CORRECTION

Correction to: *Trichoderma asperellum* increases crop yield and fruit weight of blackberry (*Rubus glaucus*) under subtropical Andean conditions

William Viera¹ · Michelle Noboa² · Aníbal Martínez¹ · Francisco Báez¹ · Rosendo Jácome¹ · Lorena Medina² · Trevor Jackson³

Published online: 17 June 2019
© Society for Plant Research 2019

Correction to: Vegetos
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-019-00024-5>

Unfortunately, an error occurred in Tables 2, 3, and 6. The corrected Tables 2, 3, and 6 are given below.

Unfortunately an error occurred in section “Results and Discussion” part **Yield and weight fruit of blackberry**. The 1st sentence should be read: The application of *T. asperellum* and location of the experiment affected crop yield and fruit weight (Table 2).

Table 2 Probability values from the ANOVA for the effects of *Trichoderma asperellum*, location and month of sampling on crop yield and fruit weight of blackberry (N = 144)

Factors	Variables	
	Monthly yield (g/plant)	Average fruit weight (g)
<i>T. asperellum</i>	0.005290**	2.864e−05***
Location	5.386e−06***	< 2.2e−16***
Month	0.033261*	0.9421
Location × month	0.656094	0.5364
<i>T. asperellum</i> × month	0.947512	0.2203
<i>T. asperellum</i> × location	0.003999**	1.809e−07***
<i>T. asperellum</i> × location × month	0.937475	0.9998

* and ** indicate significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 , respectively

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42535-019-00024-5>.

✉ Michelle Noboa
noboamichelle@gmail.com

¹ Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Quito, Ecuador

² Instituto Interamericano de Cooperación para la Agricultura, Quito, Ecuador

³ AgResearch, Christchurch, New Zealand

Table 3 Crop yield and fruit weight of mora after application of *Trichoderma asperellum* averaged over tree locations of Ecuador (N = 144)

Treatment	Variables	
	Crop yield	Fruit weight
	Average (g/plant)	Average (g/fruit)
<i>T. asperellum</i> -Tisaleo	5350 ^a	7.07 ^a
Witness-Tisaleo	4447 ^{ab}	6.55 ^{ab}
<i>T. asperellum</i> -Píllaro	3961 ^{abc}	6.04 ^{bc}
Witness-Píllaro	3407 ^{bc}	5.36 ^d
<i>T. asperellum</i> -HuachiGrande	3841 ^{bc}	5.41 ^{cd}
Witness-Huachi Grande	2751 ^c	5.07 ^d

Mean values within the same columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey HSD test ($P < 0.05$)

Table 6 Effect of the application of *Trichoderma asperellum* on the soil fungal population

Factors	Population monthly (UFC)	Treatment	Average population of <i>T. asperellum</i> (UFC)
<i>T. asperellum</i>	0.0003***	<i>T. asperellum</i> -H. Grande	13 840 ^a
Location	0.01668*	<i>T. asperellum</i> -Píllaro	9 414 ^{ab}
Month	0.10114	<i>T. asperellum</i> -Tisaleo	7 195 ^b
Location × month	0.24835	Control-Tisaleo	116.7 ^c
<i>T. asperellum</i> × month	0.12242	Control-Píllaro	105.6 ^c
<i>T. asperellum</i> × location	0.01429*	Control-H. Grande	37.5 ^c
<i>T. asperellum</i> × loc × mon	0.24301		

* and ** indicate significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 , respectively. Mean values within the same columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey HSD test ($P < 0.05$)