On C^* -norms on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded tensor products

Vitonofrio Crismale¹ · Stefano Rossi¹ · Paola Zurlo¹

Received: 30 September 2021 / Accepted: 7 December 2021 / Published online: 12 January 2022
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

We systematically investigate C^* -norms on the algebraic graded product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras. This requires to single out the notion of a compatible norm, that is a norm with respect to which the product grading is bounded. We then focus on the spatial norm proving that it is minimal among all compatible C^* -norms. To this end, we first show that commutative $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras enjoy a nuclearity property in the category of graded C^* -algebras. In addition, we provide a characterization of the extreme even states of a given graded C^* -algebra in terms of their restriction to its even part.

Keywords $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras · $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded tensor products · Product states · C^* -cross norms · Nuclear algebras

Mathematics Subject Classification 46L05 · 46L06 · 46L30 · 17A70

1 Introduction

Given two C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$, their algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{A} \odot \mathfrak{B}$ can easily be endowed with a natural structure of $*$ -algebra. However, it is a well-known fact that in general $\mathfrak{A} \odot \mathfrak{B}$ can be completed to a C^* -algebra in several different ways. Phrased differently, more than one C^* -norm can be introduced on $\mathfrak{A} \odot \mathfrak{B}$. As is

---

Communicated by Christian Le Merdy.

This work was completed with the support of our TeX-pert.

✉ Stefano Rossi
stefano.rossi@uniba.it

Vitonofrio Crismale
vitonofrio.crismale@uniba.it

Paola Zurlo
paola.zurlo@uniba.it

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Bari, Via E. Orabona, 4, 70125 Bari, Italy

known, among the possible norms, the so-called maximal and minimal norms play a privileged role in that any other C^* -norm is bounded between this two, see e.g. [13]. However, when at least one of the two given C^* -algebras is nuclear, there is by definition precisely one way to complete $\mathfrak{A} \odot \mathfrak{B}$, namely all C^* -norms on it agree with each other. For instance, commutative C^* -algebras and approximately finite-dimensional C^* -algebras are all examples of nuclear algebras, see [13]. Tensor products of C^* -algebras is an accomplished theory where virtually anything is known, although further pieces of information have been added until very recent times, see e.g. [9–11].

When one starts adding more structure, such as a grading, possibly novel aspects may and will occur. A case in point is given by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras, which in the physicists' parlance are often referred to as superalgebras, cf. [8]. In particular, graded tensor products of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras have recently been given a good deal of attention in [3], where a definition of quantum detailed balance for product systems endowed with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading has been proposed. Among other things, the aforementioned paper provides an in-depth analysis of the maximal norm on the graded tensor products of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras, as well as showing that a product state on the algebraic Fermi product of two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras is well defined whenever just one of the two states is even, namely it is invariant with respect to the grading. This last result is actually a generalization of the analogous result obtained in [1] for the pivotal example of the CAR algebra, see also [2] and [7].

In this paper, instead, much of the attention is lavished on the so-called spatial norm. In particular, we prove that it is the smallest of all compatible norms. To make this statement more precise, we need to single out the notion of a compatible norm on the algebraic Fermi product. This is by definition a norm such that the grading can be extended to its completion. As a matter of fact, the problem of deciding whether any C^* -norm on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded product has revealed delicate to handle, not least because producing counterexamples turns out to be as delicate. Indeed, norms other than the maximal and the minimal one are typically obtained by means of abstract methods, and therefore are difficult to explicitly compute with.

Our analysis of compatible norms also requires to make intensive use of extreme even states, namely the extreme points of the (weakly*) compact convex set of all even states. Extreme even states for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras parallel pure states for general C^* -algebras. Indeed, they are sufficiently many to separate the elements of the C^* -algebras. Furthermore, they return the set of all pure states when the grading is trivial.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we set the notation and recall the basic notions on graded C^* -algebras and their graded tensor products, which we also refer to as Fermi tensor products, as is done in [3]. We then focus on even states on a given graded C^* -algebra. In particular, we also provide a description of the extreme even states of a commutative graded C^* -algebra. We end the section by showing that a product state is even if and only if both of its marginal states are.

In Sect. 3 we consider $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert spaces and their Fermi tensor product as a spatial counterpart of the construction for abstract C^* -algebras. We introduce a notion of Fermi product of grading-equivariant representations and show that the GNS

representation of the product state of two even states is nothing but the Fermi product of the two GNS representations.

Finally, in Sect. 4, after recalling the definition of the spatial norm, we first show that it is a cross norm, as is the maximal norm. We then move on to prove that the maximal and minimal norms are compatible with the grading. In Proposition 4.10 we prove that the Fermi product of two C^* -algebras can be endowed with only one C^* -norm when one of the two is commutative. In Theorem 4.12 we prove that the spatial norm is actually minimal among all compatible norms.

As an outlook for the foreseeable future, we believe the present study might be a first step towards addressing distributional symmetries on probability spaces based on graded C^* -algebras, as has already been done for the CAR algebra in [4–6], and for any C^* -algebra (with trivial grading) in [12], where it is the spatial norm to play a key role.

2 On tensor products of $*$ -algebras

In this section, we collect some results on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebraic structures obtained as tensor product of graded $*$ -algebras. We first observe that, if not otherwise specified, throughout the paper all the structures we deal with will be taken unital.

If $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ is an inclusion of unital C^* -algebras with a common unity, the unital linear mapping $E : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is called a projection if $E(b) = b$ for all $b \in \mathfrak{B}$, and is said to be a $\mathfrak{B}$ -bimodule map if $E(ab) = E(a)b$, and $E(ba) = bE(a)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $b \in \mathfrak{B}$. A positive $\mathfrak{B}$ -bimodule projection E is called a *conditional expectation*.

Consider the C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$, and denote by $\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2$ the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{A}_1 \odot \mathfrak{A}_2$ with the product and involution given by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2)(a'_1 \otimes a'_2) := a_1 a'_1 \otimes a_2 a'_2, \quad (a_1 \otimes a_2)^* := a_1^* \otimes a_2^*,$$

for all $a_1, a'_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$, $a_2, a'_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathfrak{A}_2$ and $\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2$ the completion of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2$ with respect to the maximal and minimal C^* -cross norm, respectively [13].

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the weak*-compact collecting the states on a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. If one takes $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_1)$ and $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_2)$, their product state $\psi_{\omega_1, \omega_2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2)$ is well defined also on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathfrak{A}_2$, and consequently the notation $\psi_{\omega_1, \omega_2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2)$ will be used in the sequel.

Consider now $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, -1\}$ with the product as the group operation, and a $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. The latter is called an *involutive $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra* if it decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{-1}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{A}_i)^* = (\mathfrak{A}^*)_i, \quad \mathfrak{A}_i \mathfrak{A}_j \subset \mathfrak{A}_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, -1.$$

The subspaces $\mathfrak{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are called the homogeneous components of $\mathfrak{A}$, and correspondingly any element of $\mathfrak{A}_i$ is called a homogeneous element of $\mathfrak{A}$. For any homogeneous element $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{\pm 1}$ we denote its *grade* by

$$\partial(x) = \pm 1.$$

Assigning a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on $\mathfrak{A}$ is equivalent to equipping $\mathfrak{A}$ with an involutive $*$ -automorphism θ (i.e. $\theta^2 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$). Indeed, from one hand for a given $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ one takes

$$\theta|_{\mathfrak{A}_1} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}_1}, \quad \theta|_{\mathfrak{A}_{-1}} = -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}_{-1}}.$$

On the other hand, if $\theta \in \text{aut}(\mathfrak{A})$ is such that $\theta^2 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, after taking

$$\varepsilon_1 := \frac{1}{2}(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}} + \theta), \quad \varepsilon_{-1} := \frac{1}{2}(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \theta),$$

and denoting

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 := \varepsilon_1(\mathfrak{A}), \quad \mathfrak{A}_{-1} := \varepsilon_{-1}(\mathfrak{A}),$$

one gives $\mathfrak{A}_1 \cap \mathfrak{A}_{-1} = \{0\}$. As a consequence, their direct sum $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{A}_{-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebra.

It turns out that a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebra is a pair $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a $*$ -algebra, and θ an involutive $*$ -automorphism on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Following [3], we say that θ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of $\mathfrak{A}$. Moreover, we denote the $*$ -subalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_+ := \mathfrak{A}_1$ the *even part*, and the subspace $\mathfrak{A}_- := \mathfrak{A}_{-1}$ the *odd part* of $\mathfrak{A}$, respectively. Note that ε_1 is a conditional expectation. Thus, for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we can write $a = a_+ + a_-$, with $a_+ \in \mathfrak{A}_+$, $a_- \in \mathfrak{A}_-$, and this decomposition is unique. In addition, one gets $\theta(a_+) = a_+$, $\theta(a_-) = -a_-$.

Taking $\theta = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, one sees that any $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ trivial grading. Here, $\mathfrak{A}_+ = \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_- = \{0\}$.

A simple example of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebra is obtained by taking an Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and a bounded self-adjoint unitary U on $\mathcal{H}$.¹ The adjoint action $\text{ad}_U(\cdot) := U \cdot U^*$ is an involutive $*$ -automorphism which induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Another example of paramount importance for its applications to Physics is of course the CAR algebra, see e.g. [2, 3].

Let $(\mathfrak{A}_i, \theta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be a pair of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebras. The map $T : \mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_2$ is said to be *even* if it is grading-equivariant:

$$T \circ \theta_1 = \theta_2 \circ T.$$

When $\theta_2 = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}_2}$, the map $T : \mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_2$ is even if and only if it is grading-invariant, that is $T \circ \theta_1 = T$. If T is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear, then it is even if and only if $T|_{\mathfrak{A}_{1,-}} = 0$. When $(\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2) = (\mathbb{C}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}})$, a functional $f : \mathfrak{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is even if and only if $f \circ \theta = f$.

In the sequel, we will denote by $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A})$ the convex subset of even states. Even states play a role in giving a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on their GNS structures.

¹ As we will see in the sequel, the pair $(\mathcal{H}, U)$ realizes a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on $\mathcal{H}$.

More in detail, suppose that $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A})$. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi, V_{\theta, \varphi})$ be the GNS covariant representation of φ , where the unitary self-adjoint $V_{\theta, \varphi}$ fixes ξ_φ and verifies

$$\pi_\varphi(\theta(a)) = V_{\theta, \varphi} \pi_\varphi(a) V_{\theta, \varphi}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Then, $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \text{ad}_{V_{\theta, \varphi}})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra.

It is well known that in the case of a C^* -algebra with trivial grading, the pure states separate the points and are exactly the extreme elements of the convex of the states. For similar results in presence of a nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading, the notion of grading-invariant functionals is central. To this aim, take a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$, and denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))$ the set of the extreme points of the weakly* compact convex set $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A})$ of all even states of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 2.1 *For any given $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$, the states of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))$ separate $\mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof We first observe that $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A})$ separate $\mathfrak{A}$, since the conditional expectation ε_1 from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathfrak{A}_+$ is faithful. The statement is then an application of the Krein–Milman theorem.

Indeed, let a be a positive element in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\omega(a) = 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))$. Then, for any convex combination φ of states in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))$, one has $\varphi(a) = 0$. Now, given any even state η , there exists a net $\{\eta_i\}_{i \in I}$, I being a directed set, for which η_i is a convex combination of states in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))$, and $\eta_i \rightarrow_i \eta$ in the weak* topology. But then we have

$$\eta(a) = \lim_i \eta_i(a) = 0.$$

As the equality holds for all even states, it follows that $a = 0$. □

Corollary 2.2 *Given a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$, for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ one has*

$$\|a\| = \sup\{\|\pi_\omega(a)\| : \omega \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))\}.$$

Proof Thanks to Proposition 2.1, the representation $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))} \pi_\omega$ is faithful and thus isometric. This ends the proof. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra. Any state ω on the even subalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_+$ admits exactly one even extension to $\mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof Clearly, $\omega \circ \varepsilon_1$ extends ω and is even by construction. The uniqueness of such an extension follows from the fact that even states vanish on $\mathfrak{A}_-$. □

Proposition 2.4 *Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra. An even state ω belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}))$ if and only if the restriction $\omega|_{\mathfrak{A}_+}$ is a pure state.*

Proof In light of Lemma 2.3 the map $S : S_+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{A}_+)$, given by $S(\omega) = \omega|_{\mathfrak{A}_+}$ for every $\omega \in S_+(\mathfrak{A})$, establishes an affine bijection between the compact convex sets $S_+(\mathfrak{A})$ and $S(\mathfrak{A}_+)$. Therefore, it also establishes a bijection between the extreme points of the former set and the extreme points of the latter. $\square$

For commutative C^* -algebras, one can completely determine the extreme even states.

Proposition 2.5 *For a (locally) compact Hausdorff space X , the extreme even states of the commutative $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra $(C(X), \theta)$ are given by the set $\{\frac{1}{2}(\delta_x + \delta_{\theta(x)}) \mid x \in X\}$.*

Proof Consider the equivalence relation $\sim$ on X for which $x \sim y$ if and only if $f(x) = f(y)$ for any $f \in C(X)$ such that $f = f \circ \theta$. If $X/\sim$ is the quotient space, the even C^* -subalgebra $C(X)_+ := \{f \in C(X) \mid f = f \circ \theta\}$ clearly identifies with $C(X_+)$. Indeed, if $\pi : X \rightarrow X_+$ is the natural projection, and $\iota : C(X_+) \rightarrow C(X)$ is the isometric $*$ -homomorphism given by $\iota(f) = f \circ \pi$ for any $f \in C(X_+)$, one has that $C(X)_+ = \iota(C(X_+))$. Thus, for any given $x \in X$, the restriction of the even state $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_x + \delta_{\theta(x)})$ to $C(X)_+$ is pure, as it is nothing but $\delta_{\pi(x)}$.

Conversely, if $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(S_+(C(X)))$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that $\omega|_{C(X)_+} = \delta_{\pi(x)}$, which means $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_x + \delta_{\theta(x)})$. $\square$

Suppose that $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebras, and consider the linear space $\mathfrak{A}_1 \odot \mathfrak{A}_2$. In what follows, we recall the definition of the involutive $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded tensor product, which will be henceforth denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_1 \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$, as in [3]. For homogeneous elements $a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$, $a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(a_1, a_2) &:= \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \partial(a_1) = \partial(a_2) = -1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \epsilon(i, j) &:= \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = j = -1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Given $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \odot \mathfrak{A}_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} x_{ij} \in \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} (\mathfrak{A}_{1,i} \odot \mathfrak{A}_{2,j}), \\ y &:= \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} y_{ij} \in \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} (\mathfrak{A}_{1,i} \odot \mathfrak{A}_{2,j}), \end{aligned}$$

the involution, which by a minor abuse of notation we continue to denote by $*$, and the multiplication on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$ are defined as (see also e.g. [3])

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &:= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_2} \epsilon(i, j) x_{ij}^*, \\ xy &:= \sum_{i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_2} \epsilon(j, k) x_{ij} y_{kl}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The $*$ -algebra thus obtained also carries a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading, which is induced by the $*$ -automorphism $\theta = \theta_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \theta_2$ given on the elementary tensors by

$$(\theta_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \theta_2)(a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2) := \theta_1(a_1) \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \theta_2(a_2), \quad a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1, \quad a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

where $a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2$ is nothing but $a_1 \otimes a_2$ thought of as an element of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$, since $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{A}_1 \odot \mathfrak{A}_2$ as linear spaces. As of now, we will use $a_1 \otimes a_2$ and $a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2$ interchangeably when no confusion can occur.

The even and odd part of the Fermi product are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2)_+ &:= (\mathfrak{A}_{1,+} \odot \mathfrak{A}_{2,+}) \oplus (\mathfrak{A}_{1,-} \odot \mathfrak{A}_{2,-}), \\ (\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2)_- &:= (\mathfrak{A}_{1,+} \odot \mathfrak{A}_{2,-}) \oplus (\mathfrak{A}_{1,-} \odot \mathfrak{A}_{2,+}). \end{aligned}$$

For $\omega_i \in S(\mathfrak{A}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the state $\psi_{\omega_1, \omega_2}$ has a counterpart in $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ by means of the product functional $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$, defined as usual by

$$\omega_1 \times \omega_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_{2,j} \right) := \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_1(a_{1,j}) \omega_2(a_{2,j}),$$

for all $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_{2,j} \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$. Contrarily to the case of trivial grading, the map defined above is not necessarily positive. The following proposition, which generalizes the results obtained in [1] for the CAR algebra, gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the positivity.

Proposition 2.6 *Let $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1), (\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$ be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras, and $\omega_1 \in S(\mathfrak{A}_1)$, $\omega_2 \in S(\mathfrak{A}_2)$. Then $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$ is positive on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ if and only if at least one between ω_1 and ω_2 is even. Moreover, $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$ is even if and only if both ω_1 and ω_2 are even.*

Proof The “if” part follows from [3, Proposition 7.1]. For the “only if” part we shall argue by contradiction, exactly as is done in the proof of [1, Theorem 1]. Suppose neither ω_1 nor ω_2 is even. Then there exist odd $a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and $a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$ such that $\omega_1(a_1) \neq 0$ and $\omega_2(a_2) \neq 0$. Furthermore, there is no loss of generality if we also assume that a_1 and a_2 are both self-adjoint. Now, on the one hand we have $\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2) = \omega_1(a_1)\omega_2(a_2)$ is a real number different from zero. On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2)} &= \omega_1 \times \omega_2((a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2)^*) \\ &= -\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1^* \otimes a_2^*) \\ &= -\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2) \end{aligned}$$

from which we see that $\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} a_2)$ must be zero, and a contradiction is thus arrived at.

If ω_1 and ω_2 are both even, then the product is seen at once to be even as well. Conversely if $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$ is even, then ω_1 and ω_2 must be both even. For instance, for ω_1 we have

$$\omega_1(\theta_1(a_1)) = \omega_1 \times \omega_2(\theta_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\mathbb{F}}} \theta_2(a_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}_2})) = \omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}_2}) = \omega_1(a_1),$$

for every $a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$. □

We would like to remark that the above proposition holds for products of an arbitrary number $n \geq 2$ of states $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$. More precisely, the product functional $\omega_1 \times \omega_2 \times \dots \times \omega_n$ will be positive if and only if $n - 1$ of the marginal states are even, and it will be even if and only if all the marginal states are so.

3 Fermi tensor product of Hilbert spaces

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert space is a pair $(\mathcal{H}, U)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a (complex) Hilbert space and U a self-adjoint unitary acting on $\mathcal{H}$.

Note that $\mathcal{H}$ decomposes into a direct sum

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$$

where $\mathcal{H}_+ := \text{Ker}(I - U)$, $\mathcal{H}_- := \text{Ker}(I + U)$, and I is the identity operator. As usual, vectors belonging to $\mathcal{H}_+$ ($\mathcal{H}_-$) are referred to as *even* (*odd*) vectors, and elements belonging to any of these subspaces are collectively referred to as homogeneous vectors. The grade $\partial(\xi)$ of any homogeneous vector ξ is 1 or -1 , according to whether it belongs to $\mathcal{H}_+$ or $\mathcal{H}_-$, respectively. Recalling that $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \text{ad}_U)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded $*$ -algebra, after applying a homogeneous element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to a homogeneous vector in $\mathcal{H}$ we get a homogenous vector whose grade can be easily determined, as the following result shows.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(\mathcal{H}, U)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert space. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ are both homogeneous, then $T\xi$ is still homogeneous and*

$$\partial(T\xi) = \partial(T)\partial(\xi).$$

Proof There are four cases to deal with, which can be treated in the same way. For instance, if T is even and ξ is odd, then we have $UT\xi = (UTU^*)U\xi = T(-\xi) = -T\xi$, that is $T\xi$ is odd. $\square$

Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \theta)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra, and let ω be an even state of $\mathfrak{A}$, whose covariant GNS representation is $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \xi_\omega, V_{\theta, \omega})$. Thus, $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, V_{\theta, \omega})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert space. The following result allows us to realize the even part of $\mathcal{H}_\omega$.

Proposition 3.2 *The even part of $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ is given by $\mathcal{H}_{\omega,+} = \overline{\pi_\omega(\mathfrak{A}_+)}\xi_\omega$.*

Proof From the obvious inclusion $\pi_\omega(\mathfrak{A}_+)\xi_\omega \subset \mathcal{H}_{\omega,+}$, one also has $\overline{\pi_\omega(\mathfrak{A}_+)\xi_\omega} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\omega,+}$.

For the converse inclusion, pick $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega,+}$, that is $V_{\theta, \omega}\eta = \eta$. By cyclicity of ξ_ω , there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\eta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_\omega(a_n)\xi_\omega$. But then $V_{\theta, \omega}\eta = V_{\theta, \omega}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_\omega(a_n)\xi_\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_\omega(\theta(a_n))\xi_\omega$. From the equality $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\eta + V_{\theta, \omega}\eta)$ we see that $\eta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_\omega(\varepsilon_1(a_n))\xi_\omega$, and the thesis follows since $\varepsilon_1(a_n) \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. $\square$

The tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ of two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Hilbert spaces $(\mathcal{H}_1, U_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2, U_2)$ can be endowed with the natural grading induced by the self-adjoint

unitary $U_1 \otimes U_2$. Note that a simple tensor $\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$ in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ is even precisely when ξ_1 and ξ_2 are both even or when they are both odd. In the sequel, most of the times the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ will be thought of as a graded Hilbert space, with grading $U_1 \otimes U_2$. Rather than write $(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2, U_1 \otimes U_2)$, we will simply denote this graded Hilbert space by $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathcal{H}_2$.

Given $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and a homogeneous operator $T_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)$, we define $T_1 \odot T_2$ as the linear operator acting on $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathcal{H}_2$ as

$$T_1 \odot T_2(\xi \odot \eta) = \varepsilon(T_2, \xi)(T_1 \xi \odot T_2 \eta) \quad (3.1)$$

for any homogeneous $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and any $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Clearly, by using (3.1) one can extend $T_1 \odot T_2$ to the whole vector space $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathcal{H}_2$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $T_1 \odot T_2$ is a bounded operator, and thus it can be extended by continuity to $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathcal{H}_2$. Its unique extension is denoted by $T_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} T_2$.

Let now $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1), (\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$ be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras. If $\pi_i : \mathfrak{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ are grading-equivariant representations, it is possible to define a map, $\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2$, of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ acting on the Fermi tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathcal{H}_2$ as

$$\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} a_2) := \pi_1(a_1) \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_2)$$

for every $a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and $a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$.

Proposition 3.3 *Under the above assumptions, one has that $\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2$ is a $*$ -representation of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ acting on the Fermi tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathcal{H}_2$.*

Proof All we have to do is ascertain that $\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2$ preserves both product and involution of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$. To this end, it is enough to verify the involved equalities only on homogeneous elements.

Let $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$ be homogeneous elements, $i = 1, 2$, $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{H}_1$, $\eta, \eta' \in \mathcal{H}_2$ be homogeneous vectors, and observe that $\pi_i(a_i)$ has the same grade of a_i , since π_i is grading-equivariant.

On the one hand, from (2.1) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2((a_1 \otimes a_2)^*) (\xi \otimes \eta), \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon(a_1, a_2) \langle \pi_1(a_1^*) \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_2^*) (\xi \otimes \eta), \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon(a_1, a_2) \varepsilon(a_2, \xi) \langle \pi_1(a_1^*) \xi \otimes \pi_2(a_2^*) \eta, \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon(a_1, a_2) \varepsilon(a_2, \xi) \langle \xi, \pi_1(a_1) \xi' \rangle \langle \eta, \pi_2(a_2) \eta' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2))^* (\xi \otimes \eta), \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi \otimes \eta, \pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) (\xi' \otimes \eta') \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon(a_2, \xi') \langle \xi \otimes \eta, \pi_1(a_1) \xi' \otimes \pi_2(a_2) \eta' \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon(a_2, \xi') \langle \xi, \pi_1(a_1) \xi' \rangle \langle \eta, \pi_2(a_2) \eta' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the two expressions equal each other because

$$\varepsilon(a_1, a_2)\varepsilon(a_2, \xi) = \varepsilon(a_2, \xi')$$

whenever $\langle \xi, \pi_1(a_1)\xi' \rangle$ is different from 0, as a painstaking inspection of the signs shows.

As for the product, pick homogeneous $a_1, b_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and $a_2, b_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$. With homogeneous $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_2$ by applying (2.1) and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2((a_1 \otimes a_2)(b_1 \otimes b_2))(\xi \otimes \eta) \\ &= \varepsilon(a_2, b_1)\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_1 b_1 \otimes a_2 b_2)(\xi \otimes \eta) \\ &= \varepsilon(a_2, b_1)\varepsilon(a_2 b_2, \xi)\pi_1(a_1 b_1)\xi \otimes \pi_2(a_2 b_2)\eta \\ &= \varepsilon(a_2, b_1)\varepsilon(a_2 b_2, \xi)\pi_1(a_1)\pi_1(b_1)\xi \otimes \pi_2(a_2)\pi_2(b_2)\eta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by applying (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2)[\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(b_1 \otimes b_2)(\xi \otimes \eta)] \\ &= \varepsilon(b_2, \xi)\pi_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2)(\pi_1(b_1)\xi \otimes \pi_2(b_2)\eta) \\ &= \varepsilon(b_2, \xi)\varepsilon(a_2, \pi_1(b_1)\xi)\pi_1(a_1)\pi_1(b_1)\xi \otimes \pi_2(a_2)\pi_2(b_2)\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Again, a painstaking inspection of the signs shows that

$$\varepsilon(a_2, b_1)\varepsilon(a_2 b_2, \xi) = \varepsilon(b_2, \xi)\varepsilon(a_2, \pi_1(b_1)\xi)$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

The following result says that $\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_{\omega_2}$ is the GNS representation of the product state of two even states ω_1 and ω_2 .

Proposition 3.4 *Let $(\mathfrak{A}_i, \theta_i)$ be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras, $i = 1, 2$. If $\omega_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_i)$ are even states for $i = 1, 2$ then*

$$\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2} = \pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_{\omega_2}$$

up to unitary equivalence, as representations of the Fermi tensor product $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$.

Proof First note that $\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_{\omega_2}$ is a cyclic representation of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ with cyclic vector $\xi_{\omega_1} \otimes \xi_{\omega_2}$, where ξ_{ω_i} is the GNS vector of ω_i for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, to conclude it is enough to make sure the vector state associated with $\xi_{\omega_1} \otimes \xi_{\omega_2}$ coincides with the product state $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$. To this aim, consider homogeneous $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i, i = 1, 2$. After recalling that the cyclic vectors are even, by applying (3.1) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_{\omega_2}(a_1 \otimes a_2)\xi_{\omega_1} \otimes \xi_{\omega_2}, \xi_{\omega_1} \otimes \xi_{\omega_2} \rangle \\ &= \varepsilon(a_2, \xi_{\omega_1})\langle \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1)\xi_{\omega_1} \otimes \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2)\xi_{\omega_2}, \xi_{\omega_1} \otimes \xi_{\omega_2} \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1)\xi_{\omega_1}, \xi_{\omega_1} \rangle \langle \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2)\xi_{\omega_2}, \xi_{\omega_2} \rangle \\ &= \omega_1(a_1)\omega_2(a_2) = \omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

4 Norms on $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded tensor product of C^* -algebras

In this section we undertake a detailed study of the so-called spatial norm on the Fermi tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras. We show that it is minimal besides being a cross norm, as is the maximal one already introduced in [3]. Given $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$, the latter norm is given by

$$\|x\|_{\max} := \sup\{\|\pi(x)\| : \pi \text{ is a representation}\},$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$, and it is obviously the biggest norm on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$.

The spatial norm is defined in terms of the GNS representations of products of even states. More precisely,

$$\|x\|_{\min} := \sup\{\|\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(x)\| : \omega_1 \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1), \omega_2 \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2)\},$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$. In principle, the above definition might provide only a seminorm. In fact, it is known that it actually defines a norm. For want of a reference, we nonetheless include a full proof of this fact.

Proposition 4.1 *Under the above assumptions, the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ is a C^* -norm on the Fermi product $\mathfrak{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$.*

Proof As the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ obviously satisfies the C^* -equality, we only need to make sure it also separates the elements of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$. Let $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i$ be such an element with $c \neq 0$, where $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and $b_i \in \mathfrak{A}_2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Take now

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1 := C^*(\{a_i, \theta_1(a_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_2 := C^*(\{b_i, \theta_2(b_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}).$$

By definition, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_2$ inherit the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading from $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$, respectively. Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_2$ are separable C^* -algebras, there exist faithful states $\widetilde{\omega}_1$ and $\widetilde{\omega}_2$ on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_2$, respectively. If $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_i$ is the canonical conditional expectation from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_i$ onto $\mathfrak{A}_{i,+}$, $i = 1, 2$, then $\widetilde{\omega}_i \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_i$ are still faithful. But then by [13, Theorem IV.4.9 (iii)], the product state $\psi_{\widetilde{\omega}_1 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_2}$ is faithful on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1 \otimes_{\min} \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_2$. Therefore, we have

$$\widetilde{\omega}_1 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1 \times \widetilde{\omega}_2 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_2 (c^* c) = \psi_{\widetilde{\omega}_1 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2 \circ \widetilde{\varepsilon}_2} (c^* c) > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

The conclusion now readily follows by considering any extensions ω_1, ω_2 of $\widetilde{\omega}_1, \widetilde{\omega}_2$ to the whole $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$, respectively. Indeed, from (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{\omega_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1 \times \omega_2 \otimes \varepsilon_2}(c)\|^2 &\geq \|\pi_{\omega_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1 \times \omega_2 \otimes \varepsilon_2}(c) \xi_{\omega_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1 \times \omega_2 \otimes \varepsilon_2}\|^2 \\ &= \omega_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1 \times \omega_2 \otimes \varepsilon_2 (c^* c) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Here, we present the definition of a C^* -cross norm in the presence of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading, which reduces to the usual one when the grading is trivial.

Definition 4.2 For any given $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$, a C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is said to be cross if

$$\|a_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} a_2\|_\beta = \|a_1\| \|a_2\|, \quad \text{for homogeneous } a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

As one could expect, the norms introduced above are cross:

Proposition 4.3 *Under the above assumptions, both $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ are cross norms on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$.*

Proof We start by observing that if $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ is any C^* -norm on the Fermi product $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$, then $\|a \otimes b\|_\beta \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}_1, b \in \mathfrak{A}_2$. Indeed, one has

$$\|a \otimes b\|_\beta = \|(a \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{A}_2})(1_{\mathfrak{A}_1} \otimes b)\|_\beta \leq \|a \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{A}_2}\|_\beta \|1_{\mathfrak{A}_1} \otimes b\|_\beta = \|a\| \|b\|$$

because both

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 \ni a \mapsto a \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{A}_2} \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2, \quad \mathfrak{A}_2 \ni b \mapsto 1_{\mathfrak{A}_1} \otimes b \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$$

are injective $*$ -homomorphisms.

Since $\|a \otimes b\|_{\max} \geq \|a \otimes b\|_{\min}$, for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and $b \in \mathfrak{A}_2$, it is enough to prove that $\|a \otimes b\|_{\min} \geq \|a\| \|b\|$ for homogeneous a, b . To this aim, note that a straightforward application of Proposition 3.4 gives $\|a \otimes b\|_{\min} \geq \|\pi_{\omega_1}(a)\| \|\pi_{\omega_2}(b)\|$ for any even states ω_i on $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i), i = 1, 2$. The thesis then follows from Corollary 2.2. $\square$

Henceforth the completion of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ with respect to the spatial norm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ will always be denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}}_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2$, whereas the completion with respect to the maximal norm $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}}_{\max} \mathfrak{A}_2$. We next show that the tensor product of faithful GNS representations of even states is still a faithful representation on the C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}}_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2$. To this aim, we first need a technical lemma on normal states. We say that a state ω of a given C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is normal in a representation $\pi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ if $\omega(a) = \text{Tr}(\pi(a)T)$, $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, for some positive trace-class operator T with $\text{Tr}(T) = 1$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let us take $i = 1, 2$, and $\omega_i \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i)$. Suppose for each $i = 1, 2$ we are given a normal state in π_{ω_i} , say $\varphi_i(\cdot) := \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_i}(\cdot)T_i)$, where $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_i})$ is a positive trace-class operator with unit trace. Then the product state $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is a normal state in $\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}$. More precisely, one has*

$$\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} \pi_{\omega_2}(\cdot) T_1 \mathbin{\text{\textcircled{F}}} T_2).$$

Proof We first point out that no loss of generality occurs if we suppose that each T_i is even for $i = 1, 2$. Indeed, if T_i is not even, and $(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_i}, \pi_{\omega_i}, \xi_{\omega_i}, V_{\theta, \omega_i})$ is the GNS covariant

representation of ω_i , then it is enough to pass to $T'_i := \frac{1}{2}(T_i + V_{\theta_i, \omega_i} T V_{\theta_i, \omega_i})$, since one can check that $\varphi_i(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_i}(\cdot) T'_i)$.

With homogeneous $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$ and homogeneous vectors $\xi_i \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega_i}$, by using (2.1) and (3.1) one can easily see that

$$(\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \pi_{\omega_2}(a_1 \otimes a_2)) T_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} T_2(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2) = \varepsilon(a_2, T_1 \xi_1) \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1) T_1 \xi_1 \otimes \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2) T_2 \xi_2 \quad (4.2)$$

In order to compute the trace of $A := (\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \pi_{\omega_2}(a_1 \otimes a_2)) T_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} T_2$, we need to single out a convenient orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}$. To this end, note that T_1 and T_2 preserve the homogeneous subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}$, respectively. Therefore, one can exhibit a orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_i}$ made up of homogeneous eigenvectors of T_i , $i = 1, 2$. If we take I, J, L, K as set of indices, we denote by $\{e_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{e'_j\}_{j \in J}$ the basis of the eigenvectors of T_1 , where the e_i 's are even and the e'_j 's are odd. Likewise, we denote by $\{f_l\}_{l \in L} \cup \{f'_k\}_{k \in K}$ the basis of the eigenvectors of T_2 , where the f_l 's are even and the f'_k 's are odd. As is easily verified, the set

$$\{e_i \otimes f_l\}_{i \in I, l \in L} \cup \{e_i \otimes f'_k\}_{i \in I, k \in K} \cup \{e'_j \otimes f_l\}_{j \in J, l \in L} \cup \{e'_j \otimes f'_k\}_{j \in J, k \in K}$$

is an orthonormal basis of the Fermi Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}$. Consequently, by (4.2) and taking into account the orthogonality relations one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(A) &= \sum_{i \in I, l \in L} \langle A e_i \otimes f_l, e_i \otimes f_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in I, k \in K} \langle A e_i \otimes f'_k, e_i \otimes f'_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in J, l \in L} \langle A e'_j \otimes f_l, e'_j \otimes f_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in J, k \in K} \langle A e'_j \otimes f'_k, e'_j \otimes f'_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in I, l \in L} \langle \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1) T_1 e_i, e_i \rangle \langle \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2) T_2 f_l, f_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in I, k \in K} \langle \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1) T_1 e_i, e_i \rangle \langle \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2) T_2 f'_k, f'_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in J, l \in L} \langle \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1) T_1 e'_j, e'_j \rangle \langle \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2) T_2 f_l, f_l \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in J, k \in K} \langle \pi_{\omega_1}(a_1) T_1 e'_j, e'_j \rangle \langle \pi_{\omega_2}(a_2) T_2 f'_k, f'_k \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_1}(a_1) T_1) \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_2}(a_2) T_2) \\ &= \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.5 *Let us take $i = 1, 2$, $(\mathfrak{A}_i, \theta_i)$ a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra, and $\omega_i \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i)$. If π_{ω_i} is a faithful representation, then $\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \pi_{\omega_2}$ is a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}}_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2$.*

Proof First observe that $\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}$ can be extended to a representation of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\boxplus}_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2$. Indeed, by definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ one has $\|\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}(x)\| \leq \|x\|_{\min}$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\boxplus} \mathfrak{A}_2$.

Therefore, by density we need only prove that $\|x\|_{\min} \leq \|\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}(x)\|$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\boxplus} \mathfrak{A}_2$.

Let φ_i be even state on $\mathfrak{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$. By cyclicity we have

$$\|\pi_{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2}(x)\| = \sup_{y: \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y) \neq 0} \frac{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*x^*xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Since π_{ω_i} is faithful, φ_i is a weak* limit of a net of states that are normal in the representation π_{ω_i} , for $i = 1, 2$, cf. the proof of [13, Theorem 4.9 (iii)]. In other terms, there exist two nets $\{T_k\}_{k \in K} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1})$ and $\{S_k\}_{k \in K} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2})$, of normalized positive trace-class operators such that for every $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$ one has

$$\varphi_1(a_1) = \lim_k \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_1}(a_1)T_k), \quad \varphi_2(a_2) = \lim_k \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_2}(a_2)S_k).$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.4, the product state $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2$ is seen at once to be the weak* limit of the net $\{\eta_k\}_{k \in K}$ with

$$\eta_k(x) := \text{Tr}(\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}(x)T_k \mathbin{\boxplus} S_k), \quad x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\boxplus} \mathfrak{A}_2.$$

Now, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\tilde{y} \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\boxplus} \mathfrak{A}_2$ with $\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(\tilde{y}^*\tilde{y}) \neq 0$ be such that:

$$\sup_{y: \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y) \neq 0} \frac{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*x^*xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(\tilde{y}^*x^*x\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(\tilde{y}^*\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $k_o \in K$ be such that

$$\frac{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(\tilde{y}^*x^*x\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(\tilde{y}^*\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{\eta_{k_o}(\tilde{y}^*x^*x\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{k_o}(\tilde{y}^*\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y: \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y) \neq 0} \frac{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*x^*xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y)^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \frac{\eta_{k_o}(\tilde{y}^*x^*x\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_{k_o}(\tilde{y}^*\tilde{y})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \|\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}(x)\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we find that

$$\sup_{y: \varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y) \neq 0} \frac{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*x^*xy)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varphi_1 \times \varphi_2(y^*y)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}(x)\|$$

for any pair of even states φ_i , $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, we finally see that $\|x\|_{\min} \leq \|\pi_{\omega_1} \mathbin{\boxplus} \pi_{\omega_2}(x)\|$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

In the next proposition, we will see that for both norms introduced, the grading on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ extends to the C^* -completion. Whenever this happens, we call the norm compatible.

Definition 4.6 A C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is said to be *compatible* if the natural grading $\theta_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \theta_2$ extends to a (necessarily involutive) $*$ -automorphism of the completion $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}}_\beta \mathfrak{A}_2$.

Proposition 4.7 *The maximal norm $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ and the spatial norm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ are compatible.*

Proof We have to show that $\theta := \theta_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \theta_2$ can be extended to a $*$ -automorphism of both $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}}_{\max} \mathfrak{A}_2$ and $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}}_{\min} \mathfrak{A}_2$.

As for the maximal norm, for $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(x)\|_{\max} &= \sup\{\|\pi(\theta(x))\| : \pi \text{ is a } * \text{-representation of } \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\pi'(x)\| : \pi' \text{ is a } * \text{-representation of } \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2\} \\ &= \|x\|_{\max}, \end{aligned}$$

since any representation π' of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ can be written as $\pi \circ \theta$.

As for the spatial norm, we start by recalling that for any pair of even states $\omega_i \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the $*$ -automorphism $\theta = \theta_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \theta_2$ is unitarily implemented in the representation $\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}$. More precisely, in light of Proposition 3.4 one has

$$\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(\theta(x)) = V_{\theta_1, \omega_1} \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} V_{\theta_2, \omega_2} (\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(x)) V_{\theta_1, \omega_1} \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} V_{\theta_2, \omega_2}, \quad x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2$$

where $V_{\theta_i, \omega_i} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_i})$ is the self-adjoint unitary that implements θ_i , $i = 1, 2$. But then for $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta(x)\|_{\min} &= \sup\{\|\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(\theta(x))\| : \omega_i \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i), i = 1, 2\} \\ &= \sup\{\|V_{\theta_1, \omega_1} \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} V_{\theta_2, \omega_2} (\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(x)) V_{\theta_1, \omega_1} \mathbin{\widehat{\circ}} V_{\theta_2, \omega_2}\| : \omega_i \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i), i = 1, 2\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(x)\| : \omega_i \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i), i = 1, 2\} \\ &= \|x\|_{\min} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Recall that, for a trivial grading, any abelian C^* -algebra is nuclear, namely there is just one way to complete its algebraic tensor product with another C^* -algebra. This still holds for nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gradings. For the proof, we first consider a couple of technical results.

Lemma 4.8 *For a (locally) compact Hausdorff space X , let $(C(X), \theta)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebra with non-trivial grading. If K is a proper closed subset of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(C(X)))$, then there exists a non-null positive $f \in C(X)$ such that $\omega(f) = 0$ for every $\omega \in K$.*

Proof By Proposition 2.5, there exists a proper closed subset F of X such that $K = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\delta_x + \delta_{\theta(x)}) \mid x \in F \right\}$. Since $\theta^2 = \text{id}_X$, in order for K to be a proper subset, it is necessary that $G := F \cup \theta(F)$ is still a proper subset of X . But then it is enough to consider a non-null positive function $f \in C(X)$ that vanishes on G to have the thesis. $\square$

Lemma 4.9 *Let $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1), (\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$ be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras with one of the two being commutative. Let β be any compatible C^* -norm on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$, and take an extreme even state ω on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$. Then there exist ω_i extreme even states on $\mathfrak{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that ω is the unique extension of $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$.*

Proof Suppose $\mathfrak{A}_1$ is commutative, and denote $\mathfrak{A}_\beta := \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$. If we set $\mathfrak{A}_1 := \{a_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}_2} : a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1\}$, we see at once that $\mathfrak{A}_1$ lies in the centre $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{A}_\beta)$ of $\mathfrak{A}_\beta$. We claim that

$$\omega(yx) = \omega(y)\omega(x), \quad y \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{A}_\beta), \quad x \in \mathfrak{A}_\beta.$$

The thesis follows immediately from the claim if we define $\omega_1(a_1) := \omega(a_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}_2})$, $a_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$, and $\omega_2(a_2) := \omega(\mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{A}_1} \otimes a_2)$, $a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_2$.

Indeed, one has that $\omega|_{\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2} = \omega_1 \times \omega_2$, which means both ω_1 and ω_2 are even thanks to Proposition 2.6. Furthermore, they must also be extreme among all even states: if one of them, say ω_1 , fails to be extreme, then from $\omega_1 = \gamma\varphi + (1-\gamma)\psi$ where $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\varphi \neq \psi$ with φ, ψ even states, one finds $\omega = \gamma\varphi \times \omega_2 + (1-\gamma)\psi \times \omega_2$, contrary to the assumption that ω is extreme among even states.

All is left to do is prove the claim. This can be done by following the proof of Lemma 4.11 in [13] word by word when one considers a positive even $y \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{A}_\beta)$. If one takes a positive odd y , then $\omega(y) = 0$ and $\omega(yx)$ vanishes as well by a standard application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Finally, the case of a possibly non-homogeneous y follows easily by linearity. $\square$

Proposition 4.10 *If $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1), (\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras and one of the two is commutative, then every compatible C^* -cross norm β on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ coincides with $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$.*

Proof Suppose that $\mathfrak{A}_1$ is commutative. We start by showing that $\|x\|_\beta \leq \|x\|_{\min}$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{A}_\beta := \mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\dot{\mathbb{F}}} \mathfrak{A}_2$, for any such x , Corollary 2.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_\beta &= \sup\{\|\pi_\omega(x)\| : \omega \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_\beta))\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|\pi_{\omega_1 \times \omega_2}(x)\| : \omega_i \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i)), i = 1, 2\} \\ &= \|x\|_{\min}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is a straightforward application of Lemma 4.9.

In order to prove the converse inequality, $\|x\|_{\min} \leq \|x\|_{\beta}$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$, we have to show that every product state $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$, with $\omega_i \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, is continuous w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ and thus can be extended to $\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}$. To this end, define

$$E_{\beta} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2)) : \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \text{ extends to } \mathfrak{A}_{\beta}\}.$$

Note that E_{β} is closed in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2))$, where the latter set is understood as being equipped with the product of the relative weak* topologies, cf. [13, Lemma 4.17]. We need to prove that $E_{\beta} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2))$. We shall argue by contradiction. Suppose E_{β} is properly contained in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2))$. Then there exist (proper) open subsets $U_i \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $(U_1 \times U_2) \cap E_{\beta} = \emptyset$. Consider $K_i := \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i)) \setminus U_i$, $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 4.8 K_1 cannot separate the positive elements of $\mathfrak{A}_1$, that is there exists a non-zero $a_1 \geq 0$ in $\mathfrak{A}_1$ such that $\omega_1(a_1) = 0$ for every $\omega_1 \in K_1$. In particular, if now a_2 is any element in $\mathfrak{A}_2$, we have $\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) = 0$ for every $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E_{\beta}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.9, it follows that $\omega(a_1 \otimes a_2) = 0$ for each $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}))$, which contradicts Proposition 2.1. $\square$

We finally prove that $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded tensor product of graded C^* -algebras is minimal.

Lemma 4.11 *Let $(\mathfrak{A}_1, \theta_1), (\mathfrak{A}_2, \theta_2)$ be $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras, and let β be any compatible C^* -norm on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$. If ω is an extreme even state on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes}_{\beta} \mathfrak{A}_2$ such that the restriction of ω to $\mathfrak{A}_2$ is an extreme even state, then there exist ω_i extreme even states on $\mathfrak{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such ω is the unique extension of $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$.*

Proof The proof can be done in much the same way as in Lemma 4.9. $\square$

Theorem 4.12 *The spatial norm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ is minimal among all compatible norms on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$.*

Proof Given a compatible norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$ on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$, we need to show that for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$ one has $\|x\|_{\min} \leq \|x\|_{\beta}$. This amounts to proving that for any $\omega_i \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, the product state $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$ is bounded w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$. As usual, denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{\beta}$ the completion of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$, and define

$$E_{\beta} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2)) : \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \text{ extends to } \mathfrak{A}_{\beta}\}.$$

Again, E_{β} is a closed subset of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2))$ in the product of the relative weak* topologies. By contradiction, suppose E_{β} is properly contained in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2))$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{C}))$ the pure states of a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{C}$. If

$$T_i : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_{i,+})), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

are the affine homeomorphisms given by the restriction map (as in Proposition 2.4), then

$$T_1 \times T_2 : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_1)) \times \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_{1,+})) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_{2,+}))$$

is an affine homeomorphism. If we set $S_\beta := (T_1 \times T_2)(E_\beta)$, we clearly have that S_β is a proper closed subset of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_{1,+})) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_{2,+}))$. We can now proceed as in the proof of [13, Lemma 4.18] to find non-zero positive elements $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_{i,+}$ such that $\omega_1 \times \omega_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) = 0$ for any $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E_\beta$. A contradiction will be arrived at if we show that the set of states $\{\omega_1 \times \omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E_\beta\}$ actually separates elements in $\mathfrak{A}_\beta$ of the form $a_1 \otimes a_2$ with a_1 and a_2 both even and positive. To this end, let $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1 \subset \mathfrak{A}_{1,+}$ be the unital C^* -subalgebra generated by a_1 . Let $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}_1))$ such that $\rho(a_1) \neq 0$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_2))$ such that $\varphi(a_2) \neq 0$. The product state $\rho \times \varphi$ is bounded on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ because its restriction to $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is just the spatial norm $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ by virtue of Proposition 4.10. Let now ω be any extreme even extension of $\rho \times \varphi$ to $\mathfrak{A}_\beta$. By Lemma 4.11 ω must be of the form $\omega_1 \times \omega_2$ with $\omega_i \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_+(\mathfrak{A}_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, and the proof is thus complete. $\square$

Corollary 4.13 *Given $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}_i, \theta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, any compatible norm on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is automatically cross.*

Proof Let $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ be any norm on $\mathfrak{A}_1 \mathbin{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{A}_2$ as in the statement. For any $a_i \in \mathfrak{A}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, one clearly has $\|a_1 \otimes a_2\|_\beta \leq \|a_1\| \|a_2\|$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.12 for homogeneous a_i 's we also have $\|a_1 \otimes a_2\|_\beta \geq \|a_1\| \|a_2\|_{\min} = \|a_1\| \|a_2\|$, where the last equality is due to Proposition 4.3. $\square$

Acknowledgements We owe a debt of gratitude to the anonymous referee for his or her very careful perusal of the manuscript. We also acknowledge Italian INDAM-GNAMPA.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Araki, H., Moriya, H.: Joint extension of states of subsystems for a CAR system. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **237**, 105–122 (2003)

2. Bratteli, O., Robinson, D.W.: Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1997)
3. Crismale, V., Duvenhage, R., Fidaleo, F.: C^* -fermi systems and detailed balance. *Anal. Math. Phys.* **11**(1), Paper No. 11 (2021)
4. Crismale, V., Fidaleo, F.: De Finetti theorem on the CAR algebra. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **315**, 135–152 (2012)
5. Crismale, V., Fidaleo, F.: Exchangeable stochastic processes and symmetric states in quantum probability. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **194**, 969–993 (2015)
6. Crismale, V., Fidaleo, F.: Symmetries and ergodic properties in quantum probability. *Colloq. Math.* **149**, 1–20 (2017)
7. Fidaleo, F.: Fermi-Markov states. *J. Oper. Theory* **66**, 385–414 (2011)
8. Lang, S.: Algebra, 3rd edn, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211. Springer, New York (2002)
9. Ozawa, N., Pisier, G.: A continuum of C^* -norms on $B(H) \otimes B(H)$ and related tensor products. *Glasg. Math. J.* **58**, 433–443 (2016)
10. Pisier, G.: A non-nuclear C^* -algebra with the weak expectation property and the local lifting property. *Invent. Math.* **222**, 513–544 (2020)
11. Pisier, G.: Tensor products of C^* -algebras and operator spaces. The Connes–Kirchberg problem, London Mathematical Society, Student Texts, vol. 96. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2020)
12. Størmer, E.: Symmetric states of infinite tensor products of C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **3**, 48–68 (1969)
13. Takesaki, M.: Theory of Operator Algebras I. Springer, Berlin (1979)