ORIGINAL PAPER



Maleic acid and malonic acid reduced the pathogenicity of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by inhibiting mycelial growth, sclerotia formation and virulence factors



Yu-chen Fei^{1,2}, Qin Cheng¹⁺, Huan Zhang¹, Chuang Han¹, Xu Wang³, Yan-feng Li¹, Shi-qian Li^{2*} and Xiao-hu Zhao^{1*}

Abstract

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a necrotrophic plant pathogenic fungus with broad distribution and host range. Bioactive compounds derived from plant extracts have been proven to be effective in controlling *S. sclerotiorum*. In this study, the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* was effectively inhibited by maleic acid, malonic acid, and their combination at a concentration of 2 mg/mL, with respective inhibition rates of 32.5%, 9.98%, and 67.6%. The treatment of detached leaves with the two acids resulted in a decrease in lesion diameters. Interestingly, maleic acid and malonic acid decreased the number of sclerotia while simultaneously increasing their weight. The two acids also disrupted the cell structure of sclerotia, leading to sheet-like electron-thin regions. On a molecular level, maleic acid reduced oxalic acid secretion, upregulated the expression of *Ss-Odc2* and downregulated *CWDE10*, *Ss-Bi1* and *Ss-Ggt1*. Differently, malonic acid downregulated *CWDE2* and *Ss-Odc1*. These findings verified that maleic acid and malonic acid could effectively inhibit *S. sclerotiorum*, providing promising evidence for the development of an environmentally friendly biocontrol agent.

Keywords Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Maleic acid, Malonic acid, Inhibition

[†]Yu-chen Fei and Qin Cheng contributed equally to the present work.

Handling Editor: Zonghua Wang

*Correspondence: Shi-qian Li lishiqian@whu.edu.cn Xiao-hu Zhao xhzhao@mail.hzau.edu.cn

¹ State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology / College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China

² Fujian Universities and Colleges Engineering Research Center of Modern Facility Agriculture, Fuqing 350300, China

³ Institute of Quality Standard and Monitoring Technology for Agro-Products of Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China

Introduction

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, a cosmopolitan necrotrophic pathogen, is a saprophytic and parasitic fungus that infects more than 400 dicotyledons such as sunflowers, soybean, canola and oilseed rape (Chen et al. 2022; Kim et al. 2011; Shahoveisi et al. 2022). Sclerotinia stem rot (SSR) caused by *S. sclerotiorum* occurs in many areas, resulting in a severe yield loss of oilseed rape in China, Canada, the United States and other regions (Bolton et al. 2006; Hu et al. 2019). SSR reduced the annual output of oilseed rape by 10%-30% and even 80% in extreme cases, which seriously endangered agricultural production and caused economic losses (Hu et al. 2017; Qin et al. 2011). Since SSR is a soil-borne disease, the formation of sclerotia in soil plays a significant role in the pathogenic process (Cheng et al. 2019). There are two different approaches



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

for *S. sclerotiorum* to infect the host plant: the main way is hyphae formed directly from germinating sclerotia, and another is through the germinated ascospores (Ding et al. 2021). Pathogenic factors are responsible for the successful infection of *S. sclerotiorum*. Researches have shown that *S. sclerotiorum* releases oxalic acid (OA) to help its colonization of oilseed rape (Ghosh et al. 2016; Fujinami et al. 2022). In the early stage of infection, high concentrations of OA create a reducing environment that can inhibit the oxidative burst of plants and facilitate fungal invasion (Kim et al. 2011). In contrast, low concentrations of OA induce resistance in plants. Therefore, the sclerotial formation and OA secretion of *S. sclerotiorum* are vital for the pathogenic process.

Utilizing chemical pesticides has long been an effective method for preventing and controlling S. sclerotiorum (Liu et al. 2018, 2021; Oliveira et al. 2013a, b). However, the current issues of pesticide reduction and fungicide-resistant strains of S. sclerotiorum have received considerable attention (Sun et al. 2018; Besil et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2014a, b). Although numerous pieces of research focus on alternative methods like agricultural practice, biological methods and breeding disease-resistant cultivars (Alvarez et al. 2012; Grandini et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2020), these methods are not always available and effective. Botanical pesticides are an emerging component of modern pesticide development (Coman et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2022; Ngegba et al. 2022). Recently, secondary metabolites, such as organic acid, alkaloids and phytosterol, have been used as the main active ingredients of new botanical pesticides, which are biodegradable, economical and environmentally friendly (Luo et al. 2021; Li et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2011a, b). The application of plant extracts as the main active compounds of pesticides to control fungal diseases has a promising prospect.

In our previous studies, we found that dissolved organic matter derived from oilseed rape straw supplemented with selenium (Se) in soil (RSDOM_{Se}) inhibited the mycelial growth of S. sclerotiorum (Jia et al. 2020, 2019; Cheng et al. 2020). Among the eight metabolites upregulated in RSDOM_{Se}, maleic acid and malonic acid inhibited the mycelial growth of S. sclerotiorum effectively (Jia et al. 2019). However, there was no report on the effects of the two acids on morphological and physiological characteristics, and relevant pathogenic gene regulations of S. sclerotiorum were unknown. To further elucidate the potential inhibitory effects of the two acids on S. sclerotiorum, experiments were conducted: (1) to examine the impacts of maleic acid and malonic acid on the antifungal sensitivity, mycelial growth, the pathogenicity of mycelia on detached leaves, sclerotial formation and subcellular structure of sclerotia of S. sclerotiorum, and (2) to quantify oxalic acid (OA) secretion in mycelia and assess the expression of relevant pathogenic genes.

Results

Effect of maleic acid and malonic acid on the growth of S. *sclerotiorum*

In this study, we clearly clarified the sensitivity of *S. sclerotiorum* to maleic acid and malonic acid (Fig. S1), with the half-maximal effective concentrations (EC_{50}) for maleic acid and malonic acid determined to be 2.6 mg/mL and 7.0 mg/mL, respectively. The following studies utilized the effective concentration of 2 mg/mL, which exhibited lower toxicity.

As shown in Table 1, the mycelial growth of *S. scle-rotiorum* was significantly inhibited by maleic acid, as well as malonic acid. The inhibition ratios of the three treatments, namely maleic acid (32.5%), malonic acid (9.98%) and maleic acid+malonic acid (67.6%), were determined in comparison to the control. Additionally, the combination of maleic acid and malonic acid effectively inhibited the lesion diameters on detached leaves of oilseed rape. The inhibition ratios were 6.22% for maleic acid, 12.44% for malonic acid, and 20.73% for maleic acid + malonic acid, when compared with the control.

Inhibitory effect on sclerotial formation

The sclerotial formation was examined (Fig. 1). The results indicated sclerotial formation was inhibited by malonic acid, leading to a decrease in the number of sclerotia. However, an increase was observed in their weight (Fig. 1). Compared with the control, the weight of

 Table 1
 The lesion diameters of S. sclerotiorum determined after

 48 h incubation on PDA media with maleic acid and/or malonic
 acid and the lesion diameters of S. sclerotiorum determined after

 36 h incubation on detached leaves
 acid and the lesion diameters

Object	Treatments	Lesion length (cm)	Inhibition ratio (%)
Mycelia	Control	8.42±0.09a	0
	Maleic acid	5.68±0.04c	32.5
	Malonic acid	7.58±0.06b	9.98
	Maleic acid + Malonic acid	$2.73 \pm 0.08d$	67.6
Detached leaves	Control	1.93±0.04a	0
	Maleic acid	1.81±0.11a	6.22
	Malonic acid	1.69±0.12ab	12.44
	Maleic acid + Malonic acid	1.53±0.03b	20.73

Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and shown as means \pm standard error (SE). The concentrations of maleic acid, as well as malonic acid, were 2 mg/mL. Different letters indicated statistically significant differences (p < 0.05)



Fig. 1 Effects of maleic acid and malonic acid (2 mg/mL) on the number and weight of sclerotia. Data for each column were the per number and weight of sclerotia in one PDA plate. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and shown as mean \pm standard error (SE). Different letters indicated statistically significant differences among the different treatments (p < 0.05) by Duncan's tests

sclerotia in the treatments of malonic acid and the twoacid combinations increased by 40% and 58%, respectively. The reduction ratios of sclerotial numbers with the two treatments were 17% for malonic acid, and 46% for maleic acid + malonic acid in comparison to the control. However, the treatment with maleic acid increased both the number and the weight of sclerotia, although these changes were not statistically significant.

Effect of the two acids on the ultrastructure of sclerotia

The internal structure sclerotia was observed using TEM. Both acids negatively affected sclerotia compared to the control. In normal sclerotia cells, the cytoplasm exhibits uniformity, the organelles are distinctly visible, and the electron density within the cytoplasm is consistently distributed. (Fig. 2A). After acid treatment, the matrix was sparse and exhibited uneven electron density. The integrity of the cell membrane was compromised, leading to the emergence of multiple patchy regions with reduced electron density within the cell (Fig. 2B, C, and D). In addition, the cell wall became thinner after acid treatment (Fig. 2B, C, and D). Overall, the cellular structure remained largely intact with only a small amount of localized damage observed.

Analysis of OA secretion and acid production in mycelia

The OA secretion in mycelia with different treatments was shown in Fig. 3. The corresponding standard curve was shown in Fig. S2, and the R^2 value of which reached 0.9993. Compared with the control, maleic acid significantly reduced OA secretion, whereas malonic acid treatment and maleic acid + malonic acid treatment significantly increased OA secretion. The decreased ratio of maleic acid on the OA secretion was 45%, and the increased ratios for the treatments of malonic acid and maleic acid + malonic acid and their combination in PDB were 2.53, 2.24 and 2.12 respectively. Low pH of the two acids were related to lower pathogenicity of *S. sclerotiorum*.

qRT-PCR verification of the target gene expression levels

Two oxalate decarboxylase (*OxDC*) genes (*Ss-Odc1*, *Ss-Odc2*), two cell wall degradation enzymes (*CWDE2*, *CWDE10*) and two genes related to virulence (*Ss-Bi1*, *Ss-Ggt1*) were evaluated by qRT-PCR. The treatments of malonic acid and the two-acid combination significantly decreased the relative expression level of *Ss-Odc1*, and maleic acid upregulated the expression level of *Ss-Odc2*,



Fig. 2 Effects of maleic acid and malonic acid on ultrastructural changes of sclerotia. Representative TEM images of sclerotia sections selected from four specimens in each treatment: **A** The control; **B** 2 mg/mL maleic acid; More particles were formed in sclerotia and different contents reduced. **C** 2 mg/mL malonic acid; Fewer and bigger particles were formed and also the contents degraded. **D** 2 mg/mL maleic acid + 2 mg/mL malonic acid. (I: bar = 2 μ m; II: bar = 1 μ m). The cell wall became thinner in treatments of the acids, compared with the control. Yellow circles were to mark the changes of contents in sclerotia. The thickness of the cell wall was indicated via yellow arrows

as shown in Fig. 4A and B. The treatments of maleic acid and the two-acid combination significantly lowered the expression of *CWDE10* with the corresponding ratios of 36% and 32%, while malonic acid significantly downregulated the expression of *CWDE2* (Fig. 4C and D). As for *Ss-Bi1*, maleic acid decreased the gene expression by 29%, compared with the control. In addition, the expression of *Ss-Ggt1* was declined in the treatments of maleic acid and two-acid combination, with the maleic acid treatment resulting in a 75% decrease (Fig. 4E and F).

Discussion

Long-term use of traditional pesticides has been found to be detrimental to environment, human health and the progress of ecologically sustainable development (Zhou et al. 2014a, b; Sahni et al. 2016). To reduce the usage of conventional fungicides, alternative methods are worth more attention. In our previous study, it has been proved that $RSDOM_{Se}$ can inhibit the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum*. Maleic acid and malonic acid, which was among the upregulated metabolites of $RSDOM_{Se}$, showed significant inhibitory effect on mycelial growth (Jia et al. 2019). Maleic acid is an important intermediate



Fig. 3 Effect of maleic acid and malonic acid on OA secretion of *S. sclerotiorum*. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and shown as mean value \pm standard error (SE). The values with the same letter were not significantly different at p < 0.05 according to Duncan's tests

in chemical industries (Ayoub et al. 2022). It is usually utilized as an acidic catalyst in the food processing industry, due to its non-toxic nature and ediblility (Zhang et al. 2022a, b). Malonic acid is a common component of many products and processes in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries (Gu et al. 2022). Studies have shown that malonic acid and maleic anhydride or related compounds have definite antibacterial effects (Chen et al. 2011a, b; Kuwaki et al. 2002). Based on the previous findings, this study provided some evidences that maleic acid and malonic acid inhibit the growth of *S. sclerotiorum* in vitro (Fig. 5).

Maleic acid and malonic acid reduced the pathogenicity of *S. sclerotiorum*

The activities of fungicides on various plant pathogenic fungi followed the principle of hormesis, described as high-dose inhibition and low-dose stimulation (Zhang et al. 2019). To ensure effective inhibition, the EC_{50} values of the two acids on S. sclerotiorum were determined. EC_{50} of maleic acid and malonic acid were 2.6 and 7.0, respectively. Yeon et al. found that maleic acid exhibited antifungal activity against a diverse range of fungi and oomycetes, with the minimum inhibitory concentration ranging from 312.5 to about 2,500 µg/mL (Yeon et al. 2021). In addition, the previous studies showed that malonic acid at a concentration of 2 mg/L had a significant inhibitory effect on S. sclerotiorum (Jia et al. 2019). Therefore, the same concentration of 2 mg/mL was selected for this study. Generally, all our designated concentrations stayed within the stimulation phase, and the inhibitory effect of maleic acid was better than that of malonic acid (Fig. S1). The two acids significantly inhibited the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum* and reduced the lesion diameters on the detached leaves (Table 1). The inhibitory effect of the combined application of two acids surpassed that of a single acid treatment. Therefore, it is recommended to utilize a combination of the two acids for the control *S. sclerotiorum*.

Possible inhibitory evidence regarding the two acids on *S. sclerotiorum*

Further possible inhibitory evidence of maleic acid and malonic acid on *S. sclerotiorum* was also investigated, it might involve the following several processes:

(1) The two acids inhibited the sclerotia formation

The sclerotial numbers were significantly reduced at the presence of acombination of two acids, whereas the presence of maleic acid alone resulted in only a slight reduction or no change (Fig. 1). The reduced number of *S. sclerotiorum* suggested that sclerotia were inhibited, corroborating the findings reported by Cheng et al. (2019) and Zhang et al. (2022a, b). Reducing the number of pathogens can effectively mitigate the prevalence of soil-borne diseases (Chen et al. 2011a, b). It is noteworthy that while maleic acid increased both the weight and number of sclerotia (Fig. 1), it significantly inhibited the mycelial growth and the incidence of disease (Table. 1), which may be attributed to the reduction of virulence (Fig. 4). Host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) enhances



Fig. 4 Relative expression levels of six target genes of *S. sclerotiorum*. *S. sclerotiorum* was incubated for 48 h in PDA medium containing different treatments, and mycelia was collected for qRT-PCR analysis. The concentrations of maleic acid, as well as malonic acid, were 2 mg/mL. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and shown as mean value \pm standard error (SE). Bars with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05)

plant tolerance to pathogens by silencing genes essential for pathogenicity. Zhu et al. found that silencing *CsGPA1* and *CsGPA2* had no impact on the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum*, but it did decrease the quantity of sclerotia and increase the weight of individual sclerotia. Interestingly, only the strain with CsGPA1-silenced exhibited reduced virulence (Zhu et al. 2021). Additionally, a study showed a positive correlation between sclerotinia virulence and colony diameter, but no correlation was found between virulence and the number, size, or weight of sclerotia. (Rather et al. 2022). Consequently, the relationship between the sclerotia formation and virulence of *S. sclerotiorum* needs to be further investigated.



Fig. 5 The evidence of inhibition of in vitro growth of S. sclerotiorum by maleic acid and malonic acid

(2) Maleic acid reduced OA production of *S. sclerotiorum*

The synthesis and secretion of OA at high concentrations by S. sclerotiorum is a primary determinant for successful plant infection (Hou et al. 2019). In this study, maleic acid significantly curtailed OA secretion, while malonic acid and the combined treatment of two acids enhanced OA secretion (Fig. 3). OA is a key pathogenic factor of S. sclerotiorum, which secretes a large amount of OA during early plant infection to suppress the production of plant reactive oxygen species and promote the colonization and expansion of pathogenic bacteria (Cessna et al. 2000). Decreasing OA production in S. sclerotiorum could elevate the pH of surrounding environment, thereby diminishing its pathogenicity (Derbyshire et al. 2021). Interestingly, despite the increased OA secretion by S. sclerotiorum, malonic acid alone and the combined treatment of two acids exhibited a positive inhibitory effect. One study found that an activating mutation of the S. sclerotiorum pac1 gene increased oxalic acid production at low pH but decreased virulence (Kim et al., 2007). Therefore, the reduction of virulence of S. sclerotiorum induced by maleic acid and malonic acid might be related not only to OA content but also to the pH change caused by it. Another study showed that the growth of *S. sclerotiorum* was affected by pH. Oxalic acid, citric acid, glutaric acid and tartaric acid inhibited sclerotia formation at pH 1.72, 2, 2.43 and 1.96 respectively, and mycelial growth at pH 1.56, 1.88, 2.3 and 1.9 respectively (Atallah et al. 2020). The pH of 2 mg/mL maleic acid, malonic acid and their combination in PDB were 2.53, 2.24 and 2.12 respectively. Therefore, the addition of maleic acid and malonic acid subjected *S. sclerotiorum* to a highly acidic environment, which inhibited its growth.

(3) The two acids regulated pathogenic gene expressions of *S. sclerotiorum*

To better understand the potential mechanisms, we evaluated the molecular level associated with OA production, activities of cell wall degradation enzymes (CWDEs) and virulence of *S. sclerotiorum. Ss-Odc1* and *Ss-Odc2* are two putative oxalate decarboxylase (*OxDC*) genes. The transcript of *Ss-Odc1* exhibited significant accumulation in different stages of compound appressorium development and plant colonization. In contrast, the *Ss-odc2* transcript was only significantly accumulated only during the middle and late stages of the compound. Evidence indicates that the expressions of *Odc1* and *Odc2* reduced the accumulation of OA, which was not induced by the low pH of the hyphae or exogenous OA

(Liang et al. 2015). In this study, maleic acid upregulated the gene expression of Odc2, while malonic acid showed no positive effects on the expression of Odc1, Odc2 (Fig. 4A, B), aligning with the determination of OA secretion (Fig. 3). During the fungal infection in plants, an increased level of cell wall degrading enzymes (CWDEs) enhances the fungal pathogens to colonize plants and cause infection (Kubicek et al. 2014, Sun et al. 2023). S. sclerotiorum can produce multiple CWDEs that facilitate host penetration, enhance host tissue maceration, and degrade host cell walls (Oliveira et al. 2013a, b). CWDE2 (cellulase family protein) and CWDE10 (pectinesterase A) are two kinds of cell wall-degrading enzyme genes (Xu et al. 2015). In this study, maleic acid and malonic acid reduced the virulence of S. sclerotiorum by down-regulating CWDE10 and CWDE2 respectively (Fig. 4C, D). Interestingly, some studies reported no relations between the gene expression of CWDEs and the pathogenicity of S. sclerotiorum (Anees et al. 2010). It may be that increased CWDE transcripts do not necessarily lead to increased virulence in unfavorable environments, such as high pH, where enzyme activity may not be optimal (Favaron et al 2004). Ss-Ggt1, a y-glutamyl transpeptidase, regulates the ROS antioxidant system (Li et al. 2012). As for *Ss-Bi1*, it encodes a putative *Bax*-inhibitor protein that is vital in the hyphal stress response and full virulence of S. sclerotiorum, influencing the pathogenicity in an oxalic acid-independent manner (Yu et al. 2015). The declining gene expression might indicate gene silencing so that Bax expression is inhibited and PCD (Programmed Cell

Death) could not be activated to enhance plant resistance to pathogens (Shlezinger et al. 2011). However, results of this study showed that only maleic acid facilitate plant resistance against *S. sclerotiorum* through downregulating *Ggt1* and *Bi1* (Fig. 4E, F).

(4) Role of Maleic Acid in the TCA Cycle Enhances Plant Resistance

In our previous study, we found the application of RSDOM_{Se} exhibited a significant antifungal effect on S. sclerotiorum. According to the analysis of differential metabolites and up-regulated KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) metabolic pathways, the inhibitory effect of RSDOMSe might be associated with the upregulation of not only maleic acid and malonic acid but also metabolic pathways related to maleic acid (Jia et al. 2019). Succinic acid and fumaric acid, two main components of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, were identified as two key metabolites that were up-regulated with RSDOMSe treatment (Fig. 6). Some studies have shown that succinic acid had the potential to participate in the host's immune regulation as a signal molecule (Jiang et al. 2023; Wei et al. 2023). Meanwhile, the TCA cycle not only contributes to the maintenance of energy metabolism homeostasis but also promotes the synthesis of non-essential amino acids such as aspartic acid, which can help plants absorb nutrients and maintain metabolic stability (Yang et al. 2021).



Fig. 6 Role of Maleic Acid in the TCA Cycle Enhances Plant Resistance. As revealed by the up-regulated KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathway, several metabolic pathways contribute to enhancing plant resistance, with maleic acid participating in some of them such as the TCA cycle

Conclusions

The combination of maleic acid and malonic acid, derived from oilseed rape straw, could effectively control S. sclerotiorum. This control is achieved by inhibiting mycelial growth, damaging the subcellular structure of sclerotial, reducing oxalic acid secretion and regulating the expression of pathogenic genes. Malonic acid was effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth and sclerotia formation of S. sclerotiorum. Maleic acid, on the other hand, reduced the pathogenicity of S. sclerotiorum by decreasing OA secretion and reducing the expression of virulence-related genes such as Ss-Bi1 and Ss-Ggt1. In addition, the detached leaf experiments showed that the combination of the two acids could effectively reduce the infection of S. sclerotiorum in oilseed rape. This study suggested that maleic acid and malonic acid had potential as safe ecological inhibitors for S. sclerotiorum, which provided a theoretical reference for the subsequent development of green and environmentally friendly pesticides.

Material and methods

Pathogen and chemicals

S. sclerotiorum (JZJL-13) used in this study was obtained from the Key Laboratory of Crop Disease Monitoring and Safety Control, Huazhong Agricultural University. Fungal strains were cultured on potato–dextrose–agar (PDA) medium (200 g potato, 20 g dextrose, and 15 g agar in 1 L water), and the corresponding liquid medium was potato-dextrose-broth (PDB) medium. Sclerotia were activated at first, and mycelial plugs cut with the same radius were placed into a new PDA and incubated at 23 °C for 48 h to obtain new mycelia of *S. sclerotiorum*. Maleic acid (ID: 392248) and malonic acid (ID:844) used in this study were purchased from Aladdin Reagent limited-liability company in Shanghai.

Antifungal activity assay

To estimate the activity of *S. sclerotiorum* responding to the two acids, the half-maximal effective concentrations (EC_{50}) were determined according to Jia et al. (2019). Different gradient concentrations of maleic acid (2, 4, 6, 8, 10 mg/mL) and malonic acid (0.8, 1, 1.6, 2.4, 3.2 mg/ mL) were set to measure the mycelial growth of *S. sclerotiorum*. The prepared mycelial plugs (6 mm in diameter) of 2-day-old colonies in PDA media were transferred to PDA media with thegradient concentrations of maleic acid and malonic acid. Culturing *S. sclerotiorum* on PDA with no acid addition was the control treatment. The colony diameters of mycelial agar in the petri dish were determined after incubation in darkness at 23 °C for 48 h. According to Cheng et al. (2019), the inhibition ratio was defined as follows: " $d_{control}$ " was the mycelial colony diameter of *S. sclerotiorum* in the PDA medium, and " $d_{treated}$ " was the colony diameter of *S. sclerotiorum* in the PDA medium with maleic acid or malonic acid. Each treatment was repeated four times.

Inhibition ratio(%) =
$$\frac{d_{control} - d_{treated}}{d_{control}} \times 100\%$$

The "logit" method was utilized to proceed with nonlinear data fitting. The values in the X-axis refer to the gradient concentrations of the acid, and the values in the Y-axis refer inhibition ratios of the acid (Sebaugh 2011). Based on the results of EC_{50} and low phytotoxicity, an equal concentration of 2 mg/mL was selected for the following study. The fresh mycelial agar was placed on the center of the PDA medium with four treatments: the control, 2 mg/mL of maleic acid, 2 mg/mL of malonic acid, 2 mg/mL of maleic acid + 2 mg/mL of malonic acid (the same as below). Each treatment was preformed with four replicates.

Estimation of pathogenicity on detached leaves of oilseed rape

The oilseed rape selected in this experiment was *Brassica napus L.* cultivar Zhongshuang No.9 from the Oil Crops Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. Detached leaves of oilseed rape were picked from the eco-agriculture base (30°28′26″N, 114°2′15″E), Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China. Myce-lial plugs (6 mm in diameter) with different treatments were inoculated onto the detached oilseed leaves with wounds pretreated with a sterile knife, and the diameters of wounds on the leaves were the same size as the prepared mycelial plugs. The colony diameters of the detached leaves were measured by cross method 36 h later to examine the pathogenicity. Each treatment was repeated four times.

Sclerotial formation determination

To estimate the effect of maleic acid and malonic acid on sclerotial formation, the numbers and weight of *S. sclero-tiorum* in treatments of the two acids were determined. Similarly, mycelial plugs were transferred to fresh PDA media with different treatments. Each petri dish was incubated at 23 °C in darkness for 15 d. Then, the number of sclerotia on each PDA plate was recorded, and the sclerotia were collected and weighed. Each treatment was repeated four times.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis

To study the subcellular effect that maleic acid and malonic acid exerted on S. sclerotiorum, TEM observation was considered a priority to observe the ultrastructure of sclerotia, and the operational process was based on Cheng et al. (2019). After collecting sclerotia from the PDA medium with different treatments, sclerotia were fixed in a solution of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH=7.2) at 4 °C for 4 h. After that, phosphate buffer was used to rinse samples for 4 h. Next, two-hour required for the rinsed samples immersed in 1% osmium tetroxide with the same buffer at 4 °C. Then, the samples were dehydrated in graded acetone series for 4 h, completely immersing them in a mixed solution with graded acetone and resin for 4 d. Ultimately, a Leica Ultracut UCT ultramicrotome with a diamond knife was utilized to obtain ultra-thin Sects. (50 nm) of the samples. The samples were finally observed by an electron microscope (TEM, H-7650, Hitachi, Japan).

Oxalic acid secretion and acid production determination

The OA secretion of S. sclerotiorum in the PDB media was determined according to Jia et al. (2019). The 2-dayold mycelial agars were transferred to PDB media with different treatments and were cultured in the dark at 23°C for 72 h. Each PDB medium had 5 mycelial agars. Afterwards, the PDB solution was centrifuged $(10,000 \times g,$ 15 min) to obtain the supernatant. Subsequently, the determination of OA content followed the colorimetric method. 0.4 mL supernatant was moved to a colorimetric tube with 0.1 mL 0.5 mg/mL Fe³⁺ standard solutions (FeCl₃), 1 mL KCl-HCl solution (3.7 g/L KCl and 5.4 g/L HCl, pH 2.0) and 0.06 mL 0.5% sulfosalicylic acid (w/v). After 20 min, the absorbance at 510 nm was read from a UV-5200 ultraviolet spectrophotometer. The acid of the liquid was determined by the Seven2Go pH meter S2-Std-Kit (Cheng et al. 2019). The pH in the PDB medium was measured to investigate the change in acid production in mycelium due to treatments. Each treatment was repeated four times.

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis

The determination of the relevant gene expression levels was based on Xu et al. (2020). This experiment included two main steps: acquisition of mycelial samples and specific determination of the gene expression process. To obtain mycelium samples, mycelial plugs were inoculated onto sterilized cellophane disks on PDA plates for 48 h at 23 °C. After that, the mycelia on the cellophane were collected and ground with high-throughput tissue grinding machines (Jingxin Corporation, Shanghai).

The determination process was mainly divided into three parts, including extraction of RNA, reverse transcription of RNA, and quantitative PCR detection. Mycelial RNA was extracted according to NI-Sclerotinia sclerotiorum RNA Reagent (Newbio Industry, Tianjin, China), and RNA samples were reversely transcribed by EasyScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis Super-Mix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing) to obtain cDNA. Quantitative PCR detection was performed using the ABI Q6 Flex system (Applied Biosystems, USA). Target primer sequences were listed in Table S1 (Supplementary). The reference gene, β -tublin, was used to normalize the transcript levels of target genes. Each qRT-PCR was repeated three times and each biological replicate had two technical replicates. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was applied for determining the expression of target genes.

Statistical analysis

All data analyses were performed with SPSS software version 22.0. Data preprocessing included the test of Normality test and homogeneity of variance. After that, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was adopted for a series of experiments including antifungal sensitivity assay, estimation of pathogenicity on detached leaves of oilseed rape, sclerotial formation determination, OA secretion determination, RNA isolation, and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. Duncan's test was to compare the means of the treatments. When p < 0.05, the result was considered significant.

Abbreviations

RSDOM _{Se}	Dissolved organic matters derived from rape straw supple-		
	mented with selenium in soil		
S. sclerotiorum	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum		
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction		
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy		
RT-qPCR	Reverse Transcription Quantitative Real-time Polymerase		
	Chain Reaction		
OA	Oxalic acid		
SSR	Sclerotinia stem rot		
PCD	Programmed cell death		

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s44154-023-00122-0.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, Q.C., X.Z., and S.L.; methodology, Y.F. and Q.C.; investigation and resources, H.Z., C.H., X.W. and Y.L.; visualization and writing—original draft preparation, Y.F.; supervision and writing – review & editing, X.Z., and S.L.; funding acquisition, X.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the open funds of the State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology (AMLKF202009), the Opening Project of Fujian Universities and Colleges Engineering Research Center of Modern Facility Agriculture (G2-KF2007), the Opening Fund of Key Laboratory of Forage and Endemic Crop Biology, Ministry of Education (FECBOF2021006), the Opening Project of Fujian Provincial Key Lab of Coastal Basin Environment (Fujian Polytechnic Normal University) (S1-KF2110), Key Laboratory of Se-enriched Products Development and Quality Control, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs/ National-Local Joint Engineering Laboratory of Se-enriched Tood Development (Se-2021A01), the Opening Project of Key Laboratory of Testing and Evaluation for Agro-product Safety and Quality, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (NK201702), the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (2021CFB489).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Received: 15 May 2023 Accepted: 7 September 2023 Published online: 13 November 2023

References

- Alvarez F, Castro M, Principe A, Borioli G, Fischer S, Mori G, Jofre E (2012) The plant-associated *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strains MEP218 and ARP23 capable of producing the cyclic lipopeptides iturin or surfactin and fengycin are effective in biocontrol of sclerotinia stem rot disease. J Appl Microbiol 112:159–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05182.x
- Anees M, Tronsmo A, Edel-Hermann V, Hjeljord LG, Héraud C, Steinberg C (2010) Characterization of field isolates of Trichoderma antagonistic against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Fungal Biol 114:691–701. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.funbio.2010.05.007
- Atallah O, Yassin S (2020) Aspergillus spp eliminate *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by imbalancing the ambient oxalic acid concentration and parasitizing its sclerotia. Environ Microbiol 22(12):5265–5279. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15213
- Ayoub N, Toufaily J, Guenin E, Enderlin G (2022) Catalyst-free process for oxidation of furfural to maleic acid by high frequency ultrasonic activation. GreenChem 24:4164. https://doi.org/10.1039/D2GC01239A
- Besil N, Cesio V, Luque E, Pintos P, Rivas F, Heinzen H (2018) Dissipation of preharvest pesticides on 'Clementine' mandarins after open field application, and their persistence when stored under conventional postharvest conditions. Horticulturae 4:55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.12.053
- Bolton MD, Thomma B, Nelson BD (2006) *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary: biology and molecular traits of a cosmopolitan pathogen. Mol Plant Pathol 7:1–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1364-3703.2005.00316.X
- Cessna SG, Sears VE, Dickman MB, Low PS (2000) Oxalic acid, a pathogenicity factor for *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, suppresses the oxidative burst of the host plant. Plant Cell 12:2191–2200. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc. 12.11.2191
- Chen L, Yang X, Raza W, Li J, Liu Y, Qiu M, Zhang F, Shen Q (2011a) Trichoderma harzianum SQR-T037 rapidly degrades allelochemicals in rhizospheres of continuously cropped cucumbers. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 89(5):1653– 1663. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-2948-x
- Chen XL, Zhu XH, Ding YC, Shen YC (2011b) Antifungal activity of tautomycin and related compounds against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. J Antibiot 64:563–569. https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2011.55

- Chen ZY, Sun HY, Hu T, Wang ZH, Wu WL, Liang Y, Guo YB (2022) Sunflower resistance against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* is potentiated by selenium through regulation of redox homeostasis and hormones signaling pathways. Environ Sci Pollut Res 29:38097–3810910. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-021-18125-7
- Cheng Q, Hu CX, Jia W, Cai MM, Zhao YY, Tang YN, Yang DD, Zhou YJ, Sun XC, Zhao XH (2019) Selenium reduces the pathogenicity of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by inhibiting sclerotial formation and germination. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 183:109503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109503
- Cheng Q, Jia W, Hu CX, Shi GY, Yang DD, Cai MM, Zhan T, Tang YN, Zhou YJ, Sun XC, Zhao XH (2020) Enhancement and improvement of selenium in soil to the resistance of rape stem against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and the inhibition of dissolved organic matter derived from rape straw on mycelium. Environ Pollut 265:114827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol. 2020.114827
- Coman C, Mot AC, Gal E, Parvu M, Silaghi-Dumitrescu R (2013) Laccase is upregulated via stress pathways in the phytopathogenic fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Fungal Biol 117:528–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. funbio.2013.05.005
- Derbyshire MC, Newman TE, Khentry Y, Taiwo AO (2021) The evolutionary and molecular features of the broad-host-range plant pathogen Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Mol Plant Pathol 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13221.
- Ding LN, Li T, Guo XJ, Li M, Liu XY, Cao J, Tan XL (2021) Sclerotinia stem rot resistance in rapeseed: recent progress and future prospects. J Agric Food Chem 69:2965–2978. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c07351
- Favaron F, Sella L, D'Ovidio R (2004) Relationships among endo-polygalacturonase, oxalate, pH, and plant polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP) in the interaction between *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and soybean. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17(12):1402–1409. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2004.17.12.1402
- Fujinami A, Ozaki T, Yamamoto S (2022) Studies on biological activity of cyclic imide compounds: partl. antimicrobial activity of 3-phenyloxazolidine-2, 4-diones and related compounds. Agric Biol Chem 35:1707–1971. https:// doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1971.10860144
- Ghosh S, Narula K, Sinha A, Ghosh R, Jawa P, Chakraborty N, Chakraborty S (2016) Proteometabolomic analysis of transgenic tomato overexpressing oxalate decarboxylase uncovers novel proteins potentially involved in defense mechanism against sclerotinia. J Proteomics 143:242–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2016.04.047
- Grandini A, Summa D, Costa S, Buzzi R, Tamburini E, Sacchetti G, Guerrini A (2022) Biotransformation of waste bile acids: a new possible sustainable approach to anti-fungal molecules for crop plant bioprotection? Int J Mol Sci 23:41–52. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23084152
- Gu SY, Zhen Z, Yao YH, Li JG, Tian CG (2022) Designing and constructing a novel artificial pathway for malonic acid production biologically. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 9:820507. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.820507
- Hou YP, Mao XW, Wu LY, Wang JX, Mi B, Zhou MG (2019) Impact of fluazinam on morphological and physiological characteristics of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Pestic Biochem Phys 155:81–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp. 2019.01.009
- Hu Q, Hua W, Yin Y, Zhang XK, Liu LJ, Zhao JQ, Qin L, Chen C, Wang HZ (2017) Rapeseed research and production in China. Crop J 5:127–135. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2016.06.005
- Hu J, Zhou YX, Gao T, Geng JM, Dai Y, Ren HY, Lamour K, Liu XL (2019) Resistance risk assessment for fludioxonil in *Sclerotinia homoeocarpa* in China. Pestic Biochem Physiol 156:123–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp. 2019.02.011
- Jia W, Hu CX, Xu JY, Ming JJ, Zhao YY, Cai MM, Sun XC, Liu XW, Zhao XH (2019) Dissolved organic matter derived from rape straw pretreated with selenium in soil improves the inhibition of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* growth. J Hazard Mater 369:601–610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.02.055
- Jia W, Zhao XH, Zhao YY, Xu JY, Ming JJ, Cai MM, Hu CX (2020) Variation in spectral characteristics of dissolved organic matter derived from rape straw of plants grown in Se-amended soil. J Integr Agric 19:1876–1884. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(19)62867-4
- Jiang Y, Qi Y, Chen X, Yan Q, Chen J, Liu H, Shi F, Wen Y, Cai C, Ou L (2023) Combined metabolome and transcriptome analyses unveil the molecular mechanisms of fruit acidity variation in litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.). Int J Mol Sci 24(3):1871. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24031871
- Kim H, Chen C, Kabbage M, Dickman MB (2011) Identification and characterization of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* NADPH oxidases. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:7721–7729. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05472-11

- Kubicek CP, Starr TL (2014) Glass N L (2014) Plant cell wall–degrading enzymes and their secretion in plant-pathogenic fungi. Annu Rev Phytopathol 52:427–451. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-045831
- Kuwaki S, Ohhira I, Takahata M, Murata Y, Tada M (2002) Antifungal activity of the fermentation product of herbs by lactic acid bacteria against *tinea*. J Biosci Bioeng 94:401–405. https://doi.org/10.1263/jbb.94.401
- Li M, Liang X, Rollins JA (2012) *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* gamma-Glutamyl transpeptidase (*Ss-Ggt1*) is required for regulating glutathione accumulation and development of sclerotia and compound appressoria. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25:412–420. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-06-11-0159
- Li CY, Hu CX, Xie JT, Shi GY, Wang X, Yuan X, Li KY, Chen S, Zhao X, Fan G (2022) Selenium combined with methyl jasmonate to control tomato gray mold by optimizing microbial community structure in plants. J Fungi 8:731. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8070731
- Liang X, Moomaw EW, Rollins JA (2015) Fungal oxalate decarboxylase activity contributes to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* early infection by affecting both compound appressoria development and function. Mol Plant Pathol 16:825–836. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12239
- Liu S, Zhang Y, Jiang J, Che Z, Tian Y, Chen G (2018) Carbendazim resistance and dimethachlone sensitivity of field isolates of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* from oilseed rape in Henan Province, China. J Phytopathol 166:701–708. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-020-00867-8
- Liu SM, Fu LY, Chen JP, Wang S, Liu JL, Jiang J, Che ZP, Tian Y, Chen GQ (2021) Baseline sensitivity of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* to metconazole and the analysis of cross-resistance with carbendazim, dimethachlone, boscalid, fluazinam, and fludioxonil. Phytoparasitica 49:123–130. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s12600-020-00867-8
- Luo ZF, Deng Y, Luo BL, Li Y, Lan Q, Fan JD, Xue W, Tang L, Fan LL (2021) Design and synthesis of novel n-butyphthalide derivatives as promising botanical fungicides. Z Naturforschung Sect C- J Biosci 76:117–127. https://doi. org/10.1515/znc-2020-0192
- Ngegba PM, Cui GF, Khalid MZ, Zhong GH (2022) Use of botanical pesticides in agriculture as an alternative to synthetic pesticides. Agriculture 12:1–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2022.105978
- Oliveira MB, Barbosa SC, Petrofeza S (2013a) Comparative in vitro and in planta analyses of extracellular enzymes secreted by the pathogenic fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Genet Mol Res 12(2):1796–1807. https://doi.org/ 10.4238/2013.June.6.3
- Oliveira TMBF, Becker H, Longhinotti E, De Souza D, de Lima-Neto PAN, Correia AN (2013b) Carbon-fibre microelectrodes coupled with square-wave voltammetry for the direct analysis of dimethomorph fungicide in natural waters. Microchem J 109:84–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2012. 03.032
- Qin L, Fu Y, Xie J, Cheng J, Jiang D, Li G, Huang J (2011) A nested-PCR method for rapid detection of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on petals of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). Plant Pathol 60:271–277. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02372.x
- Rather RA, Ahanger FA, Ahanger SA, Basu U, Wani MA, Rashid Z, Sofi PA, Singh V, Javeed K, Baazeem A, Alotaibi SS, Wani OA, Khanday JA, Dar SA, Mushtaq M (2022) Morpho-cultural and pathogenic variability of *sclerotinia sclerotiorum* causing white mold of common beans in temperate climate. J Fungi (basel) 8(7):755. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8070755
- Sahni S, Prasad BD, Liu Q, Grbic V, Sharpe A, Singh SP, Krishna P (2016) Overexpression of the brassinosteroid biosynthetic gene DWF4 in *Brassica napus* simultaneously increases seed yield and stress tolerance. Sci Rep 6:28298. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28298
- Sebaugh JL (2011) Guidelines for accurate EC₅₀/IC₅₀ estimation. Pharmaceut Statist 10:128–134. https://doi.org/10.1002/pst.426
- Shahoveisi F, Manesh MR, Mendoza LED (2022) Modeling risk of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum-induced disease development on canola and dry bean using machine learning algorithms. Sci Rep 12:864. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-021-04743-1
- Shlezinger N, Minz A, Gur Y, Hatam I, Dagdas YF, Talbot NJ, Sharon A (2011) Antiapoptotic machinery protects the necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea* from host-induced apoptotic-like cell death during plant infection. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002185. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002185

- Sun CY, Zeng LR, Xu J, Zhong L, Han XW, Chen LZ, Zhang YP, Hu DY (2018) Residual level of dimethachlon in rice-paddy field system and cooked rice determined by gas chromatography with electron capture detector. Biomed Chromatogr 32:e4226. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmc.4226
- Sun J, Zhang X, Zheng J, Liu G, Chen L (2023) Importance of cell wall permeability and cell wall degrading enzymes during infection of *Botrytis cinerea* in Hazelnut. Forests 14:565. https://doi.org/10.3390/f14030565
- Wei Y, Ma X, Zhao JC, Wang XQ, Gao CQ (2023) Succinate metabolism and its regulation of host-microbe interactions. Gut Microbes 15(1):219030061. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2190300
- Xu L, Xiang M, White D, Chen W (2015) Sclerotinia pathogenesis depends on low pH not oxalate. Environ Microbiol 17:2896–2909. https://doi.org/10. 1111/1462-2920.12818
- Xu JY, Jia W, Hu CX, Nie M, Ming JJ, Cheng Q, Cai MM, Sun XC, Li XR, Zheng XY, Wang J, Zhao XH (2020) Selenium as a potential fungicide could protect oilseed rape leaves from *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* infection. Environ Pollut 257:113–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113495
- Yang C, Wang C, Ouyang P, Gan X (2021) Inhibitory effect of malonic acid on Microcystis aeruginosa. Water Resources Protection 37(3):121–126
- Yeon J, Park AR, Nguyen HTT, Gwak H, Kim J, Sang MK, Kim JC (2021) Inhibition of oomycetes by the mixture of maleic acid and copper sulfate. Plant Dis. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-21-1559-RE
- Yu Y, Xiao J, Yang Y, Bi C, Qing L, Tan W (2015) Ss-Bi1 encodes a putative BAX inhibitor-1 protein that is required for full virulence of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 90:115–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pmpp.2015.04.005
- Zhang R, Zhang Y, Xu Q, Li J, Zhu F (2019) Hormetic effects of mixtures of dimethachlone and prochloraz on *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Plant Dis 103:546–554. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-06-18-1071-RE
- Zhang C, Li JH, An HM, Wu XM, Wu YY, Long YH, Li RY, Xing DK (2020) Enhanced elimination of dimethachlon from soils using a novel strain *Brevundimonas naejangsanensis* J3. J Environ Manage 255:109848. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109848
- Zhang H, Cheng Q, Wang X, Jia W, Xie J, Fan G, Han C, Zhao X (2022a) Selenium improved phenylacetic acid content in oilseed rape and thus enhanced the prevention of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by dimethachlon. Journal of Fungi 8:1193. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof8111193
- Zhang QB, Nawaz A, Jiang KK, Zhou X, Xu Y (2022b) Biorefinery cascade processing for converting corncob to xylooligosaccharides and glucose by maleic acid pretreatment. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 194:4946–4958. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9050207
- Zhao JB, Liang DM, Li WG, Yan XG, Qiao JJ, Qinggele CY (2022) Research progress on the synthetic biology of botanical biopesticides. Bioengineering-Basel 9:207. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9050207
- Zhou F, Zhu FX, Zhang XL, Zhang AS (2014a) First report of dimethachlon resistance in field isolates of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* on oilseed rape in Shaanxi Province of Northwestern China. Plant Dis 98:568–568. https:// doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-13-0730-PDN
- Zhou F, Liang HJ, Di YL, You H, Zhu FX (2014b) Stimulatory effects of sublethal doses of dimethachlon on *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Plant Dis 98:1364–1370. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-13-1059-RE
- Zhu P, Zhang S, Li R, Liu C, Fan W, Hu T, Zhao A (2021) Host-Induced Gene Silencing of a G Protein α Subunit Gene CsGpa1 Involved in Pathogen Appressoria Formation and Virulence Improves Tobacco Resistance to Ciboria shiraiana. J Fungi (basel) 7(12):1053. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof71 21053

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.