



## Correction: A review of the components of exercise prescription for sarcopenic older adults

Prabal Kumar<sup>1</sup> · Shashikiran Umakanth<sup>2</sup> · N. Girish<sup>1</sup> 

Published online: 4 August 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

**Correction: European Geriatric Medicine (2022)  
13:1245–1280**  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-022-00693-7>

The citations in tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 were partially incorrect.  
The corrected Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 are given below.  
The original article has been corrected.

---

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-022-00693-7>.

---

✉ N. Girish  
[girish.n@manipal.edu](mailto:girish.n@manipal.edu); [girish\\_darsana@yahoo.co.in](mailto:girish_darsana@yahoo.co.in)

Prabal Kumar  
[prabal.kumar2@learner.manipal.edu](mailto:prabal.kumar2@learner.manipal.edu);  
[kprabal000@gmail.com](mailto:kprabal000@gmail.com)

Shashikiran Umakanth  
[shashikiran.u@manipal.edu](mailto:shashikiran.u@manipal.edu)

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physiotherapy, Manipal College of Health Professions, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Dr. TMA Pai Hospital, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India

**Table 1** Prescription components for resistance exercises ( $n = 27$ )

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/multicomponent program	Frequency/week	Intensity	Time/session	Total duration (weeks)
Laddu et al. [32], Pilot study	IG ( $n = 60$ ) CG ( $n = 30$ )	EWGSOP 2	Single component	2/week	40–80% IRM	Protocol	12
Seo et al. [34], RCT and Community dwelling	IG-12 (Age $70.3 \pm 5.38$ ) CG-10 (Age $72.9 \pm 4.75$ )	IWGS and EWGSOP	Single component	3/week	Intensity OMNI Scale/Colour; week 1–2: 4/yellow; week 3–4: 5/yellow; week 5–8: 6/yellow; week 9–12: 7/yellow; week 13–16: 8/yellow	Warm-up (stretching): 5 min; Resistance exercise: 50 min; cool down (Stretching): 5 min	16
Kuptniratsaikul et al. [19], Prospective longitudinal clinical trial and community dwelling	$n = 89$ (Age $69.4 \pm 6.3$ )	AWGS	Single component	3–5/week	Tolerable	30 min	24
Chang et al. [30], RCT	Early intervention 29 (age $74.3 \pm 5.8$ ) Delayed intervention 29 (age $75.7 \pm 5.9$ )	EWGSOP	Multicomponent	At OPD 2/week Home-based 5 or more days/week	40% IRM	At OPD Warm-up 10 min Resistance exercise 25 min Cool-down 10 min Home-based exercise program 30 min/day (with total of 150 min per week)	12
Osuka et al. [15], RCT, community-dwelling older adults	Exs + HMB $N = 36$ , Exs + placebo $n = 37$ , education + HMB $n = 36$ , education + placebo $n = 35$	AWGS	Single component	2/week	< 12 RPE	60 min	12
Chiang et al. [23], RCT, nursing home residents	CG (only exercise) 12 (Age $84.67 \pm 7.5$ ) Milk + exercise 12 (Age $85.25 \pm 5.38$ ) Soy milk + exercise 12 (age $85 \pm 5.62$ )	AWGS	Single component	3/week	Mild	30 min	12 Weeks
Caballero-García et al. [39], placebo-controlled trial	$N = 44$ Placebo group 22 Citruiline-malate supplemented group 22 Avg age M $64.8 \pm 3.6$ , F $65.4 \pm 4.4$	NR	Multicomponent	NR	Level of effort 8 reps	20 min	6

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/multicomponent program	Frequency/week	Intensity	Time/session	Total duration (weeks)
Chen et al. [25], RCT, community dwelling	<i>n</i> = 51	AWGS	Single component	3/week	LRT-BFR: 20–30% IRM; CRT: 60–70% IRM	LRT-BFR: 30 s interval between sets; CRT: 60 s interval between sets	12
Moghadam et al. [36]	ET + RT = 10 RT + ET = 10 CG = 10	NR	Multicomponent	3/week	40–75% IRM	2 min interval between sets	8
Makizako et al. [17], RCT and community dwelling	IG: <i>n</i> = 33 (Avg age: 74.1 ± 6.6, CG: <i>n</i> = 34 (Avg age 75.8 ± 7.3)	AWGS	Multicomponent	NR	NR	25–30 min	12
Liang et al. [18], RCT and post-acute care unit	IG ( <i>n</i> = 30), CG ( <i>n</i> = 29) Avg. age 87.3 ± 5.4 years	AWGS	Multicomponent	2/week	70–80% IRM	20 min training	12
Chow et al. [22], RCT and community dwelling	EXS + HMB: <i>n</i> = 48, CG: <i>n</i> = 48 and vibration + HMB: <i>n</i> = 48	AWGS	Single component	3/week	NR	30 min	12
Letieri et al. [37], RCT and community dwelling	LI-BFR ( <i>n</i> = 11) (69.40 ± 5.73 years), CG ( <i>n</i> = 12) (69.00 ± 6.39 years)	NR	Single component	3/week	20–30% IRM	20 min	16
Jung et al. [20], community dwelling	EG: <i>n</i> = 13 (75.0 ± 3.9 years), CG: <i>n</i> = 13 (74.9 ± 5.2 years)	AWGS	Single component	3/week	60–80% HRR	75 min	12
Martin Del Campo Cerventes et al. [31], longitudinal intervention study and nursing homes	<i>n</i> = 19 (Avg. age 77.7 ± 8.9 years)	EWGSOP	Single component	3/week	Moderate–high intensity	NR	12
Vikberg et al. [26], RCT and community dwelling	IG: <i>n</i> = 31 (70.0 ± 0.29 years), CG <i>n</i> = 34 (70.9 ± 0.28)	EWGSOP	Single component	3/week	Moderate–high RT intensity Borg 6–7 of 10 maximum	45 min with group of < 12 participants	10
Granic et al. [29], Pilot study and community-dwelling older adults	Protocol: <i>n</i> = 30	EWGSOP	Single component	2/week	70–79% IRM	45–60 min per session	6

Table 1 (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/multicomponent program	Frequency/week	Intensity	Time/session	Total duration (weeks)
Zhu et al. [24], RCT and community dwelling	RT: $n = 40$ ( $74.5 \pm 7.1$ ) E + nutrition: $n = 36$ ( $74.8 \pm 6.9$ ) WL: $n = 37$ ( $72.2 \pm 6.6$ )	AWGS	Multicomponent	3/week (twice group exercise session and one home exercise session)	Closely monitored and adjusted by the coach	5–10 min warm-up and cool-down Resistance exercise 20–30 min per session	12
Jeon et al. [21], community-dwelling elderly	$n = 30$ (Age $73.8 \pm 5.9$ years)	AWGS	Single component	3/week	Borg scale	30 min	6
Ude Viana et al. [27], quasi-experimental study and community-dwelling older women	$n = 18$ (Avg Age: $75.11 \pm 7.19$ Years)	EWGSOP	Single component	3/week	75% IRM	40 min	12
Najafi et al. [35], RCT and nursing home	IG: $n = 35$ CG: $n = 28$ (Avg. Age— $72.5 \pm 7.0$ )	NR	Multicomponent	3/week	NR	IG—fun physical activity 20 min/session CG regular physical activity 20 min/session	8
Hassan et al. [28], pilot study and nursing care facilities	EX: $n = 18$ , CG: $n = 21$ (Avg age: $85.9 \pm 7.5$ years)	EWGSOP	Multicomponent	2/week	12–14 on Borg scale	60 min	24
Hong et al. [38], RCT and community-dwelling senior citizens	23 elderly, Tele—Tele exs: $n = 9$ ( $82.2 \pm 5.6$ years), CG: $n = 11$ ( $81.5 \pm 4.4$ years)	NR	Single component	3/week	Somewhat hard (RPE 13–14) and hard (RPE 15–16)	10–30 min	12
Maruya et al. [16], community dwelling	IG: $n = 26$ ( $69.2 \pm 5.6$ years); CG: $n = 14$ ( $68.5 \pm 6.2$ years)	AWGS	Multicomponent	NR	NR	20–30 min	24
Bellomo et al. [33], RCT	Gsm: 10, RT: 10, Vam: 10, CG: 10 (Avg age— $70.9 \pm 5.2$ )	Center for disease control and prevention	Multicomponent	2/week	60–85% FMT	NR	12
Sousa et al. [40]	EG: $n = 16$ ( $68.5$ years, CG: $n = 17$ ( $67.0 \pm 5.8$ years))	NR	Single component	1/week	65–75% IRM	NR	32

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/multicomponent program	Frequency/week	Intensity	Time/session	Total duration (weeks)
Kim et al. [41], RCT, urban and community	E + AAS: $n = 38$ ( $79.5 \pm 2.9$ years), E: $n = 39$ ( $79.0 \pm 2.9$ years), AAS: $n = 39$ ( $79.2 \pm 2.8$ years), HE: $n = 39$ ( $78.7 \pm 2.8$ years)	NR	Multicomponent	2/week	12–14 RPE	30 min	12
Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Laddu et al. [32], Pilot study	Progressive resistance exercise	Upper- and lower extremity exercises: Chest press, Seated Leg press, seated latissimus pull-down, knee/leg extension, shoulder press, leg curls, and calf-raises	Week 1- 1–2 sets, 10–15 reps, 40–50% 1RM Week 2–2 sets, 8–12 reps, 60–65% 1RM Week 3–12 3 sets, 8–12 reps, 60–65% 1-RM/70–75% 1RM/80% 1-RM	Primary outcome: SBP; Secondary outcome: Lipid profile, insulin resistance (HOMA IR) and inflammation (IL-6), HGS, 6-m gait speed usual walking speed, five time sit to stand, 3stage standing balance, TUG, SPPB	Protocol	NR	Protocol

Table 1 (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Seo et al. [34], RCT and Community dwelling	Elastic band Resistance exercise program	Warm-up stretching and walking Resistance exercise (Upper body)— Shoulder press, front raise, lateral raise, biceps curl, triceps extension, kick back, crunch, bent row over, seated row, back extension in prone, push up beginner Resistance exercise (Lower body)— Squat, lunge, lying leg abduction, leg kick back, pelvic tilt, leg raise, toe, and heel raise Cool down—static stretching	RT: Training load was increased by progressive overload and the OMNI resistance for active muscle scale (OMNI-RES AM, 0-extremely easy to 10-extremely hard)	Body composition (FFM, BF%, Fat mass.) (DXA), Functional fitness (senior fitness battery test (walking 2-min step test, chair stand, chair sit and reach, 2.4 m up and go and arm curl), grip strength, gait speed), Mid-thigh composition (CT scan), Maximal Isometric muscle strength (Isokinetic dynamometer), Biochemical markers (ELISA)	NR	NR	16 weeks of resistance training using body weight-based training and elastic bands significantly improves muscle quality and functional fitness in sarcopenic older women. However, it remains unclear whether our training protocol affects muscle growth factors
Kuptmiratsaikul et al. [19], Prospective longitudinal clinical trial and community dwelling	Home-based resistance exercise program	Intrinsic hand Shoulder muscle Pectorals Abdominals Back extensors Hip flexion/extension Ankle plantar flexion/dorsi flexion	1–3 Months—Body weight Later 1 kg dumbbell + body weight	ASM (BIA), grip strength (JAMAR HHD), gait speed (6-m test), functional reach	Muscle pain, Joint pain, Fatigue No SAE	CD, brochure, Log-book	24-week simple home-based resistance exercise program significantly improved all main outcomes with low adverse events, and most participants continued the program after the end of the intervention

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Chang et al. [30], RCT	Resistance exercise and Aerobic exercise	Warm-up stretching trunk and all limbs plus stationary bicycle Exercise—leg press, leg extension, leg curl Cool down—bicycle	Starting with 3 sets, 10 reps, 40% 1RM Progress to 80% 1RM	Physical performance: Grip strength (Baseline Hydraulic HHD), Gait speed (5-m walk test), 30 s chair stand test, 2-min step test; Body composition: Bone mineral content, Fat mass and lean body mass (DEXA)	No AE	Digital versatile disc, handbook	Significant difference in LE lean mass between baseline and both follow up in early intervention group Significant difference in total lean mass between baseline and 1st follow up in delayed intervention group Both group significant improvement in grip strength, 2-min step test from baseline to 2nd follow up

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Osuka et al. [15], RCT, community-dwelling older adults	Resistance exercise	Chair based RT: Knee ext, toe raise, heel raise, knee lift, squats, lateral leg raise, and hip add, using a rubber balls Knee lift and heel raise exercises were performed progressively from a seated position to a standing position Elastic band RT: Arm rowing, knee lift, and hip Adduction, Knee extension, heel raise, knee lift, and lateral leg exercises were provided using ankle weights of 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, or 1.5 kg based on the participant's physical condition In the last 4 week of the intervention, machine-based RT, including arm rowing, leg extension, hip adduction, knee extension, and trunk flexion	Exercise including chair-based (week 1–12), elastic band (week 5–7), ankle weight (week 7–12) and machine-based RT (week 9–12) All exercises 1–3 sets, 8–10 reps with gradual loading	Primary outcome: Muscle mass(BIA), Secondary outcome: muscle strength(Smedley type HHD), physiological performance, functional capacity, blood markers, habitual dietary intake, and habitual physical activity levels	No exercise related AE observed	NR	HMB additively improved gait performance with negligible benefit and provided no enhancements in the effects of exercise on other outcomes. Exercise appeared to be the only effective intervention to improve outcomes in older women with low muscle mass



**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Chiang et al. [23], RCT, nursing home residents	Resistance exercise training program	Chair exercise, resistance exercise with sandbags and elastic bands	NR	Anthropometric data: fat mass and lean mass (DEXA), Sarcopenic indices: muscle mass, body fat and ASMI (BIA), HGS (Smedley Dynamometer), GS: 6-m walk test	NR	NR	Mild resistance exercise for 12 weeks improved the calf circumference and gait speed; in addition, mild resistance exercise combined with milk or soy milk (400 mL/day) supplementation also increased HG and CC in very old nursing home residents with sarcopenia. No obvious effects were found in the muscle mass of very old individuals with sarcopenia
Caballero-García et al. [39], placebo-controlled trial	Aerobic resistance Aerobic endurance Balance	Aerobic resistance— Overload exercises, with balls, dumbbells, elastic bands, steps	NR	6 min test (endurance) on 400-m track, HGS (JAMAR digital Dynamometer), Gait speed (4-m test), Squat, SPPB, Balance (Standing, semi tandem, tandem stand)	NR	NR	No significant difference in the outcome measures between placebo and intervention group

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Chen et al. [25], RCT, community dwelling	Low resistance training-Blood flow restriction and Conventional Resistance training	Upper limb exercises (elbow extension and elbow flexion), followed by lower limb exercises (leg press and knee extension)	RT: Week 1–4: LRT-BFR: 3 sets/30–15-15 reps, 20% IRM, CRT: 3 sets/15 reps, 60% IRM Week 5–8: LRT-BFR: 3 sets/30–15-15 reps, 25% IRM, CRT: 3 sets/12 reps, 65% IRM; Week 9–12: LRT-BFR: 3sets/30–15-15 reps, 30% IRM, CRT: 3 sets/10 reps, 70% IRM	Primary outcome: lower limb muscle strength (estimated IRM of knee extension) Secondary outcomes: Body composition (BIA), Hand grip strength (HHD), Muscle performance (SPPB), Pulmonary function (PFT), Blood bio-marker (ELISA) and CVD risk factors and Health-related quality of life (SF-36)	Protocol	Protocol	Protocol
Moghadam et al. [36]	Resistance exercise+ aerobic exercise	Leg extension, leg curl, bench press, lateral pull-down, lateral raise, and abdominal crunch	RT: Week 1–2: 14–16 reps, 2 sets, 40–45% IRM Week 3–4: 12–14 reps, 2 sets, 50–55%IRM Week 5–6: 10–12 reps, 3 sets, 60–65%IRM Week 7–8: 8–10 reps, 3 sets, 70–75% IRM	Body composition (BIA); Performance testing: Strength (IRM), Power (30-s vintage test on cycle ergometer, cardiorespiratory fitness (modified Bruce protocol for VO2 max)	NR	Diet analysis plus version 10 was used to record data	8-week of CT intervention increased circulating SC related markers, body composition, enhanced muscular power, and VO2 max in older sarcopenic participants, regardless of the order of ET and RT. However, performing ET before RT may be more effective at enhancing Myf5 and Pax7, as well as improving both lower and upper body power

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Makizako et al. [17], RCT and community dwelling	Resistance exercise + aerobic exercise + balance exercise + flexibility	(1) knee ext (2) hip flex, (3) hip IR, (4) elbow flexion and shoulderabduction, (5) elbow flexion and trunk rotation, (6) hip ext, (7) knee flex, (8) hip abd, and (9) squat	RT: Week 1–2: low load (own body weight), progressive resistance with resistance band with five resistance level every two weeks after assessment of strength that is 12–14 RPE on 10 RM of knee extension. For each exercise 10 reps	Physical performance: Grip strength (HHD), Gait speed (6-m test), 5-Chair stand test, TUG and Muscle CSA and volume (MRI)	No AE reported	Infrared timer for gait speed assessment (Outcome measure) Booklet	12 week multicomponent exercise program with progressive resistance training generally improves physical function in CDOA with sarcopenia or pre-sarcopenia. However, it is unclear whether effective in increasing muscle mass
Liang et al. [18], RCT and post-acute care unit	Resistance exercise + balance exercise	Leg press, leg extension and flexion, leg abduction and adduction, chest press, and seated row	Resistance training: 3 sets of 8–12 reps with 2 min rest in between, load adjusted after 13th session	Primary outcomes: Activities of daily living (Barthel index) and number of fallers; Secondary outcomes: SPPB, 4-m gait speed, HGS(Digital grip dynamometer), Berg balance, TUG, and any adverse events	NR	NR	Compared with resistance exercise, the mixed exercise program (Balance plus resistance exercise) appeared to have improved the ADL, strength, and physical performance in older sarcopenic patient in post-acute care settings
Chow et al. [22], RCT and community dwelling	Resistance exercise (Group 1) and Vibration exercise (Group 2)	Upper and lower body muscle groups including both hand and knee extensor muscles	Resistance training: Elastic band strength progressively increased from 1.3 kg to 2.1 kg (Yellow to green) based on multiple RM described as fatigue reaching by 8 reps of stretching	Primary outcome: Knee extension strength; Secondary outcome: HGS, GS, MM, Balancing activity, TUG test, SARC-F, SF-36, Food frequency questionnaire, activity tracker (steps)	Protocol	Wrist worn activity tracker to record daily activity	Protocol

Table 1 (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Letieri et al. [37], RCT and community dwelling	Resistance training with blood flow restriction	Leg squat, leg press, leg extension/flexion and stand plantar flexion	OMNI scale	Body fat % (BIA), Functional capacity: Chair stand, Arm curl, Sit and reach, TUG, Back scratch, and 6 min' walk test, HGS (Dynamometer), Appendicular muscle mass (using equation)	NR	NR	Exercise conducted with BFR associated with low intensity resulted in a significant improvement in the functional capacity of elderly women after 16 weeks. Despite the significant results the intervention period was not sufficient to reverse the pre-sarcopenia condition in elderly women
Jung et al. [20], community dwelling	Resistance exercise	Walking in place, shoulder press and squat, twist dash, lunge, jumping jacks, kick back, push up, crunch, hip bridge, and bird dog	Week 1–2: 25 min, Week 3–8: 40 min, Week 9–12: 55 min	Body composition (BIA), Balance (Posturomed), Muscular function (Isokinetic dynamometer), Pulmonary function(FVC, Forced expiratory volume in 1 s, forced expiratory flow 25–75%), 10-m walk (s)	NR	NR	Circuit exercise training improves muscle mass and strength, body composition, balance, and pulmonary function in women with sarcopenia
Martin Del Campo Cervantes et al. [31], longitudinal intervention study and nursing homes	Resistance exercise	Resistance training scheme was developed based on the recommendation of the American College of Sports Medicine	2–3 sets, 8–12 reps (1–2 months), 2–3 sets, 15 reps (3 <sup>rd</sup> month), Dumbbells of 0.5, 1 and 3 kg as well as elastic bands of three resistance (medium, strong, and extra strong)	Muscle strength: HGS (SMEDLEY Dynamometer) and physical function: SPPB (balance, gait speed, chair stand), Muscle mass and fat mass (BIA)	Fall	NR	The resistance training program improve the functionality (muscle strength and physical performance), with the benefit of the decrease in severe sarcopenia

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Vikberg et al. [26], RCT and community dwelling	Resistance exercise	More focus on Lower limb strengthening	Week 1: body weight and suspension band, 2 sets, 12 reps; Week 2–4: 3 sets, 10 reps, intensity increased CR-10 scores of 6–7; Week 5–7: 4 sets, 10 reps; Week 8–10: power training	Primary outcome: SPPB; Secondary outcome: TUG, Chair sit-stand time, lean body mass (Lunar iDXA device) and fat mass (iDXA scan), HGS (JAMAR Hydraulic HHD)	Pain in shoulder, vertigo, delayed onset muscle soreness	Supplementary video to describe exercises	The main finding of this intervention study is that an easy-to-use, functional resistance training program was effective in maintaining functional strength and increasing muscle mass in older adults with pre-sarcopenia
Granic et al. [29], Pilot study and community-dwelling older adults	Resistance exercise	Leg press, leg curl, seated row, chest press	Intensity monitored using CR-100 scale	Primary: Feasibility, applicability dosage and duration of intervention, compliance, adverse health effects, response rates to questionnaire; Secondary: SPPB (balance, 4 m gait speed, 5 chair stand), Muscle mass (BIA), Grip strength (JAMAR HHD), SF-12 Health survey, Barthel index	Protocol	Protocol	Protocol

Table 1 (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Zhu et al. [24], RCT and community dwelling	Resistance exercise and aerobic exercise	Chair based resistance exercises using Thera band	NR	<p>Primary outcome: Change in gait speed over 12 weeks (6-m walk test);</p> <p>Secondary outcome: Muscle strength, muscle power, body composition, health related QOL(SF-36), physical activity scale for the elderly, instrumental activities of daily living and cardiorespiratory fitness; tertiary outcome: to follow till 24 weeks</p>	4 AE and 12SAE but none related to prescribed intervention	NR	The exercise program with and without nutrition supplementation had no significant effect on the primary outcome of gait speed but improved the secondary outcomes of strength, and the 5 CST in community-dwelling Chinese sarcopenic older adults
Jeon et al.[21], community-dwelling elderly	Resistance exercise	Mechanically-assisted squat device program	<p>Squat exercise: Week 1–3: exs program for 30 min at RPE 12–14, After week 3: emphasis on RPE 14–16 for 30 min; 6–7 rotation of sitting to supine to tilt positions were performed</p>	<p>Pulmonary function test (Micro Lab ML3500 MK8 platform): FVC, FEV1sec, MIP and MEP; Knee extensor strength (HHD), Grip strength (Handheld digital grip dynamometer), 3 min walk test, Whole body lean mass (DEXA)</p>	NR	NR	<p>Mechanically assisted squat exercises improved muscle function, including the strength of both knee extension and hand grip, in subject with or without sarcopenia. Leg lean mass and SM was increased in subject without sarcopenia also improve FVC. A prospective RCT exploring effects of mechanically assisted squat exercise by subjects with sarcopenia is essential to definitively confirm the efficacy</p>

**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Ude Viana et al. [27], quasi-experimental study and community-dwelling older women	Progressive Resistance Training Program	Knee extension/flexion, hip extension, flexion, abd and bridge hip + hip abd using a ball and semi-squat. Ankle weights were used to perform the exercises with 1 min interval between the three sets of 12 repetitions each	Resistance training: 3 sets of 12 reps each exercise with 1 min interval between sets, load reassessed every 2 weeks	Muscle strength of Knee extensors (Isokinetic dynamometry), Muscle mass (DEXA), Functional performance (SPPB)	NR	NR	The progressive resistance training program was able to counteract losses on muscle mass, strength, physical performance in community-dwelling sarcopenic older adults and this kind of exercise could be used safely to avoid the negative impact of the loss of strength and muscle mass on sarcopenia
Najafi et al. [35], RCT and nursing home	Strength, walking, balance, endurance activities	Regular PA include—daily walking for 30 min plus stretching Fun PA group—strength, balance, endurance, and walking activities (in the form of rotational movement of hands with plastic balls (also k/a beach balls), catch-a-colour rockets, wands, Audubon bird and stretch bands)	NR	Balance (BBS), 6 min walk distance (6-MWT), Muscle strength (Dynamometer)	NR	NR	Fun PA reduces sarcopenic progression through improving balance, increasing distance walked, and strengthening muscles

Table 1 (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Hassan et al. [28], pilot study and nursing care facilities	Resistance and balance training	Elbow and shoulder extension (dip), leg press, knee ext/flex, hip abd/add, abdominal curl and back extension	RT: 2-week conditioning following 2–3 sets per exercise at intensity they could do 10–15 times with RPE 12–14, progression increasing load if complete 3 sets of 10 reps/set or by increasing with 3 sets of 15 reps	Number of falls, QOL, functional performance (SPPB), falls efficacy and cognitive wellbeing	No adverse event	NR	Resistance and balance exercise has positive benefits for older adults residing in nursing care facilities which may transfer to reduce disability and sarcopenia transition, but more work is needed to ensure improved program uptake among residents
Hong et al. [38], RCT and community-dwelling senior citizens	Resistance exercise	Bicep curls, triceps curls, front raises, leg raises, leg curls, leg extensions, squats, and calf raises	RT: Week 1–4: no weight, Week 5–8: 1 kg Dumbbell, Week 9–12: 2 kg Dumbbell, progressively increased by about 2 steps every 4 weeks from RPE 11–15, 3 sets of 8–10 reps, interval between each set 1 min The total exercise time was progressively increased by 20 to 40 min during the intervention period	Body composition (BF%, UL and LL muscle mass and appendicular lean soft tissue) DEXA, Functional fitness: senior fitness test	NR	Skype	Tele-exercise based on video conferencing would enable real time interactions between exercise instructors and elderly adults and could prove to be a new scientific, safe, and effective intervention method for preventing or improving sarcopenia, thus enhancing QOL among the elderly population



**Table 1** (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Maruya et al. [16], community dwelling	Home-based lower extremity Resistance and balance exercise program	Lower limb resistance exercises and balance exercises were used: squats, single-leg standing, and heel raises	NR	Body composition (SMI,BMI and body fat %) using BIA, Self-reported QOL (EQ-5D, GLFS-25), Physical function (HGS, duration of single leg stand, comfortable and maximum walking speed, and knee extension strength (Handheld Dynamometer)	NR	Guide book	A 6-month home exercise program, combining walking and resistance LL exercise, was effective in improving maximum walking speed and muscle strength in individual, in more than 60 years old with pre sarcopenia and sarcopenia
Bellomo et al. [33], RCT	Global sensori motor: Aerobic, balance and flexibility training; Resistance training; Vibratory mechanical-acoustic focal therapy	Leg press and leg-extension	RT: 1–4 weeks: 3 sets of 12 reps with 60–70% FMT; 5–8 weeks: 3 sets of 10 reps with 75–80% FMT; 9–12 weeks: 3 sets of 6–8 reps with 80–85% FMT	Maximal isometric test (Knee extension machine); Gait analysis: Length of half step (cm), Sway area (mm <sup>2</sup> ), Ellipse surface (mm <sup>2</sup> ) (Pedobarographic platform)	NR	NR	All the training programs implemented in the present investigation increase muscle strength. In addition, sensorimotor and vibrational training intervention aims to transfer these peripheral gains to the functional and more complex task of balance, in order to reduce the risk of falls
Sousa et al. [40]	Resistance exercise	Bench press, leg press, latissimus dorsi pull-down, leg extension, military press, leg curl, and arm curl)	3 sets of 8–12 reps	Dry lean mass (kg), BF% (BIA), muscle strength: 30 s chair stand and arm curl test, maximum strength (1RM)	NR	NR	A once-weekly RT session improves muscle strength and induces beneficial effects in the functional fitness of older adults. The results of the present study suggest that a once weekly session of RT is enough to prevent sarcopenia

Table 1 (continued)

Author (s) details, study design and settings	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educational aids/technology used	Finding(s)
Kim et al. [41], RCT, urban and community	Resistance exercise, balance	<i>Ankle weight exercise—Seated knee flexion and extension Standing knee flexion and extensions Exercise using resistance bands—Lower body—leg extension and hip flexion Upper body—double arm pull downs and biceps curls</i>	Resistance exercise: weights of 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 and 1.50 were prepared and used in accordance with each participant's strength level as the resistance progressively increased, each exercise 8 reps	Body composition (BIA); functional fitness parameter (muscle strength and walking ability)	NR	NR	Exercise and AAS together may be effective in enhancing not only muscle strength, but also combined variables of muscle mass and walking speed and of muscle mass and strength in sarcopenic women

IG, intervention group; CG, control group; EWGSOP, European Working Group in Sarcopenia for Older People; RM, repetition maximum; SBP, systolic blood pressure; IL, interleukin; TUG, timed up go; SPPB, short physical performance battery; IWGS, International Working Group in Sarcopenia; FFM, fat free mass; BF, Body fat; DXA, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; AWGS, Asian working group for Sarcopenia; ASM, appendicula skeletal mass; BIA, bioimpedance analyzer; HHD, hand held dynamometer; RPE, rating of perceived exertion, RT; resistance training; AE, Adverse events; HMB, hydroxy methyl butyrate; HGS, hand grip strength; GS, gait speed; LRT-BFR, low resistance training Blood flow restriction; BMI, body mass index; QOL, quality of life, FMT, maximal theoretical force

**Table 2** Prescription components for Aerobic and Endurance exercises (*n* = 7)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Chang et al. [30]; RCT and physi- otherapy OPD and Home based	Early interven- tion—29 (age—74.3 ± 5.8) Delayed inter- vention—29 (age—75.7 ± 5.9)	EWGSOP	Multicom- ponent	5 days/ week	Moderate inten- sity	150 min/ week	12	Resistance exercise and aerobic	Walking	NR	Physical perfor- mance: grip strength (hydrau- lic HHD), gait speed (5-m walk test), 30 s chair stand test, 2-min step test; body composition: fat mass and lean body mass (DEXA)	NR	Digital versatile disc, hand- book	Early exercise and nutri- tional inter- vention may be helpful in an earlier restoration of lower extremity muscle mass but not physical function in sarcopenic elders. When designing a reha- bilitation program for patient with sarcopenia, RT with nutrition support can be pre- scribed first for the rapid enlargement of the mus- cle volume, and struc- turalized home-based exercise can be admin- istered sub- sequently to preserve the prior intervention effect

Table 2 (continued)

Author(s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Caballero- García et al. [39], Placebo con- trolled trial and Health centers	N=44 Placebo group—22 Citruiline-malate supplemented group—22 Avg age—M 64.8±3.6, F—65.4±4.4	NR	Multicom- ponent	NR	Level of effort 7	10 min/ses- sion	6	Aerobic resistance Aerobic endurance Balance	Aerobic endur- ance—walk- ing, Slow running	NR	6 min test (endur- ance) on 400-m track, HGS (JAMAR digital Dynamometer, Gait speed (4-m test), Squat, SPPB, Balance (Standing, semi tandem, tandem stand)	NR	NR	No significant difference in the outcome measures between placebo and intervention group
Moghadam et al. [36]	ET + RT = 10 RT + ET = 10 CG = 10	NR	Multicom- ponent	3/week	55–70% HR max (11–17 RPE Borg scale)	15–30 min/ session	8	Resistance + endur- ance exercise	Cycling on a fixed-speed cycle ergometer	ET: week 1–4: 15 min, 55% HRmax, 11 RPE; week 5–6: 25 min, 65% HRmax, 15 RPE; week 7–8: 30 min, 70% HRmax, 17 RPE	Body composition (BIA); perfor- mance testing: strength (1RM), power (30-s vintage test on cycle ergometer, cardiorespiratory fitness (modified Bruce protocol for VO2 max)	NR	Diet analy- sis plus version 10 was used to record data	8-week of CT intervention increased circulating SC-related markers, body com- position, enhanced muscular power, and VO2 max in older sarcopenic participants, regardless of the order of ET and RT. However, performing ET before RT may be more effective at enhanc- ing Myf5 and Pax7, as well as improving both lower and upper body power

**Table 2** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Makizako et al. [17]. RCT and Com- munity dwelling	IG: $n = 33$ (Avg age- $74.1 \pm 6.6$ , CG: $n = 34$ (Avg age- $75.8 \pm 7.3$ )	AWGS	Multicom- ponent	NR	NR	20–25 min of balance and aero- bic, 6 min stepping exercise	12	Resistance train- ing, Balance, flexibility, and aerobic exercises	Anterior–pos- terior or lateral step- ping repeti- tions for six minutes	NR	Physical perfor- mance: Grip strength (HHD), Gait speed (6-m test), 5–Chair stand test, TUG and Muscle CSA and volume (MRI)	No AE	Infrared timer for gait speed assess- ment (Out- come measure) Booklet	12 week mul- ticomponent exercise pro- gram with progressive resistance training generally improves physical function in CDOA with sarcopenia or pre- sarcopenia. However, it is unclear whether effective in increasing muscle mass
Zhu et al. [24]. RCT and Com- munity dwelling	RT: $n = 40$ ( $74.5 \pm 7.1$ ) E+ nutrition: $n = 36$ ( $74.8 \pm 6.9$ ) WL: $n = 37$ ( $72.2 \pm 6.6$ )	AWGS	Multicom- ponent	3/week (2/week group exercise and 1 home exer- cise)	NR	20 min per session	12	Resistance exercise and aerobic exercise	Aerobic exer- cises	NR	Primary outcome: Change in gait speed over 12 weeks (6-m walk test); Secondary outcome: Muscle strength, muscle power, body composition, health related QOL(SF-36), physical activity scale for the elderly, instru- mental activities of daily living and cardiores- piratory fitness; tertiary outcome: to follow till 24 weeks	4 AE and 12 SAE But none were related to pre- scribed inter- ven- tion	NR	The exercise program with and without nutrition supple- mentation had no significant effect on the primary out- come of gait speed but improved the secondary outcomes of strength, and the 5 CST in community- dwelling Chinese sarcopenic older adults

Table 2 (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Najafi et al. [35], RCT and Nursing home	IG: $n = 35$ CG: $n = 28$ (Avg. Age— $72.5 \pm 7.0$ )	NR	Multicom- ponent	3/week	NR	20 min per session	8	Strength, walking, balance, endur- ance activities	Regular PA include— daily walking for 30 min plus stretching Fun PA group— strength, balance, endurance, and walking activities (in the form of rotational movement of hands with plastic balls (also k/a beach balls), catch- a-colour rockets, wands, Audubon bird and stretch bands)	NR	Balance (BBS), 6 min walk test, Muscle strength (Dynamometer)	NR	NR	Fun PA reduces sarcopenic progression through improving balance, increasing distance walked, and strengthen- ing muscles

**Table 2** (continued)

Author(s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Bellomo et al. [33], RCT	Sam: 10, RT: 10, Vam: 10, CG: 10 (Avg age=70.9 ± 5.2)	Centers for disease control and preven- tion	Multicom- ponent	2/week; 5 min warm- up	60% HR max	NR	12	Global sensori- motor: Aerobic, balance and flexibility train- ing; Resistance training; Vibra- tory mechanical- acoustic focal therapy	For warm-up in Global sensorimo- tor group— cycle ergometer For warm-up in resistance training group— stationary bicycle	NR	Maximal isometric test (Knee extension machine); gait analysis; length of half step (cm), Sway area (mm <sup>2</sup> ), ellipse surface(mm <sup>2</sup> ) (Pedobarog- raphic plat- form)	NR	NR	All the training programs imple- mented in the present investigation increase muscle strength. In addition, sensorimo- tor and vibrational training interven- tion aims to transfer these peripheral gains to the functional and more complex task of balance, in order to reduce the risk of falls

IG, intervention group; CG, control group; EWGSOP, European Working Group in Sarcopenia for Older People; RM, repetition maximum; TUG, timed up go; SPPB, short physical perfor-  
mance battery; DXA, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; AWGS, Asian working group for Sarcopenia; BIA, bioimpedance analyzer; HHD, hand held dynamometer; RPE, rating of perceived  
exertion; RT, resistance training; AE, adverse events; HGS, hand grip strength; GS, gait speed; BMI, body mass index; QOL, quality of life; ET, endurance training, Berg balance scale; MRI,  
magnetic resonance imaging

**Table 3** Prescription components for Balance exercises (*n* = 9)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise (s)	Mode of exercise (s)	Progression	Outcome measure (s)	Adverse event (s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding (s)
Caballero- García et al. [39], Placebo con- trolled trial and Health centers	<i>N</i> = 44 Placebo group—22 Citrusline-malate supple- mented group—22 Avg age—M 64.8 ± 3.6, F—65.4 ± 4.4	NR	Multicom- ponent	NR	Level of effort 3	5 min	6	Aerobic resistance Aerobic endurance Balance	Balance— standing and monopodial exercises	NR	6 min test (endur- ance) on 400-m track, HGS (JAMAR digi- tal Dynamom- eter; Gait speed (4-m test), Squat, SPPB, Balance (Standing, semi tandem, tandem stand)	NR	NR	No significant difference in the outcome measures between placebo and intervention group
Chow et al. [22], RCT and Com- munity dwelling	EXS + HMB: <i>n</i> = 48, CG: <i>n</i> = 48 and Vibra- tion + HMB: <i>n</i> = 48	AWGS	Single compo- nent	3/week	35 Hz	20 min per session	12	Resistance exercise to one group and Vibration exercise to another group	Vibration plat- form will be used	NR	Primary out- come: Knee extension strength; Secondary outcome: HGS, GS, MM, Balancing activity, TUG test, SARC-F, SF-36, Food frequency questionnaire, activity tracker (steps)	Protocol	Wrist worn activity tracker to record daily activity	Protocol



**Table 3** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Maizako et al. [17]. RCT and Com- munity dwelling	IG: $n=33$ (Avg age- $74.1 \pm 6.6$ , CG: $n=34$ (Avg age- $75.8 \pm 7.3$ )	AWGS	Multicom- ponent	NR	NR	20–25 min of bal- ance and aerobic	12	Resistance training, Balance, flexibility, and aerobic exercises	Tandem stand, heel-up stand, one- leg stand, weight shifts, and stepping (anterior- posterior and lateral), to improve static and dynamic balance ability	NR	Physical performance: Grip strength (HHD), Gait speed (6-m test), 5-Chair stand test, TUG and Muscle CSA and volume (MRI)	NR	Infrared timer for gait speed assess- ment (Out- come measure) Booklet	12 week multicom- ponent exercise program with progressive resistance training generally improves physical function in CDOA with sarcopenia or pre- sarcopenia. However, it is unclear whether effective in increasing muscle mass
Liang et al. [18]. Post- acute care unit	IG ( $n=30$ ), CG ( $n=29$ ) Avg. Age— $87.3 \pm 5.4$ years	AWGS	Multicom- ponent	2/week	NR	20 min	12	Resistance exercise and balance exercise	Balance exer- cise program included: heel and toe raise and static bal- ance varied directional quick stepping, single leg standing, heel to toe walking and complex cross-over steppingac- tivities	Week 1–3: Heel and toe raise and static balance Week 4–6: Var- ied directional quick stepping, Week 7–9: Reaching and single leg standing, Week 10–12: Heel to toe walking and complex cross stepping activities	NR	Primary outcomes: Activities of daily living (Barthel index) and number of fallers; Second- ary outcomes: SPPB, 4-m gait speed, HGS (Digital grip dynamometer), Berg balance, TUG, and any adverse events	NR	Compared with resistance exercise, the mixed exercise program (Bal- ance plus resistance exercise) appeared to have improved the ADL, strength, and physi- cal per- formance in older sarcopenic patient in post-acute care settings

**Table 3** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensify	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progression	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Najafi et al. [35], RCT and Nursing home	IG: $n=35$ CG: $n=28$ (Avg. Age— $72.5 \pm 7.0$ )	NR	Multicom- ponent	3/week	NR	20 min	8	Strength, walking, balance, endurance activities	Regular PA include— daily walking for 30 min plus stretching Fun PA group— strength, balance, endurance, and walking activities (in the form of rotational movement of hands with plastic balls (also k/a beach balls), catch- a-colour rockets, wands, Audubon bird and stretch bands)	NR	Balance (BBS), 6 min walk test, Muscle strength (Dynamom- eter)	NR	NR	Fun PA reduces sarcopenic progression through improving balance, increasing distance walked, and strengthen- ing muscles

**Table 3** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise (s)	Mode of exercise (s)	Progression	Outcome measure (s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Hassan et al. [28], Pilot study and Nursing care facilities	EX: $n = 18$ , CG: $n = 21$ (avg age: $85.9 \pm 7.5$ years)	EWGSOP	Multicom- ponent	2/week	NR	Total duration 1 h per session including resist- ance exercise	24	Resistance and balance training	Heel and toe raise, varied directional quick step- ping, reach- ing, single leg standing, static bal- ance, heel to toe walking and complex cross over stepping activities	Progression reducing hand support, Nar- rowing BOS, increasing speed of activ- ity, cognitive dual task challenge	Number of falls, QoL, functional performance (SPPB), falls efficacy and cognitive wellbeing	No adverse event	NR	Resistance and balance exercise has positive benefits for older adults residing in nursing care facilities which may transfer to reduce dis- ability and sarcopenia transition, but more work is needed to ensure improved program uptake among residents
Maruya et al. [16], Com- munity dwelling	IG: $n = 26$ ( $69.2 \pm 5.6$ years); CG: $n = 14$ ( $68.5 \pm 6.2$ years)	AWGS	Multicom- ponent	NR	NR	20–30 min per day	24	Resistance and balance training	Lower limb resistance exercises and balance exercises were used: squats, single-leg standing, and heel raises	NR	Body composi- tion (SMI, BMI and body fat %) using BIA, Self-reported QOL (EQ-5D, GLFS-25), Physical func- tion (HGS, duration of sin- gle leg stand, comfortable and maximum walking speed, and knee exten- sion strength (Handheld Dynamometer)	NR	Guidebook	A 6-month home exercise program, combining walking and resistance LL exercise, was effec- tive in improving maximum walking speed and muscle strength in individual, in more than 60 years old with pre sarcopenia and sarco- penia

**Table 3** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise (s)	Mode of exercise (s)	Progression	Outcome measure (s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Bellomo et al. [33], RCT	Gsm: 10, RT: 10, Vam: 10, CG: 10 (Avg age—70.9 ± 5.2)	Centers for disease control and preven- tion	Multicom- ponent	2/week	NR	20 min per session	12	Global sen- sori motor: aerobic, balance and flexibility training; Resistance training; vibratory mechani- cal-acous- tic focal therapy	NR	NR	Maximal isometric test (Knee exten- sion machine); gait analysis; length of half step (cm), sway area (mm <sup>2</sup> ), ellipse surface (mm <sup>2</sup> ) (Pedo- barographic platform)	NR	NR	All the training programs imple- mented in the present investi- gation increase muscle strength. In addition, sensorimo- tor and vibrational training interven- tion aims to transfer these peripheral gains to the functional and more complex task of balance, in order to reduce the risk of falls

**Table 3** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnos- tic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Inten- sity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise (s)	Mode of exercise (s)	Progression	Outcome measure (s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Kim et al. [41]. RCT; Communi- ty	E+AAS: $n = 38$ ( $79.5 \pm 2.9$ years), E: $n = 39$ ( $79.0 \pm 2.9$ years), AAS: $n = 39$ ( $79.2 \pm 2.8$ years), HE: $n = 39$ ( $78.7 \pm 2.8$ years)	NR	Multicom- ponent	2/week	NR	20 min/ses- sion	12	Resistance exercise, balance, and gait training	Balance exercise- standing on one leg, multidirec- tional weight shifts, tan- dem stand, and tandem walk Gait training- Raising the toes (dorsiflex- ion) during the forward swing of the leg, kicking off the floor with the ball of the foot, walking with directional changes, and gait pattern- variations	NR	Body composi- tion (BIA); Functional fitness param- eter (Muscle strength and walking abil- ity)	NR	NR	Exercise and AAS together may be effective in enhancing not only muscle strength, but also combined variables of muscle mass and walking speed and of muscle mass and strength in sarcopenic women

IG, intervention group; CG, control group; EWGSOP, European Working Group in Sarcopenia for Older People; RM, repetition maximum; TUG, timed up go; SPPB, Short Physical Performance Battery; DXA, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; AWGS, Asian working group for Sarcopenia; BIA, bioimpedance analyzer; HHD, hand held dynamometer; RPE, rating of perceived exertion; RT, resistance training; AE, adverse events; HGS, hand grip strength; GS Gait speed; BMI, body mass index; QOL, quality of life; ET, endurance training, Berg balance scale; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging

**Table 4** Prescription components for stretching exercises ( $n = 2$ )

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progress- sion	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Makizako et al. [17], RCT and Com- munity dwelling	IG: $n = 33$ (Avg age- $74.1 \pm 6.6$ , CG: $n = 34$ (Avg age- $75.8 \pm 7.3$ )	AWGS	Multicom- ponent	NR	NR	NR	12	Resistance training, Balance, flexibil- ity, and aerobic exercises	NR	NR	Physical perfor- mance: Grip strength (HHD), Gait speed (6-m test), 5-Chair stand test, TUG and Muscle CSA and volume (MRI)	No AE	Infrared timer for gait speed assess- ment (Out- come measure) Booklet	12 week multicom- ponent exercise program with pro- gressive resistance training generally improves physical function in CDOA with sar- copenia or pre-sar- copenia. However, it is unclear whether effective in increas- ing muscle mass

**Table 4** (continued)

Author (s) Study design and settings	Participants (number and age)	Diagnostic criteria	Single/ multicom- ponent program	Fre- quency/ week	Intensity	Time/ses- sion	Total duration (weeks)	Type of exercise(s)	Mode of exercise(s)	Progres- sion	Outcome measure(s)	Adverse event(s)	Educa- tional aids/ technology used	Finding(s)
Bellomo et al. [33], RCT	Gsm: 10, RT: 10, Vam: 10, CG: 10 (Avg age- 70.9±5.2)	Center for disease control and pre- vention	Multicom- ponent	NR	NR	NR	12	Global sensori motor: aerobic, balance and flex- ibility trainings; Resist- ance trainings; Vibratory mechani- cal- acoustic focal therapy	Stretching exercises for the muscles of the lower limbs	NR	Maximal isometric test (knee extension machine); Gait analysis: Length of half step (cm), Sway area (mm <sup>2</sup> ), Ellipse surface (mm <sup>2</sup> ) (Pedobar- ographic platform)	NR	NR	All the training programs imple- mented in the present investi- gation increase muscle strength. In addi- tion, sensori- motor and vibrational training interven- tion arms to transfer these peripheral gains to the functional and more complex task of balance, in order to reduce the risk of falls

IG, intervention group; CG, control group; TUG, timed up go; AWGS, Asian working group for Sarcopenia; HHD, hand held dynamometer; AE, adverse events; CSA, cross sectional area; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.