Performance assessment and optimization of a helical Savonius wind turbine by modifying the Bach's section

M. Niyat Zadeh¹ · M. Pourfallah¹ · S. Safari Sabet¹ · M. Gholinia² · S. Mouloudi³ · A. Taheri Ahangar¹

Received: 29 January 2021 / Accepted: 7 July 2021

Published online: 14 July 2021

© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we attempted to measure the effect of Bach's section, which presents a high-power coefficient in the standard Savonius model, on the performance of the helical Savonius wind turbine, by observing the parameters affecting turbine performance. Assessment methods based on the tip speed ratio, torque variation, flow field characterizations, and the power coefficient are performed. The present issue was stimulated using the turbulence model SST ($k-\omega$) at 6, 8, and 10 m/s wind flow velocities via COMSOL software. Numerical simulation was validated employing previous articles. Outputs demonstrate that Bach-primary and Bach-developed wind turbine models have less flow separation at the spoke-end than the simple helical Savonius model, ultimately improving wind turbines' total performance and reducing spoke-dynamic loads. Compared with the basic model, the Bach-developed model shows an 18.3% performance improvement in the maximum power coefficient. Bach's primary model also offers a 12.4% increase in power production than the initial model's best performance. Furthermore, the results indicate that changing the geometric parameters of the Bach model at high velocities (in turbulent flows) does not significantly affect improving performance.

Keywords Helical Savonius · Bach's primary model · Bach's developed model · Power and torque coefficient

1 Introduction

Energy has an essential role in solving problems to achieve sustainability and development of different countries [1]. Work to obtain the required energy enjoys a direct correlation with productivity and economic development. So far, remarkable progress in conjunction with renewable energy has been made [1, 2]. Solar, wind, wave, and geothermal energies are among the most important renewable energies. Wind energy is considered one of the newest growing electricity production resources [3]. The reason for this widespread attraction is due to various advantages offered by wind energy. Some of the advantages are offering clean energy, high stability, low cost, etc. Additionally,

wind turbine plants use minimal water and occupy less land compared to other electricity production plants [4, 5]. Wind energy is significant due to rapid technological advances, the competitive space of wind turbine manufacturers, and their production's quantitative increase levels. Although the initial investment for purchasing and installing wind turbines may be high, their rate of return is considerable. Since many parameters influence wind turbines' performance, not many studies have been conducted on wind turbines' different types and geometries [6]. For example, Koroneos [7] analyzed energy and exergy for a wind energy system for the first time. Baskut et al. [8] measured the difference between energy and exergy efficiency for three turbines in the Cesne and Izmir wind sites.

✉ M. Pourfallah, m.pourfallah@ustmb.ac.ir | ¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Mazandaran University of Science and Technology, Babol, Iran. ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, Babol, Iran. ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia.

They concluded that the turbines' exergy efficiency has changed during different periods of the year by between 0 and 68.2%. Sagol et al. [9] reviewed the effect of the surface roughness on the wind turbine blade on aerodynamic performance and turbine power generation. Pope et al. [10] also analyzed the exergy flow of four wind turbine models (two vertical and two horizontal axes). This study investigated different wind flow velocity changes, temperature, and pressure changes on wind turbines. Moreover, Khalfallah and Koliub [11] studied the effects of dust particle roughness on wind turbine power production in a desert site. Further examples of the latest research pointing out the importance of this issue can be found in references [12, 13].

Savonius wind turbines offer advantages, such as high torque, simple design, and working capability in the wind flow direction, although having less aerodynamic efficiency [14]. Savonius wind turbines are widely used on a micro- and small scale, such as producing domestic and residential electricity. However, what makes spiral Savonius wind turbines different from their standard counterparts is that the spiral model has a positive torque coefficient for all rotor angles, enabling better performance than traditional Savonius wind turbines [15]. Also, many studies have been conducted on investigating Savonius turbines experimentally and numerically to improve this type of turbine's performance. In a comprehensive study conducted by Akwa et al. [16] on Savonius wind turbine structure, different kinds of Savonius wind turbines were studied in detail. Their advantages and disadvantages and wind flow effect on performance were identified. In a study conducted by Roy and Saha [17], a two-spoked Savonius wind turbine with different sections such as semicircle, oval, Bach, and Benesh was investigated in the wind tunnel. Studying the spoke's aerodynamic behavior using various Reynolds numbers, it was found that correctly establishing the wind turbine sections may result in an improved power output of up 35%. Ricci et al. [18] investigated a Savonius vertical-axis wind turbine (VAWT) numerically, aiming to improve the performance of a spiral wind turbine for a passage lighting system. The study concluded that blocking the higher and lower parts of the spokes with circular sheets and overlapping spokes at the rotor center increases turbine efficiency. Jeon et al. [19] studied the effects of changing the shape of the first and last sheets of helical turbine spokes on wind turbine performance. They concluded that the last circular sheets with more than one coverage coefficient provide the best option in increasing efficiency for this wind turbine model. Driss et al. [20] focused on the geometry of the section within Savonius turbines' in optimizing turbine rotor performance. They concluded that increasing the spoke's circular curvature also increases pressure drops.

This study aims at stimulating an example of VAWT for a helical Savonius type at three different wind velocities (6, 8, and 10 m/s) using COMSOL software. Therefore, the decision was made to measure helical Savonius wind turbines by considering effective parameters on the performance of the turbine (the effect of rotor upper and lower sheets, helical vertical-axis turbine torsion angle, and Bach's section effect) and reporting the improvement of helical Savonius wind turbines compared with the circular spiral model.

2 Wind turbine under investigation

The wind turbine studied in this research is a helical Savonius vertical-axis wind turbine, and it is considered to be made up of fiberglass-based on the study by Jeon et al. [19]. Figures 1 and 2 show the schema of this turbine. This turbine includes two spokes with a semicircular section and 180° rotation angle, as well as circular covering sheets at the top and the bottom of the wind turbine rotor. Table 1 shows the full information of the geometrical dimensions of this turbine. Savonius wind turbines have many advantages such as high torque, simple design, and capability of operation in any wind direction, although they have limited aerodynamic performance. Savonius wind turbines are extensively employed in small scale such as domestic and residential power generation; however, what causes the superiority of the helical Savonius wind turbine relative to its conventional model is that the helical model has a positive torque coefficient for all rotor angles that this feature causes to have better performance compared to conventional Savonius wind turbines.

First, the turbine mentioned in reference [19] was stimulated using the turbulence model SST ($k-\omega$) at 6, 8, and 10 m/s wind flow velocities via COMSOL software. Then, after validating the results from changing the

Fig. 1 Schematic of Savonius helical wind turbine

Fig. 2 **a** Schematic of the improved Bach model; **b** Schematic of the Bach model

Table 1 Geometric specifications of wind turbines

Geometry	Dimensions
Rotor length	700 mm
Rotor diameter	350 mm
Shaft diameter	25 mm
Blade thickness	4 mm
Square width	4200 mm
Square length	10,150 mm
Square height	4200 mm

wind turbine section into two Bach and Bach-developed models, the behavior of the wind turbine was investigated and compared with parameters such as the output power factor, production torque coefficient, velocity, and pressure contours in the environment.

2.1 Mathematical equations

The primary relationships for calculating the parameters affecting the performance of wind turbines are as follows:

Explanation	Equations
Tip speed ratio of the blade (λ) [4,21]	$\lambda = \frac{\Omega \cdot R}{U_{\infty}}$ <p>(R is the radius of the rotor, Ω is the angular velocity of the rotor, and U_{∞} is the velocity of fluid.)</p>
The power coefficient or (C_p) [4,21]	$C_p = \frac{P_r}{0.5 \rho A U_{\infty}^3}$ <p>(P_r is the turbine power, ρ is the fluid density and A is the area swept.)</p>
The torque coefficient or (C_T) [4,21]	$C_T = \frac{M_r}{0.5 \rho A U_{\infty}^2 R}$ <p>(M_r is the turbine torque.)</p>
The relevance between torque coefficient and power coefficient [4,21]	$C_p = \lambda C_T$

2.1.1 Continuity equation for turbulent flow

Differential form of continuity equation is written in the form of Eq. (1) [22]:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho u_i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) for the instantaneous values of the turbulent flow is established. If time averaging is performed by Eq. (1), the continuity equation for turbulent flow is expressed in the form of Eq. (2) by putting the instantaneous values with time-average values and fluctuation values, as well as Reynolds averaging rules [22]:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\bar{\rho} \bar{u}_i') + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\bar{\rho}' \bar{u}_i') = 0 \quad (2)$$

Because $\rho' = 0$, Eq. (2) will be in the form of Eq. (3) for an incompressible flow [22]:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (3)$$

2.1.2 Momentum equation for turbulent flow

The momentum equation for incompressible flow with constant viscosity is in the form of Eq. (4) [23].

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) = -\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + S_i \quad (4)$$

It should be noted that Eq. (4) is valid for both laminar and turbulent flows. However, dependent variables such as speed and pressure are time-dependent for a turbulent flow. After performing a series of mathematical operations and necessary simplifications, the momentum equation for turbulent flow is expressed in the form of Eq. (5) [24].

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_j \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} \right) = -\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\mu \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} - \rho \bar{u}_j' \bar{u}_i' \right) + S_i \quad (5)$$

Model $k-\omega$ SST was introduced by Menter [25] in order to combine the accurate and powerful formulation of model $k-\omega$ with model $k-\epsilon$ since the model $k-\omega$ gives good results near the wall and the model $k-\epsilon$ independent of free flow in areas far from the wall [26]. Simultaneously, this model has the high ability of the model $k-\omega$ in areas with low Reynolds number and the proper ability of model $k-\epsilon$ in areas with high Reynolds number. By examining recent studies in turbulent flow modeling around vertical-, horizontal-axis wind turbines and even flow simulation around aerators employed in wind

Fig. 3 General geometry for simulation (or the domain of the problem)

Fig. 4 Schematic diagram of the boundary conditions applied to the problem

turbines, it can be evidently understood that $k-\omega$ SST model produces acceptable and satisfactory solutions.

3 Boundary conditions

Figures 3 and 4 show the domain of the problem and an overview of the points which are defined as initial conditions.

3.1 Inlet Boundary Condition

According to Fig. 4, velocity inlet conditions have been considered for level A in the current modeling.

3.2 Outlet Boundary Condition

The pressure outlet border condition has been considered for the outlet sheet (level B in Fig. 4). In this border condition, relative pressure in the border plus the

Table 2 Results for grid independence checking

Number	Velocity inlet (m/s)	Total number of mesh cells	Torque coefficient applied to the rotor
1	6	1,932,145	16.0
2	6	2,132,982	21.0
3	6	3,332,874	24.0
4	6	4,003,955	25.0

turbulence information of the backflow are considered in the state where the flow enters the border.

3.3 Wall Condition

Wall condition has been considered for all wind turbine components, including rotor parts (level C in Fig. 4). In this condition, surface roughness and the no-slip condition are specified. In the default model, wind flow components are equal to zero on this border, considering the no-slip condition.

3.4 Periodic Condition

The spoke enclosing cylinder (level D in Fig. 4) has a periodic property due to the rotation of the turbine. Therefore, the periodic border condition was considered.

3.5 Interior Levels Condition

All interior levels that are not rigid geometry designed to lessen networking around objects are within the interior level border condition category. This border is practically a part of fluid space, and the flow passes through without change.

Table 3 Comparison of production torque coefficient between simulation results and wind tunnel at 8 m/s

Tip speed ratio	Past studies [15]	CFD simulation	Relative error rate (%)
2.0	245.0	252.0	8.2
6.0	192.0	202.0	2.5
1	042.0	05.0	19

Table 4 Comparison of production power coefficient between simulation results and past studies at 8 m/s

Tip speed ratio	Past studies [15]	CFD simulation	Relative error rate (%)
2.0	05.0	053.0	6
6.0	123.0	128.0	4
1	056.0	061.0	9.8

4 Grid independence checking

In this study, different stimulations were completed by producing mesh in various dimensions and sizes. The torque produced by the rotor in each mesh is measured until the increasing number of mesh nodes does not significantly influence torque. This process firstly began with a larger mesh and gradually finished with finer ones. Table 2 shows the specifications of the system used in this study. According to Table 2, the torque coefficient in mesh 3 and 4 has a minimal difference. Therefore, it is possible to use mesh number 3, which is the basis of the current stimulation. (See Fig. 5).

4.1 Validation

To validate the information from the stimulations, initially, the results of the torque coefficient and the spiral Savonius model's power, with a circular section, were compared with the results out of the values extracted from the wind

Fig. 5 The schematic of domain discretization (meshing)

Fig. 6 **a** Wind flow around a simple helical Savonius turbine; **b** Air pressure around a simple helical Savonius turbine

tunnel in the study conducted by Jeon et al. [19] in Tables 3 and 4, the data are shown at 8 m/s wind velocity in three different tip speed ratios of 0.2, 0.6, and 1. By comparing the results, it can be determined that there is an acceptable agreement between the simulation results and the results extracted from the wind tunnel.

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Velocity and pressure

Figures 6 (a-b) has dealt with the post-process CFD information at a 6 m/s wind velocity and 50 rpm rotational speed in COMSOL software to investigate the simple helical aerodynamic characteristics of the Savonius model. These variables are air velocity around the blade and wind flow pressure around the wind turbine, respectively. Considering the wind flow's value and the spoke rotation, the wind flow velocity pattern is perfectly different in the spoke's right half from wind flow velocity in the left half. This diverse pattern will change the pressure contour in each half of the spoke, creating torque in the spokes' rotation direction. Therefore, forward to the section studied in Figs. 6 (a-b), the more commonly pressure and velocity patterns are divided into both sides of the spoke. This results in the vertical forces on the rotor that fatigue the

system being more controllable and increasing torque coefficient and power in the turbine.

Figures 7 (a-b-c) investigates the pressure pattern of the spoke within simple helical Savonius wind turbines, primarily the Bach model and Bach-developed model at 6, 8, and 10 m/s wind flow velocities. As illustrated, an increased pressure gradient on the two spokes' surface creates more torque around the turbine axes. According to these figures, each part of the spoke's pressure pattern is entirely different due to rotation along the spoke, making the investigation of turbine behavior complicated. Furthermore, in the Savonius model, compared to the other two models, the pressure difference in both parts of the blade is more negligible, which reduces the output torque. In contrast, this pressure gradient is seen more often in the Bach-developed model.

In addition, while investigating wind flow patterns behind the wind turbines under investigation in Figs. 8 (a-b), most wind velocity gradient changes are behind the turbine in simple helical Savonius wind turbines. This disruption in the lower side wind flow pattern is more significant due to the separation of wind flow from the spokes' ends, creating reverse pressure behind the spoke. Therefore, designing spokes precisely postpones separation in the turbine. According to the figures, a simple helical Savonius wind turbine has zero wind velocity at the right half of the spoke's end, which results in flow separation at the end of the spoke. Compared with Fig. 8b, the separation

a Pressure contour on wind turbine blade at wind speed of 6 m/s and rotational speed 75 rpm

b Pressure contour on wind turbine blade at wind speed of 8 m/s and rotational speed 150 rpm

c Pressure contour on wind turbine blade at wind speed of 10 m/s and rotational speed 250 rpm

Fig. 7 **a** Pressure contour on wind turbine blade at wind speed of 6 m/s and rotational speed 75 rpm; **b** Pressure contour on wind turbine blade at wind speed of 8 m/s and rotational speed 150 rpm;

c Pressure contour on wind turbine blade at wind speed of 10 m/s and rotational speed 250 rpm

Fig. 8 **a** Downstream flow behind a simple helical Savonius wind turbine at 10 m/s; **b** Downstream flow behind the Bach developed wind turbine at 10 m/s

area has become weaker for the Bach-developed wind turbine at the spoke tip, meaning a perfect wind rotational flow has occurred behind the simple helical Savonius wind turbine, disturbing the wind flow pattern. In contrast, this area has become weaker in the Bach-developed wind turbines.

5.2 Power coefficient and torque coefficient

In the operating mode of Savonius turbines, the upwind blades produce positive torque, and the downwind blades produce negative torque. If the result of these torques is positive, the turbine produces positive torque. The relative speed on the downwind blades is the sum of the wind speed and blade speed, but this speed is equal to

the difference between wind speed and blade speed for upwind blades. As the blade drag coefficient and the relative speed on it are higher, the greater drag force is applied to the blade, and the blade produces more torque. Typically, the relative speed on the downwind blades is higher than the upwind blades; on the other hand, upwind blades have a higher drag coefficient. As long as the upwind blade torque is higher in contrast between the blade drag coefficient and the relative speed on it, the turbine torque will be positive. By increasing the blade tip speed ratio, the relative speed on the downwind blades increases, and the relative speed on the upwind blades decreases. Thus, the turbine torque becomes negative from a blade tip speed ratio onward. This causes Savonius turbines to be employed in the ranges of low blade tip speed ratios.

Fig. 9 **a** Power coefficient against the tip speed ratio for three different models at 6 m/s; **b** Torque coefficient against the tip speed ratio for three different models at 6 m/s

Fig. 10 **a** Power coefficient against the tip speed ratio for three different models at 8 m/s; **b** Torque coefficient against the tip speed ratio for three different models at 8 m/s

Fig. 11 **a** Power coefficient against the tip speed ratio for three different models at 10 m/s; **b** Torque coefficient against the tip speed ratio for three different models at 10 m/s

Figure 9a shows the ratio of tip speed against the power coefficient at a velocity of 6 m/s. According to this figure, to some extent, the produced power coefficient increases by increasing the tip speed. After passing through this path and creating the maximum power coefficient, the turbine observes a reduction in this parameter by raising the tip speed. Given the modeling, the presented models have a higher production power coefficient compared to the basic model. Bach-developed models show a 16.1% performance improvement in the maximum power coefficient. Bach's primary model also offers a 10.21% power production increase compared with the initial model's best performance. Figure 9b shows the torque coefficient changes based on the differences in tip speed ratio. As shown, the tip speed increase reduces the torque coefficient. This issue is predominantly due to increased loads on the turbine rotor shaft, ultimately decreasing torque and velocity.

Figure 10a shows the tip speed ratio against the power coefficient at a velocity of 8 m/s. The two Bach models in this study offer relatively better performance at 8 m/s than function conditions in 6 m/s, especially during high tip speed velocities. Compared with the basic model, the Bach-developed model shows an 18.3% performance improvement in the maximum power coefficient. Bach's primary model also offers a 12.4% power production increase compared to the initial model's best performance. Additionally, Fig. 10b shows torque coefficient changes based on the differences in the tip speed ratio. Based on the previous figure, the rate of relative performance improvement is more than that of the velocity at 6 m/s in this diagram.

Figures 11 (a-b) shows the tip speed ratio against the power coefficient at a velocity of 10 m/s. There is a significant distance between the production power coefficient and torque coefficient for the two models presented compared to the basic model at this velocity, especially at high tip speeds. However, it is worth mentioning that at this velocity, the performance distance between the two Bach models is much less than the performance at wind velocities of 6 and 8 m/s. Compared to the basic model, the Bach-developed model shows a 21% performance improvement in the maximum power coefficient. Furthermore, the highest torque coefficient performance improvement was achieved by the Bach-developed model at 14.8%.

6 Conclusion

This study evaluated the wind turbine performance improvement at 6, 8, and 10 m/s velocities by changing the Bach section's geometrical dimensions compared to the

simple helical Savonius model for the different tip speeds ratios in numerical fluid dynamic environments. The results of this study are categorized as follows:

- Bach-primary and Bach-developed wind turbine models have less flow separation at the spoke's end than the simple helical Savonius model, resulting in a total improvement in wind turbines' performance and reduced spoke dynamic loads.
- The two presented Bach models have a more continuous pressure pattern compared to the basic model. This event demonstrates that the pressure gradient on both sides of the spoke progresses to produces more torque.
- Changing the Bach model's geometry enables wind flow to advance behind the spoke promptly while significantly decreasing rotational flow production behind the turbine.
- The Bach-developed model has the best performance at a 6 m/s velocity and a tip speed of 0.6, compared to the other two models, demonstrating that this model can correct wind flow in the lower hand.
- Performance improvement is more significant in both of the presented models compared to the basic model at a 10 m/s velocity, demonstrating the principle that during higher turbulence flows, the helical Savonius basic model is unable to absorb a high amount of power.
- Changing the geometric parameters of the Bach model at high velocities (in turbulent flows) does not significantly affect performance improvement.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, Iran.

Funding This study received no specific grant from any funding agency within the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval This article does not contain any experimentation with human participants or animals.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended

use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Tjahjana DDDP, Arifin Z, Suyitno S, Juwana WE, Prabowo AR, Harsito C (2021) Experimental study of the effect of slotted blades on the Savonius wind turbine performance. *Theor Appl Mech Lett* 52095–0349:00056–00058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2021.100249>
2. Al-Ghriybah M, Zulkafli MF, Didane DH, Mohd S (2021) The effect of spacing between inner blades on the performance of the Savonius wind turbine. *Sustainable Energy Technol Assess* 43:100988. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2020.100988>
3. Antar E, Elkhoury M (2020) Casing optimization of a Savonius wind turbine. *Energy Rep* 6:184–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egy.2019.08.040>
4. K. Layeghmand, N. Ghiasi Tabari, & M. Zarkesh, "Improving efficiency of Savonius wind turbine by means of an airfoil-shaped deflector." *J Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci. Eng.* 42, 528 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40430-020-02598-7>
5. T.Micha Premkumar, S.Sivamani, E.Kirthees, V.Hariram, T.Mohan, "Data set on the experimental investigations of a helical Savonius style VAWT with and without end plates," *Data in Brief*, 19 (2018) 1925–1932. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.06.113>
6. Stout C, Islam S, White A, Arnott S, Kollovozi E, Shaw M, Bird B (2017) Efficiency improvement of vertical axis wind turbines with an upstream deflector. *Energy Procedia* 118:141–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.07.032>
7. Koroneos C, Spachos T, Moussiopoulos N (2003) Exergy analysis of renewable energy sources. *Renew energy* 28(2003):295–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-1481\(01\)00125-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-1481(01)00125-2)
8. Baskut O, Ozgener O, Ozgener L (2011) Second law analysis of wind turbine power plants: Cesme, Izmir example. *Energy* 36:2535–2542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.01.047>
9. Sagol E, Reggio M, Ilinca A (2013) Issues concerning roughness on wind turbine blades. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 23:514–525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.02.034>
10. Pope K, Dincer I, Naterer GF (2010) Energy and exergy efficiency comparison of horizontal and vertical axis wind turbines. *Renew Energy* 35:2102–2113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2010.02.013>
11. Khalfallah MG, Koliub AM (2007) Effect of dust on the performance of wind turbines. *Desalination* 209:209–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.04.030>
12. Nobile R, Vahdati M, Barlow JF (2014) Mewburn-Crook A, "Unsteady flow simulation of a vertical axis augmented wind turbine: a two-dimensional study." *J Wind Eng Ind Aerodyn* 125:168–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2013.12.005>
13. Al-Ghriybah M, Zulkafli MF, Didane DH, Mohd S (2019) The effect of inner blade position on the performance of the Savonius rotor. *Sustain Energy Technol Assess* 36:100534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2019.100534>
14. Nimvaria ME, Fatahianb H, Fatahian E (2020) Performance improvement of a Savonius vertical axis wind turbine using a porous deflector. *Energy Convers Manage* 220:113062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113062>
15. Kragic IM, Vucina D, Milas Z (2020) Computational analysis of Savonius wind turbine modifications including novel scooplet-based design attained via smart numerical optimization. *J Clean Prod* 262:121310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121310>
16. Akwa JV, Vielmo HA, Petry AP (2012) A review on the performance of Savonius wind turbines. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 16:3054–3064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.056>
17. Roy S, Saha UK (2015) Wind tunnel experiments of a newly developed two-bladed Savonius-style wind turbine. *Appl Energy* 137:117–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.10.022>
18. Ricci R, Romagnoli R, Montelpare S, Vitali D (2016) Experimental study on a Savonius wind rotor for street lighting systems. *Appl Energy* 161:143–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.10.012>
19. Jeon KS, Jeong JI, Pan JK, Ryu KW (2015) Effects of end plates with various shapes and sizes on helical Savonius wind turbines. *Renewable Energy* 79:167–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.11.035>
20. Driss Z, Mlayeh O, Driss S, Driss D, Maaloul M, Abid MS (2015) Study of the bucket design effect on the turbulent flow around unconventional Savonius wind rotors. *Energy* 89:708–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.06.023>
21. Lajnef M, Mosbahi M, Chouaibi Y, Driss Z (2020) Performance Improvement in a Helical Savonius Wind Rotor. *Arab J Sci Eng* 45:9305–9323. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-020-04770-6>
22. Jradi, M. and S. Riffat, "Tri-generation systems: Energy policies, prime movers, cooling technologies, configurations and operation strategies". *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2014. 32: p. 396–415.
23. Sanderse, B., S. Van der Pijl, and B. Koren, "Review of computational fluid dynamics for wind turbine wake aerodynamics". *Wind energy*, 2011. 14(7): p. 799–819.
24. Gu W et al (2014) Modeling, planning and optimal energy management of combined cooling, heating and power microgrid: A review. *Int J Electr Power Energy Syst* 54:26–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.06.028>
25. Menter FR (1994) Two-equation eddy-viscosity turbulence models for engineering applications. *AIAA J* 32(8):1598–1605. <https://doi.org/10.2514/3.12149>
26. Mo, J.-O. and Y.-H. Lee, "CFD Investigation on the aerodynamic characteristics of a small-sized wind turbine of NREL PHASE VI operating with a stall-regulated method". *Journal of mechanical science and technology*, 2012. 26(1): p. 81–92.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.