

On the spectrum of tridiagonal operators in the context of orthogonal polynomials

Rupert Lasser and Josef Obermaier

Abstract. The basis for our studies is a large class of orthogonal polynomial sequences $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, which is normalized by $P_n(x_0) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ where the coefficients in the three-term recurrence relation are bounded. The goal is to check if $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is in the support of the orthogonalization measure μ . For this purpose, we use, among other things, a result of G. H. Hardy concerning Cesàro operators on weighted l^2 -spaces. These investigations generalize ideas from Lasser et al. (Arch Math 100:289–299, 2013).

Mathematics Subject Classification. 33D45, 47B36.

Keywords. Orthogonal polynomials, Growth of derivatives, Tridiagonal operators, Spectrum, Cesàro operators.

1. Orthogonal polynomials on the real line and tridiagonal operators

Let μ be a probability measure on the real line. We denote the support of μ by S and assume its cardinality $\#S = \infty$. Let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ denote the unique orthonormal polynomial sequence with respect to μ , that is deg $p_n = n$, $\int p_n p_m d\mu = \delta_{n,m}$, and p_n has a positive leading coefficient for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The orthonormal polynomial sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfies a recurrence relation

$$xp_{n}(x) = \lambda_{n}p_{n+1}(x) + \beta_{n}p_{n}(x) + \lambda_{n-1}p_{n-1}(x)$$
(1)

with $p_{-1}(x) = 0$, $p_0(x) = 1$, $\lambda_{-1} = 0$, $\lambda_n > 0$ and $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Conversely, if $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is defined by (1), there is a probability measure μ such that $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is the orthonormal polynomial sequence with respect to μ , see e.g. [2].

In the case $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are bounded S is compact and vice versa. The boundedness implies also that the orthogonalization measure μ is uniquely determined. The smallest interval containing S is called the true interval of orthogonality, see e.g. [2].

Rupert Lasser and Josef Obermaier contributed equally to this work.

Now, let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N} = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } p_n(x) = 0\}$ is the set of zeros of all orthonormal polynomials. It is well known that $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}$, see e.g. [2]. The normalized polynomials

$$P_{n}(x) = \frac{p_{n}(x)}{p_{n}(x_{0})}$$
(2)

form an orthogonal polynomial sequence $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with respect to μ , that is

$$\int P_n P_m d\mu = \frac{\delta_{n,m}}{h_n} \tag{3}$$

with $h_n > 0$. We call x_0 a normalizing point. The corresponding three-term recurrence relation is

$$xP_n(x) = \gamma_n P_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n P_n(x) + \alpha_n P_{n-1}(x)$$
(4)

with $P_{-1}(x) = 0$, $P_0(x) = 1$,

$$\gamma_n = \frac{p_{n+1}(x_0)}{p_n(x_0)} \lambda_n,\tag{5}$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{p_{n-1}(x_0)}{p_n(x_0)} \lambda_{n-1}, \quad \text{and} \tag{6}$$

$$\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = x_0 \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(7)

Note that (6) implies $\alpha_0 = 0$. It is also important to emphasize that (7) applies if and only if x_0 is a normalization point and that our investigations heavily depend on Eq. (7).

Moreover, $\gamma_n \alpha_{n+1} = \lambda_n^2 > 0$. One easily shows

$$h_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1} = h_n\gamma_n\tag{8}$$

which implies

$$h_n = \frac{\gamma_0 \dots \gamma_{n-1}}{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = p_n^2(x_0) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(9)

Note that (9) also applies in the case n = 0, where the nominator and denominator are empty products that means they are set equal 1 by default. Therefore, (3) as well as (9) yields $h_0 = 1$.

The so called Christoffel–Darboux formula is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_k(x) P_k(y) h_k = \gamma_n h_n \frac{P_{n+1}(x) P_n(y) - P_n(x) P_{n+1}(y)}{x - y}, \qquad (10)$$

see [2]. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_k(x)^2 h_k = \gamma_n h_n (P'_{n+1}(x) P_n(x) - P'_n(x) P_{n+1}(x)),$$
(11)

and in particular setting $x = x_0$ we get

$$P'_{n+1}(x_0) - P'_n(x_0) = \frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n}$$
(12)

with

$$H_n = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(13)

Definition 1.1. If $\{P'_{n+1}(x_0) - P'_n(x_0) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is bounded, then we call x_0 a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives.

Note that further on speaking about x_0 as a normalizing point of bounded growth of derivatives is the same as to speak about the boundedness of $\{\frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

 $\begin{cases} \frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \}. \\ & \text{Subsequently we deal with the case } \mathcal{S} = \text{supp}\mu \text{ is compact which is equivalent with } (\gamma_n \alpha_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ and } (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{ are bounded sequences. Then the true interval of orthogonality is } [\min \mathcal{S}, \max \mathcal{S}]. \end{cases}$

Lemma 1.1. In the case $x_0 \ge \max \mathcal{S}$ we have $\alpha_{n+1}, \gamma_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and in the case $x_0 \le \min \mathcal{S}$ we have $\alpha_{n+1}, \gamma_n < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N} \subset (\min \mathcal{S}, \max \mathcal{S})$ and the leading coefficient of all orthonormal polynomials is positive we have in the case $x_0 \geq \max \mathcal{S}$ that $p_n(x_0) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Whereas in the case $x_0 \leq \min \mathcal{S}$ the sign of $p_n(x_0)$ is alternating.

On the set of complex-valued sequences there acts a linear operator $T : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0} \to \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ determined by the recurrence relation (4). More precisely, for $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ put

$$(T\xi)_n = T\xi_n = \gamma_n\xi_{n+1} + \beta_n\xi_n + \alpha_n\xi_{n-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{14}$$

where $\xi_{-1} = 0$. Written as tridiagonal matrix the operator T has the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & \gamma_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \gamma_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \gamma_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \gamma_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$
(15)

Note that in our investigations T acts on the different spaces $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, $l^1(h)$ and $l^2(h)$ which is clear from the respective context. First let us study T as an operator on

$$l^{1}(h) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n}| h_{n} < \infty \right\}$$
(16)

with norm $\|\xi\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_n| h_n$ for all $\xi \in l^1(h)$.

Proposition 1.1. In the case $|\alpha_n|$, $|\beta_n|$ and $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the operator $T: l^1(h) \to l^1(h)$ is well defined and continuous. Especially we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T\xi_n h_n = x_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n h_n \quad and \quad \|T\xi\|_1 \le C \|\xi\|_1$$
(17)

for all $\xi \in l^1(h)$, where $C = \min(3B, |x_0| + 2B)$.

Proof. Set $\gamma_{-1} = \xi_{-1} = h_{-1} = 0$.

Applying (8) and the assumed absolute convergence of the series we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T\xi_n h_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_n \xi_{n+1} + \beta_n \xi_n + \alpha_n \xi_{n-1}) h_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} h_{n+1} + \beta_n \xi_n h_n + \gamma_{n-1} \xi_{n-1} h_{n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n) \xi_n h_n = x_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n h_n.$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T\xi_n| h_n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\gamma_n|| \xi_{n+1}| + |\beta_n|| \xi_n| + |\alpha_n|| \xi_{n-1}|) h_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1}|| \xi_{n+1}| h_{n+1} + |\beta_n|| \xi_n| h_n$$

$$+ |\gamma_{n-1}|| \xi_{n-1}| h_{n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\alpha_n| + |\beta_n| + |\gamma_n|) |\xi_n| h_n \leq 3B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_n| h_n.$$

At least two of the coefficients in $|\alpha_n| + |\beta_n| + |\gamma_n|$ do have the same sign. For instance, if $\operatorname{sign}\alpha_n = \operatorname{sign}\beta_n$, then $|\alpha_n| + |\beta_n| + |\gamma_n| = |\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n - \gamma_n| + |\gamma_n| \le |x_0| + 2 |\gamma_n| \le |x_0| + 2B$. Proceeding the same way with all the other possibilities one gets alternatively $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T\xi_n| = h_n \le (|x_0| + 2B) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_n| + h_n$, which completes the proof.

We focus on the weighted Hilbert space

$$l^{2}(h) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_{0}} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{n}|^{2} h_{n} < \infty \right\}$$

$$(18)$$

with scalar product $\langle \xi, v \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n \overline{v_n} h_n$ and norm $\|\xi\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle \xi, \xi \rangle}$ for all $\xi, v \in l^2(h)$.

Proposition 1.2. In the case $|\alpha_n|, |\beta_n|$ and $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the operator $T: l^2(h) \to l^2(h)$ is a well defined, self-adjoint and continuous operator with

$$||T\xi||_2 \le C ||\xi||_2,\tag{19}$$

where $C = \min(3B, |x_0| + 2B)$.

Proof. Set
$$\gamma_{-1} = \xi_{-1} = h_{-1} = \upsilon_{-1} = 0.$$

Now let $\xi \in l^2(h)$. Since
 $|T\xi_n| \le \sqrt{|\gamma_n|}\sqrt{|\gamma_n|} |\xi_{n+1}| + \sqrt{|\beta_n|}\sqrt{|\beta_n|} |\xi_n|$
 $+ \sqrt{|\alpha_n|}\sqrt{|\alpha_n|} |\xi_{n-1}|$

the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies

$$|T\xi_{n}|^{2} \leq (|\gamma_{n}| + |\beta_{n}| + |\alpha_{n}|)(|\gamma_{n}||\xi_{n+1}|^{2} + |\beta_{n}||\xi_{n}|^{2} + |\alpha_{n}||\xi_{n-1}|^{2})$$

🕅 Birkhäuser

Therefore, proceeding like in the proof of Proposition 1.1

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T\xi_n|^2 h_n &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\gamma_n| |\xi_{n+1}|^2 + |\beta_n| |\xi_n|^2 + |\alpha_n| |\xi_{n-1}|^2) h_n \\ &= C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1}| |\xi_{n+1}|^2 h_{n+1} + |\beta_n| |\xi_n|^2 h_n \\ &+ |\gamma_{n-1}| |\xi_{n-1}|^2 h_{n-1} \\ &= C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\alpha_n| + |\beta_n| + |\gamma_n|) |\xi_n|^2 h_n \leq C^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\xi_n|^2 h_n, \end{split}$$

which implies $||T\xi||_2 \le C ||\xi||_2$, where $C = \min(3B, |x_0| + 2B)$.

For arbitrary $\xi, v \in l^2(h)$ one gets due to the absolute convergence

$$\langle T\xi, \upsilon \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_n \xi_{n+1} + \beta_n \xi_n + \alpha_n \xi_{n-1}) \overline{\upsilon_n} h_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n (\gamma_{n-1} \overline{\upsilon_{n-1}} h_{n-1} + \beta_n \overline{\upsilon_n} h_n + \alpha_{n+1} \overline{\upsilon_{n+1}} h_{n+1})$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n (\alpha_n \overline{\upsilon_{n-1}} h_n + \beta_n \overline{\upsilon_n} h_n + \gamma_n \overline{\upsilon_{n+1}} h_n) = \langle \xi, T\upsilon \rangle.$$

Corollary 1.1. In the case $|\alpha_n|, |\beta_n|$ and $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is a subset of [-C, C], where $C = \min(3B, |x_0| + 2B)$.

The numerical range of T is the set

$$W(T) = \left\{ \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle : \xi \in l^2(h), \|\xi\|_2 = 1 \right\}.$$
 (20)

Since T is self-adjoint we have

$$\{m(T), M(T)\} \subseteq \sigma(T) \subseteq \operatorname{co}(\sigma(T)) \subseteq \overline{W(T)} = [m(T), M(T)], \quad (21)$$

where $co(\sigma(T))$ is the convex hull of $\sigma(T)$, $m(T) = \inf W(T)$ and $M(T) = \sup W(T)$, see [5, Intro]. Moreover, $||T|| = \max(|m(T)|, |M(T)|)$.

Proposition 1.3. In the case $|\alpha_n|, |\beta_n|$ and $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ one gets

$$\langle (x_0 id - T)\xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n | \xi_n - \xi_{n+1} |^2 h_n \text{ for all } \xi \in l^2(h).$$
 (22)

🕅 Birkhäuser

Proof. Set $\gamma_{-1} = \xi_{-1} = h_{-1} = 0$. Using (8) and the absolute convergence of the series one gets for an arbitrary $\xi \in l^2(h)$ that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_0\xi_n - T\xi_n)\overline{\xi_n}h_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n)\xi_n - \alpha_n\xi_{n-1} - \beta_n\xi_n - \gamma_n\xi_{n+1})\overline{\xi_n}h_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_n\xi_n\overline{\xi_n} - \gamma_n\xi_{n+1}\overline{\xi_n})h_n + (\gamma_{n-1}\xi_n\overline{\xi_n} - \gamma_{n-1}\xi_{n-1}\overline{\xi_n})h_{n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(\xi_n\overline{\xi_n} - \xi_{n+1}\overline{\xi_n} + \xi_{n+1}\overline{\xi_{n+1}} - \xi_n\overline{\xi_{n+1}})h_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n |\xi_n - \xi_{n+1}|^2 h_n.$$

Lemma 1.2. The following statements apply.

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n |\xi_n \xi_{n+1}|^2 h_n \ge 0$ for all $\xi \in l^2(h)$ with $\|\xi\|_2 = 1$ if and only if $\gamma_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n \mid \xi_n \xi_{n+1} \mid^2 h_n \leq 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in l^2(h) \text{ with } \|\xi\|_2 = 1 \text{ if and} only if <math>\gamma_n < 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$

Proof. If $\gamma_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n | \xi_n - \xi_{n+1} |^2 h_n \ge 0$ for all $\xi \in l^2(h)$. In the case we have not $\gamma_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there is an index $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\gamma_m < 0$ and $\gamma_n > 0$ for all $n \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Define $\zeta \in l^2(h)$ by $\zeta_n = (\sum_{k=0}^m h_k)^{-1/2}$ for all $n \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ and $\zeta_n = 0$ for all $n \in \{m+1, m+2 \ldots\}$. Then $\|\zeta\|_2 = 1$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n | \zeta_n - \zeta_{n+1} |^2 h_n = \gamma_m | \zeta_m |^2 h_m < 0$.

The second statement is shown quite analogue.

Corollary 1.2. If $|\alpha_n|$, $|\beta_n|$, $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $C = \min(3B, |x_0| + 2B)$, then the following statements apply.

- (i) If $\gamma_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\overline{W(T)} \subseteq [-C, x_0]$. In particular, $\sigma(T) \subseteq [-C, x_0]$.
- (ii) If $\gamma_n < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\overline{W(T)} \subseteq [x_0, C]$. In particular, $\sigma(T) \subseteq [x_0, C]$.
- (iii) If there exist $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\gamma_k \gamma_l < 0$, then $x_0 \in (\min \mathcal{S}, \max \mathcal{S})$.

Note that in the following $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ is as usual a set of equivalence classes and a function used in this context represents an equivalence class. This is also expressed by using the formulation 'for μ -almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ '.

Define $\epsilon^{(k)} \in l^2(h)$ by

$$\epsilon_n^{(k)} = \frac{\delta_{k,n}}{h_k} \quad \text{for all} \quad n,k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(23)

Then obviously

$$\|\epsilon^{(k)}\|_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{h_{k}} = \int P_{k}^{2} d\mu \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}.$$
 (24)

Extending the map $\epsilon^{(k)} \mapsto P_k$ linearly to the linear span of $\{\epsilon^{(k)} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ and finally to the closure of the linear span we get the so-called Plancherel isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}: l^2(h) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu),$$

which is an isometric isomorphism from $l^2(h)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu)$. It is completely determined by

$$\mathcal{P}(\epsilon^{(k)}) = P_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Note that

$$T\epsilon^{(k)} = \alpha_k \epsilon^{(k-1)} + \beta_k \epsilon^{(k)} + \gamma_k \epsilon^{(k+1)} \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$
(25)

where $\epsilon_n^{(-1)} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now we define an operator M on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ by

$$M(f) = \mathcal{P} \circ T \circ \mathcal{P}^{-1}(f) \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu),$$
(26)

where \mathcal{P}^{-1} denotes the inverse operator of \mathcal{P} . Then $M \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu))$ with $||M|| \leq \min(3B, |x_0| + 2B)$. Taking into account the three-term recurrence relation (4) we deduce that

$$M(P_k)(x) = \mathcal{P}(T\epsilon^{(k)})(x) = \mathcal{P}(\alpha_k \epsilon^{(k-1)} + \beta_k \epsilon^{(k)} + \gamma_k \epsilon^{(k+1)})(x) = xP_k(x)$$
(27)

for μ -almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If g is a function in the linear span of $\{P_k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, then the linearity of M yields

$$M(g)(x) = xg(x)$$
 for μ -almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. (28)

Since M is bounded and the closure of the linear span of $\{P_k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ we get by standard arguments that

$$M(f)(x) = xf(x)$$
 for μ -almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$. (29)

By [4, Definition 2.61 and Corollary 4.24] the spectrum $\sigma(M)$ is exactly the essential range

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - \lambda| < \epsilon\}) > 0 \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}, \qquad (30)$$

Obviously $\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{supp} \mu$ and $\sigma(M) = \sigma(T)$. Hence, we can add to Corollary 1.2 the following result.

Corollary 1.3. For orthogonal polynomials $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ which are defined by (4) with $|\alpha_n|, |\beta_n|$ and $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$\mathcal{S} = supp\mu = \sigma(T). \tag{31}$$

2. A characterization of $x_0 \notin S$

In the whole section we assume that $|\alpha_n|, |\beta_n|$ and $|\gamma_n| \leq B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The main result of this paper will be a necessary and sufficient condition for $x_0 \in S$. Moreover, in the case of $x_0 \notin S$ we will present an explicit form of the inverse $(x_0 \text{id} - T)^{-1}$, which is based on a weighted Cesàro operator $C \in B(l^2(h))$.

Define
$$C\eta = ((C\eta)_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} = (C\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$
 by

$$C\eta_n = \frac{1}{H_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k h_k \quad \text{for all} \quad \eta \in l^2(h). \tag{32}$$

Then C is a bounded linear operator on $l^2(h)$ with $||C|| \leq 2$, see [3, Theorem A]. It is straightforward to show that the adjoint operator $C^* \in B(l^2(h))$ is defined by

$$C^*\eta_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \eta_k \frac{h_k}{H_k} \quad \text{for all} \quad \eta \in l^2(h).$$
(33)

Theorem 2.1. If $x_0 \notin S = \sigma(T)$, then x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives.

Proof. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denote by $\chi^{(n)}$ the sequence with $\chi_k^{(n)} = 1$ for $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $\chi_k^{(n)} = 0$ for $k \in \{n + 1, n + 2, \ldots\}$. An easy computation shows that

$$(x_0 \operatorname{id} - T)\chi_k^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{n, n+1\},$$

$$(x_0 \operatorname{id} - T)\chi_n^{(n)} = \gamma_n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(x_0 \operatorname{id} - T)\chi_{n+1}^{(n)} = -\alpha_{n+1}.$$

Hence,

$$\|(x_0 \text{id} - T)\chi^{(n)}\|_2^2 = \gamma_n^2 h_n + \alpha_{n+1}^2 h_{n+1} = \gamma_n (\gamma_n + \alpha_{n+1}) h_n$$

= | γ_n || $\gamma_n + \alpha_{n+1}$ | h_n .

Since $x_0 \notin \sigma(T)$, there exists $A = (x_0 \operatorname{id} - T)^{-1} \in B(l^2(h))$. Then

$$\|A \circ (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T)\chi^{(n)}\|_2^2 = \|\chi^{(n)}\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n h_k = H_n, \text{ and}$$
$$\|A \circ (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T)\chi^{(n)}\|_2^2 \le \|A\|^2 \|(x_0 \mathrm{id} - T)\chi^{(n)})\|_2^2$$
$$= \|A\|^2 |\gamma_n| h_n |\gamma_n + \alpha_{n+1}|$$
$$\le 2B\|A\|^2 |\gamma_n| h_n,$$

which implies

 $H_n \leq 2B \|A\|^2 | \gamma_n | h_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$ Therefore, $\left\{ \frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}$ is bounded.

In order to prove the converse implication we start with determining a sequence $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $(x_0 \text{id} - T)(\omega) = \epsilon^{(0)}$. Note that in the following lemma the operator T acts on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$.

Lemma 2.1. A sequence $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ satisfies $(x_0 id - T)(\omega) = \epsilon^{(0)}$ if and only if

$$\omega_{n+1} = \omega_0 - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(34)

Proof. We have $((x_0 \text{id} - T)\omega)_0 = 1/h_0 = 1$ if and only if $\omega_0 - \omega_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_0}$. For $n \ge 1$ we see that $((x_0 \text{id} - T)\omega)_n = \omega_n - (\gamma_n \omega_{n+1} + \beta_n \omega_n + \alpha_n \omega_{n-1}) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma_n(\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n) = \alpha_n(\omega_n - \omega_{n-1})$. Now, by iteration we get

$$\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{\gamma_n} (\omega_n - \omega_{n-1}) = \frac{\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1} \cdots \alpha_1}{\gamma_n \gamma_{n-1} \cdots \gamma_1} \frac{-1}{\gamma_0} = \frac{-1}{\gamma_n h_n}.$$

Next we investigate under which assumptions a sequence $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of Lemma 2.1 is a member of $l^2(h)$.

Lemma 2.2. If x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mid \gamma_k \mid h_k} < \infty, \tag{35}$$

and consequently the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k}$ is convergent.

Proof. Due to the assumption there exists a D > 0 with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k}\right)^2 h_k \le D \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{H_k}\right)^2 h_k \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $C\epsilon^{(0)} = \left(\frac{1}{H_n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l^2(h)$, we have $\left(\frac{1}{\gamma_n h_n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l^2(h)$, that is $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k^2 h_k} < \infty$. Finally, $\gamma_k^2 \leq B \mid \gamma_k \mid$ yields $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\gamma_k|h_k} < \infty$, which implies the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k}$ is convergent.

Now with respect to Lemma 2.1, if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k}$ is convergent, then the sequence $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is defined by

$$\omega_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(36)

In order to prove that $\omega \in l^2(h)$ whenever $\left\{\frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\}$ is bounded, we use the adjoint weighted Cesàro operator $C^* \in B(l^2(h))$. Define a sequence $\eta = (\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by

$$\eta_n = \frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n^2} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(37)

Lemma 2.3. If x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives, then $\eta \in l^2(h)$.

Proof. We have $|\eta_n| \leq D \frac{1}{h_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, we have to show that $\left(\frac{1}{h_n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l^2(h)$. According to Lemma 2.2 it follows

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h_k^2} h_k \le B \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\gamma_k| h_k} < \infty.$$

Since $C^*\eta = \omega$, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1 yield the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. If x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives, then $\omega \in l^2(h)$ (defined by (36)) satisfies $(x_0id - T)\omega = \epsilon^{(0)}$.

Assuming that x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives our next goal is to find sequences $\omega^{(m)} \in l^2(h)$ with $(x_0 \text{id} - T)\omega^{(m)} = \epsilon^{(m)}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

To that end, we introduce a sequence of operators $S_m \in B(l^2(h))$ by setting

$$S_{m+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left(T \circ S_m - \beta_m S_m - \alpha_m S_{m-1} \right) \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{38}$$

where $S_{-1} = 0$ and $S_0 = id$.

Proposition 2.2. The following two statements apply.

(i)

$$S_m \epsilon^{(0)} = \epsilon^{(m)} \quad \text{for all} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(39)

(ii)

$$(S_m \omega)_k = \begin{cases} \omega_m & \text{if } k = 0, \dots, m, \\ \omega_k & \text{if } k = m+1, m+2, \dots \end{cases} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(40)

Proof. In any case the proof is done by induction.

(i): By trivial means we have $S_0 \epsilon^{(0)} = \epsilon^{(0)}$. Since $S_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_0} (T - \beta_0 \text{id})$ we have $(S_1 \epsilon^{(0)})_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma_0} (\beta_0 - \beta_0) = 0$, $(S_1 \epsilon^{(0)})_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma_0} \frac{1}{h_0} = \frac{1}{h_1}$ and $(S_1 \epsilon^{(0)})_k = 0$ for all $k \ge 2$. Therefore, $S_1 \epsilon^{(0)} = \epsilon^{(1)}$.

Assume that $S_m \epsilon^{(0)} = \epsilon^{(m)}$ and $S_{m-1} \epsilon^{(0)} = \epsilon^{(m-1)}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is already shown. Then

$$S_{m+1}\epsilon^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left(T \circ S_m - \beta_m S_m - \alpha_m S_{m-1} \right) \epsilon^{(0)}$$

= $\frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left(T\epsilon^{(m)} - \beta_m \epsilon^{(m)} - \alpha_m \epsilon^{(m-1)} \right)$
= $\frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left(\alpha_m \epsilon^{(m-1)} + \beta_m \epsilon^{(m)} + \gamma_m \epsilon^{(m+1)} - \beta_m \epsilon^{(m)} - \alpha_m \epsilon^{(m-1)} \right)$
= $\frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left(\gamma_m \epsilon^{(m+1)} \right) = \epsilon^{(m+1)}.$

🕲 Birkhäuser

(ii): By trivial means we have $(S_0\omega)_k = \omega_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, since $S_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma_0}(T - \beta_0 id), T\omega = x_0 id - \epsilon^{(0)}$ and $x_0 = \beta_0 + \gamma_0$ we get

$$S_1\omega = \frac{1}{\gamma_0}T\omega - \frac{\beta_0}{\gamma_0}\omega = \frac{1}{\gamma_0}((\beta_0 + \gamma_0)\omega - \epsilon^{(0)}) - \frac{\beta_0}{\gamma_0}\omega = \omega - \frac{\epsilon^{(0)}}{\gamma_0}.$$

Hence, $(S_1\omega)_0 = \omega_1$, $(S_1\omega)_1 = \omega_1$, and $(S_1\omega)_k = \omega_k$ for all $k \ge 2$.

Assume again that the statement is already shown for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and m-1. Then for k = 0, ..., m-1 we have

$$(S_{m+1}\omega)_k = \frac{1}{\gamma_m} ((T \circ S_m \omega)_k - \beta_m \omega_m - \alpha_m \omega_{m-1})$$

= $\frac{1}{\gamma_m} (x_0 \omega_m - \beta_m \omega_m - \alpha_m \omega_{m-1}) = \omega_m + \frac{\alpha_m}{\gamma_m} (\omega_m - \omega_{m-1})$
= $\omega_m - \frac{\alpha_m}{\gamma_m} \frac{1}{\gamma_{m-1} h_{m-1}} = \omega_m - \frac{1}{\gamma_m h_m} = \omega_{m+1}.$

For k = m it follows

 $(S_{m+1}\omega)_k = \frac{1}{\gamma_m}(\alpha_m\omega_m + \beta_m\omega_m + \gamma_m\omega_{m+1} - \beta_m\omega_m - \alpha_m\omega_m) = \omega_{m+1}.$

Finally, for $k = m + 1, m + 2, \dots$ we have

$$(S_{m+1}\omega)_{k} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{m}} \left(\alpha_{k}\omega_{k-1} + \beta_{k}\omega_{k} + \gamma_{k}\omega_{k+1} - \beta_{m}\omega_{k} - \alpha_{m}\omega_{k} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\gamma_{m}} \left(\gamma_{k} \left(\omega_{k} - \frac{1}{\gamma_{k}h_{k}} \right) + \beta_{k}\omega_{k} + \alpha_{k} \left(\omega_{k} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{k-1}h_{k-1}} \right) - \beta_{m}\omega_{k} - \alpha_{m}\omega_{k} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\gamma_{m}} \left(x_{0}\omega_{k} - \frac{1}{h_{k}} + \frac{1}{h_{k}} - \beta_{m}\omega_{k} - \alpha_{m}\omega_{k} \right) = \omega_{k}.$$

Now our goal is met by setting $\omega^{(m)} = S_m \omega$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 2.3. If x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives, then $S_m \omega \in l^2(h)$ satisfies $(x_0 id - T)S_m \omega = \epsilon^{(m)}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. *Proof.* Obviously S_m commutes with $x_0 id - T$. Hence,

$$(x_0 \mathrm{id} - T)S_m \omega = S_m (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T)\omega = S_m \epsilon^{(0)} = \epsilon^{(m)} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ define the sequence $\eta^{(m)}$ by

$$\eta_k^{(m)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \dots, m - 1, \\ \frac{H_k}{\gamma_k h_k^2} & \text{if } k = m, m + 1, \dots \end{cases}$$
(41)

Note that $\eta^{(0)} = \eta$. If $\left\{\frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\}$ is bounded, then according to Lemma 2.3 we know that $\eta^{(m)} \in l^2(h)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover,

$$C^* \eta_n^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{\eta_k^{(m)} h_k}{H_k} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k} = \omega_m & \text{if } n \le m, \\ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_k h_k} = \omega_n & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}.$$
(42)

🕲 Birkhäuser

By Proposition 2.2(ii) we have $C^*\eta^{(m)} = S_m\omega$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Now we can combine the results above to determine the inverse operator of $x_0 \mathrm{id} - T$. Define a sequence $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by

$$\varphi_n = \frac{H_n^2}{\gamma_n h_n^2} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
(43)

Note that $\frac{H_n}{|\gamma_n|h_n} \leq D$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ implies $|\varphi_n| \leq D^2 B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The multiplication with $\varphi \in l^{\infty}$ defines a bounded operator M_{φ} on

The multiplication with $\varphi \in l^{\infty}$ defines a bounded operator M_{φ} on $l^{2}(h)$, where $M_{\varphi}(\xi)_{n} = \varphi_{n}\xi_{n}$ for all $\xi \in l^{2}(h)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Theorem 2.2. If x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives, then $C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C$ is the inverse of the operator $x_0 id - T$, where φ is the sequence in (43).

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We know that $C\epsilon_k^{(m)} = 0$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$ and $C\epsilon_k^{(m)} = \frac{1}{H_k}$ for all $k = m, m+1, \ldots$ Hence, $M_{\varphi} \circ C\epsilon^{(m)} = \eta^{(m)}$ and $C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C\epsilon^{(m)} = S_m \omega$. In particular

$$(x_0 \operatorname{id} - T) \circ (C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C) \epsilon^{(m)} = \epsilon^{(m)} \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C \circ (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T) \epsilon^{(m)}$$

= $(C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C) (x_0 \epsilon^{(m)} - (\gamma_m \epsilon^{(m+1)} + \beta_m \epsilon^{(m)} + \alpha_m \epsilon^{(m-1)}))$
= $x_0 S_m \omega - (\gamma_m S_{m+1} \omega + \beta_m S_m \omega + \alpha_m S_{m-1} \omega)$
= $x_0 S_m \omega - T \circ S_m \omega = (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T) S_m \omega = \epsilon^{(m)}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Therefore,

$$C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C \circ (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T) = \mathrm{id} = (x_0 \mathrm{id} - T) \circ C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C,$$

i.e. $(x_0 \mathrm{id} - T)^{-1} = C^* \circ M_{\varphi} \circ C.$

Summing up the results we gain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. $x_0 \notin supp \mu = \sigma(T)$ if and only if x_0 is a normalizing point with bounded growth of derivatives.

Finally, we want to show the relationship of the results here with [1, Theorem 2.3]. For this we use the terms \mathcal{A} , A and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ with the same meaning as in [1]. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \lambda_0 & \beta_1 & \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & \beta_2 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & \beta_3 & \lambda_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$
(44)

where $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are the coefficients of (1). Then \mathcal{A} can be regarded as a linear operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0} \to \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \xi \mapsto \mathcal{A}\xi = (\mathcal{A}\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, where

$$\mathcal{A}\xi_n = \lambda_{n-1}\xi_{n-1} + \beta_n\xi_n + \lambda_n\xi_{n+1} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
⁽⁴⁵⁾

🕅 Birkhäuser

Note that $\lambda_{-1} = 0$ and ξ_{-1} can be chosen arbitrary.

Moreover, let $l^2 = l^2(h)$ with $h_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and

$$c_{00} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}_0} : \#\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \xi_n \neq 0\} < \infty\}.$$
(46)

Of course, $(c_{00}, |||_2)$ is a subspace of the Hilbert space $(l^2, |||_2)$. As mentioned in [1] the linear operator

$$\mathcal{A}: c_{00} \to l^2, \quad \xi \mapsto \mathcal{A}\xi \tag{47}$$

is closable and its closure is given by

$$A: \mathcal{D}(A) \to l^2, \quad \xi \mapsto A\xi, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ \xi \in l^2: \exists (\xi^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset c_{00}: \lim_{k \to \infty} \xi^{(k)} = \xi \land \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{A}\xi^{(k)} \text{ exists} \right\}$$

$$(49)$$

and
$$A\xi = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{A}\xi^{(k)}.$$
 (50)

According to [1, Theorem 2.3.], the following statements hold true. If $x_0 \in \Omega(A) = \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(A)$ then

$$\sup_{n \ge 0} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k^2(x_0)}{\lambda_n^2(p_n^2(x_0) + p_{n+1}^2(x_0))} < \infty.$$
(51)

Provided A is bounded, also the converse is true.

Note that the assumptions made at the beginning of Sect. 2 imply the boundedness of $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. One can show that the boundedness of $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ imply that A is a bounded operator.

The relationship with our result can be derived from

$$(\alpha_{n+1} + \gamma_n) \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2(x_0)}{\lambda_n^2(p_n^2(x_0) + p_{n+1}^2(x_0))}$$

= $\alpha_{n+1} \frac{p_n^2(x_0) + p_{n+1}^2(x_0)}{p_n^2(x_0)} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2(x_0)}{\lambda_n^2(p_n^2(x_0) + p_{n+1}^2(x_0))}$
= $\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2(x_0)}{\frac{\lambda_n^2}{\alpha_{n+1}} p_n^2(x_0)} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2(x_0)}{\gamma_n p_n^2(x_0)} = \frac{H_n}{\gamma_n h_n}$ (52)

and sign $\alpha_{n+1} = \operatorname{sign} \gamma_n$.

In [1] there is no formula of the inverse as in Theorem 2.2 but there is no restriction $x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{N}$.

Author contributions Both authors contributed equally to this work. The order of the authors is alphabetical.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data availibility Since no datasets were created or analysed specifically for this article, the data sharing debate is moot.

Declarations

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Beckermann, B.: On the classification of the spectrum of second order difference operators. Math. Nachr. 216, 45–69 (2000)
- [2] Chihara, T.S.: An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials. Gordon and Breach, New York (1978)
- [3] Copson, E.T.: Note on a series of positive terms. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2, 9–12 (1927)
- [4] Douglas, R.G.: Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory. Academic Press, New York (1972)
- [5] Halmos, P.R.: A Hilbert Space Problem Book. Princeton, van Nostrand (1967)
- [6] Lasser, R., Obermaier, J., Wagner, J.: On the spectrum of tridiagonal operators and the support of orthogonalization measures. Arch. Math. 100, 289–299 (2013)

Rupert Lasser and Josef Obermaier Department of Mathematics Munich University of Technology Boltzmannstraße 3 85748 Garching Germany e-mail: rupert.lasser@tum.de; josef.obermaier@tum.de

Josef Obermaier (⊠) Digital Transformation and IT Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health Ingolstädter Landstraße 1 85764 Neuherberg Germany e-mail: josef.obermaier@helmholtz-munich.de

Received: January 21, 2023. Accepted: December 27, 2023.