**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# Gender Disparities in Blood Pressure and the Role of Body Mass Index: A Birth Cohort Analysis in China

Jinjing Wu<sup>1</sup> · Boshen Jiao<sup>2</sup> · Jiaying Zhao<sup>3</sup>

Received: 22 February 2023 / Accepted: 26 May 2023 / Published online: 11 June 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

# Abstract

**Background** The slow decline in cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality and the stagnant or increasing hypertension prevalence in low- and middle-income countries necessitate investigation. Evolving gender disparities suggested that male cardiovascular health disadvantage may be preventable, offering potential for enhancing population cardiovascular health. Despite global body mass index (BMI) increases, its role in shaping the gender disparities remains underexplored.

**Objective** This study investigated the birth cohort dynamics of gender disparities in systolic/diastolic blood pressure (SBP/DBP) in China, one of the world's largest low- and middle-income countries, and explored the potential role of BMI in explaining the changing gender disparities.

**Methods** Data from the China Health and Nutrition Survey (1991–2015) were analyzed using multilevel growth-curve models to estimate gender- and cohort-specific SBP/DBP trajectories among individuals born between 1950 and 1975. **Results** Men had higher SBP and DBP than women at the sample's mean age of 41.7 years. The gender disparities in SBP and DBP increased with each successive one-year cohort from 1950 to 1975 by 0.14 mm Hg and 0.09 mm Hg, respectively.

Adjusting for BMI reduced the increasing gender disparities in SBP and DBP by 31.9% and 34.4%, respectively. **Conclusion** Chinese men experienced a greater increase in SBP/DBP across successive cohorts compared to women. The increasing gender disparities in SBP/DBP were partially attributable to a greater BMI increase across cohorts among men. Given these findings, prioritizing interventions that aim to reduce BMI, particularly among men, could potentially alleviate the burden of CVD in China through lowering SBP/DBP.

Keywords Cardiovascular disease · Systolic/diastolic blood pressure · Sex/gender · Body mass index · China

## Abbreviations

| BP    | Blood pressure                    |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| SBP   | Systolic blood pressure           |
| DBP   | Diastolic blood pressure          |
| BMI   | Body mass index                   |
| CVD   | Cardiovascular disease            |
| CHNS  | China Health and Nutrition Survey |
| HICs  | High-income countries             |
| LMICs | Low- and middle-income countries  |
|       |                                   |

⊠ Jiaying Zhao zjl789@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> Asian Demographic Research Institute, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China
- <sup>2</sup> Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston 02115, USA
- <sup>3</sup> RSSS Building, 146 Ellery Crescent, School of Demography, ANU College of Arts and Social Sciences, The Australian National University, Acton ACT, Canberra 2601, Australia

# **1** Introduction

Globally, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of premature death and a major contributor to disability for both genders [1]. The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study showed a decline in the global age-standardized mortality rate of CVD between 1990 and 2019 [1], but highincome countries (HICs) experienced a greater decrease than low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [2, 3]. The variations observed in the trends of age-standardized mortality rates of CVD between HICs and LMICs may be potentially attributed to disparities in CVD risk factor control [4]. Elevated blood pressure (BP) is a well-established risk factor for CVD morbidity and mortality, and its reduction is expected to significantly reduce the incidence of CVD [5, 6]. However, while the age-standardized hypertension prevalence decreased in HICs from 1990 to 2019, most LMICs experienced either an increase or stagnation [7], thereby making hypertension a growing concern in LMICs and shifting its burden from HICs to LMICs [8]. Therefore, it is crucial to identify and address local risk factors that may contribute to the stagnation of CVD mortality reduction and the rise or stagnation of hypertension prevalence in LMICs, in order to reduce the LMICs' burden of CVD.

Existing research suggested that men generally exhibit higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP/DBP), and rates of CVD morbidity and mortality compared to women, at least until middle age [9]. However, the extent of gender disparities in these cardiovascular health indicators varies over time [9–12], indicating that the male disadvantage is not an inevitable outcome. By examining the dynamics of gender disparities in LMICs, we can identify gender-specific challenges and find opportunities to reduce the burden of CVD.

Research on the gender disparities in CVD morbidity in LMICs remains limited, although some studies have investigated recent trends in age-standardized CVD mortality rates by gender [13, 14]. However, declines in CVD mortality do not necessarily correspond to improvements in CVD morbidity if the CVD incidence remains constant or increases [15]. Furthermore, it's worth noting that CVD is a condition that develops over a person's lifetime, with current cardiovascular health reflecting the cumulative exposure to risk factors throughout life [16]. To gain a deeper understanding of gender-specific changes in CVD risk, it is essential to monitor the birth cohort dynamics of gender disparities in SBP/DBP and identify potential risk factors driving these disparities.

Being overweight or obese, defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or higher, is a well-established risk factor for elevated BP and CVD morbidity [17]. Sedentary lifestyles and diets high in fat and animal products have resulted in a steady increase in global mean BMI from 1985 to 2017 [18]. The rise in BMI has been particularly pronounced in LMICs [18]. The increase in BMI in LMICs may exacerbate the male disadvantage in SBP/DBP due to gender stereotypes and interaction of sex-specific biological factors, including the hormone estrogen's cardioprotective effects [11, 16, 19, 20]. However, there is a lack of sufficient research in the existing literature investigating whether the rise in BMI contributes to the dynamics of gender disparities in SBP/DBP.

China is one of the largest LMICs, accounting for over one-sixth of the world's population. The country has undergone a rapid socioeconomic transformation in recent decades, transitioning from an agricultural-based economy to a primarily manufacturing-based economy. This transition has led to changes in dietary and physical activity patterns. Despite having lower average BMI and rates of overweight and obesity compared to Western societies, the Chinese population has experienced a concerning increase in BMI [21, 22]. An upward cohort trend in SBP/DBP observed in the Chinese population highlights the potential for a growing burden of CVD [23]. However, whether the cohort trend varies by gender and to what extent BMI can explain the gender heterogeneity in the cohort trend remain to be examined. Addressing these questions will provide insights into the epidemiological transition of CVD and strategies for mitigating the upward trend of SBP/DBP, ultimately reducing the burden of CVD.

This study utilized longitudinal data from the China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS, 1991–2015) to investigate two objectives. First, it aimed to examine whether men experienced a greater increase in SBP/DBP at the sample's mean age compared to women for each successive one-year birth cohort from 1950 to 1975. Second, it aimed to explore the role of BMI in shaping the gender-specific cohort trend. China's experiences as a typical newly industrialized country may act as a sentinel for other LMICs undergoing similar socioeconomic transformations.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Data Source

We used longitudinal data from the China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS, 1991–2015), which collected valuable objective measures of SBP/DBP and anthropometric indicators and data on demographic, socioeconomic factors, lifestyles, and other health indicators. The survey covers twelve socioeconomically and geographically diverse provincial regions, including Heilongjiang, Liaoning, Shandong, Henan, Jiangsu, Hubei, Hunan, Guizhou, Guangxi, Beijing, Shanghai, and Chongqing. Figure S1 in Supplementary Materials illustrates the geographical distribution of these survey regions.

The CHNS survey utilized a multistage, random cluster sampling to draw households and surveyed all household members. Although the CHNS is not nationally representative, the CHNS sample's characteristics are comparable to those from nationally representative samples [24, 25].

The CHNS spanned more than 20 years, from 1989 to 2015. It undertook the first survey in 1989, with follow-up surveys in 1991, 1997, 2000, 2004, 2006, 2009, 2011, and 2015, respectively. We did not use data collected in 1989 since this wave only included people aged 20–45. It was difficult to determine the CHNS's response rate because respondents who were lost to follow-up in one survey could come back in a later survey [25]. Furthermore, the CHNS recruited new participants as replenishment samples since 1997 [25].

We presented the sample selection process in Figure S2 in Supplementary Materials. Our analyses were limited to people who (1) were born during 1950–1975 and (2) were

not pregnant during the survey. A total of 16,318 respondents, with 70,318 observations, were eligible for this study. We conducted complete-case analyses by excluding 1,943 respondents (11.9% of 16,318 respondents) with missing values for SBP/DBP, BMI, and control variables. Our final analysis included 14,375 respondents, with 49,467 observations. The average follow-up time for each respondent was about 8.7 years. Of 14,375 respondents, 37.3% were surveyed in other waves but were censored in the 2015 wave. There were 2.4% of respondents dying by the 2015 wave. Methods for dealing with potential bias resulting from missing values, loss to follow-up, and deaths are introduced below.

## 2.2 Variables

## 2.2.1 Dependent Variables

We used objectively measured SBP/DBP as a proxy for CVD. In each wave, trained healthcare professionals measured each respondent's SBP/DBP three times at one-minute intervals using a mercury sphygmomanometer after respondents rested for at least five minutes. We used the average of the three SBP/DBP measurements as our dependent variables. We preferred continuous variables of SBP/DBP over a binary variable of hypertension, as prior evidence suggested that SBP/DBP was positively associated with CVD morbidity and mortality, even within normal range [5, 26].

#### 2.2.2 Independent Variables

Independent variables in this study included gender, birth cohort, and BMI. We created a continuous cohort variable by centering the individuals' birth years at 1950, the sample's earliest birth year. Trained healthcare professionals assessed weight (accurate to 0.1 kg while wearing light clothing) using a balance-beam scale, and height (accurate to 0.1 cm without shoes) using a stadiometer in each survey. BMI was calculated as weight (in kg) divided by the square of height (in meters). The calculated BMI values were then centered at the sample's mean BMI.

#### 2.2.3 Control Variables

We included demographic variables (age, marital status), socioeconomic variables (educational attainment, household income per capita, employment, urban/rural residence), and risky behaviors (smoking, frequent alcohol drinking) as covariates in our analysis. We centered the age variable at the sample's mean age of 41.7 years. We classified educational attainment into four categories: primary education or below (reference group), lower secondary education, upper secondary education, and tertiary education or above. Household income per capita was adjusted for the 2015 Consumer Price Index. Marital status (0 = not married, 1 = married), employment (0 = unemployed, 1 = employed), place of residence (0 = rural residence, 1 = urban residence), smoking (0 = never smoked, 1 = ever smoked), and alcohol drinking (0 = not a frequent alcohol drinker, 1 = frequent alcohol drinker) were coded as dummy variables.

We also included provincial fixed effects to account for substantial regional variations. Furthermore, we included time-constant dummy variables indicating the loss to follow-up and the deceased to account for the potential bias resulting from loss to follow-up and deaths. Table S1 in Supplementary Materials reports the measurement and classification of all variables included in this study.

## 2.3 Statistical Analyses

In descriptive analyses, we employed the chi-square test and the t-test to investigate potential gender disparities in categorical and continuous variables, respectively. To extend our research, we used multilevel growth-curve models to assess the longitudinal SBP/DBP trajectories. We added age and age squared terms as previous studies have reported a nonlinear relationship between age and SBP/DBP [23]. We further included cohort, the interaction between cohort and age, and the interaction between cohort and age squared to test the cohort variations in the SBP/DBP trajectories. We did not account for the period effect as the period variable perfectly overlapped with the age variable in longitudinal studies. To examine the gender disparities in the SBP/DBP trajectories, we included gender, the interaction between gender and age, and the interaction between gender and age squared (Model 1). To examine whether the gender disparities in SBP/DBP at the sample's mean age changed across successive cohorts, we included an interaction between gender and cohort (Model 2). Furthermore, we assessed the role of BMI in the changing gender disparities across successive cohorts by comparing the estimates of the interaction between gender and cohort before and after including the BMI variable (Model 3).

 $y_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 AGE + \beta_2 AGE^2 + \beta_3 GENDER$  $+ \beta_4 GENDER \bullet AGE + \beta_5 GENDER \cdot AGE^2$ 

 $+\beta_6$ COHORT  $+\beta_7$ COHORT • AGE  $+\beta_8$ COHORT • AGE<sup>2</sup> +

 $+\beta_9$ GENDER • COHORT  $+\beta_{10}$ BMI  $+\beta_{11}Z + \mu_{0i} + e_{ti}$ 

All variables included in the models are time-varying, with the exception of gender, cohort, provincial regions, loss to follow-up, and deaths. We used the "mixed" commands

| Table 1 Descriptive statistics of depu | endent, independent, and control variab | ples by sex at baseline, the China Health | th and Nutrition Survey (1991–2015) |                 |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Continuous variables                   |   | Males                                     | Females                             | P-Value         |
|  |   | Mean (SD)                                 | Mean (SD)                           |                 |
| Systolic blood pressure level, mm Hg   | 50                                      | 118.38 (0.18)                             | 113.16 (0.18)                       | < 0.001         |
| Diastolic blood pressure level, mm H   | Ig                                      | 77.63 (0.13)                              | 74.08 (0.12)                        | < 0.001         |
| Baseline age (year)                    |   | 36.67 (0.14)                              | 36.34 (0.13)                        | 0.05            |
| Household income per capita, in thou   | usands of Chinese yuan                  | 8.93 (0.17)                               | 8.98 (0.21)                         | 0.42            |
| Categorical variables                  |   | n (%)                                     | u (%)                               | <i>P</i> -Value |
| Marital status                         | Married                                 | 5,417 (79.64)                             | 6,298 (83.16)                       | < 0.001         |
| Place of residence                     | Urban                                   | 2,746 (40.37)                             | 3,098 (40.91)                       | 0.26            |
| Education                              | Primary education or below (refer-      | 1,679 (24.68)                             | 2,684 (35.44)                       | < 0.001         |
|  | circ group)                             |   |                                     |                 |
|  | Lower secondary education               | 2,819 (41.44)                             | 2,788 (36.82)                       | < 0.001         |
|  | Upper secondary education               | 1,331 (19.57)                             | 1,240(16.37)                        | < 0.001         |
|  | Tertiary education                      | 973 (14.30)                               | 861 (11.37)                         | < 0.001         |
| Employment                             | Employed                                | 5,781 (84.99)                             | 5,575 (73.62)                       | < 0.001         |
| Smoking                                | Ever smoked                             | 4,368 (64.22)                             | 169 (2.23)                          | < 0.001         |
| Alcohol drinking                       | Frequent alcohol drinker                | 1,769 (26.01)                             | 104 (1.37)                          | < 0.001         |
| Follow-up status                       | Loss to follow-up                       | 2,557 (37.59)                             | 2,802 (37.08)                       | 0.26            |
| Survival status                        | Dead                                    | 209 (1.72)                                | 130 (3.07)                          | < 0.001         |
| Number of respondents                  |   | 6,802                                     | 7,573                               | I               |
| SD Standard deviation                  |   |   |                                     |                 |

|  | SBP                       |                           |                           | DBP                     |                         |                         |  |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|  | Model 1                   | Model 2                   | Model 3                   | Model 1                 | Model 2                 | Model 3                 |  |
|  | Coefficient               | Coefficient               | Coefficient               | Coefficient             | Coefficient             | Coefficient             |  |
|  | (95% CI)                  | (95% CI)                  | (95% CI)                  | (95% CI)                | (95% CI)                | (95% CI)                |  |
| Fixed effects  |                           |                           |                           |                         |                         |                         |  |
| Intercept  | 111.92<br>(110.63–113.20) | 112.71<br>(111.38–114.04) | 112.11<br>(110.83–113.40) | 72.80<br>(71.92–73.68)  | 73.32<br>(72.41–74.23)  | 72.89<br>(72.02–73.77)  |  |
| ence=Female)   | 4.73<br>(4.23–5.24)       | 2.98<br>(2.09–3.87)       | 3.20<br>(2.41–4.12)       | 3.74<br>(3.39–4.09)     | 2.59<br>(1.98–3.20)     | (2.20–3.37)             |  |
| Birth cohort   | 0.38<br>(0.35–0.41)       | 0.31<br>(0.27–0.36)       | 0.26<br>(0.22–0.31)       | 0.22<br>(0.20–0.24)     | 0.18<br>(0.15–0.21)     | 0.14<br>(0.11–0.17)     |  |
| Male*Birth<br>cohort   | -                         | 0.14<br>(0.08–0.20)       | 0.09<br>(0.04–0.15)       | -                       | 0.09<br>(0.05–0.13)     | 0.06<br>(0.02–0.10)     |  |
| Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                   | _                         | -                         | 0.92<br>(0.87–0.96)       | -                       | -                       | 0.65<br>(0.62–0.68)     |  |
| Control variables  |                           |                           |                           |                         |                         |                         |  |
| Age  | 0.78<br>(0.73–0.83)       | 0.76<br>(0.71–0.81)       | 0.66<br>(0.61–0.71)       | 0.47<br>(0.44–0.50)     | 0.46<br>(0.42–0.49)     | 0.38<br>(0.35–0.42)     |  |
| Age squared  | 0.01<br>(0.01–0.02)       | 0.01<br>(0.01–0.02)       | 0.01<br>(0.01–0.02)       | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00) | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00) | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00) |  |
| Male*Age   | - 0.14<br>(- 0.17-0.11)   | - 0.10<br>(- 0.14-0.07)   | - 0.11<br>(- 0.14-0.07)   | - 0.03<br>(- 0.05-0.01) | - 0.00<br>(- 0.03-0.02) | - 0.01<br>(- 0.03-0.01) |  |
| Male*Age<br>squared  | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00)   | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00)   | - 0.00<br>(- 0.00-0.00)   | -0.00<br>(-0.00-0.00)   | -0.00<br>(-0.00-0.00)   | -0.00<br>(-0.00-0.00)   |  |
| Birth cohort*Age   | 0.01<br>(0.01–0.02)       | 0.01<br>(0.01–0.02)       | 0.01<br>(0.01–0.01)       | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.01)     | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.01)     | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.01)     |  |
| Birth cohort*Age squared   | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.00)       | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.00)       | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.00)       | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.00)     | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.00)     | 0.00<br>(0.00–0.00)     |  |
| Married (Refer-<br>ence = Not<br>married)                              | - 0.31<br>(- 0.83-0.21)   | - 0.31<br>(- 0.83-0.21)   | - 0.43<br>(- 0.94-0.08)   | - 0.24<br>(- 0.60-0.12) | - 0.24<br>(- 0.60-0.11) | - 0.33<br>(- 0.68-0.02) |  |
| Urban (Refer-<br>ence=Rural)   | - 0.61<br>(- 1.01-0.21)   | - 0.61<br>(- 1.01-0.21)   | - 0.71<br>(- 1.09-0.33)   | -0.31<br>(-0.58-0.04)   | -0.31(-0.58-<br>0.04)   | - 0.38<br>(- 0.63-0.12) |  |
| Education (Refer-<br>ence = Primary<br>education or<br>below)          | 1                         | 1                         | 1                         | 1                       | 1                       | 1                       |  |
| Lower secondary education  | - 0.41<br>(- 0.79-0.04)   | - 0.38<br>(- 0.76-0.01)   | - 0.41<br>(- 0.78-0.05)   | - 0.05<br>(- 0.31-0.21) | - 0.03<br>(- 0.29-0.23) | - 0.05<br>(- 0.30-0.20) |  |
| Upper secondary<br>education   | - 0.55<br>(- 1.04-0.06)   | - 0.53<br>(- 1.02-0.04)   | - 0.51<br>(- 0.99-0.04)   | - 0.34<br>(- 0.68-0.01) | - 0.33<br>(- 0.67-0.01) | - 0.29<br>(- 0.62-0.03) |  |
| Post-secondary education   | - 1.79<br>(- 2.39-1.20)   | - 1.78<br>(- 2.37-1.18)   | - 1.67<br>(- 2.24-1.09)   | - 0.89<br>(- 1.30-0.49) | - 0.88<br>(- 1.29-0.47) | - 0.77<br>(- 1.16-0.38) |  |
| Household<br>income per<br>capita (in<br>thousands of<br>Chinese yuan) | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00)   | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00)   | - 0.00<br>(- 0.01-0.00)   | 0.00<br>(- 0.00-0.01)   | 0.00<br>(- 0.00-0.01)   | 0.00<br>(- 0.00-0.00)   |  |
| Employed (Refer-<br>ence = Not<br>employed)                            | 0.11<br>(- 0.21-0.42)     | 0.10<br>(- 0.22-0.41)     | 0.18<br>(- 0.13-0.49)     | 0.11<br>(- 0.11-0.33)   | 0.11<br>(- 0.12-0.33)   | 0.15<br>(- 0.07-0.37)   |  |
| Ever smok-<br>ing (Refer-<br>ence = Never<br>smoking)                  | 0.12<br>(- 0.27–0.51)     | 0.15<br>(- 0.24–0.54)     | 0.39<br>(0.00–0.77)       | - 0.01<br>(- 0.28-0.26) | 0.01<br>(- 0.26-0.29)   | 0.18<br>(- 0.08-0.45)   |  |

Table 2 (continued)

|  | SBP                     |                         |                         | DBP                   |                       |                       |  |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|  | Model 1                 | Model 2                 | Model 3                 | Model 1               | Model 2               | Model 3               |  |
|  | Coefficient             | Coefficient             | Coefficient             | Coefficient           | Coefficient           | Coefficient           |  |
|  | (95% CI)                | (95% CI)                | (95% CI)                | (95% CI)              | (95% CI)              | (95% CI)              |  |
| Frequent alcohol<br>drinking<br>(Reference = No<br>frequent alcohol<br>drinking) | 1.00<br>(0.61–1.39)     | 1.04<br>(0.65–1.43)     | 1.03<br>(0.65–1.42)     | 0.57<br>(0.29–0.84)   | 0.59<br>(0.32–0.87)   | 0.60<br>(0.33–0.87)   |  |
| Loss to follow-up  | - 0.16<br>(- 0.58-0.26) | - 0.17<br>(- 0.58-0.25) | - 0.16<br>(- 0.56-0.24) | 0.20<br>(- 0.08-0.48) | 0.19<br>(- 0.09–0.48) | 0.19<br>(- 0.07-0.46) |  |
| Death  | 3.02<br>(1.79–4.25)     | 3.11<br>(1.87–4.34)     | 3.43<br>(2.25–4.60)     | 1.11<br>(0.28–1.94)   | 1.16<br>(0.33–2.00)   | 1.41<br>(0.62–2.19)   |  |
| Provincial fixed<br>effect   | Yes                     | Yes                     | Yes                     | Yes                   | Yes                   | Yes                   |  |
| Random-effect<br>variance compo-<br>nents  |                         |                         |                         |                       |                       |                       |  |
| Individual-level:<br>in intercept  | 63.23                   | 63.03                   | 52.79                   | 27.92                 | 27.84                 | 22.88                 |  |
| Individual-level:<br>in slope  | 0.13                    | 0.13                    | 0.12                    | 0.03                  | 0.03                  | 0.03                  |  |
| Residual   | 126.11                  | 126.08                  | 125.62                  | 65.24                 | 65.23                 | 65.04                 |  |
| AIC  | 395,707.49              | 395,687.76              | 394,088.07              | 360,471.92            | 360,453.74            | 358,776.94            |  |
| Number of<br>respond-<br>ents (Number<br>of observations)                        | 14,375 (49,467)         | 14,375 (49,467)         | 14,375 (49,467)         | 14,375 (49,467)       | 14,375 (49,467)       | 14,375 (49,467)       |  |

95% CI Confidence interval, AIC Akaike information criterion

in STATA 17.0 to perform the analyses. All tests conducted in this study utilized a two-tailed test, with the significance level ( $\alpha$ ) set at 0.05.

## 2.4 Sensitivity Analyses

We conducted three sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our findings. In the first sensitivity analysis, we evaluated whether our primary findings remained when using hypertension as a dependent variable. Based on the 2018 European Society of Cardiology/European Society of Hypertension Guidelines, respondents were classified as hypertensive if their SBP/DBP levels were equal to or greater than 140/90 mm Hg [27]. Additionally, we categorized respondents as hypertensive if they either had a diagnosis of hypertension or were taking antihypertensive medication. We employed the "melogit" command in Stata 17 to construct the multilevel logistic model.

In the second sensitivity analysis, we examined whether antihypertensive treatment influenced our findings by excluding individuals who were taking antihypertensive drugs from our analyses.

In the third sensitivity analysis, we examined whether our findings were robust to missing data by including all eligible respondents in our analyses. We took three steps to fill in the missing values of the dependent, independent, and control variables. First, we used the "mi chained" command in Stata 17 to generate ten multiply imputed datasets. Second, we rebuilt the multilevel linear model for each multiply imputed dataset. Third, we pooled regression coefficients and their standard errors across the ten multiply imputed datasets.

# **3 Results**

Based on each respondent's baseline observation, Table 1 reports descriptive statistics of the dependent, independent, and control variables by gender.

Table 2 reports the estimates from the multilevel growthcurve models of both SBP and DBP. In Model 1 of SBP/



Fig. 1 Estimated systolic blood pressure (SBP) (A) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (B) at the sample's mean age (41.7 years), China Health and Nutrition Survey (1991–2015)

DBP, we included gender, cohort, and all control variables. The coefficient of gender indicated that men had higher SBP/ DBP at the sample's mean age than women. The coefficient of the cohort implied that SBP/DBP at the sample's mean age increased for each successive one-year birth cohort from 1950 to 1975.

In Model 2 of SBP/DBP, we further included the interaction between gender and cohort. The interaction coefficient indicated that men had a greater increase in SBP/DBP at the sample's mean age across successive cohorts than women. Figure 1 shows that the gender disparities in either SBP or DBP at the sample's mean age increased across successive cohorts. The gender disparities in SBP and DBP increased by 0.14 mm Hg (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.08, 0.20) and 0.09 mm Hg (95% CI: 0.05, 0.13), respectively, for each successive one-year birth cohort from 1950 to 1975.

Before assessing the impact of BMI on the gender disparities in SBP/DBP, we first examined the evolving gender disparities in BMI across successive cohorts. Table S2 in the Supplementary Materials presents the estimates of the cohort trend. The coefficient of gender in the BMI model indicated that, on average, men had a lower BMI level than women at the sample's mean age. Furthermore, the coefficient of the interaction between gender and cohort indicated that men experienced a greater increase in BMI at the sample's mean age across successive cohorts compared to women. Notably, while women had a higher BMI level at the sample's mean age than men in older cohorts, the gender disparities reversed and expanded across successive cohorts (Fig. 2).

To assess the impact of BMI on the cohort trend of the gender disparities in SBP/DBP, we extended Model 2 by including BMI in Model 3. Our analysis showed that adjusting for BMI resulted in a reduction of 31.9% and 34.4% in the increasing gender disparities in SBP and DBP across successive cohorts, respectively.

The Supplementary Materials report the estimates from our sensitivity analyses. Our findings remained consistent



**Fig. 2** Estimated body mass index (BMI) at the sample's mean age (41.7 years), China Health and Nutrition Survey (1991–2015)

even after using hypertension as the dependent variable (Table S3), excluding individuals who received antihypertensive treatment (Table S4), or using the multiply imputed datasets (Table S5).

## 4 Discussion

The principal finding of this study was that Chinese men had a disproportionate increase in SBP/DBP at the sample's mean age for each successive one-year cohort compared with Chinese women, resulting in an expansion of the gender disparities in SBP/DBP across successive cohorts. Additionally, men had a greater increase in BMI at the sample's mean age across successive cohorts than women. After adjusting for BMI, the upward cohort trend of gender disparities in SBP/DBP decreased by over 30%, suggesting that BMI can partly explain the increasing gender disparities.

A prior study compared cohort trends of male-to-female ratios of CVD mortality in several East Asian countries or regions (i.e., Japan, South Korea, Hong Kong, and Taiwan) and Western countries (i.e., Australia, England and Wales, Sweden, and France) [19]. It reported that for people born post 1946–1950, the male-to-female CVD mortality ratios increased across successive cohorts in East Asia, while these ratios either decreased or stabilized in Western countries [19]. However, the focus of this study was predominantly on gender disparities in CVD mortality, and its analyses were confined to high-income countries or regions. Our research extends the previous study by revealing an upward cohort trend in gender disparities in SBP/DBP in China. This upward cohort trend aligns with the increasing maleto-female ratios of CVD mortality across successive cohorts observed in East Asian regions [19].

The discrepancy in findings between Western and East Asian regions, including China, may be attributed to variations in smoking trends. In HICs, men had a more significant decrease in smoking rates than women, leading to a narrowing gender gap in smoking prevalence [28]. The decreasing gender gap in smoking has been identified as a significant contributor to reducing gender disparities in allcause mortality and CVD mortality, although the extent to which smoking explains the gender disparities varies across countries [29]. However, data from China suggested that while female smoking prevalence remained low and showed a decrease across successive cohorts, male smoking prevalence remained high, with no substantial decrease across cohorts [30]. Examining the role of smoking in the increasing gender disparities in SBP/DBP goes beyond this paper's scope and necessitates future research.

One prior cross-country ecological study found a positive correlation between fat intake and the male-to-female ratio of mortality from coronary heart disease, a major type of CVD [10]. Our study built upon the prior study by providing insights into the role of BMI in shaping gender disparities in SBP/DBP at the individual level. Specifically, our findings, which showed a greater increase in BMI at the sample's mean age across successive cohorts among men compared to women, align with the findings of the prior ecological study [10].

Our findings were consistent with a previous study that found a faster increase in BMI and obesity in cohort succession in men than in women in China [22]. These uneven cohort trends of BMI between genders may be explained by gender norms, where women are stereotypically expected to eat less and prioritize health, while men are often expected to consume larger portions and higher-fat diets [31]. Additionally, cultural ideals of femininity often prioritize slenderness [32], which may lead to higher body dissatisfaction and weight-control efforts among women than men [33]. These factors could explain the greater increase in BMI among men than women across successive cohorts.

Conversely, research conducted in the US suggested that women had a stronger upward cohort trend of obesity compared to men [34]. These inconsistent findings between China and Western countries, along with the evidence on smoking [28, 30], suggested that cultural factors may shape gender disparities in lifestyles and, consequently, cardiovascular health outcomes. In Western countries, where gender roles are evolving towards greater equality, women are increasingly adopting behaviors that have traditionally been associated with men, such as smoking and consuming highfat foods [12]. However, in East Asian societies, Confucian Patriarchal norms and gender traditional role differentiation continue to exert a significant influence [35, 36]. While adherence to feminine roles may discourage women from adopting risky behaviors, gender discrimination may expose women to mental health issues that detrimentally affect their cardiovascular health outcomes [37]. Further research is warranted to enhance our understanding of the influence of cultural factors and gender norms on cohort trends of gender disparities in SBP/DBP.

While this study provides valuable insights, it is subject to several limitations. Firstly, although the CHNS includes a diverse range of economically and geographically representative regions across China, it is not nationally representative. Therefore, the findings of this study cannot be generalized to the entire country but only to the twelve provincial regions included in the study. Secondly, the study used SBP/DBP as a proxy for assessing CVD risk, as the role of elevated blood pressure in causing CVD morbidity and mortality has been well-established through high-quality observational studies and randomized controlled trials [5, 6]. However, further studies should consider other CVD risk factors and the incidence and prevalence of CVD to comprehensively understand the epidemiological transition of CVD and its gender heterogeneity. Thirdly, we excluded people born after 1975 from our analyses due to the unavailability of SBP/DBP data in their middle age. Once more data become available from younger cohorts, the studies should be updated to include these cohorts.

# 5 Conclusion

Our research offered new insights into the epidemiological transition of CVD and its gender heterogeneity by investigating the cohort dynamics of gender disparities in SBP/DBP—a significant contributor to CVD morbidity and mortality—in China. The gender-specific cohort trend of SBP/DBP was partially attributed to a more pronounced increase in BMI across successive cohorts among men than women. These findings have important public health implications. Targeting interventions to reduce increasing BMI, particularly among men, could potentially alleviate the burden of CVD in China through lowering SBP/DBP.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s44197-023-00127-y.

Acknowledgements This research used data from China Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS). We are grateful to research grant funding from the National Institute for Health (NIH), the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) for R01 HD30880, National Institute on Aging (NIA) for R01 AG065357, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) for R01DK104371 and R01HL108427, the NIH Fogarty grant D43 TW009077 since 1989, and the China-Japan Friendship Hospital, Ministry of Health for support for CHNS 2009, Chinese National Human Genome Center at Shanghai since 2009, and Beijing Municipal Center for Disease Prevention and Control since 2011. We thank the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, China Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing Municipal Center for Disease Control and Prevention, and the Chinese National Human Genome Center at Shanghai.

Authors' Contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. JW and BJ participated in the data cleaning and analysis. JZ participated in review of statistical methods and results interpretation. The first draft of the manuscript was written by JW and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** This research is supported by the Shanghai Social Science Foundation (2020ESH003). The funding source has no role in study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data, in the writing of the paper, or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Availability of data and material The datasets generated during and/ or analyzed during the current study are available in the China Health and Nutrition Survey repository, [https://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/china].

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** All authors have read and approved the manuscript for publication.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

 Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, Addolorato G, Ammirati E, Baddour LM, et al. Global burden of cardiovascular diseases and risk factors, 1990-2019: update from the GBD 2019 study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;76(25):2982–3021.

- 2. Jagannathan R, Patel SA, Ali MK, Narayan KM. Global updates on cardiovascular disease mortality trends and attribution of traditional risk factors. Curr Diab Rep. 2019;19(7):1–12.
- 3. Amini M, Zayeri F, Salehi M. Trend analysis of cardiovascular disease mortality, incidence, and mortality-to-incidence ratio: results from global burden of disease study 2017. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1–12.
- 4. Ezzati M, Obermeyer Z, Tzoulaki I, Mayosi BM, Elliott P, Leon DA. Contributions of risk factors and medical care to cardiovascular mortality trends. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2015;12(9):508–30.
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R. Agespecific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality. Lancet. 2003;361(9366):1391–2.
- Fuchs FD, Whelton PK. High blood pressure and cardiovascular disease. Hypertension. 2020;75(2):285–92.
- Zhou B, Carrillo-Larco RM, Danaei G, Riley LM, Paciorek CJ, Stevens GA, et al. Worldwide trends in hypertension prevalence and progress in treatment and control from 1990 to 2019: a pooled analysis of 1201 population-representative studies with 104 million participants. Lancet. 2021;398(10304):957–80.
- 8. Schutte AE, Srinivasapura Venkateshmurthy N, Mohan S, Prabhakaran D. Hypertension in low- and middle-income countries. Circ Res. 2021;128(7):808–26.
- Crimmins EM, Shim H, Zhang YS, Kim JK. Differences between men and women in mortality and the health dimensions of the morbidity process. Clin Chem. 2019;65(1):135–45.
- Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Smith GD. Sex matters: secular and geographical trends in sex differences in coronary heart disease mortality. BMJ. 2001;323(7312):541–5.
- Schooling CM, Lam TH, Ho SY, Mak KH, Leung GM. Does economic development contribute to sex differences in ischaemic heart disease mortality? Hong Kong as a natural experiment using a case-control study. BMC Public Health. 2008;8(1):1–9.
- Cullen MR, Baiocchi M, Eggleston K, Loftus P, Fuchs V. The weaker sex? Vulnerable men and women's resilience to socioeconomic disadvantage. SSM Popul Health. 2016;2:512–24.
- Liu S, Li Y, Zeng X, Wang H, Yin P, Wang L, et al. Burden of cardiovascular diseases in China, 1990–2016: findings from the 2016 global burden of disease study. JAMA Cardiol. 2019;4(4):342–52.
- Mansur ADP, Favarato D, Strunz CMC, Avakian SD, Pereira-Barretto AC, Bocchi EA, César LAM. Sex differences in cardiovascular disease mortality in brazil between 1996 and 2019. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(19):12827.
- Crimmins EM. Trends in the health of the elderly. Annu Rev Public Health. 2004;25:79–98.
- Beltrán-Sánchez H, Finch CE, Crimmins EM. Twentieth century surge of excess adult male mortality. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112(29):8993–8.
- Yatsuya H, Li Y, Hilawe EH, Ota A, Wang C, Chiang C, et al. Global trend in overweight and obesity and its association with cardiovascular disease incidence. Circ J. 2014;78(12):2807–2818.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Rising rural bodymass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic in adults. Nature. 2019;569:260–4
- Zhao J, Booth H, Dear K, Tu EJC. Cardiovascular mortality sex differentials in selected East Asian and Western populations. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2016;70(10):983–9.
- 20. Chung RY, Schooling CM, Cowling BJ, Leung GM. How does socioeconomic development affect risk of mortality? An

age-period-cohort analysis from a recently transitioned population in China. Am J Epidemiol. 2010;171(3):345–56.

- 21. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology. Obesity in China: time to act. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9(7):407.
- 22. Ma S, Xi B, Yang L, Sun J, Zhao M, Bovet P. Trends in the prevalence of overweight, obesity, and abdominal obesity among Chinese adults between 1993 and 2015. Int J Obes. 2021;45(2):427–37.
- Wu J, Muennig PA, Keyes K, Wu J. Generational differences in longitudinal blood pressure trajectories by geographic region during socioeconomic transitions in China. Int J Public Health. 2019;64(9):1375–87.
- Chen F, Yang Y, Liu G. Social change and socioeconomic disparities in health over the life course in China: a cohort analysis. Am Sociol Rev. 2010;75(1):126–50.
- Popkin BM, Du S, Zhai F, Zhang B. Cohort Profile: The China Health and Nutrition Survey—monitoring and understanding socio-economic and health change in China, 1989–2011. Int J Epidemiol. 2010;39(6):1435–40.
- Gu D, Kelly TN, Wu X, Chen J, Duan X, Huang JF, et al. Blood pressure and risk of cardiovascular disease in Chinese men and women. Am J Hypertens. 2008;21(3):265–72.
- Whelton PK, Williams B. The 2018 European society of cardiology/European society of hypertension and 2017 American college of cardiology/American heart association blood pressure guidelines: More similar than different. JAMA. 2018;320(17):1749–50.
- Flandorfer P, Wegner C, Buber I. Gender roles and smoking behaviour. Vienna Inst Demogr Work Pap. 2010.
- Luy M, Wegner-Siegmundt C. The impact of smoking on gender differences in life expectancy: more heterogeneous than often stated. Eur J Public Health. 2014;25(4):706–10.
- 30. Liu S, Zhang M, Yang L, Li Y, Wang L, Huang Z, et al. Prevalence and patterns of tobacco smoking among Chinese adult men and women: findings of the 2010 national smoking survey. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2017;71(2):154–61.
- Turner K, Ferguson S, Craig J, Jeffries A, Beaton S. Gendered identity negotiations through food consumption. Young Consumers. 2013;14(3):280–8.
- 32. Swami V, Tran US, Stieger S, Voracek M. Associations between women's body image and happiness: results of the You-Beauty. com Body Image Survey (YBIS). J Happiness Stud. 2015;16(3):705–18.
- Nagata JM, Domingue BW, Darmstadt GL, Weber AM, Meausoone V, Cislaghi B, Shakya HB. Gender norms and weight control behaviors in US adolescents: a prospective cohort study (1994–2002). J Adolesc Health. 2020;66(1):S34–41.
- Robinson WR, Keyes KM, Utz RL, Martin CL, Yang Y. Birth cohort effects among US-born adults born in the 1980s: foreshadowing future trends in US obesity prevalence. Int J Obes. 2013;37(3):448–54.
- 35. Jayachandran S. The roots of gender inequality in developing countries. Annu Rev Econ. 2015;7:63–88.
- 36. Ji Y, Wu X, Sun S, He G. Unequal care, unequal work: Toward a more comprehensive understanding of gender inequality in post-reform urban China. Sex Roles. 2017;77(11):765–78.
- Stein DJ, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, Bruffaerts R, De Jonge P, Liu Z. et al. Associations between mental disorders and subsequent onset of hypertension. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2014;36(2):142–9.