



## Correction to: The use of noncash payment methods for regular payments and the household demand for cash: evidence from Japan

Hiroshi Fujiki<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 12 August 2020  
© Japanese Economic Association 2020

**Correction to: The Japanese Economic Review**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42973-020-00049-5>

Part of Table 10 was inadvertently deleted during correction by the publisher. The correct Table 10 is shown here.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42973-020-00049-5>.

---

✉ Hiroshi Fujiki  
fujiki@tamacc.chuo-u.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Commerce, Chuo University, 742-1 Higashinakano, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0393, Japan

**Table 10** Differences in the demand for cash by payment choice  
**Differences in the demand for cash by payment choice**

Family household data		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Case number		AW only Card & AW	Cash & AW Card & AW	Cash only Card & AW	AW only Card only	Cash & AW Card only	Cash only Card only	AW only Card & Cash	Cash & AW Card & Cash	Cash only Card & Cash
Control										
Treated										
Conditional cash demand										
Difference in the fitted value		-3.114	-2.425	-1.806	-2.694	-2.005	-1.386	1.019	1.707	2.327
P-value		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000
Matching										
Psmatch ATE		-3.242***	-3.000**	-7.179***	0.627	3.206	-3.178	7.964**	2.582**	-3.22
Psmatch ATE		-3.223***	-5.099***	-8.947***	0.909	-1.521	-6.288*	8.441**	2.547*	-2.886
IPW ATE		-2.728***	-2.594***	-6.064***	-0.97	-1.538	-7.205**	3.826*	1.096	-1.812
IPW ATE		-2.851***	-3.527***	-6.896***	-1.105	-1.17	-11.537	3.846	0.915	-1.555
Number of observations		17914	10533	6073	15472	8091	3631	14867	7486	3026
PseudoRsq		0.145	0.252	0.367	0.225	0.324	0.3643	0.084	0.127	0.189
LLR		-8043.476	-5200.596	-2500.528	-3778.734	-2597.41	-1559.504	-3041.45	-2352.277	-1473.73
% correctly classified		79.63%	76.34%	81.51%	91.07%	86.64%	80.23%	94.16%	88.61%	77.23%
Area under ROC		0.759	0.823	0.878	0.830	0.870	0.878	0.713	0.752	0.788
* p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01										
Single-person household data										
Case number										
Control										
Treated										
Conditional cash demand										
Difference in the fitted value		0.882	5.584	1.296	-1.434	3.268	-1.019	2.921	7.622	3.335
P-value		0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Matching										
Psmatch ATE		-1.305	-1.937	0.147	10.197	4.786**	11.777**	3.522*	4.262*	2.157
Psmatch ATE		-2.898*	-3.447	-1.181	17.055	5.815**	15.847**	2.293	4.24	1.134
IPW ATE		-1.102	0.267	0.399	7.659	4.482**	9.018**	2.965	4.623**	5.972***
IPW ATE		-1.381	0.374	0.305	9.99	4.670**	12.270**	2.308	3.990**	6.146**
Number of observations		8518	5617	8264	7163	4262	6909	6723	3822	6469
PseudoRsq		0.117	0.169	0.042	0.141	0.075	0.276	0.111	0.117	0.082
LLR		-5086.983	-3098.913	-5388.172	-3741.378	-2733.763	-3086.127	-3366.576	-2314.595	-3406.063
% correctly classified		68.11%	71.68%	61.33%	73.82%	62.93%	78.77%	75.31%	67.24%	74.37%
Area under ROC		0.726	0.771	0.637	0.749	0.678	0.840	0.728	0.725	0.697
* p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01										