ORIGINAL ARTICLE



First molecular analysis of wisteria vein mosaic virus in Italy: eight new variants reported in *Wisteria sinensis*

Athos Pedrelli¹ · Alessandra Panattoni¹ · Lorenzo Cotrozzi¹

Received: 30 August 2022 / Accepted: 27 September 2023 / Published online: 19 October 2023 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Società Italiana di Patologia Vegetale (S.I.Pa.V.) 2023

Abstract

Wisteria vein mosaic virus (WVMV) is a potyvirus infecting *Wisteria* spp. worldwide, making these largely used ornamental plants unattractive and even unsalable. In 2021, nine *Wisteria sinensis* plants in Sarzana (Liguria, Italy) showing WVMV symptoms like vein mosaic with irregular patterns, mottling, deformation and twisting margin on leaves were reported. This work describes research on symptomatic and asymptomatic leaves to confirm the identity of the virus infection. All plants tested resulted positive to WVMV. Sequencing of NIb/CP genomic region and comparison on GenBank revealed the presence of eight new genetic variants named Sar 5–12. The eight nucleotide sequences alignments revealed identity ranging between 87.13 and 99.85%. Negative selection (dN/dS < 1) was detected suggesting well adaptation in the area here examined and stability in population. Through a phylogenetic tree, WVMV isolates were grouped in four clades with high bootstrap values, two of which included the eight Italian variants here identified. Since the late 1950 and 1960s, the present study represents the first additional report of WVMV in Italy, as well as its first molecular characterization ever. Further research is required to strengthen our understanding of the movement and transmission of WVMV. Examining how virus infected plants can disseminate this pathogen could help forestall potential risks that neighbouring species may face in their environments.

Keywords WVMV · NIb/CP region · Single nucleotide polymorphisms · Phylogenetic analysis

Introduction

The genus *Wisteria* Nutt. (Fabaceae), characterized by deciduous woody perennial lianas with pinnately compound leaves and pendulous racemes with typical purple, violet or white papilionaceous corollas, includes species among the most beautiful ornamental flowering plants. For this reason, these plants are grown in many gardens and landscape settings worldwide (Wei and Pedley 2010). Native to China, Japan, Korea and Eastern United States (Li et al. 2013), *Wisteria* spp. were later introduced to United Kingdom and several other European countries (Compton 2015). Actually, non-native wisterias are now considered invasive species in some areas of the United States, especially the Southeast (Trusty et al. 2007). Other than ornamentals, wisterias are

Alessandra Panattoni alessandra.panattoni@unipi.it also appreciated as edible and medicinal plants, and the fiber of their stems is also used for the production of paper (Mohamed et al. 2011).

Wisteria spp. can be affected by several viruses including wisteria vein mosaic virus (WVMV; family Potyviridae; genus Potyvirus) (Brierley and Lorentz 1957), subterranean clover stunt virus (SCSV; family Nanoviridae; genus Nanovirus) (Grylls and Butler 1959), cucumber mosaic virus (CMV; family Bromoviridae, genus Cucumovirus) (Milojević et al. 2016) and wisteria badnavirus 1 (WBV1; family Caulimoviridae; genus Badnavirus) (Li et al. 2017). While these diseases are notorious for causing damage to flora, it is important that research into their impacts continue in order to protect our local ecosystems from widespread destruction. WVMV is the subject matter of this work. The main WVMV symptoms consist of leaf chlorotic mottling and mosaic, distortions and twisting, which are commonly more severe on the leaflets closest to the petioles. Visible symptoms of WVMV can significantly mar the ornamental quality and commercial viability of infected plants, presenting a difficult challenge to growers. WVMV infection has

¹ Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, Pisa 56124, Italy

been reported in the more popular *Wisteria sinensis* (Chinese wisteria; De Beni 1964), *Wisteria floribunda* (Japanese wisteria; Brierley and Lorentz 1957), *Wisteria brachybotrys* (Silky wisteria; Clover et al. 2003), and its natural transmission is ascribed to aphids (*Aphis craccivora, Aphis fabae* and *Myzus persicae*; Conti and Lovisolo 1969; Valouzi et al. 2020).

This wisteria disease was likely first reported in the United States in the late 1950s (Brierley and Lorentz 1957), and later in Italy (Ciferri 1959; De Beni 1964; Conti and Lovisolo 1969) and the Netherlands (Bos 1970), but only with the serological studies by Conti and Lovisolo (1969) and Bos (1970) it was demonstrated the viral etiology and proposed the name WVMV. Later, an isolate of WVMV was obtained from Wisteria sinensis in Czech Republic (Brčák 1980), and ultrastructural analysis conducted to investigate its interaction with plant cell showed large complex inclusions previously reported for other potyviruses (Brčák and Králík 1981). First genetic characterization of WVMV was achieved on an Australian isolate by Clover et al. (2003), which confirmed the previously advised taxonomy. A few years later, Liang et al. (2006) performed a complete genome analysis on a Chinese isolate, showing that WVMV is a single strand, positive-sense RNA potyvirus of about 9,695 nucleotides excluding the poly (A) tail. Genome was characterized by one main ORF encoding for a polyprotein which cleavage generates ten mature proteins: P1, HCPro, P3, 6K1, CI, 6K2, VPg, NIapro, NIb, CP. Currently another protein is also known, namely P3N-PIPO, deriving from a short ORF positioned in the P3 coding region (Chung et al. 2008; Revers and García 2015). WVMV has had a farreaching impact and it have been observed in seven countries across the globe in the last 18 years. Reports have emerged from China (Beijing municipality, Jiangxi and Jiangsu provinces; Liang et al. 2004; Ji et al. 2019; Zhu et al. 2019), Poland (Kaminska et al. 2006), United States (Naidu and Karthikeyan 2008), New Zealand (Ward et al. 2008), United Kingdom (Clover et al. 2015), and Iran (Al Jaberi et al. 2018), further demonstrating the virus' spread through diverse geographical locations over time.

In Italy, the known records of wisteria viral infections by Ciferri (1959), De Beni in *Wisteria sinensis* (1964), and Conti and Lovisolo in *Wisteria floribunda* (1969), occurred in Northern regions only. No other reports came out in other Italian areas so far. In 2021, we actually reported some *Wisteria sinensis* plants in Sarzana district (Liguria, on the border with Central Italy) showing typical (and severe) WVMV symptoms on many leaves. This study confirms the WVMV infections and provide information on the biological properties, genetic diversity and evolutionary relationships of WVMV.

Materials and methods

Field surveys and sampling

In 2021, one Wisteria sinensis plant with some leaves showing WVMV-like symptoms (Supplementary Figure S1a) was reported in Sarzana district, Liguria, Italy (44°06'49"N, 9°57'36"E). Therefore, all Wisteria sinensis plants within a circular area of 0.5 km radius around the first symptomatic plant were surveyed, and further symptomatic ones were detected (i.e., showing WVMV-like symptoms). This surveying approach was repeated until no new symptomatic plants were reported in the monitored areas. In the end, nine plants were recovered in both public and private areas (e.g., streets, urban parks, gardens), all within a 1 km² region. Plants were around ten- to fifty-year-old [according to the indications by owners, confirmed by a plant aging using a Resistograph®, Rinntech, Heidelber, Germany (Lukaszkiewicz et al. 2005)], and showed fully foliated canopies and no other diseases and infections.

In May (i.e., when symptoms were most evident on all nine plants) the foliar disease severity was classified and sorted according to a four-class pathometric scale based on the percentage of symptomatic area of leaflets: Class 0=0%; Class 1=<5%; Class 2=5-40%; Class 3=>40% (Supplementary Figure S1b). Symptomatic and asymptomatic leaves were collected from each of nine plants (i.e., two leaf samples for each plant; 18 in total) and kept refrigerated until quickly reaching the Plant Pathology Lab of the Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, where leaflets and petioles were detached and stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

RNA was extracted from 500 mg of leaf tissue with cetyltrimethylammonium (CTAB) buffer, according to Li et al. (2008) with some modifications (Pedrelli et al. 2021, 2023a, b). Briefly, leaves were powdered in liquid nitrogen with 5 ml CTAB 2% buffer. After incubation at 65 °C for 15 min, one volume of chloroform:iso-amyl alcohol (24:1) was added and RNA precipitated with one volume of isopropanol. Pellet was then washed with 70% ethanol, air-dried and dissolved in 80 μ L of RNase/DNase free water. cDNA synthesis was finally performed using M-MMLV reverse transcriptase (GeneSpin s.r.l., Milan, Italy), according to the manufacturers' instructions.

Virus detection

An end point PCR was performed with WVMVF1/ WVMVR1 specific primers (Clover et al. 2003) targeting

Table 1 Symptom severity class, PCR assay, sequence variants, synonymous (dS) and non-synonymous (dN) mutations, accession number
and identity (%) of isolates on GenBank database of WVMV identified in Wisteria plants in Sarzana district. (AY656816.1=isolate Beijing;
KP161267.1 = isolate JW 2014). S = symptomatic sample; A = asymptomatic sample; + = Positive; - = Negative

Plant	Sample type	Severity class	PCR assay	Sequence variant	dS	dN	Accession number	Identity (%) NCBI
1	S	3	+	Sar 5	63	16	OM417219.1	89.86 AY656816.1
	А	0	-					
2	S	2	+	Sar 6	2	0	OM417220.1	99.55
	А	0	+	Sar 6	2	0		KP161267.1
3	S	2	+	Sar 7	23	4	OM417221.1	96.11
	А	0	+	Sar 7	23	4		KP161267.1
4	S	1	+	Sar 8	1	0	OM417222.1	99.70
	А	0	+	Sar 8	1	0		KP161267.1
5	S	2	+	Sar 9	3	0	OM417223.1	99.40
	А	0	+	Sar 9	3	0		KP161267.1
6	S	3	+	Sar 5	63	16	OM417219.1	89.86 AY656816.1
	А	0	+	Sar 10	1	0	OM417224.1	99.85 KP161267.1
7	S	1	+	Sar 11	4	2	OM417225.1	98.86 KP161267.1
	А	0	+	Sar 12	1	0	OM417226.1	99.70 KP161267.1
8	S	3	+	Sar 5	63	16	OM417219.1	89.86 AY656816.1
	А	0	+	Sar 10	1	0	OM417224.1	99.85 KP161267.1
9	S	3	+	Sar 5	63	16	OM417219.1	89.86 AY656816.1
	Α	0	-					

genomic NIb/CP region (703 bp). PCR amplification was performed on a C1000 Touch® thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using PCR conditions as follows: 30 cycles of 95 °C/30 s, 55 °C/30 s, and 72 °C/45 s and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Amplified products were observed on 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Infected and healthy control were used as references. All samples were analysed in a qPCR to determine the presence and quality of cDNA using 18 S rRNA as internal control (Osman and Rowhani 2008).

Virus sequencing and in silico assays

The PCR products were cleaned up and directly sequenced by Sanger DNA method (Eurofins genomics, Ebersberg, Germany). The nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences were *in silico* analysed using Bioedit (Hall 1999) and nucleotide sequences were also compared in BLASTn (www.ncbi.nlm.ni.gov). The role of natural selection on population using Codon Z-test by the Nei-Gojobori method and the presence of non-synonymous (dN) and synonymous (dS) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) ratio by Felsenstein (1981) model was estimated using MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). The recombinant events in the nucleotide sequences were evaluated by RDP4 program (v.4.101) using 3Seq, Bootscan, Chimaera, GENECONV, MaxChi, RDP, and SiScan algorithms (Martin et al. 2015) and they were accepted only if identified by at least 4 methods (pvalue of $> 10^{-5}$). The nucleotide sequence alignment was used to construct phylogenetic tree by the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method (Jukes-Cantor model) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates on MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). WVMV sequences obtained from NCBI were used as ad hoc dataset (Supplementary Table S1). An isolate of bean common mosaic virus (NC 003397.1) was used as the outgroup.

Results and discussion

Wisteria spp. are ornamental plants largely used in public and private gardens in Italy (as well as in many other countries worldwide), and WVMV represents an important and spread viral agent of a detrimental disease that makes plants unattractive and even unsaleable (Clover et al. 2003). However, information about WVMV diffusion in Italy was lacking and restricted to the few and dated publications



Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree of wisteria vein mosaic virus (WVMV) reconstructed from partial CP genomic region. The trees were generated by Maximum Likelihood (ML) using the Jukes-Cantor model of evolution for nucleotide. The significance of each branch was evaluated by constructing 1,000 trees in bootstrap analysis. Only bootstrap values > 70% are shown. The scale represents a distance of 0.05 substitutions per site. The isolates sequenced in this study are in bold. Bean common mosaic virus (NC_003397.1) was used as the outgroup

by Ciferri (1959), De Beni (1964) and Conti and Lovisolo (1969), all of which referred to WVMV observations in Northern regions (Lombardy, Emilia-Romagna and Piedmont, respectively). To the best of our knowledge, after more than 50 years from these observations, the present work represents the first additional report of WVMV in Italy (Sarzana district, Liguria, on the border with Central Italy), as well as its first molecular characterization ever.

All the nine *Wisteria sinensis* plants identified in May 2021 showed typical leaf WVMV symptoms (Supplementary Figure S1a) scattered in the canopy (i.e., asymptomatic leaves were also present), which were especially noticeable in spring, whereas they became fade and masked in summer. Symptom severity assessment showed the presence of leaf samples in each class of the pathometric scale. Among symptomatic leaf samples, the harsher class 3 was mostly observed (44% of samples), followed by class 2 (34%) and 1 (22%; Table 1).

All nine tested plants resulted positive to WVMV (quality of cDNA samples was proved by 18 S rRNA gene amplification, and the Ct values of the internal control ranged from 10 to 13; data not shown). The electrophoretic analysis of WVMV amplicons identified the virus in 16 out of the 18 leaf samples (around 90%; Table 1). Specifically, nine symptomatic and seven asymptomatic leaf samples were positive, so only two asymptomatic leaves were negative (Supplementary figure S2). The presence of asymptomatic positive leaf samples was in accordance to the previous WVMV report in *Wisteria floribunda* by Kaminska et al. (2006), while the occurrence of the two negative leaf samples could be due to a very low infection rate in those tissues, resulting below the detection limit of the diagnostic technique (Rubio et al. 2020).

PCR amplicon sequencing identified 16 distinct sequences, eight of which were considered novel variants and deposited in GenBank as Sar 5–12 (Table 1). The most



Fig. 2 Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of wisteria vein mosaic virus isolates recovered in this study. The ⁷⁸DAG⁸⁰ motif are shown in dashed rectangles. Conserved amino acids and motif change are shown in black rectangles. Polyprotein processing site, i.e. NIb/ CP junction, is indicated with a black line

frequent variant was Sar 5 being identified in four leaf samples (25.0%), followed by Sar 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 characterized in two leaf samples (12.5% each), and finally Sar 11 and 12 identified in only one leaf sample (6.3% each). All leaf samples infected by Sar 5 were symptomatic, whereas Sar 6, 7, 8 and 9 were found in both symptomatic and asymptomatic leaf samples of the same plant, Sar 10 only in asymptomatic leaf samples, and Sar 11 and 12 in the symptomatic and asymptomatic leaf samples of the only plant infected by these variants. Mixed strains infections included Sar 10, 11 and 12, while Sar 6, 7, 8 and 9 were present only as single infections, Sar 5 was detected in both conditions. Referring to the symptom severity, Sar 5 was always associated to the highest class (3); Sar 6, 7, 9 to the middle class (2), and Sar 8, 11 to the lowest class (1). Sar 10 and 12 were identified exclusively in class 0 (Table 1).

The nucleotide sequence alignments revealed similarity among variants ranging from 87.13 to 99.85%. In particular, Sar 5 showed the lowest similarity (87.13–87.60%) with all other variants, while Sar 10 displayed the highest one (95.69–99.85%), especially with Sar 8 and 12. The genetic variability (π) was estimated in 0.045 (±0.005). Interesting, a viral population with higher genetic diversity is normally considered more ancient in an area (Wei et al. 2009). The RDP4 program using the 3Seq, Chimaera, MaxChi, and RDP algorithms detected a concordant result in the Sar 5 with the

242 nt beginning breakpoint and the 360 nt ending breakpoint and a mean *p*-value of 2.073×10^{-2} , 1.697×10^{-2} . 1.516×10^{-2} , 3.848×10^{-2} , respectively. As major parent was identified the isolate Beijing (AY656816.1) with 90.3% similarity whereas minor parent was unknown. Although four algorithms found the same putative event, the result was rejected due to the probability not meeting the accepted criterion (p value > 10^{-5}) for identifying a true recombinant variant. It is possible to hypothesize that a greater number of available sequences could confirm or deny the presence of this recombinant event, as reported in previous studies focused on other potyviruses (Santillan et al. 2018; Fuentes et al. 2021). The number of SNPs among the eight variants was 120. Maximum changes were recognized in Sar 5 (79 nt) with 16 dN, followed by Sar 7 (27 nt) with 4 dN, and Sar 11 (6 nt) with 2 dN (Table 1). The overall ratio between nonsynonymous and synonymous mutations (dN/dS ratio) was 0.05 (p < 0.000) within population under study. These outcomes suggest a well-adaptation of WVMV in the small infected area here reported (i.e., around one km²), a phenomenon that likely promotes a high stability of the virus genetic structure (Escriu 2017).

Comparing the novel identified sequences with those already available in GenBank (Table 1), Sar 10 revealed the maximum similarity of 99.85% with the isolate JW_2014 (KP161267.1) identified on *Wisteria floribunda* in England

		10		20	30	40	50	60	
Beijing	1	SDKDKMQDLDAG	RESKKNA	GNEQKEKS	QMEGNKQ	DKAITSSSGK	DRDVDAGSRG	KVVPR 6	50
WVMV-YZ-clone 2	2 1		• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	5	55
WVMV-YZ-clone 1	. 1					•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	2	26
WVMV-BJ	1					•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	6	00
Sar S	1		QR.TT		· V · · · · ·	1971	• • • • • • • • • • • •	6	50
Sar 7	1		·D: · · ·D1		v	······	•••••	6	50
WVMV-JX	1							2	26
Sar 11	1				.v			6	50
Sar 12	1				.v			6	50
Sar 6	1				.v			6	50
Ir	1				.v			6	50
Sar 9	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •		.v	•••••		6	50
Th-W2259	1		•••••	• • • • • • • •	.v	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	6	00
Australia	1				. V			0	50
TW 2014	1			•••••	v	•••••		6	50
W7 2014	1				.v				50
Sar 10	1				.v			6	50
WF03	1				.v			6	50
New Zeland	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·			.v			6	50
Netherlands	1		• • • • • • •		.v	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •	6	50
		70	1	80	90	100	110	120	
Beijing	61	LOKITOKMNLPL	VKGKMII	NLPHLLEY	KPROVDL	NTRATKTOFD	AWYDAVKGEY	ELDDE 1	120
WVMV-YZ-clone 2	2 56						v	1	115
WVMV-YZ-clone 1	27						v	8	36
WVMV-BJ	61		· · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·				1	120
Sar 5	61		· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · ·				1	120
WA	61		•••••••			• • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	····· 1	120
WVMVTX	27						s	s 8	36
Sar 11	61	v		D				1	120
Sar 12	61	v		D.				s 1	120
Sar 6	61	v		D.				s 1	120
Ir	61	v		D.				s 1	120
Sar 9	61	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • •	D.	· · · · · ·			s 1	120
Th-W2259	61	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • •	D.					120
Sar 8	61			D.				s 1	120
JW 2014	61	v		D					120
W7 2014	61	v		D.				s. 1	120
Sar 10	61	v		D.				s 1	120
WF03	61	V	· · · · · · ·	D.	· · · · · ·			s 1	120
New Zeland	61	v	• • • • • • •	D.	· · · · · · ·			s 1	120
Netherlands	61	·····V	• • • • • • •	D.	•••••		s	s 1	20
		120		10	150				
				1.40					
Beijing	121	QMSVVMNGFMVW	CIDNGSS	PDVNGVWV	MMDGEQQ	154			
WVMV-YZ-clone 2	2 116	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • •		E.	149			
WVMV-YZ-clone 1	. 87	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • •			115			
WVMV-BJ	121	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · ·		154			
Sar 5	121		• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	E.	154			
WA	121					151			
WVMVTX	87	RT				115			
Sar 11	121	EI			E.	154			
Sar 12	121	EI			E.	154			
Sar 6	121	EI			E.	154			
Ir	121	EI			E.	154			
Sar 9	121	EI	• • • • • • •	· • · · · • · · ·	E.	154			
Th-W2259	121	EI	• • • • • • •	· • • • • • • • •	E.	154			
Australla	121		•••••	· · · · · · · · ·	E.	154			
JW 2014	121	ET	• • • • • • • •		E.	154			
W7 2014	121	EI			E.	154			
Sar 10	121	EI			E.	154			
WF03	121	EI				151			
New Zeland	121	EI	• • • • • • •		EH	154			
Netherlands	121	EI	• • • • • • •	· • · · • • • • •	EH	154			

Fig. 3 Comparison of the partial coat protein amino acid sequences identified in this study and reported in the ad hoc WVMV database. Amino acid substitution in the Clade 1 is shown in dashed rectangles. Grey area underlines the distinctive motif of the Clade 2. Specific amino acids substitutions in the Clade 3 are highlighted by dotted rectangles. Conserved amino acids in Clade 4 are shown in black rectangles. The sequence of the Beijing isolate was used as the reference sequence and others were sorted as in the result of the phylogenetic analysis of the nucleotide sequences

(Clover et al. 2015), followed by Sar 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 with similar rates ranging from 96.11 to 99.85%. Sar 5 showed the higher similarity percentage (89.86%) with isolate Beijing (AY656816.1) previously found on *Wisteria sinensis* in China (Liang et al. 2006) (Table 1).

In the phylogenetic tree, WVMV isolates were grouped in four clades with high bootstrap values (i.e., > 70%), two of which included the eight Italian variants here identified (Fig. 1). Clade 1 indeed included only Chinese isolates (AY656816.1, AY519365.1, MK119779.1, MK119780.1), whereas clades 2, and 3 clustered only the Italian Sar 5 (OM417219.1) and a North American (EU677749.1), respectively. Differently, clade 4 included sequences with worldwide origin: Australia (AF484549.1), China (MK290861.1), the Netherlands (EU308593.1), New Zealand (EU308592.1), Poland (DQ009883.2), United Kingdom (KP161266.1, KP161267.1) and Iran (MN514947.1, MH558668.1), as well as the here recognized Italian Sar 6 (OM417220.1), 7 (OM417221.1), 8 (OM417222.1), 9 (OM417223.1), 10 (OM417219.1), 11 (OM417225.1) and 12 (OM417226.1). The deduced amino-acid sequences of our population revealed identity ranging from 94.59 to 100.00% with higher distance values identified between Sar 5 (94.59-95.05%) and other sequences. The alignment showed conserved amino acids in the population as A₅₆ in NIb and V₁₄₀, E₁₉₁, I₁₉₂ in CP, which were replaced by specific changes in the Sar 5, namely V_{56} in NIb, L_{140} , S_{191} and V₁₀₂ in CP, respectively. Moreover, the ⁸³SKKNA⁸⁷ motif was changed in ⁸³QRKTT⁸⁷ motif in Sar 5. No variation in the ⁷⁸DAG⁸⁰ motif associated with the transmissibility of potyviruses by aphid vectors was instead observed (Kaminska et al. 2006; Fig. 2). A comparison of the partial CP amino acid sequences of our and ad hoc WVMV database isolates showed that the difference between isolates within Clade 1 is due to a V₁₁₀, Clade 2 is characterized by the distinctive feature of ¹³QRKTT¹⁹ motif and Clade 3 displayed specific amino acids substitutions, namely D13, A27, E36, G123, and ¹³SKKST¹⁹ motif. Interestingly, Clade 4 showed high similarities between the isolates and V72, E123, I124 as conserved amino acid sites. These results were in accordance with our previous analyses and with the observations on WVMV CP amino acids by Valouzi et al. (2020; Fig. 3).

The phylogenetic analysis and the observation of deduced amino acid sequences supported the division in four clades, each characterized by specific amino acids in the variable region of N-terminus of CP. Interesting, partial clustering based on country of origin was observed, while no one by host was identified. The Italian variants showed a different clustering with Sar 5 alone between the "Chinese" and the "American" clusters and all the other Italian variants with worldwide isolates in the same cluster. Overall, the analysis allowed to hypothesize a spread of the virus from the original area in other worldwide areas, probably due to exchange of propagating material, as previously observed for other ornamental plants (Valverde et al. 2012). Moreover, the phylogenetic outcomes associated with the π and the selective pressure seems to suggest a long-term introduction of virus and a co-evolution between *W. sinensis* and WVMV in the studied area (LaTourrette and Garcia-Ruiz 2022). This interpretation is supported by the presence in Italy of several plants (e.g., Leonardo's *W. sinensis* in Milan and Goethe's *W. sinensis* in Tivoli, near Rome) which seem to have been attested before wisteria fortune as ornamental species starting from the early 1800s.

Conclusions

Since the late 1950 and 1960 s, the present study represents the first additional report of WVMV in Italy, as well as its first molecular characterization ever. Here, eight new Italian variants with different performances were identified, with Sar 5 showing the highest distances from other variants. According to LaTourrette and Garcia-Ruiz (2022), data obtained could suppose a long-term introduction of virus and a co-evolution between W. sinensis and WVMV in the studied area. Moreover, the presence of asymptomaticpositive leaf samples enforces the essential role of monitoring plants and regular phytosanitary surveys to detect viral infections potentially dangerous for different species and cultivars. As Wisteria sinensis (as well as other wisterias) is a major woody perennial plant widely grown as ornamental in public and private gardens, more research should be carried out to improve our knowledge on WVMV diffusion (the collection and analysis of further samplings is highly encouraged), especially in Italian districts where the production and marketing of highly priced ornamental plants is crucial, as well as to elucidate the risk of growing virus infected plants that could represent a source for virus transmission to other potential neighbouring susceptible species.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-023-01526-7.

Acknowledgements This paper is dedicated to Dr. Alberto Materazzi, a long-time head of the phytovirological lab, on the occasion of his retirement from the Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment at Pisa University.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Al Jaberi M, Zakiaghl M, Mehrvar M (2018) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus on *Wisteria sinensis* in Iran. New Disease Reports 38:18. https://doi.org/10.5197/j.2044-0588.2018.038.018
- Bos L (1970) The identification of three new viruses isolated from wisteria and pisum in the Netherlands, and the problem of variation within the potato virus Y group. Neth J Plant Pathol 76:8–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01976763
- Brčák J (1980) A Prague isolate of wisteria vein mosaic virus. Biol Plant 22:465–469. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02880488
- Brčák J, Králík O (1981) Additional data on complex inclusion evoked by wisteria vein mosaic virus in pea leaf cells. Biol Plant 23:237– 239. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02894896
- Brierley P, Lorentz P (1957) Wisteria mosaic and peony leaf curl, two diseases of ornamental plants caused by viruses transmissible by grafting but not by sap inoculation. Plant Disease Reporter 41:691–693
- Chung BY-W, Allen Miller W, Atkins JF, Firth AE (2008) An overlapping essential gene in the Potyviridae. PNAS 105:5897–5902. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800468105
- Ciferri R (1959) Recenti risultati nelle ricerche sulle virosi delle piante arboree da frutto. La Ricerca Scientifica 29:1880–1884
- Clover GRG, Tang Z, Smales TE, Pearson MN (2003) Taxonomy of wisteria vein mosaic virus and extentions to its host range and geographical distribution. Plant Pathol 52:92–96. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2003.00798.x
- Clover GRG, Denton JO, Denton GJ (2015) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus on *Wisteria* spp. in the United Kingdom. New Disease Reports 31:1. https://doi.org/10.5197/j.2044-0588.2015.031.001
- Compton JA (2015) *Wisteria sinensis* on the slow boat from China: the journey of wisteria to England. Curtis's Bot Magazine 32:248–293. https://doi.org/10.1111/curt.12112
- Conti M, Lovisolo O (1969) Observations on a virus isolated from *Wisteria floribunda* DC in Italy. Rivista di Patologia Vegetale ser 5(4):115–132
- De Beni PV (1964) Ricerche sui virus delle piante. Virus, scheda: VI. Maculatura clorotica della glicine (*Wisteria sinensis* Sweet). La Ricerca Scientifica 4:21–24
- Escriu F (2017) Diversity of plant virus population: a valuable tool for epidemiological studies. In: Bitz L (ed) Genetic diversity. Intechopen, London. pp150
- Fuentes S, Gibbs AJ, Adams IR, Wilson CR, Botermans M, Fox AM, Kreuze J, Boonham N, Kehoe MA, Jones R (2021) Potato virus A isolates from three continents: their biological properties, phylogenetics, and prehistory. Phytopathology 111:217–226. https:// doi.org/10.1094/phyto-08-20-0354-fi
- Grylls NE, Butler FC (1959) Subterranean clover stunt, a virus disease of pasture legumes. Aust J Agric Res 10:145–159
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposyum Series 41:95–98
- Ji ZL, Zhu PX, Ji YH, Xu F, Zhu F (2019) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus in chinese wisteria in Jiangxi Province in China. J Plant Pathol 101:1259–1260. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42161-019-00318-2
- Kaminska M, Malinowski T, Rudzinska-Langwald A, Diaz LC (2006) The occurrence of wisteria vein mosaic virus in *Wisteria floribunda* DC plants in Poland. J Phytopathol 154:414–417. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.2006.01118.x
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msy096

- LaTourrette K, Garcia-Ruiz H (2022) Determinants of virus variation, evolution, and host adaptation. Pathogens 11:1039. https://doi. org/10.3390/pathogens11091039
- Li R, Mock R, Huang Q, Abad J, Hartung J, Kinard G (2008) A reliable and inexpensive method of nucleic acid extraction for the PCR-based detection of diverse plant pathogens. J Virol Methods 154:48–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.09.008
- Li J, Jiang JH, Fu CX, Tang DQ (2013) Molecular systematics and biogeography of wisteria inferred from nucleotide sequences of nuclear and plastid genes. J Syst Evol 52:40–50. https://doi. org/10.1111/jse.12061
- Li Y, Deng C, Qiao Y, Zhao X, Zhou Q (2017) Characterization of a new badnavirus from. Wisteria sinensis Archives of Virology 162:2125–2129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-017-3322-4
- Liang WX, Song LM, Li Y, Tian GZ, Li HF, Fan ZF (2004) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus in China. Plant Pathol 53:516. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-019-00318-2
- Liang WX, Song LM, Tian GZ, Li HF, Fan ZF (2006) The genomic sequence of wisteria vein mosaic virus and its similarities with other potyviruses. Arch Virol 151:2311–2319. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00705-006-0780-5
- Lukaszkiewicz J, Kosmala M, Chrapka M, Borowski J (2005) Determining the age of streetside *Tilia cordata* trees with a DBHbased model. J Arboric 31:280–284. https://doi.org/10.48044/ jauf.2005.036
- Martin DP, Murrell B, Golden M, Khoosal A, Muhire B (2015) RDP4: detection and analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. Virus Evol 1:vev003. https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vev003
- Milojević K, Radović N, Stanković I, Vučurović A, Nikolić D, Bulajić A, Krstić B (2016) First report of cucumber mosaic virus infecting *Wisteria sinensis* in Serbia. Plant Dis 100:1799. https://doi. org/10.1094/PDIS-01-16-0096-PDN
- Mohamed MA, Hamed MM, Abdou MA, Ahmed WA, Saad AM (2011) Antioxidant and cytotoxic constituents from *Wisteria* sinensis. Molecules 16:4020–4030. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules16054020
- Naidu RA, Karthikeyan G (2008) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus in *Wisteria sinensis* in the United States of America. Plant Health Progress 9:1–3. https://doi.org/10.1094/ PHP-2008-0818-01-BR
- Osman F, Rowhani A (2008) Real-time RT-PCR (TaqMan®) assays for the detection of viruses associated with rugose wood complex of grapevine. J Virol Methods 154:69–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jviromet.2008.09.005
- Pedrelli A, Panattoni A, Materazzi A (2021) Occurrence of grapevine Pinot gris virus in Chianti vineyards. Agrochimica Special Issue 65:81–87. https://doi.org/10.12871/00021857202201
- Pedrelli A, Panattoni A, Cotrozzi L (2023a) First molecular characterization of plum pox virus strains in stone fruits of Tuscany (Central Italy). J Plant Pathol 105:1045–1053. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s42161-023-01430-0
- Pedrelli A, Panattoni A, Nali C, Cotrozzi L (2023b) Occurrence of fig mosaic disease in Tuscany, Central Italy: Characterization of new fig mosaic virus isolates, and elucidation of physiochemical responses of infected common fig cv. Dottato. Sci Hortic 322:112440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2023.112440
- Revers F, García JA (2015) Molecular biology of potyviruses. Advance in Virus Research 92:101–518 99. https://doi.org/10.1016/ bs.aivir.2014.11.006
- Rubio L, Galipienso L, Ferriol I (2020) Detection of plant viruses and disease management: relevance of genetic diversity and evolution. Front Plant Sci 11:1092. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01092
- Santillan F, Fribourg CE, Adams IR, Gibbs A, Boonham N, Kehoe MA, Maina S, Jones R (2018) The biology and phylogenetics of potato virus S isolates from the Andean Region of South America. Plant Dis 102:869–885. https://doi.org/10.1094/pdis-09-17-1414-re

- Trusty JL, Lockaby BG, Zipperer WC, Goertzen LR (2007) Identity of naturalized exotic *Wisteria* (Fabaceae) in the southeastern United States. Weed Res 47:479–487. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2007.00587.x
- Valouzi H, Hashemi SS, Wylie SJ, Ahadiyat A, Golnaraghi A (2020) Wisteria vein mosaic virus detected for the first time in Iran from an unknown host by analysis of aphid vectors. Plant Pathol J 36:87–97. https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.OA.10.2019.0268
- Valverde RA (2012) Viruses that enhance the aesthetics of some ornamental plants: beauty or beast? Plant Dis 96:600–601. https://doi. org/10.1094/PDIS-11-11-0928-FE. Sabanadzovic S, Hammond J
- Ward LI, Tang JZ, Clover GRG (2008) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus on *Wisteria sinensis* in New Zealand. Plant Dis 92:1134. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-92-7-1134B
- Wei Z, Pedley L (2010) Wisteria. In: Wu ZY, Raren PH, Hong DY (eds) Flora of China. Science Press & St. Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden Press, Beijing, pp 188–189
- Wei T, Yang J, Liao F, Gao F, Lianming L, Zhang X, Li F, Wu Z, Lin Q, Xie L, Lin H (2009) Genetic diversity and population structure of

rice stripe virus in China. J Gen Virol 90:1025–1034. https://doi. org/10.1099/vir.0.006858-0

Zhu F, Zhu PX, Xu F, Ji ZL (2019) First report of wisteria vein mosaic virus infecting Chinese wisteria in Jiangsu Province in China. J Plant Dis Prot 126:373–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s41348-019-00217-9

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.