestingly, the modeling result suggested that incubation conditions affected priming more (accounting for 36.5%) as compared with biochar properties (33.7%) and soil properties (29.8%). The major factor among incubation conditions was the incubation time (27.1%). Biochar application for the initial 2 years were modeled to exhibit positive priming, after which this trend was reversed to negative priming (Ding et al. 2018).

It should be noted that although negative priming stabilizes soil organic carbon effectively, it may also suppress plant growth via decreasing the bioavailability of nutrients, therefore reducing plant absorption via photosynthesis (Kuppusamy et al. 2016; Qayyum et al. 2017). Finding a suitable biochar amendment that can simultaneously stabilize intrinsic soil organic carbon while simultaneously provide carbon source for plant growth is the key to use negative priming for carbon sequestration in soil.

3.2 CH₄ emissions

Biochar application has great potential for the mitigation of soil CH_4 emissions. The meta-analysis provided by Lyu et al. (2022) found that biochar reduces soil CH_4 emissions by 7% on average. The meta-analysis provided by Shakoor et al. (2021) suggested that biochar application was more effective for CH₄ (natural log response ratio -0.399) emission mitigation as compared to CO₂ (natural log response ratio -0.108). Wood biochars possessed highest potential to mitigate CH₄ emissions (-1.198), followed by biosolids (-0.544) and herbaceous (-0.263) biochars (Shakoor et al. 2021). Besides, a high pyrolysis temperature is also favorable for CH₄ emission mitigation due to lower available organic carbon content suppressing methanogenesis (Jeffery et al. 2016; Ji et al. 2020). The meta-analysis by Shakoor et al. (2021) also suggested that neutral soil pH $(6.6 \sim 7.3)$ favored CH₄ emission mitigation, whereas an acidic nature of the amended soil promoted CH4 emissions following biochar application (Shakoor et al. 2021). However, there's still controversy over whether an elevation of soil pH should necessarily lead to a reduction in CH₄ emissions. The activities of methanogens may be enhanced at neutral or alkaline environments, whereas the methanotrophs are more tolerant to soil pH changes. Therefore, an elevation of soil pH following biochar application should theoretically stimulate CH_4 emissions (Yu et al. 2013). Another meta-analysis by Jeffery et al. (2016) also drew a contradictory result that biochar has much higher potential to mitigate CH₄ emissions in acidic soils.

Soil moisture content greatly affects CH₄ emissions of the biochar amended soil. A moderate water content is favorable for the reduction of CH₄ emissions, whereas a nearly saturated water content greatly stimulates CH₄ emissions (Yu et al. 2013). This is because a decreased soil Eh suppresses the activities of methanotrophs while stimulates the growth of methanogens. This is the reason why rice paddies release CH₄ at a higher rate as compared with upland soils (Feng et al. 2012). Numerous attempts have therefore investigated the effectiveness of biochar application on CH₄ emissions in rice paddy. A decreased bulk density, along with an improved soil aeration, is the main reason for CH₄ emission reduction in such reduced environments. From a more mechanistic perspective biochar application regulates the abundance of certain functional genes including mcrA (methanogen, CH₄ synthesis, suppressed) and *pmoA* (methanotroph, CH_4 oxidation, enhanced) (Lyu et al. 2022).

The time following biochar application may have also altered the activities of methanogens and methanotrophs. A 4-year field trial conducted in rice paddy suggested that release of labile organic carbon accounted for the stimulation of both methanogens and methanotrophs in the first year of biochar application, whereas the stimulation effect was much more significant for the latter group. However, biochar suppressed the activities of methanogens (via increased soil porosity) while exhibited little impact on methanotrophs in the next 3 years (Wang et al. 2019). The findings highlighted the fact that changes in soil physical and chemical properties by biochar require much time. Aging serves as a pivotal factor affecting biochar's performances in CH_4 emission mitigation at field. A faded liming effect, a gradual decrease of labile organic carbon content, as well as a slow improvement of soil aggregation and aeration are all potential influencing factors (Nan et al. 2021a; Nan et al. 2021b).

3.3 N₂O emissions

The potential of biochar to mitigate soil N₂O emissions is tremendous. The global meta-analysis by Borchard et al. (2019) suggested that biochar application could mitigate soil N₂O emissions by 38% on average. Another metaanalysis by Cayuela et al. (2014) reached more inspiring results of 54% mitigation on average. The recent work by Lyu et al. (2022) found that the GHG mitigation potential of biochar followed the order $N_2O > CH_4 > CO_2$. From a regional perspective, Lee et al. (2021) suggested that biochar application to East Asian soils had the potential to mitigate soil N₂O emissions by 21.1%. Biochar application rapidly reduces N₂O emissions. The lab incubation experiment using seven different biochars suggested that short-term application of biochar was effective to reduce N_2O emissions dramatically by $52\% \sim 84\%$ within only 14 days (Nelissen et al. 2014). Besides, N_2O emission is not necessarily associated with limited N bioavailability in biochar amended soil, suggesting that chemical fertility can be remained apart from a reduction of GHG emissions (Case et al. 2015).

Biochar and soil characteristics are also key factors affecting the mitigation effect. Biochar produced from wood is the best candidate (Borchard et al. 2019). A high pyrolysis temperature over 400°C is also favorable for N_2O emission mitigation (Lee et al. 2021). Low C/N biochars (below 30) have limited mitigation potential, whereas high C/N biochars are effective for emission mitigation (Cayuela et al. 2014). The underlying mechanism may be that higher aromaticity and adsorption capacity (toward soil organic matter) of high C/N biochars reduce the bioavailable carbon content, thus suppressing denitrification which is a facultative process that requires external organic carbon as the electron donor (Cayuela et al. 2014). Another reason is that biochars with higher aromaticity are more conductive, thus favoring the electron transfer to denitrifiers (Lyu et al. 2022). The H:Corg ratio can also be applied to predict biochar's mitigation potential. Biochar with a high aromaticity possesses a H:Corg ratio below 0.3, which lowers N_2O emissions by $73 \pm 7\%$ on average. In contrast, biochar with a high H:Corg ratio over 0.3 is much less effective (i.e., lowers N₂O emissions by $40\pm16\%$) (Cayuela et al. 2015). The strongest reduction in N₂O emissions was observed for paddy soils and sandy soils via meta-analysis (Borchard et al. 2019). Besides, a low soil organic matter content usually results in higher N₂O emission mitigation (Cayuela et al. 2014). N₂O emissions in upland soils are affected more following biochar application as compared with waterlogged rice paddy (Lyu et al. 2022).

Biochar application is known to promote the expression of *nosZ* gene of the denitrifiers, leading to a complete reduction of NO₃⁻ to N₂ instead of N₂O (Harter et al. 2014; Lyu et al. 2022; Van Zwieten et al. 2014). An elevation of soil pH stimulates this process. A higher application rate of biochar also leads to improved mitigation effect (Cayuela et al. 2014). For instance, applying biochar at $1\% \sim 2\%$ reduces N₂O emissions by 27% on average, whereas a higher dosage over 10% reduces its emissions dramatically by 87% (Cayuela et al. 2014). Application rate and type of N fertilizers also affect this process (Vangeli et al. 2022). Lee et al. (2021) found that a moderate N fertilizer application rate of 500~600 kg/ha is favorable for N₂O emission mitigation, whereas higher application rate beyond this value leads to reduced mitigation potential. Ammonium nitrate (reduction 32.3%) is better than urea (22.7%) and ammonium sulfate (15.2%) to assist biochar's N₂O emission mitigation (Lee et al. 2021). Physical immobilization of NO₃⁻ is another important mechanism (Case et al. 2012). Formation of a distinct "charosphere" that is locally anaerobic and alkaline also promotes complete denitrification (Ameloot et al. 2016).

A diminished mitigation effect may take place during field aging of biochar. The meta-analysis by Borchard et al. (2019) suggested that the effectiveness would remain effective only in the first year of biochar application. A 4-year field trial also found that biochar's mitigation potential was 31.5% ~ 42.4% during the first year but diminished gradually to 6.9% ~ 21.3% in the 3rd and 4th years (Liao et al. 2020). Another long-term field trial also found that biochar did not significantly reduce N2O emissions after 7 years of in-situ field aging (Liao et al. 2021). With progressive aging the surface of biochar will be more oxidized, thus reducing biochar's liming effect (Cayuela et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2022). Aged biochar may have also stimulated both ammonia-oxidizing archaea and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria induced N₂O production (Zhang et al. 2019). Direct application of artificially aged biochar provides further support for the faded mitigation potential. In a recent work biochar suffered from proton attack were applied to a Luvisol, exhibiting no mitigation potential toward N₂O (Zhang et al. 2022a). It is notable that certain types of biochar may remain its mitigation potential with aging. For instance, wood biochar with a high aromaticity can continuously reduce N_2O emissions for 3 years in a field trial (Hagemann et al. 2017).

4 Biochar as sustainable material with low carbon footprint in non-soil applications

4.1 Bioenergy generation

Biomass pyrolysis generates biochar, bio-oil, and syngas. Bio-oil is the liquid byproduct generated during biochar pyrolysis. It is a dark brown liquid with a pungent smell (Gupta et al. 2021a). Major components of bio-oil include alcohols, phenols, hydroxyketones, esters, carboxylic acids, etc. (Brassard et al. 2017; Sekar et al. 2021). Owing to the high energy density, bio-oil is a promising energy for combustion engines and boilers. However, relatively high oxygen and moisture content (due to release of internal moisture of the biomass and the dehydration process) affects its performances when directly using it as an energy source (Kung et al. 2022; Lee et al. 2020a). Upgrading is therefore a necessary step. Physical upgrading using density differences, or chemical upgrading via a catalytic cracking and catalytic hydrotreating are feasible ways to improve the quality of bio-oil to meet the quality of hydrocarbon grade fuels (Gupta et al. 2021a). Syngas consists of H_2 , CO, CH_4 , C_2H_4 , etc., which can also be used as a clean energy source (Amenaghawon et al. 2021; You et al. 2018). In particular, it can be directly used for a supplementary energy source of the reactor during pyrolysis. Typical yield of syn-gas is below 40% (Amenaghawon et al. 2021). Higher pyrolysis temperature favors syn-gas generation due to enhanced release of volatile matters and tar formation.

Bio-oil and syngas formed during biomass are sustainable energy sources contributing to carbon neutrality. This is primarily because GHG emissions generated during biomass pyrolysis are regarded as carbon neutral because of their biogenic origin (Lu and El Hanandeh 2019; US EIA 2021). Previous calculations suggested that effective utilization of bio-oil and syn-gas as clean energy could greatly contribute to carbon neutrality. Ideally, a rough calculation assumes that one third of the aforementioned 6 billion tonnes of biomass could be converted to biooil used for tranport, thus reducing carbon emissions by an additional 1.8 billion tonnes per year (Kleiner 2009). The life cycle analysis by Roy and Dias (2017) suggested that global warming potential (GWP) of bio-oil utilization could be 54.5% lower than that of fossil fuels. Fan et al. (2011) provided a life cycle analysis of bio-oil utilization for electricity generation. Results suggested that bio-oil combustion led to life cycle GHG savings ranging from 77% ~ 99% as compared with fossil fuel combustion. Another life cycle analysis of biochar systems suggested that biomass conversion into biochar, bio-oil, and syngas yielded a positive net energy while achieving negative net GHG emissions (Roberts et al. 2010). Slow pyrolysis of one ton of plant biomass yielded a net energy value ranging from $3044 \sim 4899$ MJ, whereas syngas heat energy production ($3507 \sim 5787$ MJ) was the primary contributor to the net positive energy. In the meantime, net GHG emissions were negative within biochar production system, whose values ranged from $-885 \sim -793$ kg CO₂e per ton of dry biomass.

4.2 Animal feed

From the early 19th to 20th, charcoal had been applied widely to treat digestive disorders in animals, including horses, pigs, and dogs (Bolan et al. 2022; Man et al. 2021). In recent years, a few attempts have been made using modern biochar as a feed additive for animals. An application rate of <10% in daily diet could achieve significant weight gain, egg weight, and survival rate (Man et al. 2021). Biochar has multifaceted benefits in aquaculture. Firstly, it is a promising nutrient source which benefits animal growth and immunity enhancement (Evans et al. 2017; Gerlach and Schmidt 2012; Saleem et al. 2018). Secondly, it is an excellent sorbent to remove toxic elements and organic substances from animal gut (Jandosov et al. 2017). Third, biochar suppresses the activities of certain pathogens (such as Clostridium tetani) (Prasai et al. 2016).

A stringent feedstock selection is crucial for biochar application in aquaculture. For instance, European Biochar Foundation proposes that the feedstock should be free of paint, solvent, plastic, and rubber, and that only those feedstock on the "positive list" can be pyrolyzed for animal feeding (Man et al. 2021). Other criteria include: carbon content should reach at least 80% of dry matter, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) concentration should below 4 mg/kg. As, Pb, Cd, and Hg concentration should below 2, 10, 1, and 0.1 mg/kg, respectively (Man et al. 2021).

Several evidences have shown that biochar addition to animal diet could effectively reduce the emissions of methane by ruminants (e.g., cattle and goat). The emission of methane from enteric fermentation of livestock reached 171 million tons CO_2e (Man et al. 2021). Amending biochar in animal diet may serve as a potent measure to mitigate this source of GHG emissions. The reason why biochar suppresses the emission of methane lies in the fact that biochar provides a suitable habitat for gut methanotrophs. Therefore, the oxidation of methane (which is generated by methanogens) is greatly enhanced (Black et al. 2021; Hansen et al. 2012; Leng et al. 2012) (Fig. 4). Besides, biochar itself also directly sorbs methane, which also accounts for reduced methane emissions from ruminants (Fig. 4). However, a recent study



Fig. 4 Possible processes leading to CH₄ emission mitigation by ruminants after incorporating biochar into animal feed

by Romero et al. (2022) found that biochar addition to manure as a cattle diet did not significantly reduce CO_2 , N_2O or CH_4 emissions. More evidences are needed to test whether and which types of biochar addition could successfully reduce ruminant GHG emissions.

4.3 Green catalyst for biorefinery

Biochar can act as a proxy for traditional activated carbon as a novel support for metal catalysts. For instance, a novel Ru-ReOx catalyst was loaded onto biochar support pyrolyzed from rice straw, which can produce value added chemicals from furan (such as tetrahydrofuran and 1,4-butanediol) (Lee et al. 2020b). The biochar supported catalyst was exhibited three times more active than the one supported by traditional activated carbon (Lee et al. 2020b). Presence of alkali minerals in the ash component of rice straw biochar contributed to the catalytic performance of biochar-supported catalysts (Lee et al. 2020b). It was suggested by Ramos et al. (2022) that biochar supported metal catalysts can be used for biorefinery, including transesterification (Hazmi et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2016a), hydrogenation/hydrodeoxygenation (Liu et al. 2018a; Santos et al. 2020), reforming and gasification (Shen et al. 2014; Yao et al. 2016), pyrolysis (Nejati et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2010), and hydrolysis reactions (Wei et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2014). Successful incorporation of metal and metal oxides is the key to catalyst fabrication. Therefore, an abundant oxygen-containing functional group on the biochar matrix favors metal decoration (Xiong et al. 2017). Besides, a relatively high ash content assures the presence of alkali minerals (i.e., K), which have been proven to exhibit additional catalytic performances toward certain reactions such as transesterification (Ramos et al. 2022).

4.4 Low-carbon infrastructure

Portland cement contributes to 8% of the global CO₂ emissions (Andrew 2018). To mitigate the carbon footprint of this conventional building material, several attempts have been made to use biochar as a green additive to traditional cement (Table 2). It was found that adding biochar application at a dosage below 10% will not cause detrimental impacts on the mechanical properties of cements (Danish et al. 2021). Actually, previous study suggested adding merely 1% of biochar to the cement matrix could increase its compressive strength dramatically by 8.9% (Wang et al. 2020d). Elevated elastic modulus (Gupta et al. 2018b), decreased water absorption behavior (Gupta et al. 2020a), and improved shrinkage properties (Gupta et al. 2018a) were also observed. The stability of biochar incorporated into the cementitious matrix is excellent as compared with direct incorporation of fresh biomass, which is because of the same alkaline nature of cement matrix and pyrolyzed biochar.

Hydration is a key process of cement-based materials, during which silicate phases of portland cement react with water to form calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H).

Table 2 Biochar as an additive to cementitious materials

Biomass feedstock	Pyrolysis temperature (°C)	Cement type	Addition rate (%)	Key findings	Reference
Wheat straw	650	Magnesium phosphate cement	1.5	Biochar addition improved the resist- ance of cement in water.	(Ahmad et al. 2020)
Rice husk and bagasse	700	Portland cement	5, 10	Biochar addition increased the com- pressive and tensile strength.	(Asadi Zeidabadi et al. 2018)
Wood	700	Portland cement	10, 20, 30	Biochar addition at 30% sequestered 59 kg CO ₂ per ton of cement.	(Chen et al. 2022a)
Corn straw	300	Portland cement	1, 3, 5	Biochar addition compensated for the strength loss caused by carbonation curing.	(Chen et al. 2022b)
Sewage sludge	500	Portland cement	2	Biochar addition accel- erated hydration.	(Chen et al. 2020)
Sewage sludge	700	Portland cement	1, 2, 5, 10	Biochar addition accel- erated hydration.	(De Carvalho et al. 2022)
Wood	500	Portland cement	2, 5, 8	Biochar addition accel- erated hydration.	(Dixit et al. 2019)
Wood waste and coco- nut shell	500	Portland cement	5	Biochar addition reduced autogenous shrinkage.	(Gupta et al. 2020a)
Wood	300, 500	Portland cement	2	Biochar addition pro- moted mechanical and permeability properties.	(Gupta and Kua 2018)
Wood	500	Portland cement	0.25, 0.50, 1, 2	Biochar addition accel- erated hydration.	(Gupta and Kua 2019)
Wood	300, 500	Portland cement	1, 2, 5, 8	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength, but did not significantly affect tensile strength.	(Gupta et al. 2018a)
Food waste, rice waste, wood	500	Portland cement	1, 2, 5	Biochar addition increased the com- pressive and tensile strength.	(Gupta et al. 2018b)
Wood	300	Portland cement	2	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength and improved permeability.	(Gupta et al. 2018c)
Wood	500	Portland cement	0.5, 1, 2	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength.	(Gupta et al. 2020b)
Rice husk, wood	500	Portland cement	1,2	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength and decreased permeability.	(Gupta et al. 2021b)
Corn straw	400	Magnesium oxychlo- ride cement	5, 10, 15, 20	Biochar addition formed an internal network with excellent cohesion strength.	(Han et al. 2022)
Bagasse, coconut husk, peanut husk, rice husk, wheat husk	500	Portland cement	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Biochar addition pro- moted hydration and decreased the settling time.	(Haris Javed et al. 2022)

Biomass feedstock	Pyrolysis temperature (°C)	Cement type	Addition rate (%)	Key findings	Reference
Bamboo	650	Portland slag cement	0.2, 0.4, 1, 2, 3, 4	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength and built resistance to cracking.	(Liu et al. 2022a)
Olive stone, rice husk, wood	500	Portland cement	0.5, 1, 2, 4	Biochar addition pro- moted hydration.	(Maljaee et al. 2021b)
Oilseed rape, wood	700	Portland cement	2, 4, 6, 8	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength.	(Park et al. 2021)
Poultry litter	450	Portland cement	10, 20, 40	Biochar addition decreased the density and increased the com- pressive strength.	(Praneeth et al. 2021)
Poultry litter	450	Portland cement	20, 40	Crushed biochar- cement motar effectively sorbed Zn, Cu, and Pb in aqueous media.	(Praneeth et al. 2022)
Wood	500	Portland cement	0.65, 3.2, 6.5, 9.5, 13.5	Biochar addition pro- moted hydration.	(Qin et al. 2021)
Sugarcane bagasse	200	Portland cement	2	Biochar addition pro- moted hydration.	(Rodier et al. 2019)
Wood	400	Portland cement	2, 5	Biochar addi- tion improved the microstructure of the concrete.	(Sirico et al. 2022)
Wood	900	Portland cement	1, 2.5	Biochar addition did not affect mechanical properties.	(Sirico et al. 2020)
Wood	400, 500, 600, 700	Portland cement	0, 1, 3, 5, 10	Biochar addition decreased fluidity.	(Tan et al. 2020)
Wood	500	Portland cement	1, 3, 5, 8	Biochar addition increased the com- pressive and tensile strength.	(Tan et al. 2022)
Wood	Gasification biochar	Magnesia cement and magnesia cement-Port- land binary cement	2	Biochar addition pro- moted hydration.	(Wang et al. 2021a)
Wood	500, 700	Portland cement	1, 2, 5	Biochar addition increased the compres- sive strength.	(Wang et al. 2020d)
Rice husk	550	Portland cement	2,5	Biochar addition decreased the com- pressive strength.	(Yang and Wang 2021)

Table 2	(continued)
---------	-------------

Evidences have shown that biochar addition accelerates the hydration process (Lv et al. 2022) (Table 2). This is because fine biochar particles fill the voids between cement particles and aggregates, and promote the formation of clusters due to electrostatic interaction between their negatively charged surfaces and the positively charged cement particles. This results in the formation of nucleation clusters, favor the hydration process by attracting more surrounding particles as compared with the unamended cement (Fig. 5) (Danish et al. 2021; Gupta et al. 2021b). Besides, biochar addition also shortens the setting times, which is possibly due to the high hydrophobicity of biochar that reduces the segregation of concrete (Chen et al. 2020).

Carbonation is another major process which affects the longevity of cementitious materials. It was found



Before hydration and carbonation

Biochar enhanced hydration and carbonation

Fig. 5 Biochar incorporation accelerates the hydration and carbonation processes of cementitious materials. Key information obtained from Gupta et al. (2021b), Legan et al. (2022), and Danish et al. (2021)

that biochar addition could facilitate this carbonation process, resulting direct CO₂ capture from the atmosphere (0.033 mmol CO_2/g biochar ~0.138 mmol CO_2/g biochar) (Fig. 5) (Legan et al. 2022). In this case higher specific surface area led to higher CO₂ absorption performance of biochar amended concrete. However, one should not neglect the detrimental impact of carbonation on mechanical properties of the concrete material. C-S-H reacts with CO₂ to form calcite, which precipitates within the pores of concrete (Fig. 5), thus reducing its mechanical strength. Besides, CO₂ diffusion causes decrease in pH, which results in corrosion (Ekolu 2016; Qiu 2020). It deserves further explorations concerning whether mechanical improvements following biochar addition outplay the detrimental impact resulting from accelerated carbonation.

The rise in impervious surfaces in cities increases surface runoff, which leads to more frequent flooding events in urban areas with climate change (He et al. 2022b; Wang et al. 2022a). In this context, low impact development (LID) (also known as Sponge City in China) has emerged as an effective urban runoff prevention philosophy (Eckart et al. 2017; Jia et al. 2017). Apart from acting as an additive to cementitious materials, biochar can also be used in infiltration-based LID to treat stormwater as an bioretention system. To be used for bioretention, a filter material should possess a high hydraulic conductivity plus a high storage volume. The porous nature of biochar makes it an excellent candidate in this case as compared with other geomedia such as clay. It also offers multiple benefits such as the removal of potentially toxic elements (PTEs) from stormwater, increased groundwater replenishment, improved growth of plants, and an elevated resilience to the changing environment (Mohanty et al. 2018; Tsang et al. 2018). In addition, it can also be applied as green roof to reduce urban runoff by increasing water holding capacity (Werdin et al. 2021).

4.5 Novel batteries and supercapacitors

The application of biochar for energy storage applications is a very impressive route of creating additional value apart from long-term carbon storage. Biochar has been recently used as the anode material for the conventional lithium-ion batteries due to its well developed porous structure. The interconnected pores promote Li⁺ transfer, introduce heteroatoms, making biochar a good proxy to graphite (Chen et al. 2021b; Ryu et al. 2016; Salimi et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2022g). Biochar doping with certain elements (e.g., Sn, Ni) can further improve its discharge capacity and reusability (Nie et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021). It can also be used as novel cathode materials for other types of batteries. For instance, Lei et al. (2021) fabricated a Fe₃C-biochar composite as the cathode for lithium sulfur battery, which remained a steady discharge capacity of 555 mAh/g even after 250 cycles. Zhong et al. (2022) synthesized biochar composite co-doped with N, P, and O from waste biomass feedstock generated following phytoextraction of heavy metals. The as-formed composite was used as the cathode for lithium sulfur battery, which had 80% of its discharge capacity remained following 200 cycles. Qiao et al. (2021) synthesized KCl and heat modified biochar and used it as a cathode for zinc-air battery. Compared with the conventional Pt/C based zinc air battery, biochar-based battery exhibited higher specific capacity (767 mAh/g Zn vs 684 mAh/g Zn) and peak power density $(141 \text{ mW/cm}^2 \text{ vs } 126 \text{ mW/cm}^2)$ (Qiao et al. 2021). Furthermore, biochar has also been used as a pigment in solar absorber coatings owing to its possibility to reduce the reflectance of the material (Gonzalez-Canche et al. 2021). It can also be utilized as a proxy to traditional Pt catalyst in dye solar cells (Tiihonen et al. 2021).

Another novel type of energy storage technology, namely, supercapacitor, has gain much attention because of its rapid charge-discharge characteristics and high reusability (Salanne et al. 2016).

Supercapacitors can be divided into two categories. The first one is the electrochemical double-layer capacitor (EDLC) which physically sorbs and desorbs the electrolyte ions on the electrodes under external voltage (Jeanmairet et al. 2022). In this case, a high specific surface area and electrical conductivity of the biochar material as the electrode favors energy storage (Osman et al. 2022). Biochar or biocharderived material with a 3D interconnected hierarchical porous structure performs well in an EDLC (Fig. 6) (Gao et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021). Micropores below 2nm provide much specific surface area for physical sorption, whereas mesopores $(2 \sim 50 \text{ nm})$ and macropores (>50 nm) favor ion transport because the ion diffusion distance is shortened (Cuong et al. 2021). Steam activation (Kim et al. 2019), alkaline modification (Liu et al. 2015), metal modification (e.g., ZnCl₂) (Hou et al. 2015), and organic modification (e.g., EtOH) (Zhang et al. 2016c) were reported to create hierarchical porous structures (Cuong et al. 2021). Besides, a high pyrolysis temperature produces a graphite-like structure with high electrical conductivity, which is also favorable for biochar application as an EDLC (Keiluweit et al. 2010; Rawat et al. 2022). The other type of supercapacitor is a pseudocapacitor (PC) whose electrode consists of redox materials. A PC stores energy via reversible faradaic reactions (redox reactions) near the electrode surface (Choi et al. 2020). Although biochar-based supercapacitors mostly belong to the former group, several works have successfully fabricated biochar-based EDLC/PC composite supercapacitors (Fig. 6). Transition metal oxides, including MnO₂ (Nirmaladevi et al. 2021), NiO (Paravannoor 2018), and Co_3O_4 (Liu et al. 2016), and certain organic polymers such as polyaniline (Thines

et al. 2016) have been used to modify biochars to fabricate EDLC/PC composite supercapacitors. Reported specific capacitance of biochar supercapacitors ranged from 106 to 1950 F/g, whose reusability was all above 1000 cycles (Cheng et al. 2017). 6 M KOH is the most widely used type of electrolyte for a biochar supercapacitor (Cheng et al. 2017).

4.6 Sorbent for CO₂ capture, utilization, and storage

Carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) is an important strategy toward carbon neutrality, which may contribute nearly 12% of global mitigation to achieve the goal of limiting global temperature rise within 2°C (Wei et al. 2021). As a porous sorbent itself, biochar can be directly used for CO₂ capture. Physical sorption plays a vital role in this process, suggesting that higher specific surface area should result in higher adsorption capacity (Fig. 7). For instance, Cao et al. (2022) fabricated wood and straw biochars for the adsorption of CO₂. Wood biochars possessed much higher specific surface areas (which were $2.7 \sim 4.4$ times larger than that of the straw biochars), thus resulting in higher adsorption capacities $(41.2 \sim 45.8 \text{ mg/g} \text{ vs } 26.5 \sim 41.5 \text{ mg/g})$. High reversibility was observed for CO₂ adsorption by biochar. The adsorption capacity of biochar could remain above 96.5% following 10 adsorption-desorption cycles, as observed by Cao et al. (2022). In addition, CO_2 adsorption is an exothermic process. An increase in operating temperature led to decreased adsorption capacity (Cao et al. 2022). Biochar modification with KOH is a popular way to increase its physical adsorption capacity, because KOH removes the impurities and enhances the evaporation of volatile matter, thus leading to a widened pore size, increased pore volume and increased specific surface area (Coromina et al. 2016; Deng et al. 2014; Manyà et al. 2018). However,



Fig. 6 Schematic diagram of biochar-based EDLC (left) and EDLC/PC composite (right) supercapacitors



Fig. 7 Mechanisms involved in CO₂ capture by biochar

one should note that the concentration of KOH should be selected with care, because a high KOH concentration may cause damage to the porous structure (due to swelling of lignin structure) (Wang et al. 2020c).

Apart from physical sorption, chemical binding may have also played an additional role. Nitrogen-containing functional groups, such as -NH₂ and -NH, react with CO_2 directly to form carbamates (Fig. 7) (Chatterjee et al. 2018; Shafawi et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2016b). Therefore, chemical modification of biochar by amine serves as an effective means to improve its performance in CO_2 adsorption (Chatterjee et al. 2018). NH₃ ambience pyrolysis is also a simple way to introduce nitrogen-containing functional groups (Wang et al. 2020g). But the reversibility of sorption may be impeded by elevated proportion of chemisorption. In particular, aliphatic C-N/C-O groups cannot be regenerated following CO₂ sorption (Jung et al. 2019). Another strategy to improve CO_2 adsorption is to introduce metal oxides onto biochar (Fig. 7). For instance, Liu et al. (2013) fabricated MgO-modified biochar with a high adsorption capacity of 5.45 mmol/g. This is due to the fact that CO₂ also reacts with MgO on the biochar surface to form MgCO₃, and interacts with surface hydroxyl via hydrogen bonding apart from physical adsorption (Liu et al. 2013). CO₂ adsorption onto MgO modified biochar is also highly reversible (Lahijani et al. 2018). Modification by other metals can also increase the adsorption capacity as compared with the virgin biochar, but they may not be as effective as Mg loading. Lahijani et al. (2018) found that the sequence of the adsorption capacity for metal-biochar composites followed the order Mg>Al>Fe>Ni>Ca. An elevated abundance of basicity of biochar following metal doping (due to substitution of proton by metal ions) also accounted for increased CO_2 adsorption (Jung et al. 2019).

5 Selection of a suitable biochar for specific applications

To assure biochar's performances for specific applications, a wise selection of feedstock and pyrolysis condition is crucial. Figure 8 provides a practical guide for the selection of suitable feedstock and biochar fabrication conditions for specific purposes. Plant biomass as the feedstock material renders a low ash content as compared with animal waste or sludge feedstocks (Wang et al. 2020g). A high pyrolysis temperature results in elevated aromaticity and specific surface area (Keiluweit et al. 2010; Zhu et al. 2022b). Therefore, high ash and low temperature biochars are suitable for soil fertility improvement due to nutrient delivery and high labile carbon content (Hossain et al. 2020). High ash and high temperature biochars favor chemical catalysis due to the presence of alkali minerals as well as high conductivity (Ramos et al. 2022). Low ash and low temperature biochars are suitable to be applied for animal feeding because of high carbon content as well as high bioavailability of aliphatic carbon (Man et al. 2021). Low ash and high temperature biochars are suitable to be used for soil GHG emission mitigation (Lyu et al. 2022), CCUS (Jung et al. 2019), cement additive (Danish et al. 2021), and energy storage (Rawat et al. 2022) due to high carbon stability and high adsorption capacity. Biochars pyrolyzed at a medium temperature favor contaminant remediation because the co-existence of well-developed pores and abundant oxygen-containing functional groups (Ahmad et al. 2014; Hou et al. 2020; Hou et al. 2021; Xie et al. 2015). High ash biochars favor metal immobilization due to enhanced precipitation (Wang et al. 2021b), whereas low ash biochars favor organic contaminant retention due to enhanced hydrophobic interactions (Dai



Fig. 8 Optimum biochar properties for different applications towards carbon neutrality

et al. 2019). Besides, engineered biochars may also be fabricated, whose engineering methods are summarized by our previous works (Wang et al. 2022e; Wang et al. 2020g). For instance, nano-biochar with extremely high sorption capacities can improve biochar performances in CCUS (Kumar et al. 2020b).

6 Challenges and future directions

In this study it was found that biochar application mitigates as high as 5% of the global annual GHG emissions. Application of biochar to restore degraded land exhibits the highest potential for GHG emission reduction, whereas other potential non-soil applications, including aquaculture, green catalysis for biorefinery, cement additive, energy storage, and CCUS also hold much promise towards carbon neutrality. Despite the huge potential of biochar toward carbon neutrality, several challenges remain prior to its large-scale application for climate change mitigation, where future studies should work on.

For soil applications of biochar to mitigate GHG emissions, one crucial factor that future work should focus on is the long-term effectiveness. Evidence is mounting that biochar ages following field application, leading to a diminished or reversed priming effect. Biochar acidification, mineral dissolution, and dissolved organic carbon release in the long term also alter the microbial communities of the rhizosphere, leading to unpredictable GHG flux from soil to the atmosphere. The release and sorption of plant root exudates, and plant uptake of labile C and N also add much complexity to this system. Another challenge is that the biochar's performances for GHG emission mitigation are highly case specific. Dynamic and tunable physicochemical property of biochar on the one hand provides much opportunity to make use of this material for different soil applications. Nevertheless, it adds much uncertainty to the effectiveness of biochar for climate change mitigation in specific cases (Fig. 8). For instance, misuse of low temperature biochar for soil GHG emission mitigation would result in positive priming instead of negative priming, thus leading to stimulated loss of intrinsic soil organic carbon stock. In addition, the unneglectable role of soil matrix on biochar performances should also be taken into account. Previous meta-analyses have well proven that soil pH, texture, taxonomy, and organic matter content greatly alter biochar's performances in the soil environment. The effectiveness of biochar for GHG emission mitigation is also highly dependent on soil properties. Although existing reviews

and meta-analyses provide very useful information for the selection of a suitable biochar amendment, both lab and pilot-scale demonstrations are recommended prior to field implementation of biochar for GHG emission mitigation.

For non-soil applications of biochar towards carbon neutrality, more evidences from pilot scale demonstrations rather than lab experiments should be acquired. Biochar uses as animal feeding, energy storage, and CCUS are still in their infancy with only proof-of-concept studies at the lab scale. Underlying mechanisms are also poorly understood. For instance, there is still controversy over how biochar as an animal feed reduces methane emissions in ruminants. It is also still in doubt whether biochar can really improve the mechanical strength and carbon absorption characteristic simultaneously, because accelerated carbonation deteriorates the hydration products. To expand biochar's application towards carbon neutrality beyond traditional soil amendment, pilot- or full-scale demonstrations are required. Besides, engineered biochars, such as activated biochar, nano-biochar, and biochar composite, can be fabricated for specific purposes.

It's notable that using biochar as a "sustainable" amendment does not necessarily mean that the entire life cycle impact on ecosystem is always lower than that without biochar. Technical, economical, and social concerns should be carefully resolved (Hou 2021b; Jin et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2020e). Apart from aforementioned technical problems, several economical and social concerns should also be considered. The cost of the biochar system also affects the overall sustainability. Waste biomass should be obtained locally without a long traveling distance. The bio-oil and syn-gas reuse systems should be affordable for local communities and stakeholders. Besides, the farmer's motivation of using biochar against other soil amendments, and adding biochar to ruminant feed, should also be stimulated to assure biochar's successful fight against climate change.

Abbreviations

CCUS	Carbon capture utilization and storage
C-S-H	Calcium silicate hydrate
EDLC	Electrochemical double-layer capacitor
GSR	Green and Sustainable Remediation
GHG	Greenhouse gas
LID	Low-impact development
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PC	Pseudocapacitor
PGPB	Plant growth promoting bacteria

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Liuwei Wang: conceptualization, investigation, data analysis, writing – original draft; Jiayu Deng: investigation, writing – review & editing; Xiaodong Yang: data analysis, investigation; Renjie Hou: writing – review & editing; Deyi Hou: conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition, writing – review & editing. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 42077118).

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Author details

¹School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China. ²School of Water Conservancy and Civil Engineering, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150030, Heilongjiang, China.

Received: 21 October 2022 Revised: 2 January 2023 Accepted: 2 January 2023

Published online: 16 January 2023

References

- Abbruzzini TF, Moreira MZ, de Camargo PB, Conz RF, Cerri CEP (2017) Increasing rates of biochar application to soil induce stronger negative priming effect on soil organic carbon decomposition. Agric Res 6(4):389–398
- Abdalla K, Mutema M, Chivenge P, Everson C, Chaplot V (2022) Grassland rehabilitation significantly increases soil carbon stocks by reducing net soil CO2 emissions. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1250–1265
- Ahmad M, Rajapaksha AU, Lim JE, Zhang M, Bolan N, Mohan D, Vithanage M, Lee SS, Ok YS (2014) Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: a review. Chemosphere 99:19–33
- Ahmad MR, Chen B, Duan H (2020) Improvement effect of pyrolyzed agrofood biochar on the properties of magnesium phosphate cement. Sci Total Environ 718:137422
- Ali MB, Saidur R, Hossain MS (2011) A review on emission analysis in cement industries. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 15(5):2252–2261
- Alkurdi SSA, Herath I, Bundschuh J, Al-Juboori RA, Vithanage M, Mohan D (2019) Biochar versus bone char for a sustainable inorganic arsenic mitigation in water: what needs to be done in future research? Environ Int 127:52–69
- Al-Wabel MI, Hussain Q, Usman ARA, Ahmad M, Abduljabbar A, Sallam AS, Ok YS (2018) Impact of biochar properties on soil conditions and agricultural sustainability: a review. Land Degrad Dev 29(7):2124–2161
- Ameloot N, Maenhout P, De Neve S, Sleutel S (2016) Biochar-induced N2O emission reductions after field incorporation in a loam soil. Geoderma 267:10–16
- Amenaghawon AN, Anyalewechi CL, Okieimen CO, Kusuma HS (2021) Biomass pyrolysis technologies for value-added products: a state-of-the-art review. Environ Dev Sustain 23(10):14324–14378
- An N, Zhang L, Liu Y, Shen S, Li N, Wu Z, Yang J, Han W, Han X (2022) Biochar application with reduced chemical fertilizers improves soil pore structure and rice productivity. Chemosphere 298:134304
- Andrew RM (2018) Global CO2 emissions from cement production. Earth Syst Sci Data 10(1):195–217

- Aryal DR, Gómez-González RR, Hernández-Nuriasmú R, Morales-Ruiz DE (2019) Carbon stocks and tree diversity in scattered tree silvopastoral systems in Chiapas, Mexico. Agr Syst 93(1):213–227
- Asadi Zeidabadi Z, Bakhtiari S, Abbaslou H, Ghanizadeh AR (2018) Synthesis, characterization and evaluation of biochar from agricultural waste biomass for use in building materials. Construct Build Mater 181:301–308
- Asare MO, Afriyie JO, Hejcman M (2022) Analysis of physical and chemical characteristics of Anthrosols-the case of former Bremen missionary's settlement in Ghana. Soil Use Manage 38(1):741–756
- Ayaz M, Stulpinaite U, Feiziene D, Tilvikiene V, Akthar K, Baltenaite-Gedien E, Striugas N, Rehmani U, Alam S, Iqbal R, Toleikiene M, Doyeni M (2022) Pig manure digestate-derived biochar for soil management and crop cultivation in heavy metals contaminated soil. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1307–1321
- Bandara T, Franks A, Xu J, Bolan N, Wang H, Tang C (2020) Chemical and biological immobilization mechanisms of potentially toxic elements in biochar-amended soils. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 50(9):903–978
- Bartoli M, Giorcelli M, Jagdale P, Rovere M, Tagliaferro A (2020) A review of nonsoil biochar applications. Mater 13(2):261
- Baumann K, Eckhardt K-U, Schoening I, Schrumpf M, Leinweber P (2022) Clay fraction properties and grassland management imprint on soil organic matter composition and stability at molecular level. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1578–1596

Baveye PC (2021) Soil health at a crossroad. Soil Use Manage 37(2):215–219 Bertola M, Mattarozzi M, Sanangelantoni AM, Careri M, Visioli G (2019) PGPB

- colonizing three-year biochar-amended soil: towards biochar-mediated biofertilization. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 19(4):841–850
- Binh Thanh N, Vinh Ngoc N, Tong Xuan N, My Hoang N, Hao Phu D, Gai Dai D, Nghia Van N, Tan-Viet P (2022) High biochar rates may suppress rice (Oryza sativa) growth by altering the ratios of C to N and available N to P in paddy soils. Soil Use Manage 00:1–14
- Black JL, Davison TM, Box I (2021) Methane emissions from ruminants in Australia: mitigation potential and applicability of mitigation strategies. Animals 11(4):951
- Bolan N, Hoang SA, Beiyuan J, Gupta S, Hou D, Karakoti A, Joseph S, Jung S, Kim KH, Kirkham MB, Kua HW, Kumar M, Kwon EE, Ok YS, Perera V, Rinklebe J, Shaheen SM, Sarkar B, Sarmah AK, Singh BP, Singh G, Tsang DCW, Vikrant K, Vithanage M, Vinu A, Wang H, Wijesekara H, Yan Y, Younis SA, Van Zwieten L (2022) Multifunctional applications of biochar beyond carbon storage. Int Mater Rev 67(2):150–200
- Bombino G, Denisi P, Alfonso Gomez J, Zema DA, Zimbone SM (2022) Modelling the event-based hydrological response of olive groves on steep slopes and clayey soils under mulching and tillage management using the SCS-CN, Horton and USLE-family models. Soil Use Manage 00:1–17
- Bond-Lamberty B, Thomson A (2010) Temperature-associated increases in the global soil respiration record. Nature 464(7288):579–582
- Borchard N, Schirrmann M, Cayuela ML, Kammann C, Wrage-Mönnig N, Estavillo JM, Fuertes-Mendizábal T, Sigua G, Spokas K, Ippolito JA, Novak J (2019) Biochar, soil and land-use interactions that reduce nitrate leaching and N2O emissions: a meta-analysis. Sci Total Environ 651:2354–2364
- Borrelli P, Robinson DA, Panagos P, Lugato E, Yang JE, Alewell C, Wuepper D, Montanarella L, Ballabio C (2020) Land use and climate change impacts on global soil erosion by water (2015-2070). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117(36):21994–22001
- Bradford MA, Wieder WR, Bonan GB, Fierer N, Raymond PA, Crowther TW (2016) Managing uncertainty in soil carbon feedbacks to climate change. Nat Clim Chang 6(8):751–758
- Brassard P, Godbout S, Raghavan V (2017) Pyrolysis in auger reactors for biochar and bio-oil production: a review. Biosyst Eng 161:80–92
- Bruun EW, Muller-Stover D, Pedersen BN, Hansen LV, Petersen CT (2022) Ash and biochar amendment of coarse sandy soil for growing crops under drought conditions. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1280–1292
- Cao L, Zhang X, Xu Y, Xiang W, Wang R, Ding F, Hong P, Gao B (2022) Straw and wood based biochar for CO2 capture: adsorption performance and governing mechanisms. Sep Purif Technol 287:120592

- Case SD, McNamara NP, Reay DS, Stott AW, Grant HK, Whitaker J (2015) Biochar suppresses N2O emissions while maintaining N availability in a sandy loam soil. Soil Biol Biochem 81:178–185
- Case SD, McNamara NP, Reay DS, Whitaker J (2012) The effect of biochar addition on N2O and CO2 emissions from a sandy loam soil–the role of soil aeration. Soil Biol Biochem 51:125–134
- Cayuela M, Jeffery S, van Zwieten L (2015) The molar H: Corg ratio of biochar is a key factor in mitigating N2O emissions from soil. Agric Ecosyst Environ 202:135–138
- Cayuela ML, van Zwieten L, Singh BP, Jeffery S, Roig A, Sánchez-Monedero MA (2014) Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: a review and meta-analysis. Agric Ecosyst Environ 191:5–16
- Chang J, Peng S, Ciais P, Saunois M, Dangal SRS, Herrero M, Havlík P, Tian H, Bousquet P (2019) Revisiting enteric methane emissions from domestic ruminants and their δ 13CCH4 source signature. Nat Commun 10(1):3420
- Chatterjee R, Sajjadi B, Mattern DL, Chen WY, Zubatiuk T, Leszczynska D, Leszczynski J, Egiebor NO, Hammer N (2018) Ultrasound cavitation intensified amine functionalization: a feasible strategy for enhancing CO2 capture capacity of biochar. Fuel 225:287–298
- Chen G, Fang Y, Van Zwieten L, Xuan Y, Tavakkoli E, Wang X, Zhang R (2021a) Priming, stabilization and temperature sensitivity of native SOC is controlled by microbial responses and physicochemical properties of biochar. Soil Biol Biochem 154:108139
- Chen J, Sun X, Li L, Liu X, Zhang B, Zheng J, Pan G (2016) Change in active microbial community structure, abundance and carbon cycling in an acid rice paddy soil with the addition of biochar. Eur J Soil Sci 67(6):857–867
- Chen L, Zhang Y, Wang L, Ruan S, Chen J, Li H, Yang J, Mechtcherine V, Tsang DCW (2022a) Biochar-augmented carbon-negative concrete. Chem Eng J 431:133946
- Chen T, Zhao L, Gao X, Li L, Qin L (2022b) Modification of carbonation-cured cement mortar using biochar and its environmental evaluation. Cem Concr Compos 134:104764
- Chen X, Li F, Su S, Chen H, Zhang J, Cai D (2021b) Efficient honeycombshaped biochar anodes for lithium-ion batteries from Eichhornia crassipes biomass. Environ Chem Lett 19(4):3505–3510
- Chen X, Li J, Xue Q, Huang X, Liu L, Poon CS (2020) Sludge biochar as a green additive in cement-based composites: mechanical properties and hydration kinetics. Construct Build Mater 262:120723
- Cheng BH, Zeng RJ, Jiang H (2017) Recent developments of post-modification of biochar for electrochemical energy storage. Bioresour Technol 246:224–233
- Choi C, Ashby DS, Butts DM, DeBlock RH, Wei Q, Lau J, Dunn B (2020) Achieving high energy density and high power density with pseudocapacitive materials. Nat Rev Mater 5(1):5–19
- Corbett D, Lynch B, Wall DP, Tuohy P (2022) The response of finely textured and organic soils to lime and phosphorus application: results from an incubation experiment. Soil Use Manage 00:1–17
- Coromina HM, Walsh DA, Mokaya R (2016) Biomass-derived activated carbon with simultaneously enhanced CO 2 uptake for both pre and post combustion capture applications. J Mater Chem A 4(1):280–289
- Cuong DV, Matsagar BM, Lee M, Hossain MSA, Yamauchi Y, Vithanage M, Sarkar B, Ok YS, Wu KCW, Hou CH (2021) A critical review on biochar-based engineered hierarchical porous carbon for capacitive charge storage. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 145:111029
- da Silva RB, Antunes T, Rosa JS, Packer AP, Bento CB, do Carmo JB, de Melo Silva FA (2022) CO2, CH4 and N2O emissions after fertilizer application in banana plantations located in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1597–1613
- Dai Y, Zhang N, Xing C, Cui Q, Sun Q (2019) The adsorption, regeneration and engineering applications of biochar for removal organic pollutants: a review. Chemosphere 223:12–27
- Dai Z, Zhang X, Tang C, Muhammad N, Wu J, Brookes PC, Xu J (2017) Potential role of biochars in decreasing soil acidification-a critical review. Sci Total Environ 581:601–611
- Danish A, Ali Mosaberpanah M, Usama Salim M, Ahmad N, Ahmad F, Ahmad A (2021) Reusing biochar as a filler or cement replacement material in cementitious composites: a review. Construct Build Mater 300:124295

De Carvalho GS, Zhou JL, Zeng X, Long G (2022) Water treatment sludge conversion to biochar as cementitious material in cement composite. J Environ Manage 306:114463

- de Medeiros EV, Lima NT, de Sousa LJR, Pinto KMS, da Costa DP, Franco Junior CL, Souza RMS, Hammecker C (2021) Biochar as a strategy to manage plant diseases caused by pathogens inhabiting the soil: a critical review. Phytoparasitica 49(4):713–726
- Denevan WM (1992) The pristine myth: the landscape of the Americas in 1492. Ann Assoc Am Geogr 82(3):369–385
- Deng S, Wei H, Chen T, Wang B, Huang J, Yu G (2014) Superior CO2 adsorption on pine nut shell-derived activated carbons and the effective micropores at different temperatures. Chem Eng J 253:46–54
- Di X, Xiao B, Tang H, An X (2022) The interactions between AI—/Fe-(hydr) oxides and soil organic carbon mediate the aggregation of yellow soils. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1644–1655
- Ding F, Van Zwieten L, Zhang W, Weng ZH, Shi S, Wang J, Meng J (2018) A meta-analysis and critical evaluation of influencing factors on soil carbon priming following biochar amendment. J Soils Sed 18(4):1507–1517
- Dissanayake PD, Choi SW, Igalavithana AD, Yang X, Tsang DC, Wang C-H, Kua HW, Lee KB, Ok YS (2020) Sustainable gasification biochar as a high efficiency adsorbent for CO2 capture: a facile method to designer biochar fabrication. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 124:109785
- Dissanayake PD, Palansooriya KN, Sang MK, Oh DX, Park J, Hwang SY, Igalavithana AD, Gu C, Ok YS (2022) Combined effect of biochar and soil moisture on soil chemical properties and microbial community composition in microplastic-contaminated agricultural soil. Soil Use Manage 38(3):1446–1458
- Dixit A, Gupta S, Pang SD, Kua HW (2019) Waste valorisation using biochar for cement replacement and internal curing in ultra-high performance concrete. J Clean Prod 238:117876
- Dong L, Martinsen V, Wu Y, Zheng Y, Liang C, Liu Z, Mulder J (2021) Effect of grazing exclusion and rotational grazing on labile soil organic carbon in North China. Eur J Soil Sci 72(1):372–384
- Eckart K, McPhee Z, Bolisetti T (2017) Performance and implementation of low impact development a review. Sci Total Environ 607-608:413–432
- Eden MJ, Bray W, Herrera L, McEwan C (1984) Terra preta soils and their archaeological context in the Caquetá basin of Southeast Colombia. Am Antiq 49(1):125–140
- Ekolu S (2016) A review on effects of curing, sheltering, and CO2 concentration upon natural carbonation of concrete. Construct Build Mater 127:306–320
- European Parliament (2022) What is carbon neutrality and how can it be achieved by 2050? European Parliament, Strasbourg Retrieved from https://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/headlines/society/20190 926STO62270/what-is-carbon-neutrality-and-how-can-it-be-achie ved-by-2050
- Evans A, Boney J, Moritz J (2017) The effect of poultry litter biochar on pellet quality, one to 21 d broiler performance, digesta viscosity, bone mineralization, and apparent ileal amino acid digestibility. J Appl Poultry Res 26(1):89–98
- Fan J, Kalnes TN, Alward M, Klinger J, Sadehvandi A, Shonnard DR (2011) Life cycle assessment of electricity generation using fast pyrolysis bio-oil. Renew Energy 36(2):632–641
- Fang Y, Singh B, Singh BP (2015) Effect of temperature on biochar priming effects and its stability in soils. Soil Biol Biochem 80:136–145
- Fang Y, Singh BP, Nazaries L, Keith A, Tavakkoli E, Wilson N, Singh B (2019) Interactive carbon priming, microbial response and biochar persistence in a vertisol with varied inputs of biochar and labile organic matter. Eur J Soil Sci 70(5):960–974
- FAO (2015) Soil is a non-renewable resource. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome
- Feng Y, Xu Y, Yu Y, Xie Z, Lin X (2012) Mechanisms of biochar decreasing methane emission from Chinese paddy soils. Soil Biol Biochem 46:80–88
- Ferrara RM, Campi P, Muschitiello C, Leogrande R, Vonella AV, Ventrella D, Rana G (2022) Soil respiration during three cropping cycles of durum wheat under different tillage conditions in a Mediterranean environment. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1547–1563
- Figueiredo J, Valenzuela C, Bernalte A, Encinar J (1989) Pyrolysis of holm-oak wood: influence of temperature and particle size. Fuel 68(8):1012–1016

- Friedlingstein P, Jones MW, O'Sullivan M, Andrew RM, Bakker DC, Hauck J, Le Quéré C, Peters GP, Peters W, Pongratz J (2022) Global carbon budget 2021. Earth Syst Sci Data 14(4):1917–2005
- Gao M, Wang W-K, Zheng Y-M, Zhao Q-B, Yu H-Q (2020) Hierarchically porous biochar for supercapacitor and electrochemical H2O2 production. Chem Eng J 402:126171
- Gascó G, Paz-Ferreiro J, Cely P, Plaza C, Méndez A (2016) Influence of pig manure and its biochar on soil CO2 emissions and soil enzymes. Ecol Eng 95:19–24
- Gasser SAA, Nielsen K, Franko U (2022) Transfer of carbon incubation parameters to model the SOC and SON dynamics of a field trial with energy crops applying digestates as organic fertilizers. Soil Use Manage 00:1–15
- GCCA (2022) About cement & concrete: Key facts. Global Cement and Concrete Association, London Retrieved from https://gccassociation.org/ key-facts/
- Gerlach A, Schmidt H-P (2012) The use of biochar in cattle farming. Ithaka J 2012:281–285
- Ghosh D, Maiti SK (2021) Biochar-assisted eco-restoration of coal mine degraded land to meet united nation sustainable development goals. Land Degrad Dev 32(16):4494–4508
- Gibbs H, Salmon JM (2015) Mapping the world's degraded lands. Appl Geogr 57:12–21
- Glaser B, Parr M, Braun C, Kopolo G (2009) Biochar is carbon negative. Nat Geosci 2(1):2–2
- Gonzalez-Canche NG, Carrillo JG, Escobar-Morales B, Salgado-Tránsito I, Pacheco N, Pech-Cohuo SC, Peña-Cruz MI (2021) Physicochemical and optical characterization of citrus aurantium derived biochar for solar absorber applications. Mater 14(16):4756
- Gupta S, Krishnan P, Kashani A, Kua HW (2020a) Application of biochar from coconut and wood waste to reduce shrinkage and improve physical properties of silica fume-cement mortar. Construct Build Mater 262:120688
- Gupta S, Kua HW (2018) Effect of water entrainment by pre-soaked biochar particles on strength and permeability of cement mortar. Construct Build Mater 159:107–125
- Gupta S, Kua HW (2019) Carbonaceous micro-filler for cement: effect of particle size and dosage of biochar on fresh and hardened properties of cement mortar. Sci Total Environ 662:952–962
- Gupta S, Kua HW, Dai Pang S (2018a) Biochar-mortar composite: manufacturing, evaluation of physical properties and economic viability. Construct Build Mater 167:874–889
- Gupta S, Kua HW, Koh HJ (2018b) Application of biochar from food and wood waste as green admixture for cement mortar. Sci Total Environ 619:419–435
- Gupta S, Kua HW, Low CY (2018c) Use of biochar as carbon sequestering additive in cement mortar. Cem Concr Compos 87:110–129
- Gupta S, Kua HW, Pang SD (2020b) Effect of biochar on mechanical and permeability properties of concrete exposed to elevated temperature. Construct Build Mater 234:117338
- Gupta S, Mondal P, Borugadda VB, Dalai AK (2021a) Advances in upgradation of pyrolysis bio-oil and biochar towards improvement in biorefinery economics: a comprehensive review. Environ Technol Innov 21:101276
- Gupta S, Muthukrishnan S, Kua HW (2021b) Comparing influence of inert biochar and silica rich biochar on cement mortar–hydration kinetics and durability under chloride and sulfate environment. Construct Build Mater 268:121142
- Hagemann N, Harter J, Kaldamukova R, Guzman-Bustamante I, Ruser R, Graeff S, Kappler A, Behrens S (2017) Does soil aging affect the N2O mitigation potential of biochar? A combined microcosm and field study. GCB Bioenergy 9(5):953–964
- Han Y, Xu Y, Shi SQ, Li J, Fang Z (2022) Cuttlebone-inspired magnesium oxychloride cement reinforced by biochar as green adhesive for wood industry. J Clean Prod 370:133365
- Hansen H, Storm ID, Sell A (2012) Effect of biochar on in vitro rumen methane production. Acta Agric Scand Sect A 62(4):305–309
- Haris Javed M, Ali Sikandar M, Ahmad W, Tariq Bashir M, Alrowais R, Bilal Wadud M (2022) Effect of various biochars on physical, mechanical, and microstructural characteristics of cement pastes and mortars. J Build Eng 57:104850

- Harter J, Krause H-M, Schuettler S, Ruser R, Fromme M, Scholten T, Kappler A, Behrens S (2014) Linking N2O emissions from biochar-amended soil to the structure and function of the N-cycling microbial community. ISME J 8(3):660–674
- Hazmi B, Rashid U, Ibrahim ML, Nehdi IA, Azam M, Al-Resayes SI (2021) Synthesis and characterization of bifunctional magnetic nano-catalyst from rice husk for production of biodiesel. Environ Technol Innov 21:101296
- He C, Niu J-R, Xu C-T, Han S-W, Bai W, Song Q-L, Dang YP, Zhang H-L (2022a) Effect of conservation tillage on crop yield and soil organic carbon in Northeast China: a meta-analysis. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1146–1161
- He M, Xu Z, Hou D, Gao B, Cao X, Ok YS, Rinklebe J, Bolan NS, Tsang DCW (2022b) Waste-derived biochar for water pollution control and sustainable development. Nat Rev Earth Environ 3(7):444–460
- He Y, Wang Y, Jiang Y, Yin G, Cao S, Liu X, Wang R, Wu Z, Chen F (2022c) Drivers of soil respiration and nitrogen mineralization change after litter management at a subtropical Chinese sweetgum tree plantation. Soil Use Manage 00:1–12
- Hossain MZ, Bahar MM, Sarkar B, Donne SW, Ok YS, Palansooriya KN, Kirkham MB, Chowdhury S, Bolan N (2020) Biochar and its importance on nutrient dynamics in soil and plant. Biochar 2(4):379–420
- Hou D (2020) Sustainable remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater: materials, processes, and assessment. Elsevier, Oxford
- Hou D (2021a) Biochar for sustainable soil management. Soil Use Manage 37(1):2–6
- Hou D (2021b) Sustainable remediation in China: elimination, immobilization, or dilution. Environ Sci Technol 55(23):15572–15574
- Hou D (2021c) Sustainable soil management and climate change mitigation. Soil Use Manage 37(2):220–223
- Hou D (2022) Expediting climate-smart soils management. Soil Use Manage 38(1):1–6
- Hou J, Cao C, Idrees F, Ma X (2015) Hierarchical porous nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets derived from silk for ultrahigh-capacity battery anodes and supercapacitors. ACS Nano 9(3):2556–2564
- Hou R, Wang L, O'Connor D, Rinklebe J, Hou D (2022) Natural field freezethaw process leads to different performances of soil amendments towards cd immobilization and enrichment. Sci Total Environ 831:154880
- Hou R, Wang L, O'Connor D, Tsang DCW, Rinklebe J, Hou D (2020) Effect of immobilizing reagents on soil cd and Pb lability under freeze-thaw cycles: implications for sustainable agricultural management in seasonally frozen land. Environ Int 144:106040
- Hou R, Wang L, Shen Z, Alessi DS, Hou D (2021) Simultaneous reduction and immobilization of Cr(VI) in seasonally frozen areas: remediation mechanisms and the role of ageing. J Hazard Mater 415:125650
- Howson TR, Chapman PJ, Holden J, Shah N, Anderson R (2022) A comparison of peat properties in intact, afforested and restored raised and blanket bogs. Soil Use Manage 00:1–18
- Huang YF, Chiueh PT, Shih CH, Lo SL, Sun L, Zhong Y, Qiu C (2015) Microwave pyrolysis of rice straw to produce biochar as an adsorbent for CO2 capture. Energy 84:75–82
- IBI (2015) Standardized product definition and product testing guidelines for biochar that is used in soil. Int Biochar Initiat 2:1–61
- IEA (2022) Carbon capture, utilisation and storage. International Energy Agency, Paris Retrieved from https://www.iea.org/fuels-and-techn ologies/carbon-capture-utilisation-and-storage
- IFIF (2021) International Feed Industry Federation ANNUAL REPORT 2020/21. International Feed Industry Federation, Wiehl
- Ippolito JA, Cui L, Kammann C, Wrage-Mönnig N, Estavillo JM, Fuertes-Mendizabal T, Cayuela ML, Sigua G, Novak J, Spokas K, Borchard N (2020) Feedstock choice, pyrolysis temperature and type influence biochar characteristics: a comprehensive meta-data analysis review. Biochar 2(4):421–438
- Islam MA, Bell RW, Johansen C, Jahiruddin M, Haque ME, Vance W (2022) Conservation agriculture practice influences soil organic carbon pools in intensive rice-based systems of the eastern indo-Gangetic plain. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1217–1236
- Islam MU, Jiang F, Guo Z, Peng X (2021) Does biochar application improve soil aggregation? A meta-analysis. Soil Tillage Res 209:104926
- Jandosov J, Mikhalovska L, Howell C, Chenchik D, Kosher B, Lyubchik SB, Silvestre-Albero J, Ablaikhanova N, Srailova G, Tuleukhanov S (2017)

Synthesis, morphostructure, surface chemistry and preclinical studies of nanoporous rice husk-derived biochars for gastrointestinal detoxification. Eurasian Chem-Technol J 19(4):303–313

- Jeanmairet G, Rotenberg B, Salanne M (2022) Microscopic simulations of electrochemical double-layer capacitors. Chem Rev 122(12):10860–10898
- Jeffery S, Verheijen FG, Kammann C, Abalos D (2016) Biochar effects on methane emissions from soils: a meta-analysis. Soil Biol Biochem 101:251–258
- Ji M, Zhou L, Zhang S, Luo G, Sang W (2020) Effects of biochar on methane emission from paddy soil: focusing on DOM and microbial communities. Sci Total Environ 743:140725
- Jia H, Wang Z, Zhen X, Clar M, Yu SL (2017) China's sponge city construction: a discussion on technical approaches. Front Environ Sci Eng 11(4):1–11
- Jin Y, Wang L, Song Y, Zhu J, Qin M, Wu L, Hu P, Li F, Fang L, Chen C, Hou D (2021) Integrated life cycle assessment for sustainable remediation of contaminated agricultural soil in China. Environ Sci Technol 55(17):12032–12042
- Johnson MS, Webster C, Jassal RS, Hawthorne I, Black TA (2017) Biochar influences on soil CO2 and CH4 fluxes in response to wetting and drying cycles for a forest soil. Sci Rep 7(1):1–9
- Joseph S, Cowie AL, Van Zwieten L, Bolan N, Budai A, Buss W, Cayuela ML, Graber ER, Ippolito JA, Kuzyakov Y, Luo Y, Ok YS, Palansooriya KN, Shepherd J, Stephens S, Weng Z, Lehmann J (2021) How biochar works, and when it doesn't: a review of mechanisms controlling soil and plant responses to biochar. GCB Bioenergy 13(11):1731–1764
- Jung S, Park YK, Kwon EE (2019) Strategic use of biochar for CO2 capture and sequestration. J CO2 Util 32:128–139
- Karimi M, Shirzad M, Silva JAC, Rodrigues AE (2022) Biomass/biochar carbon materials for CO2 capture and sequestration by cyclic adsorption processes: a review and prospects for future directions. J CO2 Util 57:101890
- Keiluweit M, Nico PS, Johnson MG, Kleber M (2010) Dynamic molecular structure of plant biomass-derived black carbon (biochar). Environ Sci Technol 44(4):1247–1253
- Keith A, Singh B, Singh BP (2011) Interactive priming of biochar and labile organic matter mineralization in a smectite-rich soil. Environ Sci Technol 45(22):9611–9618
- Kim J, Yi Y, Peck D-H, Yoon S-H, Jung D-H, Park HS (2019) Controlling hierarchical porous structures of rice-husk-derived carbons for improved capacitive deionization performance. Environ Sci Nano 6(3):916–924
- Kleiner K (2009) The bright prospect of biochar. Nat Clim Chang 1(906):72-74
- Kumar M, Xiong X, Sun Y, Yu IKM, Tsang DCW, Hou D, Gupta J, Bhaskar T, Pandey A (2020a) Critical review on biochar-supported catalysts for pollutant degradation and sustainable biorefinery. Adv Sustain Syst 4(10):1900149
- Kumar M, Xiong X, Wan Z, Sun Y, Tsang DCW, Gupta J, Gao B, Cao X, Tang J, Ok YS (2020b) Ball milling as a mechanochemical technology for fabrication of novel biochar nanomaterials. Bioresour Technol 312:123613
- Kumari S, Maiti SK (2022) Nitrogen recovery in reclaimed mine soil under different amendment practices in tandem with legume and non-legume revegetation: a review. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1113–1145
- Kung CC, Fei CJ, McCarl BA, Fan X (2022) A review of biopower and mitigation potential of competing pyrolysis methods. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 162:112443
- Kuppusamy S, Thavamani P, Megharaj M, Venkateswarlu K, Naidu R (2016) Agronomic and remedial benefits and risks of applying biochar to soil: current knowledge and future research directions. Environ Int 87:1–12
- Kuzyakov Y, Friedel J, Stahr K (2000) Review of mechanisms and quantification of priming effects. Soil Biol Biochem 32(11–12):1485–1498
- Laghari M, Naidu R, Xiao B, Hu Z, Mirjat MS, Hu M, Kandhro MN, Chen Z, Guo D, Jogi Q, Abudi ZN, Fazal S (2016) Recent developments in biochar as an effective tool for agricultural soil management: a review. J Sci Food Agric 96(15):4840–4849
- Lahijani P, Mohammadi M, Mohamed AR (2018) Metal incorporated biochar as a potential adsorbent for high capacity CO2 capture at ambient condition. J CO2 Util 26:281–293
- Lawrinenko M, Laird DA (2015) Anion exchange capacity of biochar. Green Chem 17(9):4628–4636
- Le Mer J, Roger P (2001) Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soils: a review. Eur J Soil Biol 37(1):25–50

- Lee SI, Park HJ, Jeong YJ, Seo BS, Kwak JH, Yang HI, Xu X, Tang S, Cheng W, Lim SS, Choi WJ (2021) Biochar-induced reduction of N2O emission from east Asian soils under aerobic conditions: review and data analysis. Environ Pollut 291:118154
- Lee XJ, Ong HC, Gan YY, Chen WH, Mahlia TMI (2020a) State of art review on conventional and advanced pyrolysis of macroalgae and microalgae for biochar, bio-oil and bio-syngas production. Energ Conver Manage 210:112707
- Lee Y, Lee SW, Tsang YF, Kim YT, Lee J (2020b) Engineered rice-straw biochar catalysts for the production of value-added chemicals from furan. Chem Eng J 387:124194
- Legan M, Gotvajn AŽ, Zupan K (2022) Potential of biochar use in building materials. J Environ Manage 309:114704
- Lehmann J (2007) A handful of carbon. Nature 447(7141):143-144
- Lehmann J, Cowie A, Masiello CA, Kammann C, Woolf D, Amonette JE, Cayuela ML, Camps-Arbestain M, Whitman T (2021) Biochar in climate change mitigation. Nat Geosci 14(12):883–892
- Lehmann J, Czimczik C, Laird D, Sohi S (2012) Stability of biochar in soil. In: Biochar for environmental management. Routledge, London, pp 215–238
- Lehmann J, Hansel CM, Kaiser C, Kleber M, Maher K, Manzoni S, Nunan N, Reichstein M, Schimel JP, Torn MS, Wieder WR, Kögel-Knabner I (2020) Persistence of soil organic carbon caused by functional complexity. Nat Geosci 13(8):529–534
- Lehmann J, Rillig MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC, Crowley D (2011) Biochar effects on soil biota–a review. Soil Biol Biochem 43(9):1812–1836
- Lei W, Wang X, Zhang Y, Luo Z, Xia P, Zou Y, Ma Z, Pan Y, Lin S (2021) Facile synthesis of Fe3C nano-particles/porous biochar cathode materials for lithium sulfur battery. J Alloys Compd 853:157024
- Leng RA, Inthapanya S, Preston TR (2012) Methane production is reduced in an in vitro incubation when the rumen fluid is taken from cattle that previously received biochar in their diet. Livest Res Rural Dev 24(11):211
- Li S, Harris S, Anandhi A, Chen G (2019) Predicting biochar properties and functions based on feedstock and pyrolysis temperature: a review and data syntheses. J Clean Prod 215:890–902
- Li X, Zhang J, Liu B, Su Z (2021) A critical review on the application and recent developments of post-modified biochar in supercapacitors. J Clean Prod 310:127428
- Liao X, Chen Y, Ruan H, Malghani S (2021) Incapability of biochar to mitigate biogas slurry induced N2O emissions: field investigations after 7 years of biochar application in a poplar plantation. Sci Total Environ 794:148572
- Liao X, Niu Y, Liu D, Chen Z, He T, Luo J, Lindsey S, Ding W (2020) Four-year continuous residual effects of biochar application to a sandy loam soil on crop yield and N2O and NO emissions under maize-wheat rotation. Agric Ecosyst Environ 302:107109
- Liu D, Zhang W, Lin H, Li Y, Lu H, Wang Y (2015) Hierarchical porous carbon based on the self-templating structure of rice husk for high-performance supercapacitors. RSC Adv 5(25):19294–19300
- Liu G-H, Zong Z-M, Liu Z-Q, Liu F-J, Zhang Y-Y, Wei X-Y (2018a) Solvent-controlled selective hydrodeoxygenation of bio-derived guaiacol to arenes or phenols over a biochar supported co-doped MoO2 catalyst. Fuel Process Technol 179:114–123
- Liu W, Fan H, Shen W, Qu S (2016) Facile and sustainable synthesis of Co3O4@ hollow-carbon-fiber for a binder-free supercapacitor electrode. ChemistrySelect 1(20):6469–6475
- Liu W, Li K, Xu S (2022a) Utilizing bamboo biochar in cement mortar as a bio-modifier to improve the compressive strength and crack-resistance fracture ability. Construct Build Mater 327:126917
- Liu W-J, Jiang H, Tian K, Ding Y-W, Yu H-Q (2013) Mesoporous carbon stabilized MgO nanoparticles synthesized by pyrolysis of MgCl2 preloaded waste biomass for highly efficient CO2 capture. Environ Sci Technol 47(16):9397–9403
- Liu Y, Chen Y, Wang Y, Lu H, He L, Yang S (2018b) Negative priming effect of three kinds of biochar on the mineralization of native soil organic carbon. Land Degrad Dev 29(11):3985–3994
- Liu Z, Deng Z, He G, Wang H, Zhang X, Lin J, Qi Y, Liang X (2022b) Challenges and opportunities for carbon neutrality in China. Nat Rev Earth Environ 3(2):141–155
- Lu HR, El Hanandeh A (2019) Life cycle perspective of bio-oil and biochar production from hardwood biomass; what is the optimum mix and what to do with it? J Clean Prod 212:173–189

- Lu W, Ding W, Zhang J, Li Y, Luo J, Bolan N, Xie Z (2014) Biochar suppressed the decomposition of organic carbon in a cultivated sandy loam soil: a negative priming effect. Soil Biol Biochem 76:12–21
- Lunt PH, Fuller K, Fox M, Goodhew S, Murphy TR (2022) Comparing the thermal conductivity of three artificial soils under differing moisture and density conditions for use in green infrastructure. Soil Use Manage 00:1–10
- Luo Y, Dungait JA, Zhao X, Brookes PC, Durenkamp M, Li G, Lin Q (2018) Pyrolysis temperature during biochar production alters its subsequent utilization by microorganisms in an acid arable soil. Land Degrad Dev 29(7):2183–2188
- Lupwayi NZ, Ellert BH, Bremer E, Smith EG, Petri RM, Neilson JAD, Janzen HH (2022) Ramifications of crop residue loading for soil microbial community composition, activity and nutrient supply. Soil Use Manage 00:1–13
- Lv C, Shen Z, Cheng Q, Tang C-S, Wang Y, Gu K (2022) Effects of biochar and polypropylene fibre on mechanical behaviour of cement-solidified sludge. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1667–1678
- Lyu H, He Y, Tang J, Hecker M, Liu Q, Jones PD, Codling G, Giesy JP (2016) Effect of pyrolysis temperature on potential toxicity of biochar if applied to the environment. Environ Pollut 218:1–7
- Lyu H, Zhang H, Chu M, Zhang C, Tang J, Chang SX, Mašek O, Ok YS (2022) Biochar affects greenhouse gas emissions in various environments: a critical review. Land Degrad Dev 33(17):3327–3342

Maestrini B, Nannipieri P, Abiven S (2015) A meta-analysis on pyrogenic organic matter induced priming effect. GCB Bioenergy 7(4):577–590

- Maljaee H, Madadi R, Paiva H, Tarelho L, Ferreira VM (2021a) Incorporation of biochar in cementitious materials: a roadmap of biochar selection. Construct Build Mater 283:122757
- Maljaee H, Paiva H, Madadi R, Tarelho LAC, Morais M, Ferreira VM (2021b) Effect of cement partial substitution by waste-based biochar in mortars properties. Construct Build Mater 301:124074
- Man KY, Chow KL, Man YB, Mo WY, Wong MH (2021) Use of biochar as feed supplements for animal farming. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 51(2):187–217
- Manyà JJ, González B, Azuara M, Arner G (2018) Ultra-microporous adsorbents prepared from vine shoots-derived biochar with high CO2 uptake and CO2/N2 selectivity. Chem Eng J 345:631–639
- Marris E (2006) Putting the carbon back: Black is the new green. Nature 442(7103):624–626
- Maschio G, Koufopanos C, Lucchesi A (1992) Pyrolysis, a promising route for biomass utilization. Bioresour Technol 42(3):219–231
- Masoumi S, Borugadda VB, Nanda S, Dalai AK (2021) Hydrochar: a review on its production technologies and applications. Catalysts 11(8):939
- Mobarak F, Fahmy Y, Schweers W (1982) Production of phenols and charcoal from bagasse by a rapid continuous pyrolysis process. Wood Sci Technol 16(1):59–66
- Mohanty SK, Valenca R, Berger AW, Yu IKM, Xiong X, Saunders TM, Tsang DCW (2018) Plenty of room for carbon on the ground: potential applications of biochar for stormwater treatment. Sci Total Environ 625:1644–1658
- Murray J, Keith A, Singh B (2015) The stability of low- and high-ash biochars in acidic soils of contrasting mineralogy. Soil Biol Biochem 89:217–225
- Nan Q, Hu S, Qin Y, Wu W (2021a) Methane oxidation activity inhibition via high amount aged biochar application in paddy soil. Sci Total Environ 796:149050
- Nan Q, Xin L, Qin Y, Waqas M, Wu W (2021b) Exploring long-term effects of biochar on mitigating methane emissions from paddy soil: a review. Biochar 3(2):125–134
- Nejati B, Adami P, Bozorg A, Tavasoli A, Mirzahosseini AH (2020) Catalytic pyrolysis and bio-products upgrading derived from Chlorella vulgaris over its biochar and activated biochar-supported Fe catalysts. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 152:104799
- Nelissen V, Saha BK, Ruysschaert G, Boeckx P (2014) Effect of different biochar and fertilizer types on N2O and NO emissions. Soil Biol Biochem 70:244–255
- Nematian M, Keske C, Ng'ombe JN (2021) A techno-economic analysis of biochar production and the bioeconomy for orchard biomass. Waste Manag 135:467–477
- Nie S, Li R, Xin Y, Tan Y, Miao C, Xiang Y, Xiao W (2021) Enhanced cycling performance of Sn nanoparticles embedded into the pyrolytic biochar from tea-seed shells as composite anode materials for lithium ions batteries. Solid State Ion 368:115703

- Nirmaladevi S, Boopathiraja R, Kandasamy SK, Sathishkumar S, Parthibavarman M (2021) Wood based biochar supported MnO2 nanorods for high energy asymmetric supercapacitor applications. Surf Interfaces 27:101548
- Osman AI, Fawzy S, Farghali M, El-Azazy M, Elgarahy AM, Fahim RA, Maksoud MIAA, Ajlan AA, Yousry M, Saleem Y, Rooney DW (2022) Biochar for agronomy, animal farming, anaerobic digestion, composting, water treatment, soil remediation, construction, energy storage, and carbon sequestration: a review. Environ Chem Lett 20:2385–2485
- Palansooriya KN, Wong JTF, Hashimoto Y, Huang L, Rinklebe J, Chang SX, Bolan N, Wang H, Ok YS (2019) Response of microbial communities to biochar-amended soils: a critical review. Biochar 1(1):3–22
- Paneque M, De la Rosa JM, Franco-Navarro JD, Colmenero-Flores JM, Knicker H (2016) Effect of biochar amendment on morphology, productivity and water relations of sunflower plants under non-irrigation conditions. Catena 147:280–287
- Paravannoor A (2018) One-pot synthesis of biochar wrapped Ni/NiO nanobrick composites for supercapacitor applications. J Electroanal Chem 823:656–662
- Park JH, Kim YU, Jeon J, Yun BY, Kang Y, Kim S (2021) Analysis of biochar-mortar composite as a humidity control material to improve the building energy and hygrothermal performance. Sci Total Environ 775:145552
- Peng J, Han X, Li N, Chen K, Yang J, Zhan X, Luo P, Liu N (2021) Combined application of biochar with fertilizer promotes nitrogen uptake in maize by increasing nitrogen retention in soil. Biochar 3(3):367–379
- Praneeth S, Saavedra L, Zeng M, Dubey BK, Sarmah AK (2021) Biochar admixtured lightweight, porous and tougher cement mortars: mechanical, durability and micro computed tomography analysis. Sci Total Environ 750:142327
- Praneeth S, Zameer A, Zhang N, Dubey BK, Sarmah AK (2022) Biochar admixture cement mortar fines for adsorptive removal of heavy metals in single and multimetal solution: insights into the sorption mechanisms and environmental significance. Sci Total Environ 839:155992
- Prasai TP, Walsh KB, Bhattarai SP, Midmore DJ, Van TT, Moore RJ, Stanley D (2016) Biochar, bentonite and zeolite supplemented feeding of layer chickens alters intestinal microbiota and reduces campylobacter load. PLoS One 11(4):e0154061
- Qayyum MF, Liaquat F, Rehman RA, Gul M, ul Hye MZ, Rizwan M, Rehaman MZ (2017) Effects of co-composting of farm manure and biochar on plant growth and carbon mineralization in an alkaline soil. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24(33):26060–26068
- Qiao Y, Zhang C, Kong F, Zhao Q, Kong A, Shan Y (2021) Activated biochar derived from peanut shells as the electrode materials with excellent performance in zinc-air battery and supercapacitance. Waste Manag 125:257–267
- Qin Y, Pang X, Tan K, Bao T (2021) Evaluation of pervious concrete performance with pulverized biochar as cement replacement. Cem Concr Compos 119:104022
- Qiu Q (2020) A state-of-the-art review on the carbonation process in cementitious materials: fundamentals and characterization techniques. Construct Build Mater 247:118503
- Qiu S, Nie J, Long S, Lu Y, Zhao S, Xu X, He P, Liao Y, Zhou W (2022) Aggregate mass and carbon stocks in a paddy soil after long-term application of chemical or organic fertilizers. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1564–1577
- Ramos R, Abdelkader-fernández VK, Matos R, Peixoto AF, Fernandes DM (2022) Metal-supported biochar catalysts for sustainable biorefinery, electrocatalysis and energy storage applications: a review. Catalysts 12(2):207
- Rasul M, Cho J, Shin HS, Hur J (2022) Biochar-induced priming effects in soil via modifying the status of soil organic matter and microflora: a review. Sci Total Environ 805:150304
- Rawat S, Mishra RK, Bhaskar T (2022) Biomass derived functional carbon materials for supercapacitor applications. Chemosphere 286:131961
- Razzaghi F, Obour PB, Arthur E (2020) Does biochar improve soil water retention? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Geoderma 361:114055
- Richardson Y, Blin J, Volle G, Motuzas J, Julbe A (2010) In situ generation of Ni metal nanoparticles as catalyst for H2-rich syngas production from biomass gasification. Appl Catal, A 382(2):220–230
- Rickson R, Deeks L, Graves A, Harris J, Kibblewhite M, Sakrabani R (2015) Input constraints to food production: the impact of soil degradation. Food Secur 7(2):351–364

- Roberts KG, Gloy BA, Joseph S, Scott NR, Lehmann J (2010) Life cycle assessment of biochar systems: estimating the energetic, economic, and climate change potential. Environ Sci Technol 44(2):827–833
- Rodier L, Bilba K, Onésippe C, Arsène MA (2019) Utilization of bio-chars from sugarcane bagasse pyrolysis in cement-based composites. Ind Crop Prod 141:111731
- Rombel A, Krasucka P, Oleszczuk P (2022) Sustainable biochar-based soil fertilizers and amendments as a new trend in biochar research. Sci Total Environ 816:151588
- Romero CM, Redman A-AP, Owens J, Terry SA, Ribeiro GO, Gorzelak MA, Oldenburg TB, Hazendonk P, Larney FJ, Hao X (2022) Effects of feeding a pine-based biochar to beef cattle on subsequent manure nutrients, organic matter composition and greenhouse gas emissions. Sci Total Environ 812:152267
- Roy P, Dias G (2017) Prospects for pyrolysis technologies in the bioenergy sector: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 77:59–69
- Ryu DJ, Oh RG, Seo YD, Oh SY, Ryu KS (2016) Recovery and electrochemical performance in lithium secondary batteries of biochar derived from rice straw. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22(14):10405–10412
- Sackett TE, Basiliko N, Noyce GL, Winsborough C, Schurman J, Ikeda C, Thomas SC (2015) Soil and greenhouse gas responses to biochar additions in a temperate hardwood forest. GCB Bioenergy 7(5):1062–1074
- Salanne M, Rotenberg B, Naoi K, Kaneko K, Taberna P-L, Grey CP, Dunn B, Simon P (2016) Efficient storage mechanisms for building better supercapacitors. Nat Energy 1(6):1–10
- Saleem AM, Ribeiro GO Jr, Yang WZ, Ran T, Beauchemin KA, McGeough EJ, Ominski KH, Okine EK, McAllister TA (2018) Effect of engineered biocarbon on rumen fermentation, microbial protein synthesis, and methane production in an artificial rumen (RUSITEC) fed a high forage diet. J Anim Sci 96(8):3121–3130
- Salimi P, Javadian S, Norouzi O, Gharibi H (2017) Turning an environmental problem into an opportunity: potential use of biochar derived from a harmful marine biomass named Cladophora glomerata as anode electrode for Li-ion batteries. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24(36):27974–27984
- Sanford RL Jr, Saldarriaga J, Clark KE, Uhl C, Herrera R (1985) Amazon rain-forest fires. Science 227(4682):53–55
- Santoni M, Verdi L, Pathan SI, Napoli M, Dalla Marta A, Dani FR, Pacini GC, Ceccherini MT (2022) Soil microbiome biomass, activity, composition and CO2 emissions in a long-term organic and conventional farming systems. Soil Use Manage 00:1–18
- Santos JL, Mäki-Arvela P, Wärnå J, Monzon A, Centeno MA, Murzin DY (2020) Hydrodeoxygenation of vanillin over noble metal catalyst supported on biochars: part II: catalytic behaviour. Appl Catal B 268:118425
- Schmidt MWI, Torn MS, Abiven S, Dittmar T, Guggenberger G, Janssens IA, Kleber M, Kögel-Knabner I, Lehmann J, Manning DAC, Nannipieri P, Rasse DP, Weiner S, Trumbore SE (2011) Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478(7367):49–56
- Sekar M, Mathimani T, Alagumalai A, Chi NTL, Duc PA, Bhatia SK, Brindhadevi K, Pugazhendhi A (2021) A review on the pyrolysis of algal biomass for biochar and bio-oil-bottlenecks and scope. Fuel 283:119190
- Shafawi AN, Mohamed AR, Lahijani P, Mohammadi M (2021) Recent advances in developing engineered biochar for CO2 capture: An insight into the biochar modification approaches. J Environ Chem Eng 9(6):106869
- Shakoor A, Arif MS, Shahzad SM, Farooq TH, Ashraf F, Altaf MM, Ahmed W, Tufail MA, Ashraf M (2021) Does biochar accelerate the mitigation of greenhouse gaseous emissions from agricultural soil?-a global meta-analysis. Environ Res 202:111789
- Shen Y, Zhao P, Shao Q, Ma D, Takahashi F, Yoshikawa K (2014) In-situ catalytic conversion of tar using rice husk char-supported nickel-iron catalysts for biomass pyrolysis/gasification. Appl Catal B 152:140–151
- Silber A, Levkovitch I, Graber ER (2010) PH-dependent mineral release and surface properties of cornstraw biochar: agronomic implications. Environ Sci Technol 44(24):9318–9323
- Sim D, Tan I, Lim L, Hameed B (2021) Encapsulated biochar-based sustained release fertilizer for precision agriculture: a review. J Clean Prod 303:127018
- Siqueira-Neto M, Popin GV, Ferrao GE, Santos AKB, Cerri CEP, Ferreira TO (2022) Soybean expansion impacts on soil organic matter in the eastern

region of the Maranhao state (northeastern Brazil). Soil Use Manage 38(2):1203–1216

Sirico A, Belletti B, Bernardi P, Malcevschi A, Pagliari F, Fornoni P, Moretti E (2022) Effects of biochar addition on long-term behavior of concrete. Theor Appl Fract Mech 122:103626

Sirico A, Bernardi P, Belletti B, Malcevschi A, Dalcanale E, Domenichelli I, Fornoni P, Moretti E (2020) Mechanical characterization of cement-based materials containing biochar from gasification. Construct Build Mater 246:118490

Spokas KA (2010) Review of the stability of biochar in soils: predictability of O:C molar ratios. Carbon Manage 1(2):289–303

Statista (2022) The Road to Net Zero. Statista, Hamburg Retrieved from https:// www.statista.com/chart/26053/countries-with-laws-policy-documentsor-timed-pledges-for-carbon-neutrality/

Subedi R, Taupe N, Ikoyi I, Bertora C, Zavattaro L, Schmalenberger A, Leahy J, Grignani C (2016) Chemically and biologically-mediated fertilizing value of manure-derived biochar. Sci Total Environ 550:924–933

Sun L, Li L, Chen Z, Wang J, Xiong Z (2014) Combined effects of nitrogen deposition and biochar application on emissions of N2O, CO2 and NH3 from agricultural and forest soils. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 60(2):254–265

- Tan K, Pang X, Qin Y, Wang J (2020) Properties of cement mortar containing pulverized biochar pyrolyzed at different temperatures. Construct Build Mater 263:120616
- Tan K, Qin Y, Wang J (2022) Evaluation of the properties and carbon sequestration potential of biochar-modified pervious concrete. Construct Build Mater 314:125648
- Thakur A, Sharma RP, Sankhyan NK, Sepehya S (2022) Effect of 46 years' application of fertilizers, FYM and lime on physical, chemical and biological properties of soil under maize-wheat system in an acid Alfisol of Northwest Himalayas. Soil Use Manage 00:1–11
- Thines K, Abdullah E, Ruthiraan M, Mubarak N, Tripathi M (2016) A new route of magnetic biochar based polyaniline composites for supercapacitor electrode materials. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 121:240–257
- Tiihonen A, Siipola V, Lahtinen K, Pajari H, Widsten P, Tamminen T, Kallio T, Miettunen K (2021) Biocarbon from brewery residues as a counter electrode catalyst in dye solar cells. Electrochim Acta 368:137583
- Tsang DCW, Yu IKM, Xiong X (2018) Novel application of biochar in stormwater harvesting. In: Biochar from biomass and waste: fundamentals and applications, pp 319–347
- UN (2022) Global Land Outlook (Second Edition). United Nations, New York
- UNEP (2009) Climate Change Science Compendium. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi
- UNEP (2019) UN Environment "walks the talk" on carbon neutrality. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi Retrieved from https://www. unep.org/news-and-stories/story/un-environment-walks-talk-carbonneutrality
- UNEP (2021) Emissions Gap Report 2021. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi
- UNFCCC (2015) Climate Neutral Now Guidelines for Participation. United Nations Climate Change, New York
- US EIA (2021) Biomass explained: Biomass and the environment. United States Energy Information Administration, Washington D.C. Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/biomass/biomass-and-the-envir onment.php

US EPA (2022) Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C. Retrieved from https:// www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/sources-greenhouse-gas-emissions

Valenzuela Que FG, Villanueva-Lopez G, Alcudia-Aguilar A, Medrano-Perez OR, Camara-Cabrales L, Martinez-Zurimendi P, Casanova-Lugo F, Raj Aryal D (2022) Silvopastoral systems improve carbon stocks at livestock ranches in Tabasco, Mexico. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1237–1249

Van Zwieten L, Singh B, Kimber S, Murphy D, Macdonald L, Rust J, Morris S (2014) An incubation study investigating the mechanisms that impact N2O flux from soil following biochar application. Agric Ecosyst Environ 191:53–62

Vangeli S, Posse G, Eugenia Beget M, Otero Estrada E, Antonella Valdettaro R, Oricchio P, Kandus M, Marcelo Di Bella C (2022) Effects of fertilizer type on nitrous oxide emission and ammonia volatilization in wheat and maize crops. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1519–1531

Vasilchenko AV, Galaktionova LV, Tretyakov NY, Dyachkov SM, Vasilchenko AS (2022) Activity and biomass of microbial communities of soil aggregates under conditions of agricultural use. Soil Use Manage 00:1–16

- Wang C, O'Connor D, Wang L, Wu W-M, Luo J, Hou D (2022a) Microplastics in urban runoff: global occurrence and fate. Water Res 225:119129
- Wang C, Shen J, Liu J, Qin H, Yuan Q, Fan F, Hu Y, Wang J, Wei W, Li Y (2019) Microbial mechanisms in the reduction of CH4 emission from double rice cropping system amended by biochar: a four-year study. Soil Biol Biochem 135:251–263
- Wang H, Xu J, Sheng L (2020a) Preparation of straw biochar and application of constructed wetland in China: a review. J Clean Prod 273:123131
- Wang H, Yue C, Mao Q, Zhao J, Ciais P, Li W, Yu Q, Mu X (2020b) Vegetation and species impacts on soil organic carbon sequestration following ecological restoration over the loess plateau, China. Geoderma 371:114389
- Wang J, Xiong Z, Kuzyakov Y (2016) Biochar stability in soil: meta-analysis of decomposition and priming effects. GCB Bioenergy 8(3):512–523
- Wang L, Bolan NS, Tsang DCW, Hou D (2020c) Green immobilization of toxic metals using alkaline enhanced rice husk biochar: effects of pyrolysis temperature and KOH concentration. Sci Total Environ 720:137584

Wang L, Chen L, Poon CS, Wang CH, Ok YS, Mechtcherine V, Tsang DCW (2021a) Roles of biochar and CO2Curing in sustainable magnesia cement-based composites. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 9(25):8603–8610

- Wang L, Chen L, Tsang DC, Guo B, Yang J, Shen Z, Hou D, Ok YS, Poon CS (2020d) Biochar as green additives in cement-based composites with carbon dioxide curing. J Clean Prod 258:120678
- Wang L, Guo J, Wang H, Luo J, Hou D (2022b) Stimulated leaching of metalloids along 3D-printed fractured rock vadose zone. Water Res 226:119224

Wang L, Hou D, Shen Z, Zhu J, Jia X, Ok YS, Tack FMG, Rinklebe J (2020e) Field trials of phytomining and phytoremediation: a critical review of influencing factors and effects of additives. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 50(24):2724–2774

- Wang L, Hu Z, Yin H, Bradford SA, Luo J, Hou D (2022c) Aging of colloidal contaminants and pathogens in the soil environment: implications for nanoplastic and COVID-19 risk mitigation. Soil Use Manage 00:1–22
- Wang L, Huang J, Li G, Luo J, Bolan NS, Hou D (2022d) Long-term immobilization of soil metalloids under simulated aging: experimental and modeling approach. Sci Total Environ 806:150501
- Wang L, O'Connor D, Rinklebe J, Ok YS, Tsang DCW, Shen Z, Hou D (2020f) Biochar aging: mechanisms, physicochemical changes, assessment, and implications for field applications. Environ Sci Technol 54(23):14797–14814
- Wang L, Ok YS, Tsang DCW, Alessi DS, Rinklebe J, Mašek O, Bolan NS, Hou D (2022e) Biochar composites: emerging trends, field successes and sustainability implications. Soil Use Manage 38(1):14–38

Wang L, Ok YS, Tsang DCW, Alessi DS, Rinklebe J, Wang H, Mašek O, Hou R, O'Connor D, Hou D (2020g) New trends in biochar pyrolysis and modification strategies: feedstock, pyrolysis conditions, sustainability concerns and implications for soil amendment. Soil Use Manage 36(3):358–386

- Wang L, Rinklebe J, Tack FMG, Hou D (2021b) A review of green remediation strategies for heavy metal contaminated soil. Soil Use Manage 37(4):936–963
- Wang S, Gao P, Zhang Q, Shi Y, Guo X, Lv Q, Wu W, Zhang X, Li M, Meng Q (2022f) Application of biochar and organic fertilizer to saline-alkali soil in the Yellow River Delta: effects on soil water, salinity, nutrients, and maize yield. Soil Use Manage 38(4):1679–1692

Wang Y, Chang H, Ma T, Deng H, Zha Z (2022g) Effect of cotton stalk particle size on the structure of biochar and the performance of anode for lithium-ion battery. J Phys Chem Solid 169:110845

Wei Y, Shen C, Xie J, Bu Q (2020) Study on reaction mechanism of superior bamboo biochar catalyst production by molten alkali carbonates pyrolysis and its application for cellulose hydrolysis. Sci Total Environ 712:136435

Wei Y-M, Kang J-N, Liu L-C, Li Q, Wang P-T, Hou J-J, Liang Q-M, Liao H, Huang S-F, Yu B (2021) A proposed global layout of carbon capture and storage in line with a 2 C climate target. Nat Clim Chang 11(2):112–118

Weng Z, Van Zwieten L, Singh BP, Tavakkoli E, Joseph S, Macdonald LM, Rose TJ, Rose MT, Kimber SWL, Morris S, Cozzolino D, Araujo JR, Archanjo BS, Cowie A (2017) Biochar built soil carbon over a decade by stabilizing rhizodeposits. Nat Clim Chang 7(5):371–376

Weng ZH, Van Zwieten L, Singh BP, Tavakkoli E, Kimber S, Morris S, Macdonald LM, Cowie A (2018) The accumulation of rhizodeposits in organo-mineral fractions promoted biochar-induced negative priming of native soil organic carbon in Ferralsol. Soil Biol Biochem 118:91–96

- Weralupitiya C, Gunarathne V, Keerthanan S, Rinklebe J, Biswas JK, Jayasanka J et al (2022) Influence of biochar on soil biology in the charosphere. In: Biochar in agriculture for achieving sustainable development goals. Elsevier, Cambridge, pp 273–291
- Werdin J, Conn R, Fletcher TD, Rayner JP, Williams NSG, Farrell C (2021) Biochar particle size and amendment rate are more important for water retention and weight of green roof substrates than differences in feedstock type. Ecol Eng 171:106391
- Woolf D, Amonette JE, Street-Perrott FA, Lehmann J, Joseph S (2010) Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. Nat Commun 1(5):56
- Wu YF, Whitaker J, Toet S, Bradley A, Davies CA, McNamara NP (2021) Diurnal variability in soil nitrous oxide emissions is a widespread phenomenon. Glob Chang Biol 27(20):4950–4966
- Xie T, Reddy KR, Wang C, Yargicoglu E, Spokas K (2015) Characteristics and applications of biochar for environmental remediation: a review. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 45(9):939–969
- Xiong X, Yu IKM, Cao L, Tsang DCW, Zhang S, Ok YS (2017) A review of biocharbased catalysts for chemical synthesis, biofuel production, and pollution control. Bioresour Technol 246:254–270
- Yaashikaa P, Kumar PS, Varjani S, Saravanan A (2020) A critical review on the biochar production techniques, characterization, stability and applications for circular bioeconomy. Biotechnol Rep 28:e00570
- Yang X, Wang L, Guo J, Wang H, Mašek O, Wang H, Bolan NS, Alessi DS, Hou D (2022) Aging features of metal(loid)s in biochar-amended soil: effects of biochar type and aging method. Sci Total Environ 815:152922
- Yang X, Wang XY (2021) Hydration-strength-durability-workability of biocharcement binary blends. J Build Eng 42:103064
- Yao D, Hu Q, Wang D, Yang H, Wu C, Wang X, Chen H (2016) Hydrogen production from biomass gasification using biochar as a catalyst/support. Bioresour Technol 216:159–164
- Yao Y, Gao B, Chen J, Yang L (2013) Engineered biochar reclaiming phosphate from aqueous solutions: mechanisms and potential application as a slow-release fertilizer. Environ Sci Technol 47(15):8700–8708
- Yin J, Yu J, Reeves S, Heenan M, Wang W, Liu R, Chen Q (2022) Enhanced efficiency fertilizers decrease nitrate movement while maintaining yield in sugarcane production system. Soil Use Manage 00:1–10
- You S, Ok YS, Tsang DC, Kwon EE, Wang C-H (2018) Towards practical application of gasification: a critical review from syngas and biochar perspectives. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 48(22–24):1165–1213
- Yu L, Tang J, Zhang R, Wu Q, Gong M (2013) Effects of biochar application on soil methane emission at different soil moisture levels. Biol Fertil Soils 49(2):119–128
- Yuan H, Lu T, Wang Y, Huang H, Chen Y (2014) Influence of pyrolysis temperature and holding time on properties of biochar derived from medicinal herb (radix isatidis) residue and its effect on soil CO2 emission. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 110:277–284
- Zhang C, Fu Z, Dai B, Zen S, Liu Y, Xu Q, Kirk SR, Yin D (2014) Biochar sulfonic acid immobilized chlorozincate ionic liquid: an efficiently biomimetic and reusable catalyst for hydrolysis of cellulose and bamboo under microwave irradiation. Cellulose 21(3):1227–1237
- Zhang F, Wu X-H, Yao M, Fang Z, Wang Y-T (2016a) Production of biodiesel and hydrogen from plant oil catalyzed by magnetic carbon-supported nickel and sodium silicate. Green Chem 18(11):3302–3314
- Zhang J, Tahmasebi A, Omoriyekomwan JE, Yu J (2021) Microwave-assisted synthesis of biochar-carbon-nanotube-NiO composite as high-performance anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Fuel Process Technol 213:106714
- Zhang X, Duan P, Wu Z, Xiong Z (2019) Aged biochar stimulated ammoniaoxidizing archaea and bacteria-derived N2O and NO production in an acidic vegetable soil. Sci Total Environ 687:433–440
- Zhang X, Wu J, Yang H, Shao J, Wang X, Chen Y, Zhang S, Chen H (2016b) Preparation of nitrogen-doped microporous modified biochar by high temperature CO 2–NH 3 treatment for CO 2 adsorption: effects of temperature. RSC Adv 6(100):98157–98166
- Zhang X, Zhang J, Song M, Dong Y, Xiong Z (2022a) N2O and NO production and functional microbes responding to biochar aging process in an intensified vegetable soil. Environ Pollut 307:119491

- Zhang Y-C, You Y, Xin S, Yin Y-X, Zhang J, Wang P, Zheng X-s, Cao F-F, Guo Y-G (2016c) Rice husk-derived hierarchical silicon/nitrogen-doped carbon/ carbon nanotube spheres as low-cost and high-capacity anodes for lithium-ion batteries. Nano Energy 25:120–127
- Zhao B, O'Connor D, Shen Z, Tsang DCW, Rinklebe J, Hou D (2020) Sulfurmodified biochar as a soil amendment to stabilize mercury pollution: An accelerated simulation of long-term aging effects. Environ Pollut 264:114687
- Zhao S, Qin L, Wang L, Sun X, Yu L, Wang M, Chen S (2022) Soil bacterial community responses to cadmium and lead stabilization during ecological restoration of an abandoned mine. Soil Use Manage 38(3):1459–1469
- Zheng T, Zhang J, Tang C, Liao K, Guo L (2021) Positive and negative priming effects in an Ultisol in relation to aggregate size class and biochar level. Soil Tillage Res 208:104874
- Zhong M, Sun J, Shu X, Guan J, Tong G, Ding H, Chen L, Zhou N, Shuai Y (2022) N, P, O-codoped biochar from phytoremediation residues: a promising cathode material for Li-S batteries. Nanotechnology 33(21):215403
- Zhong Y, Igalavithana AD, Zhang M, Li X, Rinklebe J, Hou D, Tack FMG, Alessi DS, Tsang DCW, Ok YS (2020) Effects of aging and weathering on immobilization of trace metals/metalloids in soils amended with biochar. Environ Sci Process Impacts 22(9):1790–1808
- Zhou G, Zhou X, Zhang T, Du Z, He Y, Wang X, Shao J, Cao Y, Xue S, Wang H (2017) Biochar increased soil respiration in temperate forests but had no effects in subtropical forests. For Ecol Manage 405:339–349
- Zhu F, Lin X, Guan S, Dou S (2022a) Deep incorporation of corn straw benefits soil organic carbon and microbial community composition in a black soil of Northeast China. Soil Use Manage 38(2):1266–1279
- Zhu J, Song Y, Wang L, Zhang Z, Gao J, Tsang DC, Ok YS, Hou D (2022b) Green remediation of benzene contaminated groundwater using persulfate activated by biochar composite loaded with iron sulfide minerals. Chem Eng J 429:132292
- Zimmerman AR, Gao B, Ahn M-Y (2011) Positive and negative carbon mineralization priming effects among a variety of biochar-amended soils. Soil Biol Biochem 43(6):1169–1179
- Zimmerman AR, Ouyang L (2019) Priming of pyrogenic C (biochar) mineralization by dissolved organic matter and vice versa. Soil Biol Biochem 130:105–112
- Zou X, Wang W, Sun X, Jiang D, Wang J (2022) Analysis of soil fertility and optimal nitrogen application of brown earth (luvisols) in China. Soil Use Manage 38(3):1416–1429

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.