REVIEW

Removal performance, mechanisms, and infuencing factors of biochar for air pollutants: a critical review

Zhipeng Zhao1 · Bing Wang1,2 · Benny K. G. Theng3 · Xinqing Lee4 · Xueyang Zhang5 · Miao Chen¹ · Peng Xu1

Received: 5 January 2022 / Accepted: 13 May 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

The emission of air pollutants from various industries is a major contributor to environmental pollution. The removal of these pollutants before they are discharged into the environment has become an important means of controlling air pollution. Biochar has attracted increasing attention because of its low cost, high porosity, large specifc surface area, abundant surface functional groups, and high removal capacity. The physicochemical properties of biochar are greatly afected by feedstock types, preparation, and modifcation conditions. For this reason, the capacity and propensity of biochar for removing air pollutants are rather variable. To understand the existing research status and grasp the latest research progress, a systematic review on the removal of diferent air pollutants by biochar is highly needed. Based on the recent research, this paper systematically analyzes and summarizes the preparation and modifcation methods of biochar commonly used for the removal of six air pollutants $(SO_2, H_2S, CO_2, Hg^0, VOCs, and NH_3)$, as well as the removal performance and mechanisms. Then, the potential infuencing factors (preparation parameters, physicochemical characteristics of biochar, and removal conditions) are discussed. Finally, the regeneration of biochar, suggestions, and future perspectives are proposed.

Graphical Abstract

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Highlights

- 1. Common preparation and modifcation methods of biochar for removing air pollutants are introduced.
- 2. Removal performance and mechanisms of biochar for air pollutants are discussed.

and its derivatives, nanomaterials, polymers, metal–organic frameworks, and biochar (Liu et al. [2020](#page-19-6); Wang et al. [2020b\)](#page-21-2). Among these adsorbents, activated carbon is the most widely used, but its large-scale application can still be limited by high cost (\$1100–1700/t) (Li et al. [2016a;](#page-19-7) Fdez-Sanromán et al. [2020\)](#page-18-1). It is necessary to develop low-cost adsorbents that can efficiently remove air pollutants from the environment.

Biochar is a porous and carbon-rich material obtained by pyrolysis of biomass under limited oxygen supply conditions (Lehmann [2007](#page-19-8); Gaunt and Lehmann [2008\)](#page-18-2). Due to its desirable properties, such as low cost (\$90–1200/t) (Fdez-Sanromán et al. [2020](#page-18-1)), potential high adsorption capacity, enriched surface functional groups (SFG), large porosity and specifc surface area (SSA) (Sun et al. [2017](#page-20-4)), biochar has been widely used for soil amendment (Yang et al. [2017a](#page-21-3); Wang et al. [2019\)](#page-21-4), nutrient recovery and heavy metal removal (Wang et al. [2015](#page-21-5), [2018a](#page-21-6), [2020a](#page-21-7); Lian et al. [2019](#page-19-9); Lucaci et al. [2019](#page-19-10)), and cultivation of microorganisms (Yang et al. [2015](#page-21-8); Igalavithana et al. [2017\)](#page-18-3).

With the aggravation of air pollution, the application of biochar in the removal of industrial air pollutants has attracted increasing attention. At present, the main research focuses on sulfur-containing gases $(SO₂, COS, CS₂, and$ $H₂S$) (Song et al. [2017b;](#page-20-5) Zhang et al. [2020c\)](#page-22-3), CO₂ (Igalavithana et al. 2020), toxic and harmful gases (Hg^0 , NH_3 , and VOCs) (Shan et al. [2019](#page-20-6); Krounbi et al. [2020](#page-18-5); Xiang et al. [2020](#page-21-9)), and there are few studies on other air pollutants (e.g., NO_x and ozone). However, the removal capacity of biochar for air pollutants of diferent properties is quite diferent, as well as the factors afecting the removal performance. Previous studies on the removal of biochar for some air pollutants have been summarized by several reviews (Zhang et al. [2017b](#page-22-4); Bamdad et al. [2018b;](#page-17-2) Dissanayake et al. [2020b](#page-17-3); Liu et al. [2020](#page-19-6); Gwenzi et al. [2021\)](#page-18-6). However, due to the diferences in the properties of diferent gases, it is necessary to compare and summarize the general regulations for the removal by biochar. Since the actual industrial waste gas contains a variety of complex components, interactions between these components may occur, which can inevitably afect the removal capacity and mechanisms of biochar. Besides that, many factors can also afect its removal

Keywords Biochar · Modifcation · Air pollutants · Removal performance · Removal mechanisms · Infuencing factors

1 Introduction

The large-scale exploitation and combustion of fossil fuels, as well as agricultural and animal husbandry production, have produced a variety of air pollutants that are harmful to the global environment and human health. Carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$, sulfur dioxide $(SO₂)$, hydrogen sulfide $(H₂S)$, elemental mercury (Hg^0) , volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and ammonia ($NH₃$) are common air pollutants emitted in large quantities, mainly from industrial production, which not only pollute the environment, but also threaten human health (Niu et al. [2017;](#page-19-0) Vikrant et al. [2017;](#page-21-0) Zhang et al. [2017a;](#page-22-0) Kung et al. [2019;](#page-19-1) Kumar et al. [2020](#page-19-2)). Thus, a variety of measures have been developed to control and mitigate pollution by the aforementioned gases. In the case of $SO₂$, the removal methods include fue gas desulfurization (Abdulrasheed et al. 2018) and wet washing (Illingworth et al. 2019). H₂S can be treated by wet oxidation, scrubbing (Liu and Wang [2019\)](#page-19-3), biological oxidation (Peluso et al. [2018](#page-20-0)), and adsorp-tion (Sahota et al. [2018\)](#page-20-1). $CO₂$ can be removed by adsorption (Mohd et al. [2013](#page-19-4)). As regards VOCs, the commonly used methods are biodegradation and oxidation technology (Shen and Zhang [2019](#page-20-2)). Besides, some general methods are used to remove diferent air pollutants, such as membrane separation method for removing H_2S and CO_2 (Rezakazemi et al. [2014](#page-20-3); Peluso et al. [2018](#page-20-0)), absorption method for removing CO₂ and VOCs (Li and Kang [2019;](#page-19-5) Shen and Zhang [2019](#page-20-2)), and catalytic method for removing H_2S , CO_2 , Hg^0 , and NH_3 (Zhang et al. [2014a,](#page-22-1) [2020a;](#page-22-2) Vikrant et al. [2017;](#page-21-0) Sahota et al. [2018](#page-20-1); Xiong et al. [2018\)](#page-21-1). Adsorption method is widely used in the removal of air pollutants. The use of chemicals and catalysts, however, can increase costs and lead to secondary pollution and equipment corrosion. Biological control processes are slower to operate than their chemical equivalents, and often require elevated environmental conditions. In this respect, adsorption techniques have attracted a great deal of attention because of their relatively low cost and energy requirement, simple and clean operation (Du et al. [2019\)](#page-17-1), as well as the good removal efficiency under low air pollutant concentration (Vikrant et al. [2017](#page-21-0)).

Air pollutants can be removed by adsorption to activated carbon, activated carbon fbre, carbon nanotubes, graphene 3. The infuencing factors and regeneration methods of biochar for the removal of air pollutants are explored.

performance. However, most of the previous reviews only discussed the infuence of the physicochemical properties of biochar on the removal of one or several air pollutants with similar properties, and lacked the comparison of gases with diferent properties. Besides, removal conditions (e.g., reaction temperature, relative humidity, and coexisting gases) are rarely discussed and compared. Therefore, based on the recent research, this review systematically summarizes the application of biochar in the removal of six common industrial air pollutants $(SO_2, H_2S, CO_2, Hg^0, VOCs, and$ $NH₃$). The purposes of this review are to (1) introduce the common preparation and modifcation methods of biochar commonly used for air pollutants removal; (2) analyze the removal performance and mechanisms of biochar for six air pollutants with diferent properties; (3) discuss the factors afecting the removal of air pollutants by biochar; (4) summarize the regeneration methods of adsorbed saturated biochar; (5) put forward the perspectives for future research. This review tries to outline the entire life cycle of biochar from the perspective of biochar preparation–removal application–regeneration–waste biochar reuse, which is helpful to accelerate the industrial application of biochar in fue gas terminal treatment.

2 Methods of literature search

The current research mainly searched the literature published in the Web of Science and Google Scholar. Search keywords were mainly "biochar", "gas", "gas pollutant", "acid gas", "greenhouse gas", "flue gas", "metals", " CO_2 ", " SO_2 ", " H_2S ", "(element) mercury", " Hg^{0} ", "volatile organic compounds", "VOCs", "ammonia", "NH₃", and "review", using the combination of "and" and "or", and manually screening the searched literature. Besides that, the references of searched literature were also consulted. Figure [1](#page-3-0) shows that from 2014 to 2021, the numbers of literature on biochar and its removal of six air pollutants have shown a gradual increase. It is also found that the numberof literature on $SO₂$ and NH₃ is less than that of other air pollutants, which requires more in-depth research.

3 Preparation and modifcation of biochar for the removal of air pollutants

3.1 Preparation

The feedstocks of biochar usually include agricultural waste, food residue, sludge, animal manure, invasive plants, and seaweed (Ahmed et al. [2016](#page-17-4); Liu et al. [2018b;](#page-19-11) Feng et al. [2021c](#page-18-7)). The common preparation methods of biochar include pyrolysis, gasifcation, hydrothermal carbonization,

or microwave pyrolysis (Sajjadi et al. [2019\)](#page-20-7) (Fig. [2](#page-3-1)). Diferences in feedstocks and preparation methods can directly afect the physicochemical properties, and hence the adsorption capacities of biochar (Cha et al. [2016](#page-17-5)).

Gasification generally refers to the partial oxidation and combustion of biomass materials in the presence of a specifc gas (air, oxygen, or steam) at a temperature of 600–1200 °C (Bamdad et al. [2018b;](#page-17-2) Wang and Wang [2019](#page-21-10)). Since the main product is gas, the yield of biochar is very small (Cha et al. [2016\)](#page-17-5).

Hydrothermal carbonization refers to the process of immersing biomass materials in water under a certain pressure at 175–300 °C. Biochar produced by hydrothermal carbonization has relatively high SFG and acidity, while the presence of water during the process gives rise to materials with high moisture content (Ahmed et al. [2016;](#page-17-4) Rangabhashiyam and Balasubramanian [2019\)](#page-20-8).

Microwaves are electromagnetic waves with frequencies ranging from 300 MHz to 300 GHz (Huang et al. [2016](#page-18-8)). Since microwave pyrolysis can transfer energy to biomass materials quickly and evenly, the process is more efficient, easier to control, and more economical of time and energy than other carbonization methods (Huang et al. [2015;](#page-18-9) Wahi et al. [2017;](#page-21-11) Wang et al. [2017](#page-21-12)). Biochar prepared by microwave pyrolysis is also more porous and has a higher SSA (Wahi et al. [2017\)](#page-21-11) and stability (Wang et al. [2017\)](#page-21-12) than the material obtained by conventional pyrolysis.

Pyrolysis is a process of thermal decomposition of biomass in the absence of oxygen or at low oxygen concentration (Rangabhashiyam and Balasubramanian [2019\)](#page-20-8). There are two categories of pyrolysis: fast and slow. The former is used for bio-oil and syngas production, while the latter process is conducive to biochar synthesis (Igalavithana et al. [2020](#page-18-4)). Slow pyrolysis is characterized by a relatively slow heating rate, long residence time, wide temperature range, and high product yield (Neves et al. [2011](#page-19-12); Lian and Xing [2017](#page-19-13)). In addition, according to the pyrolysis temperature, the pyrolysis can be divided as low-temperature pyrolysis (300–400 °C), medium-temperature pyrolysis (400–500 °C), and high-temperature pyrolysis ($>$ 500 °C) (Ahmad et al. [2021\)](#page-17-6). Generally, biochar prepared under medium or lowtemperature pyrolysis has hydrophobicity and contains more SFG, however, its SSA and porosity are usually low (Das and Sarmah [2015;](#page-17-7) Usevičiūtė and Baltrėnaitė-Gedienė [2020](#page-21-13)). With the increase of pyrolysis temperature, the SSA of biochar increases, the pore structure and hydrophilicity improve, but it may lead to the loss of some SFG (Usevičiūtė and Baltrėnaitė-Gedienė [2020](#page-21-13); Ahmad et al. [2021;](#page-17-6) Song et al. [2022](#page-20-9)). Considering the yield and physicochemical properties of biochar (e.g., SSA, pore structure, and SFG), as well as cost and operating conditions, pyrolysis seems to be the most commonly used preparation method (Rangabhashiyam and Balasubramanian [2019\)](#page-20-8).

Fig. 1 The numbers of literature on biochar and its removal of six air pollutants from 2014 to 2021 (Data come from Web of Science)

3.2 Activation/Modifcation

To optimize the physicochemical properties of biochar to improve its removal capacity for air pollutants, it is necessary to modify biochar in a targeted manner. The commonly used modifcation methods include physical activation and chemical modifcation (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)).

Physical activation can increase the SSA, improve pore structure, and change the SFG and polarity of biochar (Wang et al. [2017](#page-21-12); Rangabhashiyam and Balasubramanian [2019](#page-20-8)). Various processes may be used, such as gas and plasma activation, as well as ball milling (Bae and Su [2013](#page-17-8); Sajjadi et al. [2019\)](#page-20-7). Gas (steam, O_2 , and CO_2) activation introduces oxygen-containing functional groups (Feng et al. [2017](#page-18-10)) and produces a large number of pores, especially $CO₂$ activation mainly produces micropores. But it may decrease the polarity and aromaticity of biochar (Bae and Su [2013;](#page-17-8) Sajjadi et al. [2019](#page-20-7); Singh et al. [2019\)](#page-20-10). Gas activation has cost advantages but also some shortcomings, such as difficulty in regulating the activation temperature and insufficient activation (Wang et al. [2017\)](#page-21-12). The two-step activation was used to explore the efect on the physicochemical properties of biochar. The results showed that the one-step method was conducive to the formation of SFG and chemical adsorption sites, and the two-step method was conducive to improving the pore structure of biochar (especially the formation of micropores) and promoting its aromatization (Feng et al. [2021a\)](#page-18-11). On the other hand, plasma activation increases energy density and distribution, as well as enhances acti-vation efficiency (Karim et al. [2017](#page-18-12); Niu et al. [2017;](#page-19-0) Xu et al. [2018b\)](#page-21-14). In a plasma environment, gas is ionized and recombined on the material surface, generating new chemical bonds (Zhang et al. [2020b\)](#page-22-5), and causing little damage to the surface texture of the adsorbent. Moreover, plasma activation is simpler to perform than chemical impregnation, reducing cost and time, and avoiding secondary pollution (Xu et al. [2018b](#page-21-14)). Diferent types of gases can be used in accordance with the requirement for increasing the numbers of specifc SFG (Sajjadi et al. [2019\)](#page-20-7), for example, the following gases were used in Hg^0 removal: O₂ (Luo et al. [2017](#page-19-14)), $H₂O$ (Zhang et al. [2019b](#page-22-6)), HCl (Luo et al. [2019](#page-19-15)), Cl₂ (Wang et al. $2018b$), and H₂S (Zhang et al. [2019b\)](#page-22-6). Ball milling is also a good activation method capable of reducing particle size and increasing SSA (Wang et al. [2017](#page-21-12)). The ball-milled biochar can then be chemically modifed to open the pore structure and supplement the SFG (Shen and Zhang [2019](#page-20-2); Lyu et al. [2020\)](#page-19-16).

Chemical modifcation, using acid, alkali, metal, or metal oxide, is also widely practiced (Wang and Wang [2019\)](#page-21-10). The

Fig. 2 Main preparation methods of biochar for air pollutants removal

Fig. 3 Main modifcation methods of biochar for air pollutants removal

process promotes the formation of micropores and improves the pollutant removal performance of biochar (Song et al. [2017a\)](#page-20-11). Besides increasing the numbers of acidic or basic groups on the biochar surface, acid or alkali modifcation changes the SSA and pore structure (Rajapaksha et al. [2016](#page-20-12); Wang and Wang [2019\)](#page-21-10). For example, KOH modifcation of wood chips biochar led to a ten-fold increase in SSA (Dissanayake et al. [2020a\)](#page-17-9). Metal or metal oxide modifcation can also increase the numbers of active sites on the surface of biochar, thereby enhancing its catalytic activity (Wang and Wang [2019](#page-21-10)). However, the chemical modifcation does not always have a positive outcome. Al-Wabel et al. [\(2019](#page-17-10)), for example, found that the maximum capacity of *Conocarpus* biochar for removing $CO₂$ decreased from 197 to 9.17 mg/g after modification with K_2CO_3 . This finding may be ascribed to pore blocking and the resultant decrease in SSA. It is therefore advisable to control modifer dosage and duration of treatment.

Physical activation usually represents low cost and simple operation, while chemical modification usually improves pore structure, increases the SSA and SFG content of biochar signifcantly, and is more targeted for the removal capacity, which comes with cost and additional treatment to eliminate excess chemicals (Plaza et al. [2014](#page-20-13); Xu et al. [2016b](#page-21-16)). In brief, the relationship between cost and performance needed to be balanced when choosing the modifcation methods.

4 Removal performance and mechanisms of air pollutants

4.1 SO₂

 $SO₂$ is involved in the formation of sulfuric acid smoke and acid rain. By decreasing the pH of soil and water, acid rain is deleterious to animal and plant growth (Iberahim et al. [2018\)](#page-18-13). SO₂ mainly comes from the oxidation of organic matter, volcanic eruptions, as well as the combustion of sulfurcontaining biomass and fossil fuels (Roy and Sardar [2015](#page-20-14)). The removal of $SO₂$ to biochar has attracted a great deal of attention. Table S1 shows the content and types of SFG of biochar used to remove SO_2 , and Table S2 shows the physicochemical properties of biochar and its removal capacity for $SO₂$.

The capacity of biochar for removing SO_2 can be greatly increased by modifcation. Iberahim et al. ([2019\)](#page-18-14), for example, reported that the optimum capacity of palm oil sludge biochar for SO_2 removal increased from 9.75 to 16.65 mg/g after activation with $CO₂$. They suggested that the mechanism was mainly physical adsorption. Earlier, Xu et al. ([2016b\)](#page-21-16) measured a removal capacity of 8–16 mg/g for unmodifed biochar prepared from dairy manure, sewage sludge, and rice husk. The removal performance of biochars was related to the presence of K, Ca, Fe, and other mineral species, capable of promoting the conversion of $SO₂$ to sulfte and sulfate. Subsequently, biochar prepared from forest wood residues removed up to 77 mg/g of SO_2 after

modification with KOH, $CO₂$, or steam which enhanced the concentration of SFG (C–OH, C=O, O–C–O, and O=C–O), and the removal capacity was related to the ability to oxidize SO₂ to SO₃ (Braghiroli et al. [2019](#page-17-11)).

The capacity of biochar for removing $SO₂$ can also be enhanced by nitrogen doping (Sun et al. [2016](#page-20-15)). Nitrogencontaining functional groups can enhance physical adsorption (van der Waals and electrostatic interactions) (Sun et al. [2016](#page-20-15); Qu et al. [2018](#page-20-16)) as well as chemical oxidation of SO_2 (Shao et al. [2018](#page-20-17); Zhang et al. [2020c\)](#page-22-3). For corncob biochar modified with $CO₂$ and methyl-diethanolamine, Shao et al. ([2018](#page-20-17)) found that pore structure played a crucial role in physical adsorption, while nitrogen-containing functional groups (C–N and N–H) were involved in chemical oxidation. The best removal capacity reached 156 mg/g. Subsequent XPS analysis by Zhang et al. ([2020c](#page-22-3)) indicated that the adsorbed SO_2 was converted through the following sequence: $SO_2 \rightarrow SO_3 \rightarrow SO_4^2$ ⁻.

In short, the removal of $SO₂$ by biochar is effected through a combination of oxidation and physical adsorption (electrostatic and van der Waals interactions) (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), and its dominant mechanism depends on the SSA, SFG, and pore structure of biochar (Fig. [5\)](#page-6-1).

4.2 H₂S, COS, and CS₂

 $H₂S$ is mainly generated during biogas production, fossil fuel combustion, and landfll (Peluso et al. [2018](#page-20-0); Li et al. 2019 ; Liu et al. 2019). H₂S is highly toxic, which may corrode equipment and deactivate industrial catalysts, and has adverse effects on human health (Shang et al. [2016b](#page-20-18); Zhang et al. [2017a](#page-22-0)). The use of biochar as an adsorbent of $H₂S$ has been widely reported. Table S2 gives a summary of the removal data.

 \cos and \cos ₂ are toxic and harmful air pollutants generated during the production and transportation of fossil fuel and calcium carbide (Sun et al. [2014](#page-20-19); Song et al. [2017b](#page-20-5)). Besides polluting the environment, these gases can poison the catalyst used in the industrial production (Sun et al. [2014](#page-20-19)). COS and CS_2 are commonly removed by adsorption (Xie et al. [2011\)](#page-21-17) and catalysis (Zhou et al. [2020](#page-22-7)). Since the latter process gives rise to H_2S , there is a need to develop a biochar-based adsorbent capable of removing the three gases simultaneously (Song et al. [2017b](#page-20-5)).

Raw or physically activated biochars have been used to remove H_2S . For sludge biochar activated by CO_2 , Papurello et al. [\(2020\)](#page-19-19) reported that pyrolysis temperature, residence time, and flter bed height efected removal capacity. They suggested catalytic oxidation and sulfur deposition as the underlying mechanisms. In the case of *Enteromorpha* and *Sargassum* biochar, Han et al. ([2020](#page-18-15)) postulated that functional groups (C=O, C–O, and $-COO$) were involved in removing $H₂S$ through acid–base neutralization and oxidation. Earlier, Shang et al. ([2013\)](#page-20-20) reported that H_2S removal by biochar followed pseudofrst-order kinetic model, involving a combination of physical and chemical removal.

Shang et al. ([2016b\)](#page-20-18) used rice hull biochar, prepared by pyrolysis at 500 °C to remove H_2S , reporting a maximum removal capacity of 382.70 mg/g. Subsequently, Ayiania et al. [\(2019](#page-17-12)) used biochar which was prepared from anaerobically digested dairy fibre to remove H_2S from biogas. Removal capacity was influenced by ash content, SSA, porosity, alkalinity, nitrogen content, SFG, and mineral elements (Ca, Al, Fe, and Mg). H_2S was apparently adsorbed into micropores and catalytically oxidized to sulfur and sulfate by mineral elements and nitrogen-containing functional groups. Similar fndings have been reported on the removal of $H₂S$ from biogas and syngas (Hervy et al. [2018](#page-18-16); Pelaez-Samaniego et al. [2018](#page-19-20); Sahota et al. [2018\)](#page-20-1). A mixture of woodchips and anaerobic digester residue was used to prepare biochar, which was then used as a fller to remove H₂S from biogas in a continuously stirred tank reactor. Relatively high pH (7.98) and humidity (80–85%) improved the removal capacity of H_2S , reaching a maximum removal capacity of 273.2 mg/g. FTIR analysis indicated that carboxyl and hydroxyl radicals were the main functional groups involved (Kanjanarong et al. [2017](#page-18-17)), and this was similar to the early research results (Shang et al. [2012\)](#page-20-21). Besides that, S^0 was formed in the oxygen-poor pores, while SO_4^{2-} was mainly associated with biochar surface sites. S^0 may be further oxidized to SO_4^2 in an oxygen-rich environment (Xu et al. [2014;](#page-21-18) Kanjanarong et al. [2017\)](#page-18-17), but this requires more in-depth research.

The removal of H_2S can be enhanced by increasing the surface alkalinity of biochar through alkaline metal modifcation. When corn stover and maple biochars were modifed with $FeCl₃$, their H₂S removal capacities increased by 22 and 1.23 times, respectively, with the modifed maple biochar showing an optimum removal capacity of 269 mg/g. This observation might be ascribed to the addition of positive charge, the increase in SSA, and the enhancement of cata-lytic oxidation (Choudhury and Lansing [2020](#page-17-13)). The effect of nitrogen-doping on H₂S removal was assessed by measuring the removal of waste gases from urban landflls to biochar. A maximum removal capacity of 332 mg/g was measured for the modifed biochar due to an increase in SSA and SFG coupled with microbial proliferation, as well as the synergistic efect of removal and biodegradation (Qin et al. [2020](#page-20-22)). Sun et al. ([2017\)](#page-20-4) prepared biochar by pyrolysis of potato peel waste in a fuidized bed reactor at 8000 L/min kg and 500 °C for 5 min. In addition to signifcantly reducing pyrolysis time and carbon loss, H_2S was adsorbed into the biochar pores and reacted with SFG.

Besides being a good adsorbent, biochar can also be used as a carrier to catalyze the hydrolysis of COS and CS_2 . Sun

Fig. 4 Main removal mechanisms of diferent air pollutants by biochar

Fig. 5 Main factors afecting the removal of air pollutants by biochar and their proportions (Data come from 98 literature. There are few studies on $NH₃$ removal by biochar, and the data are not enough to be summarized)

et al. ([2018](#page-20-23)) removed COS, CS_2 , and H_2S simultaneously using a tobacco stem biochar that had been modifed with CuO and $Fe₂O₃$, giving a maximum sulfur removal capacity of 231.28 mgS/g. They proposed that COS and CS_2 were catalytically converted to H_2S , which was then oxi-dized to sulfur and sulfate. Song et al. [\(2017b](#page-20-5)) further suggested that the C–OH promoted the removal and hydrolysis of COS and CS_2 , while $C=O$ and $-COOH$ promoted the oxidation of H_2S . Similarly, Song et al. ([2017a](#page-20-11)) investigated the catalytic hydrolysis of CS_2 and COS by walnut shell biochar after modifcation with four alkaline agents, reporting that hydrolysis efficiency decreased in the order: $KOH > NaOH > KHCO₃ > NaHCO₃$. They suggested that KOH and NaOH reacted with biochar carbon, generating micropores while $KHCO₃$ and NaHCO₃ decomposed to $CO₂$, increasing the total pore volume (TPV) of biochar.

The $H₂S$ removal by biochar is controlled by both physical and chemical removal (Fig. [4](#page-6-0) and Fig. S1). The principal underlying mechanism is the conversion of H_2S into sulfur and sulfate through catalytic oxidation of SFG. The removal process is infuenced by the physicochemical characteristics of biochar (SSA, micropore, and SFG) and removal conditions (humidity and oxygen) (Xu et al. [2014](#page-21-18); Kanjanarong et al. [2017](#page-18-17); Ayiania et al. [2019](#page-17-12)).

4.3 CO₂

The rising concentration of $CO₂$ in the atmosphere as a result of fossil fuel burning and land-use change is a key driver of global warming and climate change (Tate and Theng [2014;](#page-20-24) Tiwari et al. [2017](#page-21-19)). Therefore, the development of technologies for $CO₂$ capture and storage has become necessary (Huang et al. [2015](#page-18-9); Chatterjee et al. [2018;](#page-17-14) Hussin and Aroua [2020\)](#page-18-18).

Biochar for $CO₂$ removal has been synthesized using common feedstocks, such as agricultural or wood residues (Zhang et al. [2014b](#page-22-8), [2016;](#page-22-9) Huang et al. [2015](#page-18-9); Shahkarami et al. [2015;](#page-20-25) Creamer et al. [2016;](#page-17-15) Madzaki et al. [2016](#page-19-21)), and daily wastes (Ello et al. [2013](#page-18-19); Lahijani et al. [2018](#page-19-22); Wu et al. [2018](#page-21-20)). Pristine biochar has a low $CO₂$ removal capacity, and hence needs to be modifed. The modifying agents include metal salts (Creamer et al. [2016;](#page-17-15) Lahijani et al. [2018\)](#page-19-22), gases (Shahkarami et al. [2015](#page-20-25); Zhang et al. [2016\)](#page-22-9), acids (Karimi et al. [2020](#page-18-20)), and alkalis (Li et al. [2016b;](#page-19-23) Ismail et al. [2020](#page-18-21)). The research on the $CO₂$ removal data by different biochars has been summarized (Jung et al. [2019](#page-18-22); Kua et al. [2019](#page-19-24); Singh et al. [2019;](#page-20-10) Zhang et al. [2019d;](#page-22-10) Dissanayake et al. [2020b](#page-17-3)).

The capacity of carbon materials for removing $CO₂$ may be enhanced by alkali treatment (Chiang and Juang [2017](#page-17-16); Ahmed et al. [2020](#page-17-17)). For example, Igalavithana et al. ([2020\)](#page-18-4) reported that the capacity of food waste/wood biochar for removing CO_2 increased from 114 to 141 mg/g after modifcation with KOH. The removal isotherm was of the Freundlich type, indicative of physical and chemical processes. In the study of $CO₂$ removal using coconut shell biochar, Du et al. [\(2019\)](#page-17-1) found similar mechanisms.

The incorporation of metals or metal hydr(oxides) into biochar can also enhance its capacity for removing $CO₂$. Xu et al. [\(2020](#page-21-21)) found that incorporation of iron oxyhydroxide into ball-milled hickory chip biochar increased $CO₂$ removal and formation of carbonate and bicarbonate. When walnut shell biochar was impregnated with various metals, its $CO₂$ removal capacity was enhanced in the order: $Mg > A1 > Fe > Ni > Ca > Na$ with the Mg-loaded sample showing a capacity of 80 mg/g. Kinetic analysis indicated

that physical adsorption was the main mechanism, while the MgO formed reacted with adsorbed $CO₂$ to yield carbonate (Lahijani et al. [2018](#page-19-22)). More recently, Zubbri et al. [\(2020\)](#page-22-11) used rambutan peel biochar, modifed with diferent magnesium salts, as adsorbents of $CO₂$. A maximum removal capacity of 76.80 mg/g was measured and physical adsorption was suggested as the dominant mechanism.

When biochar is modifed with amino compounds, its $CO₂$ removal capacity is enhanced through the addition of nitrogen-containing functional groups. At the same time, the surface polarity, microporosity, and alkalinity of the biochar increase (Chiang and Juang [2017;](#page-17-16) Yaumi et al. [2017;](#page-22-12) Hu et al. [2020\)](#page-18-23). Xu et al. [\(2019b\)](#page-21-22) reported that the $CO₂$ removal capacity of bagasse biochar, modified by ammonium hydroxide, was nearly 130% higher than that of the unmodifed material. This fnding was ascribed to the increased content of C≡N and $-NH₂$ groups, and the enhancement of SSA. Bamdad et al. ([2018a\)](#page-17-18) prepared biochar with sawmill residues and modifed it by nitration and aminopropyl triethoxysilane. The modifed biochar had a nitrogen content of 0.24 wt% and a CO_2 removal capacity of 162.8 mg/g. Similarly, Liu and Huang [\(2018\)](#page-19-25) ascribed the high removal capacity of coffee grounds biochar, modified with KOH-treated melamine, to the increase in microporosity, and the formation of more nitrogen-containing (mainly pyrrole nitrogen) active sites.

It is generally accepted that the microporosity, SSA, and pore structure (Figs. [5](#page-6-1) and [6](#page-8-0)) of biochar are the dominant factors affecting $CO₂$ capture and removal through van der Waals force, electrostatic interactions, and pore-flling (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0) (Huang et al. [2015;](#page-18-9) Bamdad et al. [2018b;](#page-17-2) Wu et al. [2018](#page-21-20); Du et al. [2019\)](#page-17-1). Even so, chemical removal through covalent bonding may occur (Ahmed et al. [2020](#page-17-17)), especially for biochar that has been modifed with alkali metals, and acid–base neutralization reactions occur to produce carbonate and bicarbonate (Al-Wabel et al. [2019\)](#page-17-10).

4.4 Hg0

 $Hg⁰$ is released into the environment through volcanic eruption, rock weathering, and other natural phenomena. It is also emitted through coal combustion and incineration of mercury-containing waste (Johari et al. 2016). Because Hg^0 tends to accumulate in the biosphere and is very toxic to humans, its emission needs to be controlled (Shi et al. [2020](#page-20-26)).

Biochar has great potential for removing Hg^0 . Unmodified biochars can remove a small amount of Hg^0 through physical adsorption (Zhang et al. [2019a](#page-22-13)). On the other hand, biochars that have been modifed with metal oxides and halides are good adsorbents of Hg⁰, capable of oxidizing Hg⁰ to Hg²⁺ (Yang et al. [2018b\)](#page-22-14). Table S2 shows the physicochemical properties of biochar and its removal capacity for $Hg⁰$, and Table S3 shows the changes in SFG of biochar before and after the removal of Hg^0 .

Metal oxide-modified biochar can remove Hg^0 through catalytic oxidation and charge transfer (redox) reactions in which metal oxides and ions, lattice oxygen, and chemisorbed oxygen play an active role (Xu et al. [2018b,](#page-21-14) [2019c](#page-21-23); Yi et al. [2018](#page-22-15); Zhao et al. [2019](#page-22-16)). For example, pinecone biochar impregnated with Cu–Mn oxide can promote electron transfer between Mn^{4+} and Mn^{3+} , and between Cu^{+} and Cu^{2+} in which adsorbed Hg^{0} is oxidized to HgO by lattice oxygen, chemically adsorbed oxygen, and oxygen in the fue gas (Yi et al. [2018](#page-22-15)). Similar mechanisms were reported by other scholars (Yang et al. [2017b](#page-21-24); Shan et al. [2019\)](#page-20-6). Zhao et al. ([2019](#page-22-16)) used walnut shell biochar that has been modified with FeCl₃ alone, or in combination with $CuSO₄$ and $KMnO₄$, to remove $Hg⁰$ through physical adsorption and chemical oxidation, involving C=O, –COOH, metal oxides, metal ions, lattice oxygen, chemically adsorbed oxygen, and $\rm Cl^-$ as well as the synergistic effect between $\rm Fe_2O_3$ and $\rm CuO$ or $CuFe₂O₄$. The proposed mechanism needs to be checked as with other synergistic effects involving $MnO₂$ and $CeO₂$ (Yang et al. $2017b$), CuOx and CeO₂ (Xu et al. $2018a$), and $MnO₂$ and Fe₂O₃ (Jia et al. [2018\)](#page-18-25). More recently, Shi et al. ([2020](#page-20-26)) prepared biochar by co-pyrolysis of rice straw and $CaCO₃$, the 'hierarchical' biochar was not only more efective than the conventional one but also showed a better anti-interference ability against SO_2 and H_2O . To solve the problem of adsorbent separation and recovery, Xu et al. [\(2019c\)](#page-21-23) synthesized magnetic biochar by one-step pyrolysis of $Fe(NO₃)₃$, loaded wood, and polyvinyl chloride. Besides having good magnetic properties, the product had a large SSA and contained more C=O groups.

Halide modifcation can generate covalent-bonded halide on the biochar surface which can function as active sites in the oxidation of adsorbed $Hg⁰$. The underlying mechanism is the conversion of adsorbed Hg^0 to HgX , which is then oxidized to HgX_2 , where X denotes Cl, Br, or I (Xu et al. [2018b\)](#page-21-14). Using *Sargassum* biochar, modifed with NH4Br, Yang et al. ([2018d\)](#page-22-17) showed the existence of C–Br, C=O, and Hg^{2+} . In the meantime, Xu et al. [\(2019a](#page-21-26)) investigated the removal of Hg⁰ by *Enteromorpha* biochar modified with $NH₄Cl$ and $NH₄Br$. The $NH₄Br$ -modified biochar was a better adsorbent of Hg^0 than that modified with NH₄Cl. The performance of halide-modifying agents with respect to $Hg⁰$ removal decreases in the sequence: $I > Br > Cl$, presumably because the formation and content of carbon–halogen groups are infuenced by the size and reducibility of the respective halide ion (Li et al. [2016a,](#page-19-7) [2017a;](#page-19-26) Liu et al. [2018b;](#page-19-11) Xu et al. [2018b](#page-21-14); Yang et al. [2018c\)](#page-22-18). Earlier, Shen et al. [\(2015\)](#page-20-27) used HCl, $ZnCl₂$, and NH₄Cl to modify medicinal residue biochar. The NH₄Cl-modified biochar was a better adsorbent of Hg^0 than its $ZnCl_2^-$ and HCl-modified counterparts. They

Fig. 6 a Relationship between SSA (600 °C) of biochar and its capacity for removing various air pollutants; **b** relationship between micropore volume of biochar and its capacity for removing $CO₂$ and

suggested that the smaller particle size of $NH₄Cl$ and the presence of N–H groups were conducive to $Hg⁰$ removal.

The effect of plasma modification and microwave-assisted modification on the capacity of biochar to remove Hg^0 has also been investigated. Using H_2S plasma-modified wheat straw biochar, Zhang et al. $(2019b)$ found that removal efficiency was greatly enhanced at 30 °C when the removal process followed both pseudo-frst-order and pseudo-secondorder kinetics. At 150 °C, neither model could accurately describe the removal process due to the decrease in physical and chemical removal, and the onset of partial oxidation in the gas phase. The results indicated that C–S and carboxyl groups were the main SFG involved in the oxidation of Hg^0 to HgS and HgO. Experiments using $Cl₂$ plasma modification gave similar results. The number of active sites and functional groups on the surface of biochar was afected by discharge time, voltage, gas fow rate, and chlorine concentration. Besides that, the removal process conformed to pseudo-frst-order, pseudo-second-order, and Elovich kinetic models, indicating that the removal process was afected by both physical and chemical removal (Zhang et al. [2019a](#page-22-13)).

To assess the similarities and diferences between gasand plasma-modified biochar to remove Hg^0 , Luo et al. [\(2019\)](#page-19-15) prepared sorghum straw biochar modifed with HCl gas and HCl plasma. Both types of biochar were able to oxidize Hg^0 to Hg^+ , but the removal capacity of the HCl plasma-modifed material was four times that of the HCl gasmodifed sample. Shan et al. ([2019\)](#page-20-6) used microwave activation and Mn–Fe oxides to modify cotton straw biochar which was then treated with ultrasound. Microwave activation promoted pore structure development and increased SSA, while ultrasonic treatment caused the active ingredients of Mn and

Hg⁰ . Data from Zhang et al. [2014b](#page-22-8), [2015b](#page-22-20), [2016](#page-22-9), [2017c](#page-22-21), [2020d;](#page-22-22) Shang et al. [2016a,](#page-20-28) [2016b](#page-20-18); Wang et al. [2018b,](#page-21-15) [2018c](#page-21-27)

Fe to disperse, yielding biochar with an optimal removal capacity of 531 μg/g.

In brief, catalysis and oxidation play an important role in the $Hg⁰$ removal by biochar (Fig. [4](#page-6-0) and Fig. S2). Biochar can be modifed by various means, giving rise to a variety of active sites on its surface, such as SFG, lattice oxygen, chemically adsorbed oxygen, halide ions, and metal ions, and yielding diferent end products (Jia et al. [2018\)](#page-18-25).

4.5 VOCs

VOCs may be divided into eight categories: alkanes, aromatic hydrocarbons, alkenes, halogenated hydrocarbons, esters, aldehydes, ketones, and others. Most anthropogenic VOCs are generated during the use of fossil fuels. VOCs are important precursors in the formation of fne particulate matter, ozone, and other secondary pollutants. These substances, in turn, can cause atmospheric pollution by forming smog and photochemical smog (Ojala et al. [2011](#page-19-27)).

The removal by biochar of acetone, cyclohexane, and toluene, representing polar, non-polar, and weakly polar VOCs, respectively, has been the subject of many investigations. The physicochemical properties of biochar and its removal capacity for VOCs are shown in Table S2. For example, Zhang et al. ([2019c](#page-22-19)) prepared hickory wood and peanut hull biochar to remove acetone and cyclohexane. After H_3PO_4 modifcation, the SSA of the two biochars increased by 155 and 180 times, respectively, and the hickory wood biochar had the largest capacity for removing acetone (147.77 mg/g) and cyclohexane (159.66 mg/g). Modifcation of hickory wood and peanut shell biochar with $CO₂$ was also conducive to acetone and cyclohexane removal because of the

accompanying increase in the SSA of the treated materials. Kinetic analysis indicated that physical adsorption played a dominant role in the VOCs removal (Zhang et al. [2020d\)](#page-22-22). Using a factory-produced biochar to remove acetone, Lamplugh et al. ([2020](#page-19-28)) noted that removal capacity was infuenced by the SSA and average pore size of biochar, as well as the fow conditions of acetone. Kumar et al. ([2020](#page-19-2)) used non-activated neem, sugarcane, and bamboo biochars to remove six diferent VOCs. The removal capacity was dependent on pyrolysis temperature, feedstocks, and contact time. The process followed pseudo-secondorder kinetic model, suggesting that it was more consist-ent with chemical removal. Yang et al. [\(2020](#page-22-23)) used H_3PO_4 and K_2CO_3 to jointly modify waste bovine bone biochar and found that the co-modifcation formed a hierarchical pore structure, and greatly increased the SSA and TPV.

Regarding the removal mechanisms (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)), it is reported that physical adsorption is the main mechanism of VOCs removal on biochar, while some studies suggest that polar VOCs (acetone, ethanol, and chloroform) are physically adsorbed to the biochar surface, while non-polar and weakly polar VOCs (cyclohexane and toluene) are removed by surface adsorption and partitioning (Zhang et al. [2017c](#page-22-21); Xiang et al. [2020\)](#page-21-9). Other studies show that $\pi-\pi$ interaction is an important biochar removal mechanism for VOCs as well, especially the removal of benzene VOCs (Sun et al. [2020](#page-20-29); Zhang et al. [2020d](#page-22-22); Feng et al. [2021b\)](#page-18-26). Due to the variety and diferent properties of VOCs, the removal mechanisms of VOCs onto biochar still needs further research.

4.6 NH₃

 $NH₃$ is a highly reactive and corrosive gas, which can damage human respiratory system. It is easy to cause serious harm when exposed to high concentration $NH₃$ environment for a long time (Vikrant et al. 2017). NH₃ may also be transferred to land or water through sedimentation, aggravating eutrophication (Ramlogan et al. [2020\)](#page-20-30). Thus, reducing the concentration of $NH₃$ in the environment is conducive to human health and environmental quality. Table S2 shows the removal capacity of $NH₃$ on biochar.

The molecular particle size of $NH₃$ is about 0.3 nm, and the adsorbent with a large pore size has a weak retention efect on it (Han et al. [2021\)](#page-18-27). Therefore, reducing the pore size of the adsorbent and increasing the proportion of biochar micropores are conducive to improving its removal capacity. However, studies have pointed out that, the content of acidic functional groups (such as $-SO₃H$, $-COOH$, and –OH) rather than the pore structure of the adsorbent plays a leading role in the removal process (Jasuja et al. [2015](#page-18-28); Mochizuki et al. [2016](#page-19-29); Han et al. [2021\)](#page-18-27). For example, functional corn straw biochar was prepared through two-step activation to remove $CO₂$ and NH₃. Although the removal process mainly occurred in micropores with a pore size less than 7 nm, the contribution of nitrogen and oxygen-containing functional groups to the removal process was higher than that of pore structure (Feng et al. [2021a\)](#page-18-11). In another study, biochar was alternately exposed to $CO₂$ and NH₃ for 1 h, and then used to remove $NH₃$. After three consecutive exposures to $CO₂$ and NH₃ environment, the NH₃ removal capacity of wood chip biochar (40.57 mg/g) was 1.5 times higher than that of only one exposure. The adsorbed $CO₂$ by maple wood chip biochar produced carboxyl and ketone groups, which increased the adsorption affinity of biochar for $NH₃$ (Krounbi et al. 2020). This is because NH₃ is alkaline. Most adsorbents lack surface acidity and can only remove $NH₃$ through hydrogen bond, electrostatic attraction, or Lewis's base interaction, which leads to a weak removal capacity of adsorbents for NH_3 (Nijem et al. [2015](#page-19-30); Ramlogan et al. [2020;](#page-20-30) Han et al. [2021\)](#page-18-27). Thus, increasing the content of acidic functional groups on adsorbents can improve the adsorption affinity for $NH₃$ (Lee et al. [2017](#page-19-31); Moribe et al. [2019;](#page-19-32) Han et al. [2021](#page-18-27)). However, it is also necessary to control the content of large volume groups, which can block the pores of the adsorbent and lead to the decline of $NH₃$ removal capacity (Jasuja et al. [2015\)](#page-18-28).

In short, increasing the numbers of acidic functional groups on biochar can promote the removal of $NH₃$, and the content of nitrogen and oxygen-containing functional groups plays a key role in the removal process (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). Higher micropore rate can promote the pore flling efect of $NH₃$. At present, the research on $NH₃$ mainly focuses on the study of ammonium in aqueous phase. Other materials such as zeolite and MOFs are usually used to remove $NH₃$ or reduce the release of $NH₃$ in soil or compost (Jasuja et al. [2015;](#page-18-28) Vikrant et al. [2017;](#page-21-0) Ahmad et al. [2021](#page-17-6); Tratzi et al. [2022\)](#page-21-28). There are few studies on the removal of $NH₃$ by biochar. Thus, the removal of $NH₃$ by biochar deserves further study.

4.7 Characteristics and mechanisms of air pollutant removal

Although the properties of air pollutants are quite diferent, as well as the removal performance of biochar for them, there are still some commonalities in air pollutant removal (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-0). For example, physical adsorption and pore-flling are the basic removal mechanisms for air pollutants, which are mainly due to the electrostatic attraction and van der Waals force brought by large SSA. In addition, a good pore structure, especially a micropore, is the main active site for the removal of air pollutants on biochar. Therefore, it is necessary to fnd suitable feedstocks and preparation or modifcation methods to improve the SSA and micropore rate of biochar, which is particularly important for the simultaneous removal of a variety of air pollutants. SFG contributes to the chemical removal of air pollutants on biochar, so the corresponding SFG can be loaded according to the acidity and alkalinity of air pollutants. For instance, basic functional groups are conducive to the removal of acid gases such as SO_2 , H₂S, and CO_2 (Sun et al. [2016;](#page-20-15) Hu et al. [2020;](#page-18-23) Qin et al. 2020 , so the biochar can be loaded with $-NH₂$. On the contrary, acid functional groups are conducive to the removal of alkaline gases such as $NH₃$ (Han et al. [2021](#page-18-27)), thus, the $-SO_3H$, $-COOH$, and $-OH$ are good choices. Catalysis mainly occurs in the removal of H_2S and Hg^0 , which is mainly due to the modification of metals, in which H_2S can be converted into sulfuric acid and sulfate, and Hg^0 can be converted into Hg^{2+} (Yang et al. [2017b](#page-21-24); Choudhury and Lansing [2020\)](#page-17-13). Therefore, the use of metal salts or metal oxides as modifers can increase the numbers of catalytic active sites on the surface of biochar. $\pi-\pi$ interaction is an important removal mechanism for VOCs on biochar, especially the removal of benzene VOCs (Sun et al. [2020;](#page-20-29) Zhang et al. [2020d](#page-22-22); Feng et al. [2021b](#page-18-26)). In addition, the partitioning of VOCs by noncarbonized substances in biochar was also reported (Zhang et al. [2017c\)](#page-22-21). However, few studies have investigated the contribution of diferent mechanisms to the removal of air pollutants by biochar, this is worthy of further exploration.

5 Factors infuencing the removal of air pollutants

5.1 Preparation parameters of biochar

The capacity of biochar for removing air pollutants is closely related to its physicochemical properties, such as SSA, pore structure, SFG, and mineral composition (Fig. [5](#page-6-1)). These properties, in turn, are related to feedstocks, preparation, and modifcation conditions.

5.1.1 Feedstock type and pyrolysis conditions

Feedstocks can affect the removal performance and mechanisms of pollutants by afecting the physicochemical properties of biochar. The commonly used feedstocks mainly include plants, animals, and sludge (Song et al. [2022\)](#page-20-9). Previous studies have shown that biochar derived from plant often has larger SSA and better pore structure than other feedstocks, which is mainly due to the volatilization of lignin and cellulose contained in plants (Dissanayake et al. [2020b\)](#page-17-3). The porosity of biochar prepared from sludge is usually the lowest, which is due to its high ash content blocking the pores (Zielińska et al. [2015\)](#page-22-24). In addition, the pore size distribution of biochar prepared from diferent types of feedstocks is also diferent. For example, plant and sludge biochars have more micropores and mesopores, while animal-derived biochar is

mainly mesoporous (Song et al. [2022](#page-20-9)). Diferent lignin and cellulose contents in plants also afect the pore structure of biochar made from them. It is reported that the pore size of biochar prepared from feedstocks rich in lignin is often large, while that of biochar prepared from feedstocks with high cellulose content is often the opposite (Li et al. [2017b](#page-19-33)). Other studies have shown that the SSA of biochar prepared from cellulose-rich feedstocks at high temperatures is much higher than that prepared from lignin-rich ones (Meng et al. [2020](#page-19-34)).

The source and content of SFG on biochar depend on the pyrolysis temperature and feedstock type. With the increase of pyrolysis temperature, the content of SFG on biochar usually decreases (Creamer and Gao [2016;](#page-17-19) Xu et al. [2018c](#page-21-29)). This is due to the decomposition of some SFG caused by high temperatures. In terms of feedstock types, cellulose in plants can be transformed into oxygen-containing functional groups such as carboxyl or ester during pyrolysis (Poo et al. [2018](#page-20-31)). Therefore, at the same pyrolysis temperature, there are more kinds of SFG on biochar from plants (Song et al. [2022\)](#page-20-9), while animal feedstocks usually contain a higher content of nitrogen, which brings more nitrogen-containing functional groups to the prepared biochar (Leng et al. [2020](#page-19-35); Wan et al. [2020\)](#page-21-30). Thus, the corresponding feedstocks should be selected according to the needs of pollutant removal.

As mentioned above, pyrolysis is the most commonly used method for preparing biochar. The pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and residence time selected for the production of biochar have a signifcant impact on the physical, chemical, morphological, and other characteristics of the product, including pH, surface charge, elemental composition, ash content, volatile and fxed carbon content, SSA, thermal stability, pore structure, and SFG (Yuan et al. [2013](#page-22-25); Chen et al. [2014;](#page-17-20) Kanjanarong et al. [2017](#page-18-17); Singh et al. [2019](#page-20-10)). An increase in carbonization temperature increases the alkaline substances, basic functional groups, ash, fxed carbon, pH, biochar stability, SSA, and microporosity of biochar. At the same time, biochar yield, acidic and organic functional groups, H/C and O/C molar ratios, and volatile substances decrease (Al-Wabel et al. [2013](#page-17-21); Yuan et al. [2013](#page-22-25); Ahmed et al. [2016](#page-17-4); Cha et al. [2016](#page-17-5); Johari et al. [2016;](#page-18-24) Lian and Xing [2017\)](#page-19-13).

The heating rate may also affect the properties and composition of biochar (Tripathi et al. [2016\)](#page-21-31). A low heating rate $(1-10 \degree C/\text{min})$ is favorable to pore formation by increasing the SSA, microporosity, and TPV of biochar (Angin [2013](#page-17-22); Chen et al. [2016](#page-17-23); Creamer and Gao [2016](#page-17-19); Tripathi et al. [2016](#page-21-31)). A high heating rate (10–50 $°C/min$) tends to produce macropores, it can even lead to pore thinning and breakage as well as to inter- and intra-particle accumulation of volatiles (Angin [2013](#page-17-22); Chen et al. [2016;](#page-17-23) Creamer and Gao [2016](#page-17-19)). In addition, a very high heating rate (>100 °C/min) can lead

to the crushing of biomass, reducing biochar yield (Tripathi et al. [2016](#page-21-31)). All in all, a low heating rate is preferable.

In terms of residence time, an extended one would favor feedstock reaction (Tripathi et al. [2016\)](#page-21-31), and increase the SSA, TPV, and total organic carbon content of biochar (Yavari et al. [2017;](#page-22-26) Zhao et al. [2018\)](#page-22-27). However, the yield of biochar prepared at low temperatures may decrease with the extension of residence time. As the residence time increased from 1 to 5 min, the yield of *Saccharina japonica* biochar prepared at 380 °C decreased from 87 to 59% (Kim et al. [2012\)](#page-18-29). Generally, the infuence of residence time on the pyrolysis process is inseparable from that of biomass quality, pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and other parameters (Rangabhashiyam and Balasubramanian [2019\)](#page-20-8).

5.1.2 Activation conditions

The removal capacity of biochar is afected by activator type and quantity, as well as by activation temperature and time. It is therefore important to use appropriate activation temperature and time in preparing biochar to optimize its removal capacity (Zhang et al. [2019d](#page-22-10); Ding and Liu [2020](#page-17-24)). Low temperature and short activation time may lead to incomplete activation, while too high a temperature or long activation time may change the structure of biochar, cause SFG decomposition, or decrease biochar yield (Song et al. [2017a;](#page-20-11) Zhang et al. [2019d\)](#page-22-10). Using palm oil sludge biochar activated with $CO₂$, Iberahim et al. ([2019](#page-18-14)) observed that its capacity for removing SO_2 increased continuously with a rise in activation temperature from 300 to 600 °C, and then declined as the activation temperature was further increased. Similarly, the removal capacity of $SO₂$ increased when the activation time increased from 30 to 60 min but then declined after 90 min. Thus, the various activation parameters need to be adjusted to conditions.

5.1.3 Other infuencing factors

Although N_2 is the carrier gas of choice for pyrolysis, CO_2 has been used for this purpose. Indeed, biochar pyrolyzed under $CO₂$ has a larger SSA, TPV, and microporosity than its counterpart prepared using N_2 (Fan et al. [2018;](#page-18-30) Liu et al. [2018a](#page-19-36); Kim et al. [2019](#page-18-31)). Kim et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-31) found that the SSA and TPV of oak biochar, pyrolyzed under $CO₂$ were twice as large as the values measured for the sample under N_2 . However, CO_2 promoted the VOCs release from biomass during pyrolysis. Thus, the use of $CO₂$ as carrier gas needs further research.

5.2 Characteristics of biochar

SSA, pore structure, SFG, and mineral composition are important factors infuencing the capacity of biochar for removing air pollutants. The frst two parameters play a part in the physical and chemical removal of air pollutants, while SFG and mineral constituents promote chemical conversions.

5.2.1 SSA

Figure [6a](#page-8-0) shows the relationship between the SSA of biochar and the removal capacity for several air pollutants. It can be found that SSA has a great impact on the removal of air pollutants by biochar. The larger the SSA, the greater the numbers of active sites capable of removing air pollutants (Zhang et al. [2017b\)](#page-22-4). It was reported that, the capacity of rice hull biochar for removing H_2S rose from 2.09 to 382.70 mg/g with an increase in SSA from 4.35 to 115.49 m^2/g (Shang et al. [2016a](#page-20-28)). Similar results were reported for CO₂ removal (Zhang et al. [2015b;](#page-22-20) Gargiulo et al. [2018\)](#page-18-32).

We should mention, however, that the positive correlation of SSA with removal capacity does not always hold. Shang et al. $(2016a)$ $(2016a)$ $(2016a)$, for example, measured an H₂S removal capacity of 54.60 mg/g for camphor biochar with an SSA of 22.6 m²/g, while the SSA was 17.1 m²/g, the corresponding value was 109.3 mg/g. A similar relationship between removal capacity and biochar SSA was found in the removal of Hg^0 (Xu et al. [2018c](#page-21-29)). These findings indicate that the removal of air pollutants by biochar is afected by factors other than SSA.

5.2.2 TPV and pore structure

TPV and pore structure also infuence the capacity of biochar for taking up air pollutants. The larger the TPV, the greater the numbers of active adsorbing sites (Johari et al. [2016](#page-18-24); Dissanayake et al. [2020b](#page-17-3)). Although some macromolecular VOCs may be adsorbed in the mesopores of biochar, mesopores and macropores mainly function as diffusion channels while micropores provide the active sites for physical adsorption and chemical conversions (Zhang et al. [2017b;](#page-22-4) Wang et al. [2018c;](#page-21-27) Saha and Kienbaum [2019](#page-20-32); Feng et al. [2021a](#page-18-11)). Figure [6](#page-8-0)b shows a good correlation of the removal capacity for CO_2 (R^2 = 0.8920) and Hg⁰ (R^2 = 0.5369) with micropore volume for various biochars. Braghiroli et al. [\(2019](#page-17-11)) suggested that the chemical oxidation of SO_2 occurs in pores of less than 0.7 nm in diameter. On the other hand, $CO₂$ is largely adsorbed in pores of less than 0.5 nm in diameter at low pressure, while at a pressure of 1 bar, adsorption is largely confned to pores that are smaller than 0.8 nm (Chiang and Juang [2017\)](#page-17-16). Other research found that micropores $(d < 0.7$ nm) mainly provided active sites for the removal of $CO₂$ and $NH₃$ by biochar (Feng et al. [2021a](#page-18-11)). Different air pollutants have diferent molecular particle sizes, so it is necessary to match the adsorbent with corresponding pore size. Given the importance of pore structure for biochar to remove air pollutants, feedstocks rich in lignin could be selected before preparation because biochar prepared from that is generally considered to have a better pore structure (Li et al. [2014](#page-19-37)).

5.2.3 SFG

Thefunction of SFG is to promote the removalof air pollutants (mainly chemical removal),and make the adsorbed gas molecules stabled in biochar, so it has attracted lotsof attention (Fig. [5\)](#page-6-1). The SFGs of biochar comprise oxygen-, nitrogen-,sulfur-, and halogen-containing functional groups (Zhang et al. [2017b;](#page-22-4) Igalavithana et al. [2020](#page-18-4)).The oxygencontaining functional groups include carboxyl, lactone, phenol, hydroxyl,carbonyl, ketone, pyrone, and chromene (Saha andKienbaum [2019](#page-20-32); Sajjadi et al. [2019](#page-20-7); Dissanayake et al. [2020b](#page-17-3)). Ketone,pyrone, and chromene functional groups contribute to the surface basicity ofbiochar, promoting the removalof acidic air pollutants, while acidic functional groups such as carboxyl,hydroxyl, and carbonyl promote the removal $CO₂$ and phenol by enhancing hydrogen bonding between $CO₂$ and adsorbent, and strengthening the electrostatic interaction between phenoland adsorbent (Chiang and Juang [2017;](#page-17-16) Saha andKienbaum [2019](#page-20-32); Dissanayake et al. [2020b;](#page-17-3) Feng et al. [2021b\)](#page-18-26). Acidicfunctional groups can also promote the hydrophilic VOCs removal, the absence of them would facilitate the removal of hydrophobic VOCs (Li et al. [2011;](#page-19-38) Zhang et al. [2017b](#page-22-4)). On theother hand, oxygen-containing groups promote the oxidation of adsorbed SO_2,H_2S , and Hg^0 (Xu et al. 2016a; Fang et al. [2017;](#page-18-33) Song et al. [2017a](#page-20-11); Yi et al. [2018\)](#page-22-15).Nitrogen-containing groups are usually introduced by treating biochar with suchagents as nitric acid, ammonia, urea, and amine (Chiangand Juang [2017](#page-17-16); Dissanayake et al. [2020b](#page-17-3); Feng et al. [2021a](#page-18-11), [b](#page-18-26)), common nitrogen-containing functional groupsare pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, pyridinic-N-oxide, amine-N, etc. (Leng et al. 2020). Besides enhancing the physical adsorption of SO_2 , H_2S , phenol, and CO_2 , through van derWaals, electrostatic, and dipole interactions (Sun et al. [2016;](#page-20-15) Sethupathi et al. [2017;](#page-20-33) Bamdad etal. [2018a](#page-17-18); Qu et al. [2018;](#page-20-16) Zhang et al. [2019d;](#page-22-10) Feng et al. [2021b](#page-18-26)), nitrogen-containingfunctional groups enhance chemical adsorption throughhydrogen bonding, acid–base neutralization, and covalent bonding (Chiang and Juang [2017;](#page-17-16) Shao et al. [2018](#page-20-17); Ayiania etal. [2019;](#page-17-12) Zhang et al. [2019d;](#page-22-10) Dissanayake et al. [2020b](#page-17-3)). It was reported that,because of the acid-base efect, nitrogen-containing functional groups can promote the removal of $CO₂$ more than oxygen-containing ones.Similarly, the existence of electrostatic repulsion and weak hydrogen bondinteraction led to the greater efect of nitrogen-containing functional groupson $NH₃$ removal (Feng et al. [2021a](#page-18-11)).

Modifcation of biochar with halogen compounds leads to the formation of surface carbon–halogen bonds promoting

the oxidation of adsorbed Hg^0 to Hg^{2+} (Xu et al. [2018c](#page-21-29); Luo et al. [2019](#page-19-15)). Similarly, the basic C–S group on the surface of biochar can enhance acid–base interaction between biochar and $CO₂$ (Igalavithana et al. 2020), as well as the chemical conversion of Hg^0 (Zhang et al. [2019b](#page-22-6)).

5.2.4 Mineral constituents

Metal elements in feedstocks can be added to, or incorporated into biochar during the modifcation process (Wang and Wang [2019](#page-21-10)). Common metal elements are Na, Mg, K, Ca, P, Fe, and Al, while diferences in type and content are related to the feedstocks (Skerman et al. [2017;](#page-20-34) Xu et al. [2017](#page-21-33)). By increasing the alkalinity of biochar, alkali metals can facilitate the removal of acidic air pollutants (Xu et al. [2017;](#page-21-33) Lahijani et al. [2018](#page-19-22)), and stabilize the adsorbed air pollutants by forming various metal salts. For example, mineral components can react with adsorbed $SO₂$ and H_2S to generate various sulfites and sulfates (Xu et al. [2014,](#page-21-18) [2016b](#page-21-16); Hervy et al. [2018;](#page-18-16) Papurello et al. [2020](#page-19-19)), or react with adsorbed $CO₂$ to form various carbonates and bicarbonates (Xu et al. [2016c;](#page-21-34) Al-Wabel et al. [2019\)](#page-17-10). Xu et al. ([2016b\)](#page-21-16) found that the chemical conversion of SO_2 , promoted by the inherent mineral components of biochar from cow manure, sludge, and rice husk, accounted for 44–86% of the total amount of adsorbed SO_2 . The addition and incorporation of mineral elements and salts would stabilize the structure of biochar, an important feature in using biochar for soil remediation. By the same token, care should be taken in using biochar derived from feedstocks that contain heavy metals.

5.3 Removal conditions

5.3.1 Reaction temperature

The reaction temperature is an important factor affecting the removal of air pollutants by biochar. Low reaction temperatures tend to favor physical adsorption (Xu et al. [2016c\)](#page-21-34), while high temperatures are conducive to chemical adsorption (Zhao et al. [2019](#page-22-16)). Excessively high temperatures, however, may destroy the pore structure and active sites of biochar (Zhao et al. [2019](#page-22-16)). They may also intensify the thermal motion of gas molecules, reducing their adhesion to the biochar surface. As a result, chemical adsorption is inhibited and adsorption capacity decreases (Yang et al. [2016;](#page-21-35) Xu et al. [2018c](#page-21-29); Ding and Liu [2020\)](#page-17-24). For example, Chatterjee et al. [\(2018\)](#page-17-14) reported that the removal capacity of pine biochar for CO₂ increased from 58.08 mg/g at 25 $^{\circ}$ C to 89.76 mg/g at 70 °C, and then decreased to 48.4 mg/g at 90 °C. The increase of removal capacity in the initial stage was related to the high activation energy required for chemical reactions, and the subsequent decrease was due to the decrease in physical adsorption. The reaction temperature for optimal removal varies with the type of air pollutants. The parameter is relatively high for H_2S (Han et al. 2020), SO₂ (Iberahim et al. [2019;](#page-18-14) Zhang et al. $2020c$), and Hg^0 (Li et al. [2017a](#page-19-26); Yi et al. [2018\)](#page-22-15), but low for VOCs (Zhang et al. $2020d$), $CO₂$ (Chatterjee et al. 2018 ; Wu et al. [2018](#page-21-20)), and $NH₃$ (Ramlogan et al. [2020](#page-20-30)).

The optimal reaction temperature for the removal of air pollutants by biochar is not only afected by the removal mechanisms, but also by the properties of gas molecules (e.g., molecular diameter). This is mainly refected in the research on VOCs. It was reported that the removal capacity of biochar for acetone decreased as the temperature increased (Zhang et al. [2017c](#page-22-21), [2020d\)](#page-22-22), while for cyclohexane, it increased frstly and then decreased. It was attributed to the diference in molecular diameter between the two gases. Increasing the temperature was conducive to the diffusion of the larger-diameter gas molecules in the pores of the biochar, thereby promoting the increase in the removal capacity of cyclohexane (Zhang et al. [2020d](#page-22-22)).

5.3.2 Relative humidity

Relative humidity also infuences the removal of air pollutants by biochar. Here again, its efect varies with air pollutant type. For SO_2 , H_2S , NH_3 , and CO_2 , low relative humidity is conducive to the removal. In that instance, $SO₂$ and H_2S can react with O_2 and H_2O to form sulfite and sulfuric acid (Xu et al. 2014 , $2016b$), while $CO₂$ can transform into bicarbonate and carbonate (Xu et al. [2016c\)](#page-21-34). The presence of water molecules can dissociate the acidic groups on the surface of the adsorbent and protonate $NH₃$, thus promoting the removal of $NH₃$ (Khabzina and Farrusseng [2018\)](#page-18-34). At high relative humidity, H_2O and air pollutants compete for adsorption sites (Iberahim et al. [2019](#page-18-14)). A water flm may also form on the surface of biochar impeding pollutant difusion, and inhibiting the removal (Sun et al. [2018\)](#page-20-23). For example, Iberahim et al. (2019) measured SO₂ removal capacity of 16, 37, 29, and 23 mg/g by palm oil sludge biochar at a relative humidity of 0, 15, 30, and 60%, respectively. Similar findings have been reported for $SO₂$ (Xu et al. [2016b;](#page-21-16) Zhang et al. $2020c$, H_2S (Sun et al. 2018 ; Han et al. 2020), NH₃ (Seredych et al. [2016](#page-20-35)), and CO_2 (Xu et al. [2016c](#page-21-34)).

However, relative humidity has a negative impact on $Hg⁰$ removal by biochar, which may be because Hg^0 cannot react with $H₂O$ under normal conditions. At low relative humidity, $Hg⁰$ and water compete for adsorption sites, while at high relative humidity the removal of Hg^0 is blocked by a water flm (Xie et al. [2015;](#page-21-36) Xu et al. [2018a;](#page-21-25) Zhou et al. [2019](#page-22-28)). It was reported that, when the water content increased from 0 to 8%, the capacity for removing Hg^0 by rice straw biochar decreased from 93 to 60% (Xu et al. [2018a](#page-21-25)). However,

other studies reported that, because of the generation of OH radicals, low concentrations of H₂O (1%) could oxidize Hg⁰ to HgO (Niu et al. [2017](#page-19-0); Yang et al. [2017b\)](#page-21-24). Therefore, the removal mechanisms of $Hg⁰$ under low relative humidity are worthy of further study.

5.3.3 Coexisting gases

The influence of O_2 , SO_2 , and NO on the removal of air pollutants by biochar is expressed through oxidation and competition. An example of the latter case is the inhibition of SO_2 removal by O_2 . Zhang et al. ([2020c\)](#page-22-3) observed that the removal capacity of corncob biochar for $SO₂$ decreased from 185 to 145 mg/g when the $O₂$ content increased from 0 to 14%. This fnding might be due to the oxidation of SFG by O_2 , resulting in a decrease in SFG content. On the other hand, O_2 promotes the removal of Hg^0 by biochar (Yang et al. $2018d$). Xu et al. ([2018a\)](#page-21-25) found that when the O_2 concentration increased from 0 to 15% , the efficiency of biochar in removing Hg^0 increased from 46 to 95%. Several studies have shown that O_2 can promote the oxidation of Hg^0 to HgO by supplementing the surface and lattice oxygen of biochar (Zhao et al. [2016](#page-22-29); Zhou et al. [2017](#page-22-30); Yang et al. [2018a;](#page-21-37) Yi et al. [2018\)](#page-22-15).

In the case of H_2S , the effect of O_2 content can promote the removal at low concentrations but inhibit the removal at high concentrations. For the simultaneous removal of H_2S , COS, and CS₂ by tobacco stem biochar, when the $O₂$ content was 0%, 0.5%, and 5%, respectively, Sun et al. ([2018\)](#page-20-23) measured a sulfur removal capacity of 231, 239, and 133 mgS/g, respectively. Similarly, Han et al. [\(2020\)](#page-18-15) suggested that an appropriate $O₂$ content be selected for the oxidation of H_2S , and the hydrolysis of COS and CS_2 . A high O_2 content would accelerate H_2S oxidation, increase the production of sulfate and other substances, deactivate the added catalyst components, and decrease the removal performance (Sun et al. 2018). Likewise, Hervy et al. ([2018\)](#page-20-23) found that O_2 favored the formation of acidic sulfur-rich substances, and hence reduced the capacity of food waste/coagulation–focculation sludge biochar for removing H_2S . These observations are not consistent with the fnding by Iberahim et al. (2019) (2019) that the formation of sulfuric acid from H₂S on the surface of biochar would promote the removal of air pollutants. Ding and Liu [\(2020](#page-17-24)) also found that the removal of $CO₂$ by seaweed biochar was not affected by $O₂$. This might be because the non-polar O_2 is very stable at room temperature, and does not compete with $CO₂$ for adsorption sites (Zhao et al. [2014](#page-22-31)).

Zhang et al. ([2020c\)](#page-22-3) investigated the effect of NO on the removal of $SO₂$ by corncob biochar. A removal capacity of 162 mg/g was measured without NO. The values were 216 and 182 mg/g when the NO concentration was 500 and 1000 ppm, respectively. It would appear that at low

concentrations NO reacted with $SO₂$, while at high concentrations NO competed with $SO₂$ for adsorption sites. Similarly, others found that low concentrations NO promoted $Hg⁰$ removal through the conversion of $Hg⁰$ to HgO or mercury nitrate (Li et al. [2015;](#page-19-39) Yang et al. [2018d\)](#page-22-17). On the other hand, Ding and Liu ([2020](#page-17-24)) found that NO does not affect the removal of $CO₂$ by seaweed biochar, it may be because NO did not compete with $CO₂$ for adsorption sites (Zhao et al. [2014](#page-22-31)).

The removal of CO_2 and Hg^0 by biochar is also affected by $SO₂$. Li et al. [\(2015\)](#page-19-39) reported that a low concentration of $SO_2(100 \text{ ppm})$ significantly improved the removal of Hg^0 by medicinal residue waste biochar, while high concentrations (700 and 1000 ppm) had an inhibitory efect. At low concentrations, SO_2 is oxidized to SO_3 , and further converted to H_2SO_4 , which then reacted with Hg^0 and HgO to form mercury sulfate (Li et al. [2015;](#page-19-39) Shan et al. [2019](#page-20-6)). At high concentrations, SO_2 consumes oxygen (Yang et al. [2018d\)](#page-22-17) and competes with Hg^0 for adsorption sites (Liu et al. [2012](#page-19-40); Zhou et al. 2017). SO₂ also forms sulfate on the surface of biochar, blocking the pores, covering the active sites, and reducing the SSA of biochar (He et al. [2016](#page-18-35); Zhou et al. 2016 ; Zhang et al. $2020b$). SO₂ can also inhibit the removal of $CO₂$ by biochar. When the concentration of $SO₂$ increased from 0 to 1500 ppm, the CO₂ removal capacity of *Sargassum* biochar decreased from 1.05 to 1.01 mmol/g, respectively, which was due to the competition between SO_2 and CO_2 for adsorption sites (Ding and Liu [2020\)](#page-17-24).

Some studies explored the interference between other different gases. On the removal of H_2S and CO_2 by biochar, when H_2S and CO_2 coexisted, the removal capacity of biochar for H_2S and CO_2 was lower than that for H_2S and $CO₂$ alone. It was because the removal mechanisms of $H₂S$ and $CO₂$ on biochar were similar, which could result in competitive adsorption (Sethupathi et al. [2017\)](#page-20-33). Bhandari et al. (2014) (2014) explored the removal effect of NH₃, H₂S, and toluene by switchgrass biochar through a fxed bed experiment. The results showed that under separate removal, the breakthrough time of NH_3 , H_2S , and toluene was 100, 70, and 80 min, respectively. While $NH₃$ and $H₂S$ existed simultaneously, the removal rate of toluene by biochar increased to 86.7%, which indicated that the presence of NH_3 and H_2S could promote the removal of toluene. Qin et al. [\(2020](#page-20-22)) used sludge modifed biochar to remove syngas containing VOCs, H_2S , and NH₃. The removal rate of VOCs, H₂S, and NH₃ was between 95 and 100%. Unfortunately, most researchers did not study the mutual interference in the removal of different gases by biochar. Since these gases may exist simultaneously in industrial production, it is worth further research to clarify the actual removal capacity of biochar under a variety of mixed gases.

In brief, coexisting gases are more refected in the combined effects of oxidation and competition at different concentrations. Oxidation is the main reaction at low concentrations, while competitive adsorption occurs at high concentrations. The non-efect may be found in the removal gases with diferent properties. However, the research on the gases that may coexist in industrial production $(NH₃, HCl,$ NOx, CO, VOCs, Hg^0 , and H_2S) is relatively less, which is worthy of further research.

6 Biochar regeneration

The regeneration or recycling of biochar after usage would save on production costs and open the way for the recovery of adsorbed air pollutants and the reuse of biochar. Heat treatment is the most commonly used method of recovering biochar containing adsorbed air pollutants (Table [1](#page-16-0)). For example, VOCs (toluene, ethanol, cyclohexane, chloroform, and acetone), physically adsorbed to hickory wood biochar, could be completely desorbed by heating at 60–115 °C with a removal efficiency of 80–90% being recorded after fve cycles of adsorption–desorption (Xiang et al. [2020\)](#page-21-9). Similarly, the wood residue biochar with adsorbed SO₂ needed to be heated at 600 \degree C for 1 h for its regeneration. After four thermal regeneration cycles, the removal capacity fell to 78% as compared to the pristine biochar. However, a slight increase in SSA and micropore volume was observed (Braghiroli et al. [2019\)](#page-17-11). Aminemodifed wood chip biochar was also regenerated at ambient temperature to remove CO_2 . The CO_2 removal capacity decreased by 8 and 20% after 5 and 10 times of desorption, respectively, which indicated that the modifed biochar had a better regeneration performance (Bamdad et al. [2018a](#page-17-18)).

At present, there are few studies on regeneration, especially those involving chemical adsorption. It is speculated that this may be related to the large decrease in efficiency after regeneration, and the difficulty of recovering and reusing the matters generated in the chemical adsorption process. Although increasing the temperature within a certain temperature range is conducive to desorption, this approach can destroy the active sites and SFG on the surface of the biochar (Pi et al. [2017](#page-20-36)). Current practice is to combine low-temperature regeneration with hightemperature regeneration. Shan et al. [\(2019\)](#page-20-6) frstly heated magnetic cotton straw biochar at 400 °C for 1 h under N_2 atmosphere, and then regenerated it at 250 °C for 0.5 h under air atmosphere, to desorb $Hg⁰$ and supplement oxygen, respectively. After five cycles, the removal efficiency of $Hg⁰$ dropped to 78%, which showed a good regeneration performance. Iberahim et al. ([2019\)](#page-18-14) used heat treatment (200 and 400 °C) and water treatment (30 and 70 °C) to regenerate palm oil sludge biochar adsorbed with SO_2 , and found that after three cycles, heat treatment at 400 °C has the best regeneration efficiency (46.3%) .

Based on the existing research, it is speculated that the regeneration may be related to the removal mechanisms and the properties of air pollutants. Physically adsorbed air pollutants are usually easier to desorb than chemically adsorbed species, which means that the desorption of physically adsorbed gas requires lower temperature and shorter time, as well as higher removal efficiency after regeneration, while chemically adsorbed ones are just the opposite. The reasons for those diferences may be related to the properties of the gas (e.g., boiling point) and the binding energy between biochar and the gas (Zhang et al. [2020d](#page-22-22); Gwenzi et al. [2021](#page-18-6)). Since the heat treatment of spent biochar is costly in terms of energy consumption, alternative cost-efective methods of regeneration need to be explored (Wang et al. [2020b](#page-21-2)).

At present, the regeneration process of biochar is usually carried out in a closed N_2 environment (Braghiroli et al. [2019](#page-17-11); Shan et al. [2019\)](#page-20-6), which can prevent desorbed air pollutants from returning to the atmosphere. However, there is no similar research on how to deal with the desorbed air pollutants. To realize the closed-loop treatment of air pollutants, relevant research should be further supplemented.

7 Conclusions and future perspectives

Due to its low cost and potential removal capacity, the removal of air pollutants by biochar has received extensive attention in recent years. The present review systematically summarizes the preparation and modifcation methods, as well as the removal performance and mechanisms, infuencing factors, and regeneration of biochar for six common air pollutants. The removal performance and mechanisms of (modifed) biochar for air pollutants can be afected by many factors, such as feedstocks, preparation, and modifcation methods, properties of air pollutants, and removal conditions. Various mechanisms are involved during the removal process, including physical adsorption, pore flling, catalysis, and oxidation together with $\pi-\pi$ interaction and partitioning of VOCs.

Biochar has a wide application prospect in the removal of air pollutants from industrial activities. Nevertheless, there are still some issues that need to be further studied. The following points merit further assessment and examination.

- 1. Improving the removal capacity of biochar for specifc air pollutants. The preparation and operation parameters of (modifed) biochar should be further optimized based on specific requirements to achieve the best removal efficiency of air pollutants. There is also scope for exploring the dominant removal mechanisms and infuencing factors, and combining adsorption with other approaches and methods, such as nanotechnology and zero-valent iron reduction technology.
- 2. Reducing the cost of preparing and modifying biochar. Feedstocks and modifers should be selected based on their availability, price, and requirement for fast processing. The temperature and time in the process of preparation, modifcation, and regeneration should be optimized to reduce energy consumption, and methods of collecting the generated bio-oil and syngas need to be developed.
- 3. Regeneration and disposal of biochar. The actual application environment of biochar (single component or multi-component) determines its fnal disposal method. It is relatively easy to separate (desorb) sole pollutant and allow the spent biochar to be reused. On the other hand, it is problematic to regenerate biochar containing two or more air pollutants (or other pollutants, such as heavy metals, antibiotics, and other difficult-to-degrade pollutants), which limits the recovery and reuse of biochar. Eforts should be made to develop adsorbents with selective removal capacity for air pollutants, because this is benefcial to the recovery of useful components and reuse of biochar. For feedstocks containing heavy metals or toxic and hazardous substances, technologies for removing or passivating at the preparation stage should be further studied. For air pollutants that are difficult to recycle, more technologies should be studied to combine with biochar to eliminate pollutants, such as microbial degradation and photocatalytic oxidation technology. There is also a case for developing new methods of regenerating biochar to save on cost, energy, and ensure regeneration performance and stability of biochar. Regarding the disposal of waste biochar, there is a current study on using waste biochar that adsorbs H_2S to supplement the sulfur fertilizer of the soil (Kanjanarong et al. [2017;](#page-18-17) Zhang et al. [2017a\)](#page-22-0). Because of the risk of gas release during application, the fnal disposal of waste biochar used to remove air pollutants needs further research.

Table 1 General parameters of using thermal regeneration method to treat saturated biochar

Feedstocks	Modification condi- tions	Adsorbent	Regeneration temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	Regen- eration time (min)	Adsorption temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	Regeneration cycles/Effi- ciency	References
Rice husk	HF	CO ₂	200	60	120	3/90%	Zhang et al. (2015a)
Rice husk	$HC1 + HF$	CO ₂	200	60	30	$3/$ > 90%	Zhang et al. (2015b)
Cottonwood	FeCl ₃	CO ₂	120	180	25	1/90%	Creamer et al. (2016)
	Sawdust softwood Aminopropyl trieth- oxysilane	CO ₂	25	$\overline{}$	20	10/80%	Bamdad et al. (2018a)
Pine wood	Tetraethylene- pentamine	CO ₂	180	60	70	15/56%	Chatterjee et al. (2018)
Walnut shell	Magnesium nitrate	CO ₂	120	15	110	10/>95%	Lahijani et al. (2018)
Coffee grounds	$KOH + Melamine$	CO ₂	75	30	35	10/94%	Liu and Huang (2018)
Sargassum	KOH	CO ₂	200	$\overline{}$	25	10/87%	Ding and Liu (2020)
Wood chips	$KOH + CO2$	CO ₂	150	90	30	10/99%	Dissanayake et al. (2020a)
Food and wood waste	KOH	CO ₂	25	90	25	10/99%	Igalavithana et al. (2020)
Hickory chips	Ball-mill- $ing + FeCl3·6H2O$	CO ₂	125	40	25	1/>95%	Xu et al. (2020)
Rambutan peel	Magnesium nitrate	CO ₂	110	14	30	25/>95%	Zubbri et al. (2020)
Sugarcane bagasse	$\qquad \qquad -$	Acetone	150		20	$5/~90\%$	Zhang et al. (2017c)
Hickory wood	H_3PO_4	Acetone/ cyclohexane	150	$\qquad \qquad -$	20	5/93.8%/92.2%	Zhang et al. (2019c)
Hickory wood	Ball-milling	Ethanol/toluene	150		20	5/91.4%/90.5%	Xiang et al. (2020)
Hickory wood chips/peanut shell	CO ₂	Acetone/ cyclohexane	150		40	5/90%/83.3%	Zhang et al. (2020d)
Corn stalk	Ball-milling/ H_2O_2 / NH ₄ OH	Benzene/M- $xylene/O-$ $xylene/P$ -xylene	200	5	25	5/87.8-96%	Zhang et al. (2021)
Wheat straw	Cerium nitrate + manga- nese nitrate	Hg^0	250	30	150	10/83.9%	Yang et al. (2017b)
Rice straw	Cerium n itrate + copper nitrate	Hg^0	260	30	150	10/79%	Xu et al. (2018a)
Cotton straw	Ferric nitrate + man- ganese nitrate	Hg^0	400	60	120	5/78.1%	Shan et al. (2019)
White birch	Steam	SO ₂	600	60	20	6/88.4%	Braghiroli et al. (2019)
Palm oil sludge	CO ₂	SO ₂	400	40	100	3/46.3%	Iberahim et al. (2019)
Coagulation/floc- culation sludge	Steam	H_2S	750	90	25	1/100%	Hervy et al. (2018)
Sargassum		H_2S	200	60	25	2/60%	Han et al. (2020)
Wood materials	$\qquad \qquad -$	NH ₃	25	1440	25	1/60.6%	Ramlogan et al. (2020)

"-" means "no record"

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-022-00156-z>.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41977297), the Special Research Fund of Natural Science (Special Post) of Guizhou University [(2020)01], and the Key Cultivation Program of Guizhou University [2019(08)].

Author contributions ZZ: methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing—original draft preparation. BW: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing—review and editing. BKGT: writing review and editing. XL, XZ, MC, PX: review and editing. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Availability of data and materials Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no conficts of interest nor competing interests to declare.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Abdulrasheed AA, Jalil AA, Triwahyono S, Zaini MAA, Gambo Y, Ibrahim M (2018) Surface modifcation of activated carbon for adsorption of SO_2 and NO_X : a review of existing and emerging technologies. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 94:1067–1085
- Ahmad Z, Mosa A, Zhan L, Gao B (2021) Biochar modulates mineral nitrogen dynamics in soil and terrestrial ecosystems: a critical review. Chemosphere 278:130378
- Ahmed MB, Zhou JL, Ngo HH, Guo WS (2016) Insight into biochar properties and its cost analysis. Biomass Bioenergy 84:76–86
- Ahmed R, Liu GJ, Yousaf B, Abbas Q, Ullah H, Ali MU (2020) Recent advances in carbon-based renewable adsorbent for selective carbon dioxide capture and separation—a review. J Clean Prod 242:118409
- Al-Wabel MI, Al-Omran A, El-Naggar AH, Nadeem M, Usman ARA (2013) Pyrolysis temperature induced changes in characteristics and chemical composition of biochar produced from conocarpus wastes. Bioresour Technol 131:374–379
- Al-Wabel M, Elfaki J, Usman A, Hussain Q, Ok YS (2019) Performance of dry water- and porous carbon-based sorbents for carbon dioxide capture. Environ Res 174:69–79
- Angin D (2013) Effect of pyrolysis temperature and heating rate on biochar obtained from pyrolysis of safflower seed press cake. Bioresour Technol 128:593–597
- Ayiania M, Carbajal-Gamarra FM, Garcia-Perez T, Frear C, Suliman W, Garcia-Perez M (2019) Production and characterization of H_2 S and PO₄^{3–} carbonaceous adsorbents from anaerobic digested fbers. Biomass Bioenergy 120:339–349
- Bae JS, Su S (2013) Macadamia nut shell-derived carbon composites for post combustion CO₂ capture. Int J Greenh Gas Control 19:174–182
- Bamdad H, Hawboldt K, MacQuarrie S (2018a) Nitrogen functionalized biochar as a renewable adsorbent for efficient $CO₂$ removal. Energy Fuel 32:11742–11748
- Bamdad H, Hawboldt K, MacQuarrie S (2018b) A review on common adsorbents for acid gases removal: focus on biochar. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 81:1705–1720
- Bhandari PN, Kumar A, Huhnke RL (2014) Simultaneous removal of toluene (Model Tar), NH_3 , and H_2S , from biomass-generated producer gas using biochar-based and mixed-metal oxide catalysts. Energy Fuel 28:1918–1925
- Braghiroli FL, Bouafif H, Koubaa A (2019) Enhanced SO₂ adsorption and desorption on chemically and physically activated biochar made from wood residues. Ind Crop Prod 138:111456
- Cha JS, Park SH, Jung SC, Ryu C, Jeon JK, Shin MC, Park YK (2016) Production and utilization of biochar: a review. J Ind Eng Chem $40:1-15$
- Chatterjee R, Sajjadi B, Mattern DL, Chen WY, Zubatiuk T, Leszczynska D, Leszczynski J, Egiebor NO, Hammer N (2018) Ultrasound cavitation intensifed amine functionalization: a feasible strategy for enhancing $CO₂$ capture capacity of biochar. Fuel 225:287–298
- Chen T, Zhang YX, Wang HT, Lu WJ, Zhou ZY, Zhang YC, Ren LL (2014) Infuence of pyrolysis temperature on characteristics and heavy metal adsorptive performance of biochar derived from municipal sewage sludge. Bioresour Technol 164:47–54
- Chen D, Li YJ, Cen KH, Luo M, Li HY, Lu B (2016) Pyrolysis polygeneration of poplar wood: effect of heating rate and pyrolysis temperature. Bioresour Technol 218:780–788
- Chiang YC, Juang RS (2017) Surface modifcations of carbonaceous materials for carbon dioxide adsorption: a review. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 71:214–234
- Choudhury A, Lansing S (2020) Biochar addition with Fe impregnation to reduce H_2S production from anaerobic digestion. Bioresour Technol 306:123121
- Creamer AE, Gao B (2016) Carbon-based adsorbents for postcombustion $CO₂$ capture: a critical review. Environ Sci Technol 50:7276–7289
- Creamer AE, Gao B, Wang SS (2016) Carbon dioxide capture using various metal oxyhydroxide-biochar composites. Chem Eng J 283:826–832
- Das O, Sarmah AK (2015) The love–hate relationship of pyrolysis biochar and water: a perspective. Sci Total Environ 512–513:682–685
- Ding S, Liu YX (2020) Adsorption of $CO₂$ from flue gas by novel seaweed-based KOH-activated porous biochars. Fuel 260:116382
- Dissanayake PD, Choi SW, Igalavithana AD, Yang X, Tsang DCW, Wang CH, Kua HW, Lee KB, Ok YS (2020a) Sustainable gasification biochar as a high efficiency adsorbent for $CO₂$ capture: a facile method to designer biochar fabrication. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 124:109785
- Dissanayake PD, You SM, Igalavithana AD, Xia YF, Bhatnagar A, Gupta S, Kua HW, Kim S, Kwon JH, Tsang DCW, Ok YS (2020b) Biochar-based adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture: a critical review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 119:109582
- Du YR, Fan Z, Guo TX, Xu JP, Han ZH, Pan YF, Xiao HN, Sun YM, Yan QQ (2019) Characteristics of as-prepared biochar derived from catalytic pyrolysis within moderate-temperature ionic liquid for $CO₂$ uptake. Can J Chem Eng 98:690–704
- Ello AS, Souza LKCD, Trokourey A, Jaroniec M (2013) Coconut shell-based microporous carbons for $CO₂$ capture. Microporous Mesopororous Mat 180:280–283
- Fan BG, Jia L, Li B, Yao YX, Huo RP, Zhao R, Qiao XL, Jin Y (2018) Study on the efects of the pyrolysis atmosphere on the elemental mercury adsorption characteristics and mechanism of biomass char. Energy Fuel 32:6869–6878
- Fang NJ, Guo JX, Shu S, Li JJ, Chu YH (2017) Infuence of textures, oxygen-containing functional groups and metal species on SO_2 and NO removal over Ce-Mn/NAC. Fuel 202:328–337
- Fdez-Sanromán A, Pazos M, Rosales E, Sanromán MA (2020) Unravelling the environmental application of biochar as low-cost biosorbent: a review. Appl Sci 10:7810
- Feng DD, Zhao YJ, Zhang Y, Zhang ZB, Zhang LY, Gao JM, Sun SZ (2017) Synergetic efects of biochar structure and AAEM species on reactivity of H_2O -activated biochar from cyclone air gasification. Int J Hydrog Energy 42:16045–16053
- Feng DD, Guo DW, Zhang Y, Sun SZ, Zhao YJ, Chang GZ, Guo QJ, Qin YK (2021a) Adsorption-enrichment characterization of $CO₂$ and dynamic retention of free $NH₃$ in functionalized biochar with H₂O/NH₃·H₂O activation for promotion of new ammonia-based carbon capture. Chem Eng J 409:128193
- Feng DD, Guo DW, Zhang Y, Sun SZ, Zhao YJ, Shang Q, Sun HL, Wu JQ, Tan HP (2021b) Functionalized construction of biochar with hierarchical pore structures and surface O-/N-containing groups for phenol adsorption. Chem Eng J 410:127707
- Feng QW, Wang B, Chen M, Wu P, Lee XQ, Xing Y (2021c) Invasive plants as potential sustainable feedstocks for biochar production and multiple applications: a review. Resour Conserv Recyl 164:105204
- Gargiulo V, Gomis-Berenguer A, Giudicianni P, Ania CO, Ragucci R, Alfe M (2018) Assessing the potential of biochars prepared by steam-assisted slow pyrolysis for $CO₂$ adsorption and separation. Energy Fuel 32:10218–10227
- Gaunt JL, Lehmann J (2008) Energy balance and emissions associated with biochar sequestration and pyrolysis bioenergy production. Environ Sci Technol 42:4152–4158
- Gwenzi W, Chaukura N, Wenga T, Mtisi M (2021) Biochars as media for air pollution control systems: contaminant removal, applications and future research directions. Sci Total Environ 753:142249
- Han X, Chen H, Liu YX, Pan JF (2020) Study on removal of gaseous hydrogen sulfde based on macroalgae biochars. J Nat Gas Sci Eng 73:103068
- Han B, Butterly C, Zhang W, He JZ, Chen DL (2021) Adsorbent materials for ammonium and ammonia removal: a review. J Clean Prod 283:124611
- He C, Shen BX, Li FK (2016) Efects of fue gas components on removal of elemental mercury over Ce-Mno(x)/Ti-PILCs. J Hazard Mater 304:10–17
- Hervy M, Minh PD, Gérente C, Weiss-Hortala E, Nzihou A, Villot A, Coq LL (2018) $H₂S$ removal from syngas using wastes pyrolysis chars. Chem Eng J 334:2179–2189
- Hu XY, Liu LB, Luo X, Xiao GK, Shiko E, Zhang R, Fan XF, Zhou YF, Liu Y, Zeng ZG, Li CE (2020) A review of N-functionalized solid adsorbents for post-combustion $CO₂$ capture. Appl Energy 260:114244
- Huang YF, Chiueh PT, Shih CH, Lo SL, Sun LP, Zhong Y, Qiu CS (2015) Microwave pyrolysis of rice straw to produce biochar as an adsorbent for $CO₂$ capture. Energy 84:75–82
- Huang YF, Chiueh PT, Kuan WH, Lo SL (2016) Microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass: heating performance and reaction kinetics. Energy 100:137–144
- Hussin F, Aroua MK (2020) Recent trends in the development of adsorption technologies for carbon dioxide capture: a brief

literature and patent reviews (2014–2018). J Clean Prod 253:119707

- Iberahim N, Sethupathi S, Bashir MJK (2018) Optimization of palm oil mill sludge biochar preparation for sulfur dioxide removal. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:25702–25714
- Iberahim N, Sethupathi S, Goh CL, Bashir MJK, Ahmad W (2019) Optimization of activated palm oil sludge biochar preparation for sulphur dioxide adsorption. J Environ Manag 248:109302
- Igalavithana AD, Lee SE, Lee YH, Tsang DCW, Rinklebe J, Kwon EE, Ok YS (2017) Heavy metal immobilization and microbial community abundance by vegetable waste and pine cone biochar of agricultural soils. Chemosphere 174:593–603
- Igalavithana AD, Choi SW, Dissanayake PD, Shang J, Wang CH, Yang X, Kim S, Tsang DCW, Lee KB, Ok YS (2020) Gasifcation biochar from biowaste (food waste and wood waste) for efective CO₂ adsorption. J Hazard Mater 391:121147
- Illingworth JM, Rand B, Williams PT (2019) Non-woven fabric activated carbon produced from fbrous waste biomass for sulphur dioxide control. Process Saf Environ 122:209–220
- Ismail IS, Singh G, Smith P, Kim S, Yang JH, Joseph S, Yusup S, Singh M, Bansal V, Talapaneni SN, Vinu A (2020) Oxygen functionalized porous activated biocarbons with high surface area derived from grape marc for enhanced capture of $CO₂$ at elevated-pressure. Carbon 160:113–124
- Jasuja H, Peterson GW, Decoste JB, Browe MA, Walton KS (2015) Evaluation of MOFs for air purifcation and air quality control applications: ammonia removal from air. Chem Eng Sci 124:118–124
- Jia L, Fan BG, Yao YX, Han F, Huo RP, Zhao CW, Jin Y (2018) Study on the elemental mercury adsorption characteristics and mechanism of iron-based modifed biochar materials. Energy Fuel 32:12554–12566
- Johari K, Saman N, Song ST, Cheu SC, Kong H, Mat H (2016) Development of coconut pith chars towards high elemental mercury adsorption performance—effect of pyrolysis temperatures. Chemosphere 156:56–68
- Jung S, Park YK, Kwon EE (2019) Strategic use of biochar for $CO₂$ capture and sequestration. J CO2 Util 32:128–139
- Kanjanarong J, Giri BS, Jaisi DP, Oliveira FR, Boonsawang P, Chaiprapat S, Singh RS, Balakrishna A, Khanal SK (2017) Removal of hydrogen sulfde generated during anaerobic treatment of sulfate-laden wastewater using biochar: evaluation of efficiency and mechanisms. Bioresour Technol 234:115–121
- Karim AA, Kumar M, Singh SK, Panda CR, Mishra BK (2017) Potassium enriched biochar production by thermal plasma processing of banana peduncle for soil application. J Anal Appl Pyrol 123:165–172
- Karimi M, Diaz de Tuesta JL, Gonçalves CNDP, Gomes HT, Rodrigues AE, Silva JAC (2020) Integrated management of environment by employing derived compost from municipal solid wastes as a source of biochar for $CO₂$ capture. Chem Eng Technol 43:1336–1349
- Khabzina Y, Farrusseng D (2018) Unravelling ammonia adsorption mechanisms of adsorbents in humid conditions. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 265:143–148
- Kim SS, Ly HV, Choi GH, Kim J, Woo HC (2012) Pyrolysis characteristics and kinetics of the alga *Saccharina japonica*. Bioresour Technol 123:445–451
- Kim Y, Oh JI, Vithanage M, Park YK, Lee J, Kwon EE (2019) Modifcation of biochar properties using $CO₂$. Chem Eng J 372:383–389
- Krounbi L, Enders A, Anderton CR, Engelhard MH, Hestrin R, Torres-Rojas D, Dynes JJ, Lehmann J (2020) Sequential ammonia and carbon dioxide adsorption on pyrolyzed biomass to recover waste stream nutrients. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 8:7121–7131
- Kua HW, Pedapati C, Lee RV, Kawi S (2019) Effect of indoor contamination on carbon dioxide adsorption of wood-based biochar lessons for direct air capture. J Clean Prod 210:860–871
- Kumar A, Singh E, Khapre A, Bordoloi N, Kumar S (2020) Sorption of volatile organic compounds on non-activated biochar. Bioresour Technol 297:122469
- Kung SS, Zhang LG, Gong XY, Kung CC (2019) A sector-wide economic and environmental analysis on bioenergy production and emission consequences. Energy Explor Exploit 37:1408–1425
- Lahijani P, Mohammadi M, Mohamed AR (2018) Metal incorporated biochar as a potential adsorbent for high capacity $CO₂$ capture at ambient condition. J CO2 Util 26:281–293
- Lamplugh A, Harries M, Nguyen A, Montoya LD (2020) VOC emissions from nail salon products and their effective removal using afordable adsorbents and synthetic jets. Build Environ 168:106499
- Lee JW, Barin G, Peterson GW, Xu J, Colwell KA, Long JR (2017) A microporous amic acid polymer for enhanced ammonia capture. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 9:33504–33510
- Lehmann J (2007) A handful of carbon. Nature 447:143–144
- Leng L, Xu S, Liu R, Yu T, Zhuo X, Leng S, Xiong Q, Huang H (2020) Nitrogen containing functional groups of biochar: an overview. Bioresour Technol 298:122286
- Li L, Kang YT (2019) Bubble behaviors and $CO₂$ absorption characteristics in nanoabsorbents. J CO2 Util 33:488–499
- Li L, Liu SQ, Liu JX (2011) Surface modifcation of coconut shell based activated carbon for the improvement of hydrophobic VOC removal. J Hazard Mater 192:683–690
- Li JF, Li YM, Wu YL, Zheng MY (2014) A comparison of biochars from lignin, cellulose and wood as the sorbent to an aromatic pollutant. J Hazard Mater 280:450–457
- Li G, Shen B, Li Y, Zhao B, Wang F, He C, Wang Y, Zhang M (2015) Removal of element mercury by medicine residue derived biochars in presence of various gas compositions. J Hazard Mater 298:162–169
- Li GL, Wang SX, Wu QR, Wang FY, Shen BX (2016a) Mercury sorption study of halides modifed bio-chars derived from cotton straw. Chem Eng J 302:305–313
- Li YL, Ruan GD, Jalilov AS, Tarkunde YR, Fei HL, Tour JM (2016b) Biochar as a renewable source for high-performance $CO₂$ sorbent. Carbon 107:344–351
- Li GL, Wang SX, Wu QR, Wang FY, Ding D, Shen BX (2017a) Mechanism identifcation of temperature infuence on mercury adsorption capacity of diferent halides modifed bio-chars. Chem Eng J 315:251–261
- Li H, Dong X, da Silva EB, de Oliveira LM, Chen Y, Ma LQ (2017b) Mechanisms of metal sorption by biochars: biochar characteristics and modifcations. Chemosphere 178:466–478
- Li YR, Lin YT, Xu ZC, Wang B, Zhu TY (2019) Oxidation mechanisms of H_2S by oxygen and oxygen-containing functional groups on activated carbon. Fuel Process Technol 189:110–119
- Lian F, Xing BS (2017) Black carbon (biochar) in water/soil environments: molecular structure, sorption, stability, and potential risk. Environ Sci Technol 51:13517–13532
- Lian GQ, Wang B, Lee XQ, Li L, Liu TZ, Lyu WQ (2019) Enhanced removal of hexavalent chromium by engineered biochar composite fabricated from phosphogypsum and distillers grains. Sci Total Environ 697:134119
- Liu SH, Huang YY (2018) Valorization of coffee grounds to biochar-derived adsorbents for $CO₂$ adsorption. J Clean Prod 175:354–360
- Liu YX, Wang Y (2019) Removal of gaseous hydrogen sulfde by a photo-fenton wet oxidation scrubbing system. Energy Fuel 33:10812–10819
- Liu J, Qu WQ, Joo SW, Zheng CG (2012) Effect of SO₂ on mercury binding on carbonaceous surfaces. Chem Eng J 184:163–167
- Liu ZW, Zhang FX, Liu HL, Ba F, Yan SJ, Hu JH (2018a) Pyrolysis/ gasifcation of pine sawdust biomass briquettes under carbon dioxide atmosphere: study on carbon dioxide reduction (utilization) and biochar briquettes physicochemical properties. Bioresour Technol 249:983–991
- Liu ZY, Yang W, Xu W, Liu YX (2018b) Removal of elemental mercury by bio-chars derived from seaweed impregnated with potassium iodine. Chem Eng J 339:468–478
- Liu HW, Feng S, Leung AK (2019) Efects of nano-activated carbon on water and gas permeability and hydrogen sulphide removal in compacted kaolin. Appl Clay Sci 172:80–84
- Liu DJ, Li CE, Wu J, Liu YX (2020) Novel carbon-based sorbents for elemental mercury removal from gas streams: a review. Chem Eng J 391:123514
- Lucaci AR, Bulgariu D, Ahmad I, Lisă G, Mocanu AM, Bulgariu L (2019) Potential use of biochar from various waste biomass as biosorbent in Co(II) removal processes. Water 11:1565–1580
- Luo JJ, Niu Q, Xia YX, Cao YA, Du RP, Sun SQ, Lu CY (2017) Investigation of gaseous elemental mercury oxidation by non-thermal plasma injection method. Energy Fuel 31:11013–11018
- Luo JJ, Jin MC, Ye LR, Cao YA, Yan YG, Du RP, Yoshiie R, Ueki Y, Naruse I, Lin CJ, Lee YY (2019) Removal of gaseous elemental mercury by hydrogen chloride non-thermal plasma modifed biochar. J Hazard Mater 377:132–141
- Lyu HH, Xia SY, Tang JC, Zhang YR, Gao B, Shen BX (2020) Thiolmodifed biochar synthesized by a facile ball-milling method for enhanced sorption of inorganic Hg^{2+} and organic CH_3Hg^+ . J Hazard Mater 384:121357
- Madzaki H, Karim Ghani WAWA, Resitanim NZ, Alias AB (2016) Carbon dioxide adsorption on sawdust biochar. Procedia Eng 148:718–725
- Meng H, Nie C, Li W, Duan X, Lai B, Ao Z, Wang S, An T (2020) Insight into the effect of lignocellulosic biomass source on the performance of biochar as persulfate activator for aqueous organic pollutants remediation: epicarp and mesocarp of citrus peels as examples. J Hazard Mater 399:123043
- Mochizuki T, Kubota M, Matsuda H, D'Elia Camacho LF (2016) Adsorption behaviors of ammonia and hydrogen sulfde on activated carbon prepared from petroleum coke by KOH chemical activation. Fuel Process Technol 144:164–169
- Mohd A, Karim Ghani WAWA, Resitanim NZ, Sanyang L (2013) A review: Carbon dioxide capture: biomass-derived-biochar and its applications. J Disper Sci Technol 34:974–984
- Moribe S, Chen ZJ, Alayoglu S, Syed ZH, Islamoglu T, Farha OK (2019) Ammonia capture within isoreticular metal–organic frameworks with rod secondary building units. ACS Mater Lett 1:476–480
- Neves D, Thunman H, Matos A, Tarelho L, Gómez-Barea A (2011) Characterization and prediction of biomass pyrolysis products. Prog Energy Combust 37:611–630
- Nijem N, Fürsich K, Bluhm H, Leone SR, Gilles MK (2015) Ammonia adsorption and co-adsorption with water in HKUST-1: spectroscopic evidence for cooperative interactions. J Phys Chem C 119:24781–24788
- Niu Q, Luo JJ, Xia YX, Sun SQ, Chen Q (2017) Surface modifcation of bio-char by dielectric barrier discharge plasma for $Hg⁰$ removal. Fuel Process Technol 156:310–316
- Ojala S, Pitkäaho S, Laitinen T, Niskala Koivikko N, Brahmi R, Gaálová J, Matejova L, Kucherov A, Päivärinta S, Hirschmann C, Nevanperä T, Riihimäki M, Pirilä M, Keiski RL (2011) Catalysis in VOC abatement. Top Catal 54:1224–1256
- Papurello D, Lanzini A, Bressan M, Santarelli M (2020) H₂S removal with sorbent obtained from sewage sludges. Processes 8:130–138
- Pelaez-Samaniego MR, Smith MW, Zhao QB, Garcia-Perez T, Frear C, Garcia-Perez M (2018) Charcoal from anaerobically

digested dairy fber for removal of hydrogen sulfde within biogas. Waste Manag 76:374–382

- Peluso A, Gargiulo N, Aprea P, Pepe F, Caputo D (2018) Nanoporous materials as H_2S adsorbents for biogas purification: a review. Sep Purif Rev 48:78–89
- Pi XX, Sun F, Gao JH, Zhu YW, Wang LJ, Qu ZB, Liu H, Zhao GB (2017) Microwave irradiation induced high-efficiency regeneration for desulfurized activated coke: a comparative study with conventional thermal regeneration. Energy Fuel 31:9693–9702
- Plaza MG, González AS, Pis JJ, Rubiera F, Pevida C (2014) Production of microporous biochars by single-step oxidation: efect of activation conditions on $CO₂$ capture. Appl Energy 114:551–562
- Poo KM, Son EB, Chang JS, Ren X, Choi YJ, Chae KJ (2018) Biochars derived from wasted marine macro-algae (*Saccharina japonica* and *Sargassum fusiforme*) and their potential for heavy metal removal in aqueous solution. J Environ Manag 206:364–372
- Qin LB, Huang XM, Xue Q, Liu L, Wan Y (2020) In-situ biodegradation of harmful pollutants in landfll by sludge modifed biochar used as biocover. Environ Pollut 258:113710
- Qu ZB, Sun F, Liu X, Gao JH, Qie ZP, Zhao GB (2018) The efect of nitrogen-containing functional groups on $SO₂$ adsorption on carbon surface: enhanced physical adsorption interactions. Surf Sci 677:78–82
- Rajapaksha AU, Chen SS, Tsang DCW, Zhang M, Vithanage M, Mandal S, Gao B, Bolan NS, Ok YS (2016) Engineered/designer biochar for contaminant removal/immobilization from soil and water: potential and implication of biochar modifcation. Chemosphere 148:276–291
- Ramlogan MV, Rabinovich A, Rouf AA (2020) Thermochemical analysis of ammonia gas sorption by struvite from livestock wastes and comparison with biochar and metal-organic framework sorbents. Environ Sci Technol 54:13264–13273
- Rangabhashiyam S, Balasubramanian P (2019) The potential of lignocellulosic biomass precursors for biochar production: performance, mechanism and wastewater application—a review. Ind Crop Prod 128:405–423
- Rezakazemi M, Amooghin EA, Montazer-Rahmati MM, Ismail AF, Matsuura T (2014) State-of-the-art membrane based $CO₂$ separation using mixed matrix membranes (MMMs): an overview on current status and future directions. Prog Polym Sci 39:817–861
- Roy P, Sardar A (2015) $SO₂$ emission control and finding a way out to produce sulphuric acid from industrial $SO₂$ emission. J Chem Eng Process Technol 06:1000230
- Saha D, Kienbaum MJ (2019) Role of oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur functionalities on the surface of nanoporous carbons in $CO₂$ adsorption: a critical review. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 287:29–55
- Sahota S, Vijay VK, Subbarao PMV, Chandra R, Ghosh P, Shah G, Kapoor R, Vijay V, Koutu V, Thakur IS (2018) Characterization of leaf waste based biochar for cost efective hydrogen sulphide removal from biogas. Bioresour Technol 250:635–641
- Sajjadi B, Chen WY, Egiebor NO (2019) A comprehensive review on physical activation of biochar for energy and environmental applications. Rev Chem Eng 35:735–776
- Seredych M, Ania C, Bandosz TJ (2016) Moisture insensitive adsorption of ammonia on resorcinol-formaldehyde resins. J Hazard Mater 305:96–104
- Sethupathi S, Zhang M, Rajapaksha AU, Lee SR, Mohamad Nor N, Mohamed AR, Al-Wabel M, Lee SS, Ok YS (2017) Biochars as potential adsorbers of CH_4 , CO_2 and H_2S . Sustainability 9:121–131
- Shahkarami S, Azargohar R, Dalai AK, Soltan J (2015) Breakthrough $CO₂$ adsorption in bio-based activated carbons. J Environ Sci 34:68–76
- Shan Y, Yang W, Li Y, Liu YX, Pan JF (2019) Preparation of microwave-activated magnetic bio-char adsorbent and study on

removal of elemental mercury from fue gas. Sci Total Environ 697:134049

- Shang GF, Shen GQ, Wang TT, Chen Q (2012) Efectiveness and mechanisms of hydrogen sulfide adsorption by camphorderived biochar. J Air Waste Manag 62:873–879
- Shang GF, Shen GQ, Liu L, Chen Q, Xu ZW (2013) Kinetics and mechanisms of hydrogen sulfde adsorption by biochars. Bioresour Technol 133:495–499
- Shang GF, Li QW, Liu L, Chen P, Huang XM (2016a) Adsorption of hydrogen sulfde by biochars derived from pyrolysis of different agricultural/forestry wastes. J Air Waste Manag 66:8–16
- Shang GF, Liu L, Chen P, Shen GQ, Li QW (2016b) Kinetics and the mass transfer mechanism of hydrogen sulfde removal by biochar derived from rice hull. J Air Waste Manag 66:439–445
- Shao JG, Zhang JJ, Zhang X, Feng Y, Zhang H, Zhang SH, Chen HP (2018) Enhance $SO₂$ adsorption performance of biochar modified by $CO₂$ activation and amine impregnation. Fuel 224:138–146
- Shen YF, Zhang NY (2019) Facile synthesis of porous carbons from silica-rich rice husk char for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) sorption. Bioresour Technol 282:294–300
- Shen BX, Li GL, Wang FM, Wang YY, He C, Zhang M, Singh S (2015) Elemental mercury removal by the modifed bio-char from medicinal residues. Chem Eng J 272:28–37
- Shi QQ, Wang YT, Zhang X, Shen BX, Wang FM, Zhang YF (2020) Hierarchically porous biochar synthesized with $CaCO₃$ template for efficient Hg⁰ adsorption from flue gas. Fuel Process Technol 199:106247
- Singh G, Lakhi KS, Sil S, Bhosale SV, Kim I, Albahily K, Vinu A (2019) Biomass derived porous carbon for $CO₂$ capture. Carbon 148:164–186
- Skerman AG, Heubeck S, Batstone DJ, Tait S (2017) Low-cost flter media for removal of hydrogen sulphide from piggery biogas. Process Saf Environ 105:117–126
- Song X, Li K, Ning P, Wang C, Sun X, Tang LH, Ruan HT, Han S (2017a) Surface characterization studies of walnut-shell biochar catalysts for simultaneously removing of organic sulfur from yellow phosphorus tail gas. Appl Surf Sci 425:130–140
- Song X, Ning P, Wang C, Li K, Tang LH, Sun X, Ruan HT (2017b) Research on the low temperature catalytic hydrolysis of COS and $CS₂$ over walnut shell biochar modified by Fe–Cu mixed metal oxides and basic functional groups. Chem Eng J 314:418–433
- Song G, Qin F, Yu J, Tang L, Pang Y, Zhang C, Wang J, Deng L (2022) Tailoring biochar for persulfate-based environmental catalysis: impact of biomass feedstocks. J Hazard Mater 424:127663
- Sun X, Ning P, Tang XL, Yi HH, Li K, He D, Xu XM, Huang B, Lai RY (2014) Simultaneous catalytic hydrolysis of carbonyl sulfde and carbon disulfide over $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-K/CAC}$ catalyst at low temperature. J Energy Chem 23:221–226
- Sun F, Gao JH, Liu X, Yang YQ, Wu SH (2016) Controllable nitrogen introduction into porous carbon with porosity retaining for investigating nitrogen doping effect on SO_2 adsorption. Chem Eng J 290:116–124
- Sun Y, Yang G, Zhang L, Sun Z (2017) Preparation of high performance H_2S removal biochar by direct fluidized bed carbonization using potato peel waste. Process Saf Environ 107:281–288
- Sun X, Ruan HT, Song X, Sun LN, Li K, Ning P, Wang C (2018) Research into the reaction process and the efect of reaction conditions on the simultaneous removal of H_2S , COS and CS₂ at low temperature. RSC Adv 8:6996–7004
- Sun L, Yuan D, Liu RR, Wan SG, Lu XJ (2020) Coadsorption of gaseous xylene, ethyl acetate and water onto porous biomass carbon foam pellets derived from liquefed Vallisneria natans waste. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 95:1348–1360
- Tate KR, Theng BKG (2014) Climate change—an underfoot perspective? In: Churchman GJ, Landa ER (eds) The soil

underfoot—infnite possibilities for a fnite resource. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 3–16

- Tiwari D, Goel C, Bhunia H, Bajpai PK (2017) Dynamic $CO₂$ capture by carbon adsorbents: kinetics, isotherm and thermodynamic studies. Sep Purif Technol 181:107–122
- Tratzi P, Ta DT, Zhang Z, Torre M, Battistelli F, Manzo E, Paolini V, Zhang Q, Chu C, Petracchini F (2022) Sustainable additives for the regulation of NH_3 concentration and emissions during the production of biomethane and biohydrogen: a review. Bioresour Technol 346:126596
- Tripathi M, Sahu JN, Ganesan P (2016) Efect of process parameters on production of biochar from biomass waste through pyrolysis: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 55:467–481
- Usevičiūtė L, Baltrėnaitė-Gedienė E (2020) Dependence of pyrolysis temperature and lignocellulosic physical–chemical properties of biochar on its wettability. Biomass Convers Biorefn 11:2775–2793
- Vikrant K, Kumar V, Kim KH, Kukkar D (2017) Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs): potential and challenges for capture and abatement of ammonia. J Mater Chem A 5:22877–22896
- Wahi R, Zuhaidi NFQ, Yusof Y, Jamel J, Kanakaraju D, Ngaini Z (2017) Chemically treated microwave-derived biochar: an overview. Biomass Bioenergy 107:411–421
- Wan Z, Sun Y, Tsang DCW, Khan E, Yip ACK, Ng YH, Rinklebe J, Ok YS (2020) Customised fabrication of nitrogen-doped biochar for environmental and energy applications. Chem Eng J 401:126136
- Wang JL, Wang SZ (2019) Preparation, modifcation and environmental application of biochar: a review. J Clean Prod 227:1002–1022
- Wang B, Lehmann J, Hanley K, Hestrin R, Enders A (2015) Adsorption and desorption of ammonium by maple wood biochar as a function of oxidation and pH. Chemosphere 138:120–126
- Wang B, Gao B, Fang J (2017) Recent advances in engineered biochar productions and applications. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 47:2158–2207
- Wang B, Gao B, Wan YS (2018a) Entrapment of ball-milled biochar in Ca-alginate beads for the removal of aqueous Cd(II). J Ind Eng Chem 61:161–168
- Wang T, Liu J, Zhang YS, Zhang HC, Chen WY, Norris P, Pan WP (2018b) Use of a non-thermal plasma technique to increase the number of chlorine active sites on biochar for improved mercury removal. Chem Eng J 331:536–544
- Wang T, Wu JW, Zhang YS, Liu J, Sui ZF, Zhang HC, Chen WY, Norris P, Pan WP (2018c) Increasing the chlorine active sites in the micropores of biochar for improved mercury adsorption. Fuel 229:60–67
- Wang B, Lee XQ, Theng BKG, Zhang LK, Cheng HG, Cheng JZ, Lyu WQ (2019) Biochar addition can reduce NOx gas emissions from a calcareous soil. Environ Pollut Bioavailab 31:38–48
- Wang B, Ma YN, Lee XQ, Wu P, Liu F, Zhang XY, Li L, Chen M (2020a) Environmental-friendly coal gangue-biochar composites reclaiming phosphate from water as a slow-release fertilizer. Sci Total Environ 758:143664
- Wang LW, Hou DY, Cao YN, Ok YS, Tack FMG, Rinklebe J, O'Connor D (2020b) Remediation of mercury contaminated soil, water, and air: a review of emerging materials and innovative technologies. Environ Int 134:105281
- Wu XX, Zhang CY, Tian ZW, Cai JJ (2018) Large-surface-area carbons derived from lotus stem waste for efficient $CO₂$ capture. New Carbon Mater 33:252–261
- Xiang W, Zhang XY, Chen KQ, Fang J, He F, Hu X, Tsang DCW, Ok YS, Gao B (2020) Enhanced adsorption performance and governing mechanisms of ball-milled biochar for the removal of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Chem Eng J 385:123842
- Xie ZY, Wang F, Zhao N, Wei W, Sun YH (2011) Hydrophobisation of activated carbon fber and the infuence on the adsorption selectivity towards carbon disulfde. Appl Surf Sci 257:3596–3602
- Xie Y, Li CT, Zhao LK, Zhang J, Zeng GM, Zhang XN, Zhang W, Tao SS (2015) Experimental study on $Hg⁰$ removal from flue gas over columnar MnOx-CeO₂/activated coke. Appl Surf Sci 333:59-67
- Xiong Z, Lei Z, Li YZ, Dong LC, Zhao YC, Zhang JY (2018) A review on modification of facet-engineered $TiO₂$ for photocatalytic $CO₂$ reduction. J Photochem Photobiol C Photochem Rev 36:24–47
- Xu XY, Cao XD, Zhao L, Sun TH (2014) Comparison of sewage sludge- and pig manure-derived biochars for hydrogen sulfde removal. Chemosphere 111:296–303
- Xu H, Shen BX, Yuan P, Lu FJ, Tian LH, Zhang X (2016a) The adsorption mechanism of elemental mercury by $HNO₃$ -modified bamboo char. Fuel Process Technol 154:139–146
- Xu XY, Huang DX, Zhao L, Kan Y, Cao XD (2016b) Role of inherent inorganic constituents in SO_2 sorption ability of biochars derived from three biomass wastes. Environ Sci Technol 50:12957–12965
- Xu XY, Kan Y, Zhao L, Cao XD (2016c) Chemical transformation of $CO₂$ during its capture by waste biomass derived biochars. Environ Pollut 213:533–540
- Xu XY, Zhao YH, Sima JK, Zhao L, Mašek O, Cao XD (2017) Indispensable role of biochar-inherent mineral constituents in its environmental applications: a review. Bioresour Technol 241:887–899
- Xu W, Adewuyi YG, Liu YX, Wang Y (2018a) Removal of elemental mercury from flue gas using $CuOx$ and $CeO₂$ modified rice straw chars enhanced by ultrasound. Fuel Process Technol 170:21–31
- Xu W, Hussain A, Liu YX (2018b) A review on modifcation methods of adsorbents for elemental mercury from fue gas. Chem Eng J 346:692–711
- Xu Y, Deng FF, Pang QC, He SW, Xu YQ, Luo GQ, Yao H (2018c) Development of waste-derived sorbents from biomass and brominated fame retarded plastic for elemental mercury removal from coal-fred fue gas. Chem Eng J 350:911–919
- Xu W, Pan JF, Fan BW, Liu YX (2019a) Removal of gaseous elemental mercury using seaweed chars impregnated by $NH₄Cl$ and $NH₄Br$. J Clean Prod 216:277–287
- Xu XY, Zheng YL, Gao B, Cao XD (2019b) N-doped biochar synthesized by a facile ball-milling method for enhanced sorption of $CO₂$ and reactive red. Chem Eng J 368:564–572
- Xu Y, Luo GQ, He SW, Deng FF, Pang QC, Xu YQ, Yao H (2019c) Efficient removal of elemental mercury by magnetic chlorinated biochars derived from co-pyrolysis of $Fe(NO₃)₃$ -laden wood and polyvinyl chloride waste. Fuel 239:982–990
- Xu XY, Xu ZB, Gao B, Zhao L, Zheng YL, Huang JS, Tsang DCW, Ok YS, Cao XD (2020) New insights into $CO₂$ sorption on biochar/ Fe oxyhydroxide composites: kinetics, mechanisms, and in situ characterization. Chem Eng J 384:123289
- Yang F, Lee XQ, Wang B (2015) Characterization of biochars produced from seven biomasses grown in three diferent climate zones. Chin J Geochem 34:592–600
- Yang JP, Zhao YC, Ma SM, Zhu BB, Zhang JY, Zheng CG (2016) Mercury removal by magnetic biochar derived from simultaneous activation and magnetization of sawdust. Environ Sci Technol 50:12040–12047
- Yang F, Lee XQ, Theng BKG, Wang B, Cheng JZ, Wang Q (2017a) Effect of biochar addition on short-term N_2O and CO_2 emissions during repeated drying and wetting of an anthropogenic alluvial soil. Environ Geochem Health 39:635–647
- Yang W, Liu YX, Wang Q, Pan JF (2017b) Removal of elemental mercury from fue gas using wheat straw chars modifed by Mn-Ce mixed oxides with ultrasonic-assisted impregnation. Chem Eng J 326:169–181
- Yang JP, Zhao YC, Liang SF, Zhang SB, Ma SM, Li HL, Zhang JY, Zheng CG (2018a) Magnetic iron–manganese binary oxide supported on carbon nanofiber (Fe_{3−x}Mn_xO₄/CNF) for efficient

removal of Hg⁰ from coal combustion flue gas. Chem Eng J 334:216–224

- Yang W, Adewuyi YG, Hussain A, Liu YX (2018b) Recent developments on gas–solid heterogeneous oxidation removal of elemental mercury from fue gas. Environ Chem Lett 17:19–47
- Yang W, Hussain A, Zhang J, Liu YX (2018c) Removal of elemental mercury from fue gas using red mud impregnated by KBr and KI reagent. Chem Eng J 341:483–494
- Yang W, Liu ZY, Xu W, Liu YX (2018d) Removal of elemental mercury from flue gas using sargassum chars modified by NH_4Br reagent. Fuel 214:196–206
- Yang YX, Sun C, Lin BC, Huang QX (2020) Surface modifed and activated waste bone char for rapid and efficient VOCs adsorption. Chemosphere 256:127054
- Yaumi AL, Bakar MZA, Hameed BH (2017) Recent advances in functionalized composite solid materials for carbon dioxide capture. Energy 124:461–480
- Yavari S, Malakahmad A, Sapari NB, Yavari S (2017) Sorption properties optimization of agricultural wastes-derived biochars using response surface methodology. Process Saf Environ 109:509–519
- Yi YY, Li CT, Zhao LK, Du XY, Gao L, Chen JQ, Zhai YB, Zeng GM (2018) The synthetic evaluation of CuO-MnOx-modifed pinecone biochar for simultaneous removal formaldehyde and elemental mercury from simulated fue gas. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25:4761–4775
- Yuan HR, Lu T, Zhao DD, Huang HY, Noriyuki K, Chen Y (2013) Infuence of temperature on product distribution and biochar properties by municipal sludge pyrolysis. J Mater Cycles Waste 15:357–361
- Zhang AC, Zheng WW, Song J, Hu S, Liu ZC, Xiang J (2014a) Cobalt manganese oxides modifed titania catalysts for oxidation of elemental mercury at low fue gas temperature. Chem Eng J 236:29–38
- Zhang X, Zhang SH, Yang HP, Feng Y, Chen YQ, Wang XH, Chen HP (2014b) Nitrogen enriched biochar modifed by high temperature CO_2 –ammonia treatment: characterization and adsorption of $CO₂$. Chem Eng J 257:20-27
- Zhang X, Zhang S, Yang H, Shao J, Chen Y, Feng Y, Wang X, Chen H (2015a) Efects of hydrofuoric acid pre-deashing of rice husk on physicochemical properties and $CO₂$ adsorption performance of nitrogen-enriched biochar. Energy 91:903–910
- Zhang X, Zhang SH, Yang HP, Shao JG, Chen YQ, Feng Y, Wang XH, Chen HP (2015b) High temperature ammonia modifcation of rice husk char to enhance $CO₂$ adsorption: influence of predeashing. RSC Adv 5:106280–106288
- Zhang X, Wu J, Yang HP, Shao JG, Wang XH, Chen YQ, Zhang SH, Chen HP (2016) Preparation of nitrogen-doped microporous modified biochar by high temperature $CO₂–NH₃$ treatment for $CO₂$ adsorption: effects of temperature. RSC Adv 6:98157–98166
- Zhang HJ, Voroney RP, Price GW, White AJ (2017a) Sulfur-enriched biochar as a potential soil amendment and fertiliser. Soil Res 55:93–99
- Zhang XY, Gao B, Creamer AE, Cao CC, Li YC (2017b) Adsorption of VOCs onto engineered carbon materials: a review. J Hazard Mater 338:102–123
- Zhang XY, Gao B, Zheng YL, Hu X, Creamer AE, Annable MD, Li YC (2017c) Biochar for volatile organic compound (VOC) removal: sorption performance and governing mechanisms. Bioresour Technol 245:606–614
- Zhang HC, Wang T, Sui ZF, Zhang YS, Norris P, Sun BM, Pan WP (2019a) Plasma induced addition of active functional groups to biochar for elemental mercury removal. Plasma Chem Plasma Process 39:1449–1468
- Zhang HC, Wang T, Sui ZF, Zhang YS, Sun BM, Pan WP (2019b) Enhanced mercury removal by transplanting sulfur-containing functional groups to biochar through plasma. Fuel 253:703–712
- Zhang XY, Gao B, Fang J, Zou WX, Dong L, Cao CC, Zhang J, Li YC, Wang HL (2019c) Chemically activated hydrochar as an efective adsorbent for volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Chemosphere 218:680–686
- Zhang Z, Cano ZP, Luo D, Dou HZ, Yu AP, Chen ZW (2019d) Rational design of tailored porous carbon-based materials for $CO₂$ capture. J Mater Chem A 7:20985–21003
- Zhang HC, Wang T, Liu J, Zhang YS, Wang JW, Sun BM, Pan WP (2020a) Promotional effect of sulfur trioxide $(SO₃)$ on elemental mercury removal over Cu/ZSM-5 catalyst. Appl Surf Sci 511:145604
- Zhang HC, Wang T, Zhang YS, Sun BM, Pan WP (2020b) Promotional effect of $NH₃$ on mercury removal over biochar thorough chlorine functional group transformation. J Clean Prod 257:120598
- Zhang JJ, Shao JG, Huang DR, Feng Y, Zhang X, Zhang SH, Chen HP (2020c) Infuence of diferent precursors on the characteristic of nitrogen-enriched biochar and SO_2 adsorption properties. Chem Eng J 385:123932
- Zhang XY, Xiang W, Wang B, Fang J, Zou WX, He F, Li YC, Tsang DCW, Ok YS, Gao B (2020d) Adsorption of acetone and cyclohexane onto CO₂ activated hydrochars. Chemosphere 245:125664
- Zhang XY, Miao XD, Xiang W, Zhang JK, Cao CC, Wang HL, Hu X, Gao B (2021) Ball milling biochar with ammonia hydroxide or hydrogen peroxide enhances its adsorption of phenyl volatile organic compounds (VOCs). J Hazard Mater 403:123540
- Zhao Y, Shen YM, Ma GY, Hao RJ (2014) Adsorption separation of carbon dioxide from fue gas by a molecularly imprinted adsorbent. Environ Sci Technol 48:1601–1608
- Zhao LK, Li CT, Li SH, Wang Y, Zhang JY, Wang T, Zeng GM (2016) Simultaneous removal of elemental mercury and NO in simulated flue gas over V_2O_5/ZrO_2 -CeO₂ catalyst. Appl Catal B Environ 198:420–430
- Zhao B, O'Connor D, Zhang JL, Peng TY, Shen ZT, Tsang DCW, Hou DY (2018) Effect of pyrolysis temperature, heating rate, and residence time on rapeseed stem derived biochar. J Clean Prod 174:977–987
- Zhao R, Jia L, Yao YX, Huo RP, Qiao XL, Fan BG (2019) Study of the efect of adsorption temperature on elemental mercury removal performance of iron-based modifed biochar. Energy Fuel 33:11408–11419
- Zhou ZJ, Liu XW, Zhao B, Shao HZ, Xu YS, Xu MH (2016) Elemental mercury oxidation over manganese-based perovskite-type catalyst at low temperature. Chem Eng J 288:701–710
- Zhou JF, Liu YX, Pan JF (2017) Removal of elemental mercury from flue gas using wheat straw chars modified by K_2FeO_4 reagent. Environ Technol 38:3047–3054
- Zhou YM, Zhao YC, Zhang JY, Zheng CG (2019) Mercury adsorption and oxidation over magnetic biochar in oxyfuel combustion atmosphere: impact of enriched CO_2 and H_2O . Fuel 251:458-465
- Zhou H, Wang R, Zhang H, Chen W, Lu XB (2020) Access to 1,3-oxazine-2,4-diones/1,3-thiazine-2,4-diones via organocatalytic CO2/COS incorporation into allenamides. Org Biomol Chem 18:905–911
- Zielińska A, Oleszczuk P, Charmas B, Skubiszewska-Zięba J, Pasieczna-Patkowska S (2015) Efect of sewage sludge properties on the biochar characteristic. J Anal Appl Pyrol 112:201–213
- Zubbri NA, Mohamed AR, Kamiuchi N, Mohammadi M (2020) Enhancement of $CO₂$ adsorption on biochar sorbent modified by metal incorporation. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:11809–11829

Authors and Afliations

Zhipeng Zhao1 · Bing Wang1,2 · Benny K. G. Theng3 · Xinqing Lee4 · Xueyang Zhang5 · Miao Chen¹ · Peng Xu1

- \boxtimes Bing Wang bwang6@gzu.edu.cn
- ¹ College of Resource and Environmental Engineering, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, China
- ² Key Laboratory of Karst Georesources and Environment, Ministry of Education, Guiyang 550025, China
- ³ Manaaki Whenua-Landcare Research, Palmerston North, New Zealand
- ⁴ State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China
- ⁵ Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Industrial Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of Environmental Engineering, Xuzhou University of Technology, Xuzhou 221018, China