ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE



Watermelon-infesting Tephritidae fruit fly guild and parasitism by *Psyttalia phaeostigma* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)

Babatoundé Ferdinand Rodolphe Layodé^{1,2} · Alexis Onzo² · Miriam Frida Karlsson^{1,3}

Received: 31 January 2019 / Accepted: 22 October 2019 / Published online: 14 November 2019 \odot The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

An ecological guild of Tephritidae fruit flies exploits cucurbit vegetable fruits, tremendously reducing their production worldwide. Knowledge of the composition of the guild of infesting flies in the field and information on their natural enemy species, might improve pest management strategies. Our aim was therefore to identify Tephritidae species infesting the watermelon *Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai in the Republic of Benin. Morphological and molecular identification of parasitoid species present in the field collections was also done. Infested watermelons were sampled in one of the main watermelonproduction areas in the country. Adult tephritid flies emerging from watermelons were identified as *Dacus bivittatus* (Bigot), *D. ciliatus* Loew, *D. punctatifrons* Karsch, *D. vertebratus* Bezzi, *Zeugodacus cucurbitae* (Coquillet), and *Ceratitis cosyra* (Walker). In this study period, *D. vertebratus* was consistently the most abundant species emerging from watermelon. *Dacus ciliatus* was the second most common species followed by *Z. cucurbitae*. The number of emerging fruit flies per kilogram of watermelon varied with collection date and month, and was most variable for *D. vertebratus*. Parasitism in the fruit flies was 1.6 $\pm 6.4\%$ and occurred through one wasp species that was identified as *Psyttalia phaeostigma* Wilkinson (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). This solitary parasitoid is closely related to other members of the *P. concolor* species complex, some of which are used in biological control. Problems associated with identifying *Psyttalia* species and possibility of using this wasp as a biological control agent against tephritid flies were discussed.

Keywords Cucurbitaceae \cdot *Citrullus lanatus* \cdot Morphological and molecular identification \cdot Parasitoid \cdot Biological control agent \cdot Diptera

Introduction

The composition of insect pest species infesting agricultural crops is a result of the interaction of the pest with the host, the environment, other pests, their natural enemies, and their host spectra (Wyckhuys et al. 2012; Parisey et al. 2016; Charlery de la Masselière et al. 2017). A good knowledge of the

Babatoundé Ferdinand Rodolphe Layodé ferdinandlayode76@yahoo.com composition of pest communities and of factors governing their occurrence is crucial for developing sustainable plant protection management strategies (Wang et al. 2005). Watermelon, Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai (Cucurbitaceae) is, like many other cucurbitaceous fruits, infested by true fruit flies of the Tephritidae family. Tephritid fruit flies are ranked as the most notorious pests of economic importance on cucurbit horticultural crops (Dhillon et al. 2005; Sapkota 2010; FAO 2012; Ekesi et al. 2016). Watermelon, has some of the highest fruit fly infestation rates observed among cultivated Cucurbitaceae grown in Africa (Gnanvossou et al. 2008; Badii et al. 2015; Kambura et al. 2018; Mwatawala et al. 2010). The fruit fly guild and the dominant species infesting cucurbit fruit species in Sub-Saharan Africa vary, yet the most common species include Dacus bivittatus (Bigot), D. punctatifrons, D. vertebratus Bezzi, D. frontalis (Becker), D. lounsburyii (Coquillet), D. ciliatus Loew and Zeugodacus cucurbitae (Coquillet) (White and Elson-Harris 1992; Gnanvossou et al. 2008;

¹ International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), 08 BP 0932 Tri Postal, Cotonou, Benin

² Faculty of Agronomy, Department of Crop Production, University of Parakou, BP 123, Parakou, Benin

³ Present address: Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

Mwatawala et al. 2010; Kambura et al. 2018). Records on watermelon infestation from West Africa are scarce. Gnanvossou et al. (2008) reported D. vertebratus as the dominant species in Benin, representing 80% of the tephritid guild, while in Ghana, Bactrocera dorsalis, Z. cucurbitae, and D. bivittatus were reported as the dominant fruit fly species infesting watermelon (Badii et al. 2015). The species D. bivittatus, D. ciliatus, D. punctatifrons, D. vertebratus, D. frontalis, and D. lounsburyii are indigenous to Africa (De Meyer and Ekesi 2016), while Z. cucurbitae may have arrived from Asia at the beginning of the 1900. However, the most recent African invasions originated from the expansion of local populations (Virgilio et al. 2010). The introduction of Z. cucurbitae is suspected to influence the African guild of watermelon-infesting tephritid species, since it is considered an invasive species that could out-compete native species (Vayssières et al. 2002; Mwatawala et al. 2010). Watermelon production is increasingly important in West Africa (Fatondji et al. 2008). Economic losses caused by tephritid fruit flies drive growers into an extreme use of pesticides despite their ecological harm (Essumang et al. 2013; Mahmud et al. 2015; Achigan-Dako 2016). It is therefore important to investigate more sustainable alternative control approaches against these pests.

The composition of the Tephritid fruit fly guild might be influenced by temperature, rainfall, host species availability and interspecific competition (Ye and Liu 2007; Kaplan and Denno 2007; De Meyer et al. 2015). Specific species-related precipitation- and temperature requirements are known to contribute to high populations of *B. dorsalis* Hendel (Diptera: Tephritidae) during high precipitation periods, while high Ceratitis cosyra (Walker) (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations occur during low rainfall periods (Vayssières et al. 2009). Parasitism by native parasitoid species might also affect fruit fly populations (Ovruski et al. 2005; Copeland et al. 2006). Owing to the specificity of certain parasitoids from the Opiinae subfamily of the Braconidae family, they have regularly been used as biological control agents in tephritid fruit fly management (Wharton and Gilstrap 1983; Bautista et al. 2004; Copeland et al. 2006; Harris et al. 2010). The known braconid species parasitizing Dacus and Zeugodacus species on cucurbits are from the genera Psyttalia, Diachasmimorpha, Opius, and Fopius, sub family Opiinae (Wharton and Gilstrap 1983; Copeland et al. 2004; Bautista et al. 2004; Rugman-Jones et al. 2009; Harris et al. 2010). Opiinae species known or suspected as parasitoids of D. ciliatus include Fopius caudatus (Szépligeti), Diachasmimorpha carinata (Szépligeti), Psyttalia perproximus (Silvestri), Diachasmimorpha longicaudata Ashmead, Psyttalia concolor (Szépligeti), Psyttalia fletcheri (Silvestri), Psyttalia phaeostigma Wilkinson, Diachasmimorpha brevistyli Paoli, and Opius incisi Silvestri (Silvestri 1913; Thompson 1943; Narayanan and Chawla 1962; Wharton and Gilstrap 1983). Although many of these species have been found parasitizing the *Dacus* species, *P. fletcheri* is the only species reported to have been mass-produced and released in cucurbits production fields, apart from the early releases reported in the first decades of the 1900th (Silvestri 1913). Our objective in the present study was to investigate the current guild of tephritid fruit flies infesting watermelon in one of the main watermelon-production areas in the Republic of Benin; and to evaluate the diversity of any associated parasitoids. These results would contribute information towards developing appropriate pest management strategies of fruit flies in watermelon.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was conducted in watermelon fields in the Atlantic Department, in the southern part of the Republic of Benin, from 2016 to 2017. The choice of field sites was done based on a previous survey (Achigan-Dako 2016). The farmermanaged fields used for this study were located in the village of Agbanzin-Kpota (06.35515°N; 002.2266°E, Altitude 9 m), in the neighbourhood of the town of Ouidah in Southern-Benin. The study fields covered a total area of 2.5 ha, split in small plots of 0.1-0.25 ha, about 200 m apart. Conventional production schemes were mainly done, with insecticides (principally with products containing mixtures of Lambdacyhalothrin and acetamiprid) applied every 2-3 days. The watermelon variety used by all farmers in our study fields was Kaolack (Technisem, France). The area is characterized by a bimodal rainfall pattern, with a long rainy season from April to July, and a short one around October to November. From December until March, the precipitation is nearly zero and there is almost no watermelon production in the area. The main production period in the study area falls typically between June and September, and a minor production occurs in April to May.

Fruit collection

Samples of supposedly infested watermelon fruits were collected directly from the fields weekly, from onset of the fruiting until harvest was completed in the plots. The two harvesting seasons fell in July to October in 2016, and April to August in 2017. Signs of oviposition holes (necrosis around the puncture mark), deformation, decomposition, and/or visible presence of larvae were the criteria used to separate infested fruits from un-infested ones during collection. Fruit samples (at least 500 g) were weighed and the number of fruits per sample was adjusted with randomly selected fruits from the same plots. The average sample weight was 753 ± 47 g.

The samples were thereafter arranged in plastic containers on a metal mesh placed on sand for incubation. The plastic containers were covered with a fine fabric (mesh size: 104×94 , 300μ m aperture), held by an elastic band and labelled. Sand was changed in the containers when it became too damp. Pupae were collected every three days for at least three weeks, counted and placed directly in a Petri dish covered with mesh (mesh size: 26×24 , 1220μ m aperture) for fly and parasitoid emergence. The emerging adults were gently removed and kept for rearing and identification. In 2016, 143 fruit samples were collected against 84 samples in 2017, with a total weight of 83 kg and 101 kg respectively.

Morphological identification of tephritid flies and braconid parasitoids

A sub-sample of fruit flies emerging from the pupae was placed in a refrigerator at 6 ± 2 °C for 30 s to inactivate them, and then identified under an inverse reflected light stereomicroscope (Olympus XZS10) according to Virgilio et al. (2014). Similarly, a sub-sample of the parasitoid was separated for morphological identification using available identification keys, descriptions, and pictures (Wharton and Gilstrap 1983; Rugman-Jones et al. 2009). Lengths of ovipositor, ovipositor sheath, and hind tibia were measured on 30 female parasitoids for the identification.

Molecular identification of parasitoids

Female individuals of the parasitoid wasp were preserved in 70% alcohol at −20 °C for molecular identification. DNA was extracted, following the LIVAK protocol (1984), from a total of 10 specimens and sent to INQABA Biotech (Pretoria, South Africa), for subsequent PCR amplifications and identification. A 722pb target region of the Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) gene was amplified using the barcoding primers LCO-1490 (5' GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG 3') and HCO-2198 (5' TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA 3') (Folmer et al. 1994). The PCR products were gel extracted, purified and sequenced in the forward and reverse direction, using the PCR primers, on the ABI PRISMTM 3500xl Genetic Analyse (INQABA Biotech).

Sequences were edited in BioEdit (vers. 7.2 (Hall 1999)) manually inspected against sequence nucleotide calls for nucleotide scoring. The forward sequences were then aligned with the reverse complements of the reverse sequences and joined to verify the sequences in both directions and to manually edit potentially erroneous nucleotide calls. The termini of the concatenated sequences were then trimmed at the primer sequence to reveal the clean sequence, which was then translated into protein sequences to further assess sequencing errors, since mtCOI is an expressed sequence. The resulting sequences were then compared with the nucleotide sequence collection in NCBI using nucleotide BLAST to determine any similarities with previously reported sequences and assess species identification. Nucleotide similarity of 98% was used as a basis of barcode species identification (Hebert et al. 2003). Nucleic acid sequences from sequenced species were deposited in GenBank and voucher specimens were deposited in the reference insect collection at IITA-Benin.

Statistical analyses

The number of emerging tephritid fruit fly species and braconid wasps were counted per sample, omitting the few samples from which no fruit fly pupae were recovered. To analyse whether the emergence count of fly species differed and by how much, a generalized linear mixed model with log link function was ran. A mixed model was also used to account for the variability due to the collection period expressed in month, treated as random effect in the model. Since the samples used to count the fruit fly emergence had different weight, a log-transformed fruit sample weight was used as offset in the model. Due to convergence problem encountered, brms package (Bürkner 2018) which provides an interface to fit Bayesian generalized linear multivariate multilevel models was used. Thus 2000 iterations on 4 chains was parameterized with default prior specification. The convergence of algorithm was accessed through the potential scale reduction factor (Rhat) on split chains where at convergence, its value is equal to 1. All statistical analyses were performed with the R software (version 3.6.0, R Development Core Team 2019).

Results

Morphological identification of tephritid flies

Based on morphological characteristics, according to Virgilio et al. (2014), a total of six Tephritidae species were identified. Fruit flies with an abdomen with fused tergites were identified as belonging to the genus Dacus (16,708 individuals), while flies with separate tergites were identified as belonging to the genus Zeugodacus (957 individuals). Hence, four Dacus species and one Zeugodacus species were identified among the fruit fly specimen. Morphologically, D. vertebratus and D. frontalis are relatively similar, with orange-brown thorax, a transverse suture with a triangular yellow mark, and no stripe on the scutum. The two species were distinguished through the differences in their wing and femur coloration. The wing of D. vertebratus has a costal band with an apical spot rounded at the end of the vein R_{4+5} and has an anal streak, while D. frontalis does not have a distinguished spot, and the costal band reaches further than the end of the vein R_{4+5} . The fore, mid and hind femurs of D. vertebratus are dark in the apical half, which also differentiates it from D. frontalis which was

only dark on the apical mid femur. We identified Dacus vertebratus in our collections, yet not D. frontalis. Dacus ciliatus was identified based on its predominantly orangebrown scutum without yellow or orange stripes, with two small dark spots on the lower scutum. Its narrow costal band with a small apical point extending midway between the R_{4+5} and M veins, the third tergite containing two small black spots on each side, are other key characteristics. Dacus punctatifrons was identified based on its orange-brown colour tending towards black with lateral and median stripes on the scutum. The wings contained a costal band up to half of the R_{4+5} vein with an anal streak, and its fore, mid and hind femora are yellow or orange at the basis and dark apically. Dark flies with lateral and median yellow stripes on scutum, very deep apically enlarged costal band, and fore and mid femurs that are dark on 2/3 of the apical end were identified as D. bivittatus. They were differentiated from D. lounsburyii through the coloration of the femur, which on the latter species is more yellowish. The only non-Dacus species (apart from the few C. cosyra species identified) was Z. cucurbitae, which has lateral and median stripes on the scutum, and typical wing coloration with a pre-apical cross-band at the dm-cu vein and a large apical point surrounding half of the R_{4+5} cell with an anal streak presence.

Tephritid species guild and infestation

The samples were heavily infested and we collected a total of 25,129 fruit fly pupae from which 17,665 adults emerged. Of the samples collected, 87% yielded fruit flies and 18% yielded parasitoids. The average emergence of fruit flies in 2016 and 2017 was 92.1 ± 12.1 /kg and 125.6 ± 17.5 /kg, respectively. The six identified fruit fly species included four *Dacus* species (*D. vertebratus* [n = 13,153], *D. ciliatus* [n = 3441], *D. punctatifrons* [n = 101], and *D. bivittatus* [n = 5]), one *Zeugodacus* species (*Z. cucurbitae* [n = 957]), and one *Ceratitis* species (*C. cosyra* [n = 8]). Overall, *D. vertebratus* was the dominant species and represented approximately 65% of all emerging flies (Table 1). The variation of emergence was nevertheless highest for *D. vertebratus*, followed by

Table 1Description of Tephritidae fruit fly species emergence perkilogram watermelon in 2016 and 2017

Tephritidae	Emergence / kg watermelon [mean \pm SE]		
	2016	2017	
D. vertebratus	49.2 ± 6.5	145.6 ± 21.6	
D. ciliatus	39.3 ± 5.9	8.3 ± 1.9	
Z. cucurbitae	0.4 ± 0.2	44.1 ± 10.5	
D. punctatifrons	1.5 ± 0.7	0.03 ± 0.02	
D. bivittatus	_	0.3 ± 0.1	

D. ciliatus (Table 2). The variation was greatest (43%) between *D. vertebratus* and *D. bivittatus* while the difference between *D. ciliatus* and *Z. cucurbitae* was only 5%. The monthly variation showed that *D. ciliatus* were present in high number during August and September and that *Z. cucurbitae* were present mainly in July and August (Fig. 1).

Parasitism

A total of 233 parasitoid specimens emerged from the pupae from the field-collected watermelon in Agbanzin-Kpota (Agbanzin-Kpota, 06.35515°N, 002.2266°E, 9 m; 16.viii.2016, 24.viii.2016, 31.viii.2016, 08.ix.2016, 15.ix.2016, 25.iv.2017, 09.vi.2017, 14.vi.2017, 21.vi.2017, 29.vi.2017, 04.vii.2017, 12.vii.2017, 19.vii.2017, 21.vii.2017, 28.vii.2017, and 04.viii.2017, coll. B. F. R. Layodé). The level of parasitism (calculated as number of emerging parasitoids/emerging fruit flies per sample) was low with an average of $1.6 \pm 6.4\%$ from all the samples.

Morphological and molecular identification of parasitoid

The external morphology of 30 parasitoids females was examined and appeared consistent with the characters used to identify Psyttalia spp. adults (Wharton 2007). The wings had numerous veins and cells, a presence of the RS + M vein and absence of the 2 m-cu vein (Fig. 2a), as for Braconidae species. The mandibles had a normal appearance, narrowing apically and overlapping when closed (Fig. 2b); and a sternaulus was present as a single sculptured groove (Fig. 2c), as for species in the subfamilies Opiinae and Braconinae. The mesonotum had an unsculptured notauli and a median stripe, the latter being a new feature for the species (Fig. 2d). The forewings had a large 2nd submarginal cell and a m-cu vein extending to the first submarginal cell and a second 4-sided submarginal cell (Fig. 2a). The parasitoids had hind wings with a relatively longer sub-basal cell, extending relatively longer than about half the distance to the origin of m-cu (the m-cu being absent, Fig. 2a). Finally, the parasitoid had a short clypeus and a large labrum exposed, which are descriptions used to distinguish the genus Psyttalia from other Opiinae genera e.g. Opius (Fig. 2b). The ovipositor was noticeably long (Fig. 2e), with ovipositor sheaths at least 2 times longer than hind tibia (Wilkinson 1927). Mean length of ovipositor were 3.74 ± 0.097 mm, ovipositor sheath 2.80 ± 0.08 mm, tibia 1.13 ± 0.031 mm and ratio between ovipositor sheath and hind tibia equalled 2.49 ± 0.051 .

The nine sequences mtCO1 amplified were identified as *Psyttalia phaeostigma* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). The 509–645 bp fragments had 99–100% homology (e = 0E00; Gaps =0/606) to the only available sequence previously deposited in GenBank from one individual collected in Kenya

 Table 2
 Difference in Tephritidae

 fruit fly species emergence (fixed effect) and variation due to date

 and month (Random effect)

	Parameter	Estimated fruit fly emergence [mean ± SD]	95% credible interval ^a		Rhat ^b
			lower	upper	
Fixed effect	Intercept (D. bivittatus)	2 ± 2	0	5	1.01
	D. ciliatus	18 ± 2	7	55	1.01
	D. punctatifrons	4 ± 2	1	12	1.00
	D. vertebratus	54 ± 2	22	167	1.01
	Z. cucurbitae	15 ± 2	6	48	1.01
Random effect	Intercept Month	2 ± 1	1	4	1.00

Values are transformed to the original scale from the logarithm model, using exponential function

^a Two-sided 95% credible intervals

^b Potential scale reduction factor (Rhat) for convergence. Rhat = 1 indicate that parameters converge well in the model

(Rugman-Jones et al. 2009). Our samples are archived in GenBank under accessions n° MK105570 - MK105576 and MK143392 - MK143393. The sequences obtained were compared with all available mitochondrial COI sequences in GenBank through phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood, and our specimens were clustering in the same supported clade along with the Kenyan specimen identified as *P. phaeostigma*, also showing relationship with other members of the *Psyttalia concolor* species complex (Fig. 3).

Discussion

This study presents an assessment of watermelon colonization by tephritid fruit flies and identification of parasitoids in the system which will be the basis for further investigation of management methods for the most devastating fruit fly species in West Africa. Tephritid fruit fly guild and population density differed among the collection months, yet D. vertebratus was the dominant species overall, followed by D. ciliatus and Z. cucurbitae. Previous report on tephritid-infestation of watermelon from Republic of Benin, showed likewise, a dominance of D. vertebratus, followed by D. ciliatus, and a very low infestation of D. punctatifrons, Z. cucurbitae, and D. bivittatus (Gnanvossou et al. 2008). From these two studies, we hypothesis that infestation by both D. ciliatus and Z. cucurbitae are becoming more important. In studies conducted in Ghana in 2011-2013, B. dorsalis, Z. cucurbitae and D. bivittatus were observed emerging from watermelon (Badii et al. 2015), which is greatly different from our findings. Another records on watermelon infestation from West Africa reported infestation of only Z. cucurbitae (Vayssières et al. 2007). In Tanzania watermelon is infested mainly by D. ciliatus (70%), followed by Z. cucurbitae (20%), and



Fig. 1 Monthly emergence of Tephritidae fruit flies per kilo watermelon

Fig. 2 Pictures of *Psyttalia* phaeostigma (a) Wings, 1. Vein RS + M, 2. Fore wings without 2 m-cu, 3. Hind wing without mcu, 1st = 1st submarginal cell, 2nd = 2nd submarginal cell, (b) Head 4. Clypeus 5. Labrum, 6. Mandibule, (c) Thorax lateral view 7. Sternaulus with single groove, (d) Thorax dorsal view 8. Mesonotum with median stripe, (e) Adult female



D. bivittatus (5%), while D. vertebratus and D. punctatifrons are scarce (Mwatawala et al. 2010). In Kenya the watermelon-infesting species are mainly Z. cucurbitae (50%) and D. vertebratus (50%) (Kambura et al. 2018). The guild and the dominating fruit fly species are hence greatly variable on the continent and within regions, yet the dominating three species are D. ciliatus, D. vertebratus and Z. cucurbitae. Due to the documented dominance of the exotic Z. cucurbitae over the indigenous Dacus species such as D. ciliatus in Africa (Vayssières et al. 2002), we had expected a greater presence of this invasive species over the native ones. Because of its larger body size, higher fecundity, higher fertility, longer life span, and shorter development time, in comparison to native species such as D. ciliatus (Vayssières et al. 2002), Z. cucurbitae was expected to increase in prevalence after its introduction in 2004 (Vayssières et al. 2007). Somewhat surprisingly, we did observe that the native D. ciliatus emerged in as high proportion as 70-90% during the initial production period in 2016, and that the native D. vertebratus, together with D. ciliatus, were the dominating species in the remaining months. Possibly, an interspecific competition occurs between Z. cucurbitae and D. ciliatus, hence, the increase of the former coincided with a reduction of the latter, yet the competitive superiority among species is yet to be disclosed, since conflicting results have been obtained. Studies conducted in Pakistan showed that D. ciliatus overcame the population of Z. cucurbitae by suppressing its larval development in interspecific competition (Quereshi et al. 1987), while in Reunion Islands, Z. cucurbitae outcompeted D. ciliatus (Vayssières et al. 2008). It is often stated that D. ciliatus

Fig. 3 Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree for the mitochondrial COI sequences of *Psyttalia* species available in Genbank including the *Psyttalia phaeostigma* specimen identified in our study. Reliability for internal branch values though a LRT test are shown



is the major competitor of *Z. cucurbitae* in Africa (Vayssières et al. 2008; Mwatawala et al. 2010); yet, here we observed that, *D. vertebratus* also competed with *Z. cucurbitae* in at least on watermelon. The reason for the inverted presence of *D. ciliatus* and *Z. cucurbitae* can also be related to the specific species-related precipitation and temperature requirements. It is therefore possible that the difference in rainfall pattern between the two years might have affected their abundance. In 2016 the precipitation of the area, whereas the first rainy season in 2017 was in contrast, moderate. Due to the inundation, the production started late in 2016, while in 2017 it was almost continuous from April to August (when our collections ended).

In our study, the field was treated with insecticides, primarily to manage the fruit fly infestation. The prospect of having fruits from a production without insecticides is very low as the tiny fruits (<2 cm) are often attacked (Vayssières et al. 2000, own experience). We did not quantify the percentage of reduction of infested fruits due to these applications, yet we have experience of producing without insecticides and not being able to harvest hardly any fruits. Our results allow us to report that tephritid fruit flies are causing heavy damage to watermelon production, even under conventional pesticide application regimes, as infested fruits were always observed in spite of the high insecticide applications rate of every 2nd or 3rd day, with a fruit fly emergence rate of approximately 100 individuals per kg fruit collected. Insecticide use in watermelon production in neighbouring Nigeria, to manage fruit flies, causes insecticide-residue levels in watermelon peel, pulp, seed, leaf and stem that exceeds acceptable daily intake value levels, and the maximum residue limits set for vegetables and soil (Mahmud et al. 2015). In a previous study, even nontarget watermelon-neighbouring crops contained elevated levels of insecticide residues (Essumang et al. 2013), reflecting the extreme pest pressure on the crop in West Africa. The compatibility between insecticides and natural enemies is vital for biological control, hence the current fruit fly management method is a problem for the use of parasitoids as biological control agents (Harbi et al. 2017). Non-specific tephritid insecticides, incompatible with natural enemies, risk destroying any arthropod, including biological control agents (Roubos et al. 2014; Biondi et al. 2012). It was therefore surprising that parasitism was encountered in the heavilyinsecticide-treated fields. It might then, be possible to combine a chemical control with biological control if planning the applications in relation to fructification period, natural enemy sensitivity, and insect activity in time and space (Hassan and Van de Veire 2004). Any Biological Control management with the identified species will have to be combined with a reduction in insecticide, and probably also fungicide use.

The parasitoid individuals were identified to be one species i.e. the solitary Braconidae species P. phaeostigma. Since the watermelons were removed from the field with either egg or larval staged fruit flies (not pupae), parasitation by P. phaeostigma could only have taken place in these stages. The parasitoid P. phaeostigma is member of the P. concolor (Szépligeti) species complex, whose members are difficult to distinguish morphologically (Silvestri 1913; Wharton and Gilstrap 1983). This group includes (among others) P. concolor sensu stricto, P. perproxima (Silvestri), P. humilis (Silvestri), P. lounsburyi and P. ponerofaga (Rugman-Jones et al. 2009). These species are closely related and are not biologically isolated, crossing resulting in viable offspring (Billah et al. 2008b). To differentiate the species, molecular methods (Rugman-Jones et al. 2009), morphometric methods (Wharton and Gilstrap 1983; Billah et al. 2008a), and their specific fruit fly host and/or fruit host preferences, have been used (Billah et al. 2008b). Molecular comparison with the single P. phaeostigma specimen from Kenya and the closely related species, together with the morphological features observed, confirmed this identity. However, the identity of various Psyttalia species is still uncertain and it is possible that the genus contains more than the current approximately 80 species reported (Wharton 2007, 2009; Wu et al. 2016), that cryptic species are present and some of the species are the same (Billah et al. 2008a, b; Wharton 2009).

The identified wasp might be an interesting biological control agent. Some *Psyttalia* species especially *P. concolor*, are used (Yokoyama et al. 2008; Miranda et al. 2008) or tested (Sime et al. 2007) in biological control programs against various tephritid fruit pests. The continuing interest in *Psyttalia* is directed primarily against such pests as *Z. cucurbitae*, *Bactrocera oleae* (Rossi), and *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Mohamed et al. 2003, 2006; Daane et al. 2008). Biological control releases of Opiinae species such as *P. fletcheri* and *Fopius arisanus* (Sonan) have been conducted for the management of *Z. cucurbitae* in Reunion Islands and Hawaii (Marquier et al. 2014). Although *P. phaeostigma* is not yet formally identified as a biological control agent, it has been associated with tephritid fruit flies as a potential natural enemy of Cucurbitaceae pests (Wharton 2007, 2009; Billah et al. 2008a; Wu et al. 2016) and could be a candidate for controlling fruit flies of the genera *Dacus* and *Zeugodacus*.

In any attempt to initiate mass-rearing and introduction of *P. phaeostigma*, it will be important to further investigate its ability to survive within the guild of tephritid fruit flies present in watermelon. *Psyttalia phaeostigma* preference for, and survival performance, in the main (or key) watermelon-infesting fruit flies will reveal the capacity to parasitize the specific species and sustain its population. Studies of its preference for host developmental stage and its ecological requirements are also pertinent. It might also be interesting to investigate whether the parasitism by *P. phaeostigma* (or other natural enemies) contributes to the fruit fly guild variability among regions. Such information will provide useful indications of whether biological control management of watermelon-infestation of *D. vertebratus, D. ciliatus,* and *Z. cucurbitae* shall be considered using *P. phaeostigma*.

Acknowledgments We thank Cyrille Akponon, Fabrice Akomavo Gbenonsi, Pascal Ayelo, Sahadatou Mama Sambo, Félicien Totin, Eudon-Marcus Ahokpossi, Joseph Zannou, Mistouriath Zakari-Touré, Abel Monsia, Aziz Achimi and Ronald Togbé Delano at IITA-Benin station for technical assistance. We are also thankful to all the farmers in Agbanzin-Kpota, who grew the watermelon for us.

Funding information This research was possible through funding to MFK by the Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Science and Spatial Planning (Formas) through the project grant 229–2013-1978.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest We declare that these research works have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Achigan-Dako E (2016) Citrullus Projet de Productivité Agricole en Afrique de l'Ouest (PPAAO). FSA, University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin. p 19
- Badii KB, Billah MK, Afreh-Nuamah K, Obeng-Ofori D (2015) Species composition and host range of fruit-infesting flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in northern Ghana. Int J Trop Insect Sci 35:137–151

- Bautista RC, Harris EJ, Vargas RI, Jang EB (2004) Parasitization of melon fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) by *Fopius arisanus* and *Psyttalia fletcheri* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and the effect of fruit substrates on host preference by parasitoids. Biol Control 30:156–164
- Billah MK, Kimani-Njogu SW, Wharton RA, Overholt WA, Wilson DD, Cobblah MA (2008a) Cross mating studies among five fruit fly parasitoid populations: potential biological control implications for tephritid pests. BioControl 53:709–724
- Billah MK, Kimani-Njogu SW, Wharton RA, Woolley JB, Masiga D (2008b) Comparison of five allopatric fruit fly parasitoid populations (*Psyttalia* species) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from coffee fields using morphometric and molecular methods. Bull Entomol Res 98:63–75
- Biondi A, Desneux N, Siscaro G, Zappalà L (2012) Using organiccertified rather than synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: selectivity and side effects of 14 pesticides on the predator *Orius laevigatus*. Chemosphere 87:803–812. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.12.082
- Bürkner PC (2018) Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms. The R Journal 10:395–411. https://doi.org/10.32614/ RJ-2018-017
- Charlery de la Masselière M, Ravigné V, Facon B, Lefeuvre P, Massol F, Quilici S, Duyck PF (2017) Changes in phytophagous insect host ranges following the invasion of their community: Long-term data for fruit flies. Ecol Evol 7:5181–5190
- Copeland RS, Wharton RA, Luke Q, De Meyer M, Lux S, Zenz N, Machera P, Okumu M (2006) Geographic distribution, host fruit, and parasitoids of African fruit fly pests *Ceratitis anonae*, *Ceratitis cosyra*, *Ceratitis fasciventris*, and *Ceratitis rosa* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Kenya. Ann Entomol Soc Am 99:261–278
- Copeland RS, White IM, Okumu M, Machera P, Wharton RA (2004) Insects associated with fruits of the Oleaceae (Asteridae, Lamiales) in Kenya, with special reference to the Tephritidae (Diptera). Bishop Museum Bulletin in Entomology 12:135–164
- Daane KM, Sime KR, Wang X, Nadel H, Johnson MW, Walton VM, Kirk A, Pickett CH (2008) *Psyttalia lounsburyi* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), potential biological control agent for the olive fruit fly in California. Biol Control 44:79–89
- Dhillon MK, Singh R, Naresh JS, Sharma HC (2005) The melon fruit fly, Bactrocera cucurbitae: a review of its biology and management. J Insect Sci 5:1–16
- Ekesi S, De Meyer M, Mohamed SA, Virgilio M, Borgemeister C (2016) Taxonomy, ecology, and management of native and exotic fruit fly species in Africa. Annu Rev Entomol 61:219–238
- Essumang DK, Asare EA, Dodoo DK (2013) Pesticides residues in okra (non-target crop) grown close to a watermelon farm in Ghana. Environ Monit Assess 185:7617–7625
- FAO (2012) International standards for phytosanitary measures systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae). 1–10
- Fatondji D, Pasternak D, Woltering L (2008) Watermelon production on stored rainwater in Sahelian sandy soils. African J Plant Sci 2:151– 160
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol 3: 294–299
- Gnanvossou D, Hanna R, Azandémè G, Georgen G, Tindo T, Agbaka A (2008) Inventaire et importance des dégâts des mouches de fruits sur quelques espèces de cucurbitaceae au Bénin. In: Adjanohoun A, Igué K (eds). Actes de l'atelier scientifique national. Abomey Calavi, Benin, pp 140–145
- Hall A (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95–98
- Harbi A, Abbes K, Sabater-Muñoz B, Beitia F, Chermiti B (2017) Residual toxicity of insecticides used in Tunisian citrus orchards

on the imported parasitoid *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): implications for IPM program of *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Span J Agric Res 15: e1008 ISSN 2171-9292

- Hassan SA, Van de Veire M (2004) Compatibility of pesticides with biological control agents. In: Heinz KM, Van Driesche RG, Parrella MP (eds) Biocontrol in protected agriculture. Ball Publishing, Batavia, pp 129–147
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR (2003) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 270:313– 321
- Harris EJ, Bautista RC, Vargas RI, Jang EB, Eitam A (2010) Suppression of melon fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) populations with releases of *Fopius arisanus* and *Psyttalia fletcheri* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in North Shore Oahu, HI, USA. BioControl 55:593– 599
- Kambura C, Tanga CM, Kilalo D, Muthomi J, Salifu D, Rwomushana I, Mohamed SA, Ekesi S (2018) Composition, host range and host suitability of vegetable-infesting tephritids on cucurbits cultivated in Kenya. Afr Entomol 26:379–397
- Kaplan I, Denno RF (2007) Interspecific interactions in phytophagous insects revisited: a quantitative assessment of competition theory. Ecol Lett 10:977–994
- Livak KJ (1984) Organization and mapping of a sequence on the *Drosophila melanogaster* X and Y chromosomes that is transcribed during spermatogenesis. Genetics 107:611–634
- Mahmud MM, Akan JC, Mohammed Z, Battah N (2015) Assessment of organophosphorus and pyrethroid pesticide residues in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) and soil samples from Gashua, bade local government area Yobe state, Nigeria. JEPHH 3:52–61
- Marquier M, Clain C, Albon B, Roux E, Deguine JP (2014) Lâchers de *Psyttalia fletcheri* (Silvestri) (Braconidae: Opiinae) sur cultures de cucurbitacées à la Réunion. Cah Agric 23:188–194
- De Meyer M, Delatte H, Mwatawala M, Quilici S, Vayssières J-F, Virgilio M (2015) A review of the current knowledge on *Zeugodacus cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Africa. ZooKeys 557:539–557
- De Meyer M, Ekesi S (2016) Exotic invasive fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae): in and out of Africa. In: Ekesi S, Mohamed SA, De Meyer M (eds) Fruit fly research and development in Africa - towards a sustainable management strategy to improve horticulture. Springer, Switzerland, pp 127–150
- Miranda MA, Miquel M, Terrassa J, Melis N, Monerris M (2008) Parasitism of *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera; Tephritidae) by *Psyttalia concolor* (Hymenoptera; Braconidae) in the Balearic Islands (Spain). J Appl Entomol 132:798–805
- Mohamed SA, Overholt WA, Wharton RA, Lux SA (2006) Effect of temperature on developmental time and longevity of *Psyttalia cosyrae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Biocontrol Sci Tech 16:717– 726
- Mohamed SA, Overholt WA, Wharton RA, Lux SA, Eltoum EM (2003) Host specificity of *Psyttalia cosyrae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and the effect of different host species on parasitoid fitness. Biol Control 28:155–163
- Mwatawala M, Maerere AP, Makundi R, De Meyer M (2010) Incidence and host range of the melon fruit fly *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Central Tanzania. Int J Pest Manage 56:265–273
- Narayanan ES, Chawla S (1962) Parasites of fruit fly pests of the world. Beitrage zur Entomol 12:437–476
- Ovruski SM, Wharton RA, Schliserman P, Aluja M (2005) Abundance of Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera: Tephritidae) and its associated native parasitoids (Hymenoptera) in "feral" guavas growing in the endangered northernmost Yungas forests of Argentina with an update on the taxonomic status of Opiine parasitoids previously reported in this country. Environ Entomol 34:807–818

- Parisey N, Bourhis Y, Roques L, Soubeyrand S, Ricci B, Poggi S (2016) Rearranging agricultural landscapes towards habitat quality optimisation: *In silico* application to pest regulation. Ecol Complex 28: 113–122
- Quereshi A, Hussain T, Siddiqui QH (1987) Interspecific competition of Dacus cucurbitae Coq. and Dacus ciliatus Loew in mixed infestation of cucurbits. J Appl Entomol 104:429–432
- R Core Team (2019) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL. https://www.R-project.org/
- Roubos CR, Rodrigues-Saona C, Isaacs R (2014) Mitigating the effects of insecticides on arthropod biological control at field and landscape scales. Biol Control 75:28–38
- Rugman-Jones PF, Wharton R, Noort T, van and Stouthamer R. (2009) Molecular differentiation of the *Psyttalia concolor* (Szépligeti) species complex (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) associated with olive fly, *Bactrocera oleae* (Rossi) (Diptera: Tephritidae), in Africa. Biol Control 49:17–26
- Sapkota R (2010) Damage assessment and management of cucurbit fruit flies in spring-summer squash. J. Entomol. Nematol 2:7–12
- Silvestri F (1913) Viaggio in Africa per cercare parassiti di mosche di frutti. Bolletino del Laboratorio di Zoologia Generale e Agraria 8:1–164
- Sime KR, Daane KM, Kirk A, Andrews JW, Johnson MW, Messing RH (2007) *Psyttalia ponerophaga* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) as a potential biological control agent of olive fruit fly *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in California. Bull Entomol Res 97:233
- Thompson WR (1943) A catalogue of the parasites and predators of insect pests. Parasites of the Dermaptera and Diptera. Imperial Agricultural Bureaux, Slough, 2:99
- Vayssières J-F, Delvare G, Maldès JM, Aberlenc HP (2000) Inventaire preliminaire des arthropodes ravageurs et auxiliaires des cultures maraicheres sur l'ile de la Réunion. Insect Sci Appl 21:1–22
- Vayssières J-F, Carel Y, Coubes M (2002) Demographic parameters and biotic factors of two Dacini species, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* and *Dacus ciliatus*, on Réunion Island. pp. 91–95. In Proceedings of the 6th International Fruit Fly Symposium, 6–10 May 2002, Stellenbosch, South Africa
- Vayssières J-F, Rey J-Y, Traoré L (2007) Distribution and host plants of Bactrocera cucurbitae in West and Central Africa. Fruits 62:391– 396
- Vayssières J-F, Carel Y, Coubes M, Duyck PF (2008) Development of immature stages and comparative demography of two cucurbitattacking fruit flies in Reunion Island: *Bactrocera cucurbitae* and *Dacus ciliatus* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Environ Entomol 37:307–314

- Vayssières J-F, Korie S, Ayegnon D (2009) Correlation of fruit fly (Diptera Tephritidae) infestation of major mango cultivars in Borgou (Benin) with abiotic and biotic factors and assessment of damage. Crop Prot 28:477–488
- Virgilio M, Delatte H, Backeljau T, De Meyer M (2010) Macrogeographic population structuring in the cosmopolitan agricultural pest *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Mol Ecol 19:2713–2724
- Virgilio M, White I, De Meyer M (2014) A set of multi-entry identification keys to African frugivorous flies (Diptera, Tephritidae). ZooKeys 428:97–108
- Wang X, Jarjees EA, Mcgraw BK, Ada F (2005) Effects of spinosadbased fruit fly bait GF-120 on tephritid fruit fly and aphid parasitoids. Biol Control 35:155–162
- Wharton RA (2007) The Wharton Lab: Keys: Parasitoids of Fruit-Infesting Tephritidae. http://mx.speciesfile.org/projects/8/public/ clave/show/1000
- Wharton RA (2009) Two new species of *Psyttalia* Walker (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Opiinae) reared from fruit-infesting tephritid (Diptera) hosts in Kenya. ZooKeys 20:349–377
- Wharton RA, Gilstrap FE (1983) Key to and status of Opiine braconid (Hymenoptera) parasitoids used in biological control of *Ceratitis* and *Dacus s. l.* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 76: 721–742
- White I, Elson-Harris M (1992) Fruit flies of economic importance: their identification and bionomics. CAB International, Wallingford, p 601
- Wilkinson DS (1927) Eight new species of Braconidae. Bull Entomol Res 18:33–46
- Wu Q, van Achterberg C, Tan J-L, Chen X-X (2016) Review of the east Palaearctic and north oriental *Psyttalia* Walker, with the description of three new species (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Opiinae). ZooKeys 629:103–151
- Wyckhuys KAG, Korytkowski C, Martinez J, Herrera B, Rojas M, Ocampo J (2012) Species composition and seasonal occurrence of Diptera associated with passionfruit crops in Colombia. Crop Prot 32:90–98
- Ye H, Liu J (2007) Population dynamics of oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province, China. Front Agric China 1:76–80
- Yokoyama VY, Rendón PA, Sivinski J (2008) Psyttalia concolor (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) for biological control of olive fruit Fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) in California. Environ Entomol 37:764–773

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.