## CASE SERIES



# Activity and sports resumption after long segment fusions to the pelvis for adult spinal deformity: survey results of AO Spine members

Alekos A. Theologis<sup>1</sup> · Daniel D. Cummins<sup>1</sup> · So Kato<sup>2</sup> · Stephen Lewis<sup>3</sup> · Christopher Shaffrey<sup>4</sup> · Lawrence Lenke<sup>5</sup> · Sigurd H. Berven<sup>1</sup> · AO Spine Knowledge Forum Deformity

Received: 9 March 2023 / Accepted: 4 July 2023 / Published online: 18 July 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

**Purpose** To assess recommendations for when adult spinal deformity (ASD) patients may return to athletic activities after surgery.

**Methods** A web-based survey was administered to members of AO Spine. The survey consisted of surgeon demographic information and questions asking when a patient undergoing a long thoracolumbar fusion (> 5 levels) with pelvic fixation for ASD would be allowed to resume unrestricted range of motion (ROM), non-contact sports, and contact sports postoperatively. Ordinal logistic regression was used to determine predictors for time to resume each activity.

**Results** One hundred twenty four members' responses were included for analysis. The majority of respondents would allow unrestricted ROM within 3 months postop (<3 months: 81% vs > 3 months: 19%]. For when to return to *non-contact* sports, the most common responses were "2–3 months" (26.6%), "3–4 months" (26.6%), and "6–12 months" (18.5%). For when to return to *contact* sports, the majority advised > 4 months postop [>4 months: "4–6 months" (19.2%), "6–12 months" (28.0%), ">12 months" (28.8%) versus < 4 months: "1–2 months" (4.0%), "2–3 months" (1.6%), "3–4 months" (8.8%)]. 8.8% responded they would "never" allow resumption of contact sports.

**Conclusion** There was significant variation between surgeons' recommendations for resumption of unrestricted range of motion and sports following long fusion with pelvic fixation for ASD. An evidence-based approach to activity recommendations will require information on outcomes and complications.

Keywords Adult spinal deformity · Pelvic fixation · Return to sports · Survey · AO Spine

Alekos A. Theologis alekos.theologis@ucsf.edu

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California–San Francisco (UCSF), 500 Parnassus Ave, MUW 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Surgery and Spine Program, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Orthopedic Surgery, The Spine Hospital, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

# Introduction

Surgical correction of adult spinal deformity (ASD) can offer significant improvement in quality of life (QOL) to patients [1–3]. Physical activity after ASD surgery is an important consideration with numerous implications, from short- to long-term effects on a patient's physical health and wellbeing. In the acute postoperative period, active movement could help prevent perioperative complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism [4, 5]. In the long term, maintaining and improving physical activity plays an important role in both physical and mental health [6–8].

As may be expected from spinal fixation, previous work has shown limitations in range of motion (ROM) following long spinal fusion for deformity [9, 10]. Notably for preoperative patient counseling, limited ROM may extend beyond the levels fused [10]. This limited ROM may furthermore limit strenuous physical activities and [11], for some patients, make daily activities like toileting or dressing more difficult [11, 12]. For patients who are actively engaged in sports preoperatively or who require significant ROM for their occupation, the ability to maintain ROM and activity can be particularly consequential. While some of the limitations in ROM and activities after spinal deformity surgery may be inherent to rigid fixation, encouragement of early ROM exercises and physical rehabilitation could lead to improved long-term ROM and activity in patients following surgery [11, 13]. Physician guidance can significantly influence the physical activity of patients [14]. This may be particularly true for surgeon guidance on activity postoperatively, although little work has explored patient compliance with surgeon recommendations on postoperative activity level. While evidence and guidelines exist on return to sports after surgery for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis [15], minimal evidence or guidelines exist on the recommended timeline to return to full range of motion or sports after adult spinal deformity surgery. Thus, this work's goal is to assess recommendations on timelines for return to full range of motion and sports after adult spinal deformity surgery.

# Methods

A perioperative spine survey was formulated by a study group within AO Spine. The study group included experts in the knowledge forum (KF) degenerative and knowledge forum (KF) deformity spine. The questionnaire included demographic information on participants, including region of practice (Asian-Pacific, Europe/Southern Africa, Latin-America, Middle East/North Africa, and North America), gender (male or female), age of the surgeon (25-34, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, or 65 +), years in practice (< 5, 5-10, 11-15, 16-20, > 20), specialty (neurosurgery or orthopedic surgery), practice setting (academic, private practice, or public), fellowship training status, and annual case volume (< 50, 51–100, 101–150, 151–200, or 201–250, or > 250). The survey was designed to cover various aspects of perioperative care such as wound management, antibiotics, bracing, and activity instructions. An online survey was distributed via email to AO Spine users and members between March 3 and March 22, 2022. The survey was targeted at surgeons performing at least ten cases per year using one or more of the following procedures:

- a) Long fusion (> 5 levels) for adult spine deformity patients extending to pelvis
- b) Long fusion (> 5 levels) for adult spine deformity patients NOT extending to pelvis
- c) Open 1 or 2 level fusion for adult lumbar degenerative pathologies

- d) MIS 1 or 2 level fusion for adult lumbar degenerative pathologies
- e) Open 3 to 5 level fusion for adult lumbar degenerative pathologies

It was estimated that over 6000 surgeons that were AO Spine users and members received the email. Among all those who received the email, 354 responded and 280 completed the survey. Of the surgeons who completed the survey, 164 performed adult spine deformity operations (procedures A and/or B) and 261 performed adult spinal degenerative operations (procedures C, D and/or E).

In the present study, 124 members responded to questions about when (immediately after surgery, 4-8 weeks, 8-12 weeks, 12-16 weeks, 4-6 months, 6-12 months, > 12 months, never) patients who underwent long thoracolumbar fusion (> 5 levels) with pelvic fixation for ASD would be allowed to resume unrestricted range of motion, non-contact sports, and contact sports postoperatively.

## **Statistical analysis**

All statistical comparisons were performed using R version 4.2.1. Ordinal logistic regression was used to determine significant predictors for recommended time after surgery to unrestricted range of motion, return to non-contact sport, and return to contact sport.

# Results

## Survey respondents

One hundred twenty four members' responses were included for analysis (Table 1). Most respondents were male (99.2%) with fellowship training (66.1%) and from orthopedic surgery (81.4%). Respondents were mixed with regard to years in practice (<5 yrs: 14.4%; 5–10 yrs: 18.4%; 11–15 yrs: 22.4%; 16–20 yrs: 20.0%; > 20 yrs: 24.8%), location of practice (North America—16.8%, Latin America—38.4%; Europe/Southern Africa—38.4%; Middle East/Northern Africa—11.2%; Asia Pacific—20.8%), and type of practice (Academic—52.8%; Public/Military—25.6%; Private—20.8%).

The majority of respondents would allow unrestricted ROM within 3 months postop [<3 months: "immediately after surgery" (25.8%), "1–2 months" (27.4%), and "2–3 months" (27.4%) *versus* > 3 months: "3–4 months" (12.9%) and ">4 months" (5.6%)] (Fig. 1). Asian-Pacific region responders recommended later return to unrestricted ROM compared to European (odds ratio (OR)=0.28), Latin-American (OR=0.27), and North American (OR=0.30)

 Table 1
 Survey responses for return to activity after adult spinal deformity surgery

	N (%)
Return to unrestricted range of motion	
Immediately after surgery	32 (25.8)
4–8 weeks	34 (27.4)
8–12 weeks	34 (27.4)
12–16 weeks	16 (12.9)
>16 weeks	7 (5.6)
Return to non-contact sports	
Immediately after surgery	4 (3.2)
4–8 weeks	14 (11.3)
8–12 weeks	33 (26.6)
12–16 weeks	33 (26.6)
4–6 months	14 (11.3)
6–12 months	23 (18.5)
Refrain from sports	1 (0.8)
Return to contact sports	
Immediately after surgery	1 (0.8)
4–8 weeks	5 (4.0)
8–12 weeks	2 (1.6)
12–16 weeks	11 (8.8)
4–6 months	24 (19.2)
6–12 months	35 (28.0)
>12 months	36 (28.8)
Refrain from sports	11 (8.8)
Region	
Asia Pacific	26 (20.8)
Europe/Southern Africa	48 (38.4)
Latin America	16 (12.8)
Middle East/North Africa	14 (11.2)
North America	21 (16.8)
Gender	
Male	124 (99.2)
Female	1 (0.8)
Age	
25–34	8 (6.4)
35–44	48 (38.4)
45–54	41 (32.8)
55–64	25 (20.0)
65+	3 (2.4)
Years in practice	
<5	18 (14.4)
5–10	23 (18.4)
11–15	28 (22.4)
16–20	25 (20.0)
>20	31 (24.8)
Specialty	
Neurosurgery	24 (19.2)
Orthopedic surgery	101 (80.8)
Practice setting	
Academic	66 (52.8)

 Table 1 (continued)

	N (%)
Private practice	32 (25.6)
Public	26 (20.8)
Fellowship	
No	84 (67.2)
Yes	41 (32.8)
Case volume	
< 50	2 (1.6)
51-100	25 (20)
101–150	27 (21.6)
151–200	28 (22.4)
201–250	14 (11.2)
> 250	29 (23.2)

respondents, p < 0.05. Other predictors of shorter return to unrestricted ROM were male respondents (OR = 0.03; p < 0.05) and fellowship training (OR = 0.36; p < 0.05) (Table 2).

For when to return to *non-contact* sports, the most common responses were "2–3 months" (26.6%), "3–4 months" (26.6%), and "6–12 months" (18.5%). Infrequent responses were "1–2 months" (11.3%), "4–6 months" (11.3%), "immediately after surgery" (3.2%), ">12 months" (11.3%), "immediately after surgery" (3.2%), ">12 months" (1.6%), and "never" (0.8%) (Fig. 2). Asian-Pacific responders recommended later return to unrestricted ROM compared to European (OR = 0.33), Latin-American (OR = 0.12), and North American (OR = 0.27) respondents (p < 0.05). Older age of the surgeon was a predictor of later return to non-contact sports (OR = 10.93; p < 0.05), Table 3.

For when to return to *contact* sports, the majority advised > 4 months postop [> 4 months: "4–6 months" (19.2%), "6–12 months" (28.0%), "> 12 months" (28.8%) *versus* < 4 months: "1–2 months" (4.0%), "2–3 months" (1.6%), "3–4 months" (8.8%)]. 8.8% responded they would "never" allow resumption of contact sports (Fig. 3). Respondents from Asian-Pacific regions recommended later return to unrestricted ROM compared to European (odds ratio (OR)=0.13), Latin-American (OR=0.04), and North American (OR=0.08) respondents (p < 0.05) (Table 4).

# Discussion

Physical activity is a crucial element of an individual's quality of life and physical health. As such, return to physical activity should be a primary concern in the postoperative care of patients who undergo spinal fusion for adult spinal deformity. Despite this, there remains no established guidelines on time to return to unrestricted range of motion, non-contact sports, and contact sports postoperatively in

Fig. 1 Survey results by percentage of AO Spine member respondents for return to unrestricted range of motion (ROM) following multi-level instrumented fusions to the pelvis for adult spinal deformity



#### Table 2 Predictors of recommendation for unrestricted range of motion

Covariate	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p value	OR (95% CI)	p value
Region Asia Pacific Europe/Southern Africa Latin America Middle East/North Africa North America	Reference 0.43 (0.18–1.00) 0.45 (0.16–1.30) 0.48 (0.16–1.49) 0.39 (0.14–1.13)	Reference 0.051 0.140 0.205 0.083	Reference 0.28 (0.11–0.73) 0.27 (0.08–0.90) 0.47 (0.13–1.68) 0.30 (0.09–0.98)	Reference 0.0089 0.033 0.243 0.047
Gender	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Age 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65 +	Reference 1.13 (0.31-4.12) 0.80 (0.22-2.99) 0.54 (0.13-2.21) 1.28 (0.11-14.29)	Reference 0.851 0.745 0.390 0.842	Reference 3.78 (0.54–2.65) 3.96 (0.42–3.73) 3.70 (0.32–42.15) 1.23 (0.45–33.31)	Reference 0.181 0.228 0.292 0.136
Years in Practice <5 5–10 11–15 16–20 > 20	Reference 0.45 (0.15–1.33) 0.60 (0.20–1.78) 0.67 (0.22–2.04) 0.64 (0.20–2.03)	Reference 0.450 0.483 0.355 0.150	Reference 0.42 (0.08–2.40) 0.43 (0.07–2.52) 0.32 (0.04–2.23) 0.22 (0.03–1.65)	Reference 0.334 0.248 0.341 0.142
Specialty Neurosurgery Orthopedic surgery	Reference 0.64 (0.29–1.40)	Reference 0.263	Reference 0.69 (0.27–1.75)	Reference 0.428
Practice setting Academic Private practice Public	Reference 1.72 (0.76–3.88) 1.06 (0.50–2.25)	Reference 0.194 0.887	Reference 2.06 (0.80–5.28) 1.02 (0.44–2.32)	Reference 0.135 0.971
Fellowship No Yes	Reference 0.40 (0.21–0.79)	Reference 0.0083	Reference 0.36 (0.16–0.81)	Reference 0.013
Case volume < 50 51–100 101–150 151–200 201–250 > 250	Reference 1.67 (0.11–25.67) 2.81 (0.18–43.15) 2.17 (0.14–33.19) 1.19 0.07–20.16) 2.32 (0.15–35.48)	Reference 0.712 0.906 0.578 0.458 0.546	Reference 2.30 (0.13–4.05) 2.64 (0.15–47.14) 2.73 (0.16–47.00) 1.10 (0.05–23.61) 2.50 (0.14–45.13)	Reference 0.568 0.509 0.490 0.951 0.534

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, N/A not available

**Fig. 2** Survey results by percentage of AO Spine member respondents for return to non-contact sports following multi-level instrumented fusions to the pelvis for adult spinal deformity



Table 3Predictors of return tonon-contact sport

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Covariate	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
RegionReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceAsia Pacific $0.35 (0.15 - 0.82)$ $0.015$ $0.33 (0.13 - 0.81)$ $0.016$ Europe/Southern Africa $0.12 (0.04 - 0.38)$ $2.39E-4$ $0.12 (0.03 - 0.44)$ $0.0014$ Latin America $0.33 (0.10 - 1.07)$ $0.064$ $0.35 (0.09 - 1.29)$ $0.113$ Middle East/North Africa $0.27 (0.10 - 0.78)$ $0.015$ $0.27 (0.08 - 0.92)$ $0.036$ North AmericaN/AN/AN/AAgeReferenceReferenceReferenceReference25-34 $6.12 (1.57 - 24.14)$ $0.009$ $7.28 (1.14 - 46.61)$ $0.036$ 35-44 $4.14 (1.06 - 16.25)$ $0.042$ $4.90 (0.58 - 41.29)$ $0.144$ 45-54 $6.93 (1.60 - 29.94)$ $0.010$ $10.93 (1.05 - 114.12)$ $0.046$ 55-64 $19.63 (0.92 - 417.83)$ $0.056$ $20.64 (0.48 - 887.54)$ $0.115$ 65 + $1.78 (0.55 - 5.74)$ $0.337$ $0.76 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.718$ 5-10 $1.73 (0.58 - 5.12)$ $0.325$ $0.96 (0.22 - 4.19)$ $0.955$ $11-15$ $1.33 (0.45 - 3.94)$ $0.609$ $0.77 (0.15 - 4.04)$ $0.755$ 16-20 $2.55 (0.86 - 7.54)$ $0.091$ $0.98 (0.17 - 5.61)$ $0.979$ $>20$ $20$ $1.39 (0.64 - 3.01)$ $0.401$ $1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.785$		OR (95% CI)	p value	OR (95% CI)	p value
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Region	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Asia Pacific	0.35 (0.15 - 0.82)	0.015	0.33 (0.13 – 0.81)	0.016
Latin America $0.33 (0.10 - 1.07)$ $0.064$ $0.35 (0.09 - 1.29)$ $0.113$ Middle East/North Africa $0.27 (0.10 - 0.78)$ $0.015$ $0.27 (0.08 - 0.92)$ $0.036$ North AmericaN/AN/AN/AN/AAgeReferenceReferenceReferenceReference25-34 $6.12 (1.57 - 24.14)$ $0.009$ $7.28 (1.14 - 46.61)$ $0.036$ $35-44$ $4.14 (1.06 - 16.25)$ $0.042$ $4.90 (0.58 - 41.29)$ $0.144$ $45-54$ $6.93 (1.60 - 29.94)$ $0.010$ $10.93 (1.05 - 114.12)$ $0.046$ $55-64$ $19.63 (0.92 - 417.83)$ $0.056$ $20.64 (0.48 - 887.54)$ $0.115$ $65 +$ Vears in practiceReferenceReferenceReferenceReference $< 5$ $1.78 (0.55 - 5.74)$ $0.337$ $0.76 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.718$ $5-10$ $1.73 (0.58 - 5.12)$ $0.325$ $0.96 (0.22 - 4.19)$ $0.955$ $11-15$ $1.33 (0.45 - 3.94)$ $0.609$ $0.77 (0.15 - 4.04)$ $0.755$ $16-20$ $2.55 (0.86 - 7.54)$ $0.091$ $0.98 (0.17 - 5.61)$ $0.979$ $> 20$ VearsReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceNeurosurgery $1.39 (0.64 - 3.01)$ $0.401$ $1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.785$	Europe/Southern Africa	0.12 (0.04 - 0.38)	2.39E-4	0.12 (0.03 – 0.44	0.0014
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Latin America	0.33 (0.10 - 1.07)	0.064	0.35 (0.09 - 1.29)	0.113
North AmericaGenderN/AN/AN/AAgeReferenceReferenceReference $25-34$ $6.12 (1.57 - 24.14)$ $0.009$ $7.28 (1.14 - 46.61)$ $0.036$ $35-44$ $4.14 (1.06 - 16.25)$ $0.042$ $4.90 (0.58 - 41.29)$ $0.144$ $45-54$ $6.93 (1.60 - 29.94)$ $0.010$ $10.93 (1.05 - 114.12)$ $0.046$ $55-64$ $19.63 (0.92 - 417.83)$ $0.056$ $20.64 (0.48 - 887.54)$ $0.115$ $65 +$ $-178 (0.55 - 5.74)$ $0.337$ $0.76 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.718$ Years in practiceReferenceReferenceReferenceReference $< 5$ $1.78 (0.55 - 5.74)$ $0.337$ $0.76 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.718$ $5-10$ $1.73 (0.58 - 5.12)$ $0.325$ $0.96 (0.22 - 4.19)$ $0.955$ $11-15$ $1.33 (0.45 - 3.94)$ $0.609$ $0.77 (0.15 - 4.04)$ $0.755$ $16-20$ $2.55 (0.86 - 7.54)$ $0.091$ $0.98 (0.17 - 5.61)$ $0.979$ $> 20$ $-20$ $-139 (0.64 - 3.01)$ $0.401$ $1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.785$	Middle East/North Africa	0.27 (0.10 - 0.78)	0.015	0.27 (0.08 - 0.92)	0.036
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	North America				
AgeReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceReference $25-34$ $6.12 (1.57 - 24.14)$ $0.009$ $7.28 (1.14 - 46.61)$ $0.036$ $35-44$ $4.14 (1.06 - 16.25)$ $0.042$ $4.90 (0.58 - 41.29)$ $0.144$ $45-54$ $6.93 (1.60 - 29.94)$ $0.010$ $10.93 (1.05 - 114.12)$ $0.046$ $55-64$ $19.63 (0.92 - 417.83)$ $0.056$ $20.64 (0.48 - 887.54)$ $0.115$ $65+$ </td <td>Gender</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	Gender	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25–34	6.12 (1.57 - 24.14)	0.009	7.28 (1.14 – 46.61)	0.036
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35–44	4.14 (1.06 - 16.25)	0.042	4.90 (0.58 - 41.29)	0.144
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45–54	6.93 (1.60 - 29.94)	0.010	10.93 (1.05 - 114.12)	0.046
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55-64	19.63 (0.92 - 417.83)	0.056	20.64 (0.48 - 887.54)	0.115
Years in practiceReferenceReferenceReferenceReference $<5$ $1.78 (0.55 - 5.74)$ $0.337$ $0.76 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.718$ $5-10$ $1.73 (0.58 - 5.12)$ $0.325$ $0.96 (0.22 - 4.19)$ $0.955$ $11-15$ $1.33 (0.45 - 3.94)$ $0.609$ $0.77 (0.15 - 4.04)$ $0.755$ $16-20$ $2.55 (0.86 - 7.54)$ $0.091$ $0.98 (0.17 - 5.61)$ $0.979$ $> 20$ $>$ $>$ $>$ $>$ SpecialtyReferenceReferenceReferenceNeurosurgery $1.39 (0.64 - 3.01)$ $0.401$ $1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.785$	65+				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Years in practice	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<5	1.78(0.55 - 5.74)	0.337	0.76(0.46 - 2.80)	0.718
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5-10	1.73(0.58 - 5.12)	0.325	0.96(0.22 - 4.19)	0.955
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 16-20 & 2.55 & (0.86-7.54) & 0.091 & 0.98 & (0.17-5.61) & 0.979 \\ > 20 & & & & & \\ Specialty & Reference & Reference & Reference & Reference \\ Neurosurgery & 1.39 & (0.64-3.01) & 0.401 & 1.13 & (0.46-2.80) & 0.785 \\ \hline \end{array}$	11–15	1.33(0.45 - 3.94)	0.609	0.77(0.15 - 4.04)	0.755
> 20         Reference         Reference         Reference         Reference         Reference         Reference         0.401         1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)         0.785	16–20	2.55 (0.86 - 7.54)	0.091	0.98 (0.17 - 5.61)	0.979
SpecialtyReferenceReferenceReferenceReferenceNeurosurgery $1.39 (0.64 - 3.01)$ $0.401$ $1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.785$	>20				
Neurosurgery $1.39 (0.64 - 3.01)$ $0.401$ $1.13 (0.46 - 2.80)$ $0.785$	Specialty	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
	Neurosurgery	1.39(0.64 - 3.01)	0.401	1.13(0.46 - 2.80)	0.785
Urthopedic surgery	Orthopedic surgery				
Practice setting Reference Reference Reference Reference	Practice setting	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
Academic 1.03 (0.45 – 2.36) 0.951 1.35 (0.51 – 3.54) 0.545	Academic	1.03(0.45 - 2.36)	0.951	1.35(0.51 - 3.54)	0.545
Private practice $1.05(0.50-2.18)$ 0.903 $0.89(0.40-2.01)$ 0.779	Private practice	1.05(0.50 - 2.18)	0.903	0.89(0.40 - 2.01)	0.779
Public	Public				
Fellowship Reference Reference Reference Reference	Fellowship	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
No 1.14 (0.59 – 2.20) 0.706 1.03 (0.48 – 2.22) 0.945	No	1.14 (0.59 – 2.20)	0.706	1.03 (0.48 - 2.22)	0.945
Yes	Yes				
Case volume Reference Reference Reference Reference	Case volume	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
<50 2.38 (0.26 - 22.06) 0.446 5.70 (0.45 - 130.81) 0.179	< 50	2.38(0.26 - 22.06)	0.446	5.70 (0.45 - 130.81)	0.179
51–100 5.08 (0.56 – 46.25) 0.150 10.41 (0.83 – 42.48) 0.070	51-100	5.08 (0.56 - 46.25)	0.150	10.41 (0.83 - 42.48)	0.070
101–150 2.09 (0.23 – 18.80) 0.509 5.32 (0.45 – 62.27) 0.183	101–150	2.09 (0.23 - 18.80)	0.509	5.32 (0.45 - 62.27)	0.183
151–200 2.02 (0.20 – 20.65) 0.555 2.92 (0.20 – 42.48) 0.432	151-200	2.02(0.20 - 20.65)	0.555	2.92(0.20 - 42.48)	0.432
201–250	201-250	. ,		. ,	

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, N/A not available

Fig. 3 Survey results by percentage of AO Spine member respondents for return to contact sports following multi-level instrumented fusions to the pelvis for adult spinal deformity



Table 4 Predictors of return to contact sport

Covariate	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p value	OR (95% CI)	p value
Region Asia Pacific Europe/Southern Africa Latin America Middle East/North Africa North America	Reference 0.20 (0.04 – 0.95) 0.04 (0.01 – 0.22) 0.24 (0.04 – 1.48) 0.09 (0.02 – 0.46)	Reference 0.043 2.27E-4 0.123 0.004	Reference 0.13 (0.02 – 0.70) 0.04 (0.06 – 0.25) 0.22 (0.25 – 1.89) 0.08 (0.01 – 0.49)	Reference 0.018 6.50E-4 0.167 0.006
Gender	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Age 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65 +	Reference 0.68 (0.12 - 3.75) 0.60 (0.11 - 3.36) 0.95 (0.15 - 5.88) 0.44 (0.02 - 9.18)	Reference 0.655 0.563 0.953 0.595	Reference 1.94 (0.09 – 42.95) 2.62 (0.09 – 78.51) 4.31 (0.12 – 155.25) 0.59 (0.06 – 59.89)	Reference 0.675 0.579 0.425 0.824
Years in practice <5 5–10 11–20 16–20 > 20	Reference 0.34 (0.08 – 1.51) 0.42 (0.10 – 1.81) 0.32 (0.07 – 1.39) 0.75 (0.17 – 3.34)	Reference 0.157 0.245 0.129 0.709	Reference 0.14 (0.01 – 1.85) 0.12 (0.01 – 1.76) 0.15 (0.01 – 2.58) 0.30 (0.02 – 5.37)	Reference 0.135 0.122 0.194 0.414
Specialty Neurosurgery Orthopedic surgery	Reference 1.68 (0.71 – 3.95)	Reference 0.239	Reference 1.36 (0.43 – 4.27)	Reference 0.598
Practice setting Academic Private practice Public	Reference 0.46 (0.19 – 1.14) 1.13 (0.45 – 2.83)	Reference 0.095 0.787	Reference 0.49 (1.54 – 1.53) 0.68 (0.23 – 2.05)	Reference 0.219 0.494
Fellowship No Yes	Reference 0.75 (0.35 – 1.63)	Reference 0.473	Reference 0.69 (0.24 – 2.00)	Reference 0.500
Case volume < 50 51–100 101–150 151–200 201–250 > 250	Reference 1.78 (0.20 - 46.06) 7.98 (0.48 - 132.33) 1.56 (0.11 - 22.91) 1.71 (0.11 - 27.76) 3.03 (0.20 - 46.06)	Reference 0.676 0.147 0.748 0.706 0.425	Reference 6.35 (0.32 – 1.27) 33.87 (1.35 – 847.91) 3.37 (0.18 – 6.28) 5.84 (0.26 – 130.02) 5.84 (0.30 – 111.95)	Reference 0.227 0.032 0.415 0.265 0.242

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, N/A not available

this patient population. Furthermore, the presented results of recommendations from AO Spine members suggest significant variation in practice patterns among spine surgeons. Some overarching trends did emerge in recommended time to return to activity: the majority (81.5%) of survey respondents recommend return to unrestricted range of motion within 3 months and the majority (84.8%) recommended at least 4 months until return to contact sports. There was much greater variation in return to non-contact sports.

## Unrestricted range of motion after ASD surgery

The majority of AO Spine members (81.5%) recommended return to unrestricted range of motion within 3 months of ASD surgery. The primary tradeoffs in time to unrestricted ROM are the benefits of early movement versus the risk of hardware complications from premature movement before full fusion. Although early movement after spinal surgery as part of Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) protocols may lead to shorter length of stay, reduced postoperative pain, and decreased complication rates [16], little work has demonstrated the particular role of early movement after spine surgery, particularly for adult spinal deformity [17]. Conversely, excessive ROM proximal to long spinal constructs has been shown to increase rates of mechanical failure [18], which can lead to significant complications necessitating revision operations. However, pseudarthrosis may occur well after 1 year following spinal fusion [19]. Thus, the trend to recommend resuming unrestricted range of motion after 3 months from ASD surgery lacks strong evidence.

## Non-contact sports after ASD surgery

There is a paucity of data on return to non-contact sports after spinal deformity surgery in adults. In adolescents, the majority of surgeons permit return to non-contact sports by 3 months postoperatively [20, 21]. In a prospective study on 26 athletes treated with posterior spinal fixation for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, return to sports occurred at a median time of 2.7 months without complication. The main barriers to return to sports were physical conditioning and flexibility, rather than surgeon guidance against activity [22]. This approximates the majority of AO Spine respondents who recommended return to non-contact sports within 4 months for adult patients. However, there were still a substantial minority who delayed activity beyond this time, which may delay beneficial effects of sports on physical and mental health for patients.

## **Contact sports after ASD surgery**

The recommendations for time to return to contact sports were expectedly more conservative compared to other activities. The vast majority of AO Spine members (84.8%) recommended waiting at least 4 months after surgery to return to contact sports. Previous literature has suggested radiographic assessment of construct fusion prior to returning to contact sports [20, 23], which underscores an individualized approach to return to contact sports. The precise contact sport may also be relevant. For instance, approximately 20% of surgeons recommend permanently refraining from "collision" sports, including American football, hockey, rugby, and mixed martial arts, as opposed to other contact sports such as soccer, basketball, or volleyball [21]. One limitation of the present study is not defining exact activities in each category or distinguishing collision sports from other contact sports. Notably, fewer AO Spine members (8.8%) recommended permanently avoiding contact sports after adult spinal deformity surgery with pelvic fixation.

# Variables associated with return to activity after surgery

Asian-Pacific AO Spine members had significantly more conservative recommended times to resume unrestricted range of motion compared to European/Southern African (OR = 0.28; 95% CI = 0.11 - 0.73; p = 0.0089), Latin-American (OR = 0.27; 95% CI = 0.08-0.90; p = 0.033), or North American (OR = 0.30; 95% CI = 0.09-0.98; p = 0.047) members. Asian-Pacific members were also more conservative in time to return to both non-contact and contact sports. While the exact reasons for this difference are unclear, it may be secondary to prevailing osteoporosis in Asian-Pacific patients and surgeons' concern about it. These variations indicate regional practice patterns prevailing over evidence-based practice. Fellowship-trained members also recommended shorter time to return to unrestricted ROM (OR = 0.36; 95% CI = 0.16 - 0.91; p = 0.013) despite a lack of evidence-based guidelines. Other results including member/ surgeon age and case volume were less clear.

The results of this study should be considered in the context of its limitations. The most notable limitation is the low response rate of the survey (~5%), which introduces the very real possibility of considerable selection bias among the respondents. As such, the respondents' responses may not be representative of the larger spine community as a whole. This is magnified by the fact that the data are purely opinion based and are probably highly influenced by the training of the respondent surgeons and the preferences of their mentors and teachers, as there are no clear data about return to activity levels and the appropriateness in adult deformity patients. While the

response rate is low, it is in concordance with multiple prior published survey studies from the AO related to spinal pathologies [24–27]. What also cannot be gleamed from this survey are the specific reasons for the respondents' responses. Knowing whether the surgeons are protecting themselves from a critique of their own failure or are they protecting the patients from the patient themselves or simply choosing to limit the patients would strengthen our ultimate understanding of return to activity and sport recommendations following surgery. Along the same vein, it raises the philosophical question of whether the patients have the right to determine their own activity and risk level or not following surgery? Another key limitation is that the survey did not provide more granular information on patient factors (i.e., age, DEXA scores, fitness levels, frailty, psychiatric profiles) and specific surgical details (i.e., exact levels of fusion, instrumentation/rod materials, interbody support, cement augmentation, alignment), both of which likely play roles in determining when a surgeon may feel a patient is suitable to return to certain types of activities. Given the heterogeneity of patients who undergo operative intervention for adult spinal deformity as well as the associated surgical strategies, future studies on this topic would benefit from prospective designs that query more specific real-world case scenarios so as to further differentiate between timelines for return to sport after surgery for ASD. While a more rigorous study design in which establishing criterion and then following a prospective cohort would provide more clinically relevant data, the current study will hopefully serve as a benchmark from which additional studies will be designed focusing on defining appropriate criteria and recommendations on timing of return to activities and sports for patients following long segment fusions for adult spinal deformity.

# Conclusion

Physical activity is an essential component of physical and mental health, and is an important consideration after surgery for adult spinal deformity. There remains a lack of data and evidence-based guidelines on return to activities after surgery for adult spinal deformity. There is a need for prospective research regarding the impact of postoperative activity limitation on changing mechanical complications and health status after adult spinal deformity surgery.

Author contributions AAT, DDC, SK, SL, CS, LL, SHB: made substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data; or the creation of new software used in the work. AAT, DDC, SK, SL, CS, LL, SHB: drafted the work or revised it critically for important intellectual content. AAT, DDC, SK, SL, CS, LL, SHB: approved the version to be published. AAT, DDC, SK, SL, CS, LL, SHB: agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Funding No funding was obtained in support of this work.

Data availability Data available on request from the authors.

## Declarations

Conflict of interest None relevant to the submitted work.

Ethical approval Yes.

Informed consent Not applicable.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Riley MS, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG et al (2018) Health-related quality of life outcomes in complex adult spinal deformity surgery. J Neurosurg Spine 28(2):194–220
- Acaroglu E, Yavuz AC, Guler UO et al (2016) A decision analysis to identify the ideal treatment for adult spinal deformity: is surgery better than non-surgical treatment in improving health-related quality of life and decreasing the disease burden? Eur Spine J 25(8):2390–2400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-016-4413-8
- Smith JS, Klineberg E, Schwab F et al (2013) Change in classification grade by the SRS-Schwab adult spinal deformity classification predicts impact on health-related quality of life measures: prospective analysis of operative and Nonoperative treatment. Spine 38(19):1663. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182 9ec563
- Mo Lee H, Soo Suk K, Hwan Moon S et al (2000) Deep vein thrombosis after major spinal surgery: incidence in an East Asian population. Spine 25(14):1827
- Li Y, Guan XH, Wang R et al (2016) Active ankle movements prevent formation of lower-extremity deep venous thrombosis after orthopedic surgery. Med Sci Monit Int Med J Exp Clin Res 22:3169–3176. https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.896911
- Yorston LC, Kolt GS, Rosenkranz RR (2012) Physical activity and physical function in older adults: The 45 and up study. J Am Geriatr Soc 60(4):719–725. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415. 2012.03906.x
- White RL, Babic MJ, Parker PD et al (2017) Domain-specific physical activity and mental health: a meta-analysis. Am J Prev Med 52(5):653–666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.12. 008

- Mummery K, Schofield G, Caperchione C (2004) Physical activity: Physical activity dose-response effects on mental health status in older adults. Aust N Z J Public Health 28(2):188–192. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2004.tb00934.x
- Yoshida G, Boissiere L, Larrieu D et al (2017) Advantages and disadvantages of adult spinal deformity surgery and its impact on health-related quality of life. Spine 42(6):411. https://doi.org/10. 1097/BRS.000000000001770
- Engsberg JR, Lenke LG, Reitenbach AK et al (2002) Prospective evaluation of trunk range of motion in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis undergoing spinal fusion surgery. Spine 27(12):1346
- Ishikawa Y, Miyakoshi N, Kobayashi T et al (2019) Activities of daily living and patient satisfaction after long fusion for adult spinal deformity: a retrospective study. Eur Spine J 28(7):1670–1677. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-019-05893-7
- Sciubba DM, Scheer JK, Smith JS et al (2015) Which daily functions are most affected by stiffness following total lumbar fusion: comparison of upper thoracic and thoracolumbar proximal endpoints. Spine 40(17):1338–1344. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS. 000000000000968
- Engsberg JR, Bridwell KH, Wagner JM et al (2003) Gait changes as the result of deformity reconstruction surgery in a group of adults with lumbar scoliosis. Spine 28(16):1836–1843. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200308150-00012
- Pfeiffer BA, Clay SW, Conatser RR (2001) A green prescription study: does written exercise prescribed by a physician result in increased physical activity among older adults? J Aging Health 13(4):527–538. https://doi.org/10.1177/089826430101300405
- Barile F, Ruffilli A, Manzetti M et al (2021) Resumption of sport after spinal fusion for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a review of the current literature. Spine Deform 9(5):1247–1251. https://doi. org/10.1007/s43390-021-00330-6
- Pennington Z, Cottrill E, Lubelski D et al (2020) Systematic review and meta-analysis of the clinical utility of enhanced recovery after surgery pathways in adult spine surgery. J Neurosurg Spine. https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.6.SPINE20795
- Tong Y, Fernandez L, Bendo JA et al (2020) Enhanced recovery after surgery trends in adult spine surgery: a systematic review. Int J Spine Surg 14(4):623–640. https://doi.org/10.14444/7083
- Son DM, Lee SB, Lee SJ et al (2022) Biomechanical comparison of multilevel lumbar instrumented fusions in adult spinal deformity according to the upper and lower fusion levels: a finite element analysis. BioMed Res. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2534350

- Marques MF, Fiere V, Obeid I et al (2021) Pseudarthrosis in adult spine deformity surgery: risk factors and treatment options. Eur Spine J 30(11):3225–3232. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00586-021-06861-w
- Christman T, Li Y (2016) Pediatric return to sports after spinal surgery. Sports Health 8(4):331–335. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1941738116634685
- Lehman RA, Kang DG, Lenke LG et al (2015) Return to sports after surgery to correct adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: a survey of the Spinal Deformity Study Group. Spine J Off J North Am Spine Soc 15(5):951–958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2013.06.035
- 22. Tetreault T, Darland H, Vu A et al (2022) Adolescent athletes return to sports rapidly after posterior spine fusion for idiopathic scoliosis: a prospective cohort study. Spine Deform. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43390-022-00592-8
- Burnett MG, Sonntag VKH (2006) Return to contact sports after spinal surgery. Neurosurg Focus 21(4):E5. https://doi.org/10. 3171/foc.2006.21.4.6
- 24. Pennington Z, Porras JL, Larry Lo SF et al (2021) International variability in spinal metastasis treatment: a survey of the AO spine community. Global Spine J 26:21925682211046904
- De Gendt EEA, Schroeder GD, Joaquim A et al (2023) Spinal post-traumatic deformity: an international expert survey among ao spine knowledge forum members. Clin Spine Surg 36(2):E94–E100
- 26. Joaquim AF, Yurac R, Valacco M et al (2021) Management of unilateral cervical facet joint dislocation in neurologically intact patients: results of an AO Spine Latin American Survey. World Neurosurg 146:e76–e85
- 27. Kaushal M, Shabani S, Soliman HM et al (2020) The use of magnetic resonance imaging by Spine surgeons in management of spinal Trauma across AO regions-results of AO Spine Survey. World Neurosurg 137:e389–e394

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.