ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Nano-iron pyrite seed dressing: a sustainable intervention to reduce fertilizer consumption in vegetable (beetroot, carrot), spice (fenugreek), fodder (alfalfa), and oilseed (mustard, sesamum) crops

Chinmaya Kumar Das<sup>1</sup> · Gaurav Srivastava<sup>2</sup> · Amarish Dubey<sup>3</sup> · Manas Roy<sup>4</sup> · Shikha Jain<sup>5</sup> · Niroj Kumar Sethy<sup>5</sup> · Manav Saxena<sup>4</sup> · Sanjay Harke<sup>6</sup> · Sabyasachi Sarkar<sup>4</sup> · Kshipra Misra<sup>5</sup> · Sushil Kumar Singh<sup>7</sup> · Kalpana Bhargava<sup>5</sup> · Deepu Philip<sup>3,8</sup> · Mainak Das<sup>2,3</sup>

Received: 2 April 2016/Accepted: 23 June 2016/Published online: 1 July 2016 © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

**Abstract** Continuous agricultural innovations are required to feed the exploding human population through natural or artificial resources. Though light is ample on earth, two-third of unavailable ocean and one-third of available soil are major limiting factors to free growth. Excessive fertilizer usage is irreversibly altering the chemical ecology of soil, further reducing the available area. Seed metabolism might be a potential answer to this resource crunch. Without genetic modification and thus maintaining the existing biodiversity, manipulation of seed metabolism at the very onset of germination is a sustainable alternative. The current work presents seed priming with iron pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) prior to sowing as one such sustainable and innovative intervention to reduce fertilizer consumption in vegetable (beetroot, carrot), spice (fenugreek), fodder (alfalfa), and oilseed (mustard, sesamum)

Chinmaya Kumar Das, Gaurav Srivastava and Amarish Dubey have contributed equally to this work.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s41204-016-0002-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Mainak Das mainakd@iitk.ac.in

- <sup>1</sup> Plant Breeding and Genetics, Regional Research and Technology Transfer Station (Ranital) Bhadrak, Orrissa University of Agriculture and Technology, District Bhadrak, Orissa 756111, India
- <sup>2</sup> Biological Sciences and Bioengineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India
- <sup>3</sup> Design Program, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry, Indian Institutes of Engineering Science and Technology, Shibpur, Howrah, West Bengal 711103, India

crops. A 12-h seed pretreatment in an aqueous suspension of nano-iron disulfide/pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) resulted in significant yield increase in the above crops. While agriculturists aim to restore the natural genomic diversity of different domesticated crops, environmental engineers require technologies to reduce fertilizer consumption without compromising agricultural yields, thereby making the planet more sustainable. This nanoscale seed pretreatment approach using FeS<sub>2</sub>, otherwise a benign earth abundant mineral, suggests the sustainable opportunity to translate this technology to other crops thereby enhancing the global agricultural production.

**Keywords** Iron pyrite · Cerium oxide · Molybdenum disulfide · Seed bio stimulant · Nanoparticle

## Introduction

The Green Revolution has dramatically changed the global agriculture canvass by exploding agricultural productivity through a combination of strategies like improved seeds,

- <sup>5</sup> Defense Institute of Physiology and Allied Sciences, Defense Research Development Organization, Delhi 110054, India
- <sup>6</sup> Mahatma Gandhi Mission's Institute of Biosciences and Technology, N-6 CIDCO, MG Hospital Campus, Aurangabad, Maharashtra 431003, India
- <sup>7</sup> Solid State Physics Laboratory, Defense Research Development Organization, Delhi 110054, India
- <sup>8</sup> Industrial and Management Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208016, India

newer agro-technologies, mechanization of farms, increased chemical intervention using fertilizers and pesticides, better irrigation practices, combined with strong governmental support, resulted in fewer farmers producing significantly more food and fiber at much lower prices [4, 15–17, 24, 25, 33]. The yield per unit of farmland in India increased by around 30 % from 1947 to 1979, whereas the crop area under high yielding varieties increased from 7 to 22 % as well, when Green Revolution was in full swing [26, 27].

These Green Revolution strategies had several positives and significantly reduced farming risks; however, they came at major environmental costs, viz. depletion of topsoil quality through excessive chemical usage, reduction in water table, contamination of ground and surface water bodies, increased greenhouse gas emissions, increased health threats to humans from long-term chemical usages, increase in air pollution, reduction in family farms, increased migration toward urban areas, and fragmentation of rural communities [4, 15–17, 24, 25, 33]. Of late, the fraternity of agriculturists, environmental engineers, and policy makers proposed a new and emerging movement to mitigate these adversarial environmental impacts: sustainable eco-friendly agriculture [12–14, 21].

'Our Common Future' a report published by the 'World Commission on Environment and Development' in 1987 defined sustainability as: 'meeting the needs of the present, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs' [6]. Sustainable development calls for confluence between economic development, social equity, and environmental protection. Sustainable agriculture mandates extremely judicious use of natural and synthetic resources related to the agricultural production process so that natural resources are conserved and nurtured to allow for self-regeneration, thereby retaining their productive capacity. Also, in doing so, further damage should not be inflicted to the already crippled ecosystem beyond the field's edge [12–14, 21].

The topsoil ecosystem is one of the most fragile and extremely stressed natural resources in agriculture. Most of this is rendered alkaline or saline from excessive fertilizer and pesticide usage, and when combined with erratic rainfall, it has adversely impacted its ability to regenerate. A major environmental goal here is to ensure sustainability of the soil without reducing agricultural production.

One strategy to achieve this goal is by reducing fertilizer usage without reducing the yield. Researchers are exploring avenues for reducing fertilizer requirements by tweaking the seed metabolism through growth booster molecules or seed priming agents and using different nanoparticles as fertilizers [22]. A brief table has been presented in the supplementary information, highlighting the use of different kind nanoparticles in increasing plant production (Table S1). Recently, it was discovered that 'a brief seed pretreatment of iron pyrite nanoparticles before sowing of spinach and chickpea crops resulted in significantly higher production' [3, 10, 28-30]. In this work, we translated this technology into vegetable (beetroot, carrot), fodder (alfalfa), spice (fenugreek), and oil seed (mustard and sesame) crops. The field trail studies demonstrated significant increase in these crops' yields. Further in sesame, one of the oldest oilseed crops, which is mostly grown in the droughtprone regions of the world, where oxidative stress is enormous, possibility of increasing seed vigor by fortifying/ pretreating with antioxidant nanoparticles, viz. ceria ( $CeO_2$ ) [32] was tested along with another transition metal sulfide (molybdenum sulfide; MoS<sub>2</sub>). The results upon comparison with FeS<sub>2</sub> studies amply demonstrated the uniqueness and significance of FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. A comparative table has been provided in the supplementary information, Table S2, highlighting the average yield of the crops under study, in the presence and absence of fertilizer (as reported in the literature) and finally comparing it following 'FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment + no additional fertilizer use' (as reported in the present study). This work is divided into two parts, viz. (a) synthesis and characterization of the iron pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>), molybdenum sulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>), and cerium oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles; (b) field trial and yield estimation of the above-mentioned crops.

#### Materials and methods

#### Synthesis of FeS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

FeS<sub>2</sub> synthesis was carried out in an aqueous medium by a single-step reaction as described in extensive details in the earlier works [10, 11, 28-30]. Ferric chloride was used as the precursor, sodium polysulfide  $(Na_2S_x)$  as the reactant, and trisodium citrate as the capping cum complexing agent to control the particle size and shape. Sodium polysulfide  $(Na_2S_x)$  was prepared in advance by a stoichiometric chemical reaction between NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>S, and elemental sulfur. In the final reaction vessel, ferric chloride reacts with sodium citrate and forms iron-citrate complex, which slowly reacts with sodium polysulfide to form iron pyrite particles. This reaction takes place in an argon-rich atmosphere and takes approximately 8 h to complete with sodium acetate and acetic acid as buffering solution. The reaction temperature and pH are maintained at 90 °C and 6, respectively. Further, trisodium citrate stabilizes the pyrite particles by forming a multilayered assembly of citrate anions on the pyrite surface thus preventing aggregation between the particles. Figure S1 shows the schematic diagram of the FeS<sub>2</sub> preparation (Supplementary information). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to study the morphology of the synthesized particles. The chemical identity of  $FeS_2$  was verified using powder XRD, and patterns were matched with known JCPDS samples. Further Raman spectra of the synthesized particles were taken to verify the purity of the particles.

#### Synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

The synthesis is divided into two parts. First, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MoS<sub>4</sub> was synthesized. To a 5 g ammonium heptamolybdate, 30 mL Conc. NH<sub>4</sub>OH was added. Then, the H<sub>2</sub>S gas was passed rapidly through the filtrate. The color of the solution initially changed to yellow and gradually turns to red. After passing H<sub>2</sub>S for 30 min, the crystals deposition started. Upon initiation of crystal formation, purging of H<sub>2</sub>S was stopped and the red solution was allowed to cool at 0 °C and red crystals of ammonium tetrathiomolybdate were isolated by filtration (G4) frit. After that, the crystals were washed in distilled water and ethanol. In the second step, the (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MoS<sub>4</sub> was reacted with sodium dithionite to obtain the MoS<sub>2</sub> particles. 100 mL 0.01 M (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MoS<sub>4</sub> was taken in 250-mL round-bottom flask. To it, solid 0.7 g sodium dithionite was added very quickly. After that, 2 mL of 0.5 M HCl was added in a dropwise manner and stirred for another 30 min. Then to it, 100 mL 0.1 M (1.8 g in 100 mL) fructose solution was added and stirring was continued for another 30 min. It was left for 2 h for the completion of the nucleation process. After that, it was centrifuged three times with water and three times with acetone and vacuum dried for further use. Figure S2 of supplementary information shows the schematic diagram of the  $MoS_2$  preparation [30].

#### Synthesis of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

Cerium oxide nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized from aqueous solutions of cerium (III) nitrate and HMTA using reported method [32]. In typical procedure, 20 mL of Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.0125 M was added in a dropwise manner to 200 mL 0.0125 M HMTA and stirred for 30 min. Then, the mixture was heated at 75-80 °C with continuous stirring. A white colloidal suspension is formed within 30 min. This white colloidal suspension is to be left for 2 h at room temperature, so that it cools down gradually. The CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were separated from the cooled suspension by centrifugation. The white particles were washed with deionized water to remove soluble impurities followed by washing with acetone and dried in vacuum and further characterized using SEM, TEM, Raman, and XRD. Figure S3 of supplementary information shows the schematic diagram of CeO<sub>2</sub> preparation.

#### Seed pretreatment strategy

All crops chosen for the study were subjected to similar kind of seed pretreatment. Seeds were treated in 100  $\mu$ g/mL aqueous suspension of FeS<sub>2</sub> for 12 h (overnight). The control seeds were only treated with water for the same duration. After pretreatment, seeds were removed from the suspension and directly sowed in the field. Similar dosage and practice were followed for MoS<sub>2</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> in the sesame crop study.

# Field trial of beetroot, carrot, fenugreek, and mustard along with further quantification of chlorophyll, beta-carotene, and common flavonoids in fenugreek leaves

Field trials for different crops were conducted in two different geographical locations in India during 2014-2015. The trials for beetroot, carrot, fenugreek, and mustard were conducted at Ghaziabad District (Uttar Pradesh), India, during the winter season (October 2014-February 2015). This place has a longitude, latitude, and altitude of N 77°, E 28°, and 216 m above MSL, respectively, and is in the upper Gangetic Plains having predominantly sandy-loam soil. Ghaziabad District has an annual average rainfall of 810 mm with most of the rain experienced between the month of June and September. The average temperature ranges between 7.5 °C in the month of January to 39.2 °C in the month of May, and 13.2 °C in the month of November to 33.6 °C in the month of August. The average temperature is around 25.7 °C. All the trials were conducted without using any fertilizer, insecticides, pesticides, or herbicides. Weeding was carried out manually and periodically. Two randomly chosen plots (12 square feet each) were assigned for each crop: one for the control (seed sown after pretreatment in water) and the other for the test (seed sown after pretreatment in aqueous FeS<sub>2</sub>). Equal amount of seeds was sown in the control and the test plots, and the growth and production were monitored. For carrot, beetroot, fenugreek, and mustard, seed quantities of 0.25, 0.25, 0.25 and 0.50 g, respectively, were used for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. Individual crops were harvested at maturity, and all relevant parameters including yield were evaluated. For carrot, concentration of betacarotene was evaluated, whereas in fenugreek leaves, concentrations of chlorophyll and the presence of other common flavonoids were quantified. Protocol for chlorophyll estimation is described in detail elsewhere [30]. Betacarotene from carrot was extracted by liquid-liquid extraction and quantified by recording the absorbance at OD461 nm [18]. Common flavonoids were estimated using high-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC)

methods, and the detailed protocol has been discussed elsewhere [20].

#### Field trial of alfalfa and sesame

The field trials for alfalfa and sesame were conducted at Aurangabad (Maharashtra), India. The alfalfa was grown in the winter months (December 2014–May 2015), and sesame was grown during the monsoon season (August 2014–November 2014). This place has a longitude, latitude, and altitude of N 19°, E 75°, and 513 m above MSL, respectively, and is categorized as a hot, semiarid, ecoregion with shallow to deep black soil.

Aurangabad District experiences an average rainfall that occurs in the monsoon season from June to September with annual rainfall which is 710 mm. The temperature range is 13.7 °C in December to 41.9 °C in May and 16.6 °C in November to 30.4 °C in August. Average temperature is 27.55 °C.

All trials were conducted under rain-fed condition, without using any fertilizer, insecticides, pesticides, or herbicides. Weeding was carried out manually and periodically. In sesame, four levels of treatments (control, FeS<sub>2</sub>, MoS<sub>2</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>) were used and each treatment was replicated three times, requiring 12 plots for doing a 'Randomized Complete Block Design' (RCBD). Each plot size was of  $3 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ m}$  and was obtained by equally dividing a large continuous area into a  $4 \times 3$  grid. Individual plots were picked randomly to apply different treatment levels. Equal number of sesame seeds in 12 identical sets was treated with seed pretreatment solutions of FeS<sub>2</sub>, MoS<sub>2</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and distilled water so that three sets of each treatment level were obtained. These treated seeds were sown in the designated plots, chosen randomly, so that 600 plants per plot occur. However, for preventing overcrowding and ease of care, after 20 days of sowing,

thinning to 400 plants per plot was carried out. The thinning was also carried out randomly. All plots received sunlight, rainwater, and other care in an identical fashion. After the complete crop cycle of 110 days, the final harvesting was performed. After 40 days, 20 plants were chosen randomly from each plot for nondestructive testing method of visual inspection. In this process, the number of pods per plant was counted and the initial results were analyzed. This implies that 60 randomly selected plants for each treatment were obtained (n = 60), resulting in a representative sample of 5 % of the population. Since n > 30, using central limit theorem (CLT) we used pairwise Z test for comparing the means. Similarly, at the end of 110 days, the natural maturity cycle of the crop, again 20 random plants from each plot was taken, resulting in the same 5 % representative sampling. The null hypothesis was that the means of response variable from two different treatments were the same, against the alternate hypothesis that the mean of response variable related to  $FeS_2$ nanoparticle-pretreated seeds was significantly higher than its comparison. Hypothesis was tested using a level of significance (alpha) of 0.01. As response variables, the following eight characteristics were evaluated: (a) shoot length, (b) root length, (c) total biomass, (d) pod biomass, (e) shoot biomass, (f) root biomass, (g) number of pods per plant (at day 40 by visual observation), and (h) seed yield per plant.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Characterization of FeS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle

The particle size varies between 100 and 200 nm (Fig. 1a). The powder XRD pattern shown in Fig. 1b indicates the typical reflection patterns of pyrite particles (JCPDS no.



Fig. 1 Structural characterization of  $FeS_2$  nanoparticles. **a** Representative TEM images showing particle sizes varying from 100 to 200 nm. **b** Representative XRD pattern of the synthesized particles. **c** Representative Raman spectra of the synthesized particles. In the Raman spectra, sharp peaks were observed at 335, 376, and

429 cm<sup>-1</sup>, in which 335 and 376 cm<sup>-1</sup> are the characteristic active modes for FeS<sub>2</sub> representing to the S2 libration (Eg) and in phase stretching vibration of S–S dimer (Ag), respectively. The peak which is at 429 cm<sup>-1</sup> represents to the coupled libration and stretching (Tg) modes or combination of both

42-1340). The XRD plot with  $2\theta$  values at  $28.4^{\circ}$ ,  $32.8^{\circ}$ ,  $36.9^{\circ}$ ,  $40.6^{\circ}$ ,  $47.3^{\circ}$ ,  $56.0^{\circ}$ ,  $58.7^{\circ}$ ,  $61.3^{\circ}$ , and  $64.2^{\circ}$  can be ascribed as the crystal plane of (111), (200), (210), (211), (220), (311), (222), (023), and (321), respectively. Such type of intense peaks in the XRD pattern clearly indicates the absence of impurities like sulfur, greigite [10, 11, 28–30]. Raman spectra were recorded between the wave numbers ranging from 200 to 500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Sharp peaks at 334.8, 376.2, and 428.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed, and these results are in agreement with the earlier work on Raman analysis of pure FeS<sub>2</sub> crystals.

## Characterization of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle

The SEM image shows the synthesized two-dimensional  $MoS_2$  nano-sheets (Figure S4A, supplementary information). The XRD pattern showed the broad diffraction peaks around 14.50 attributed 002 plane of  $MoS_2$  (JCPDS No.: 37-1492) [19]. This broad pattern clearly indicates amorphous nature of the materials [31]. The peak around 23.20, 25.40, and 27.70 could be assigned to S due to the presence of small amount of S in  $MoS_2$  system (Figure S4B, supplementary information). Similar XRD pattern was reported in earlier works [30, 31]. Raman spectra are shown in Figure S4C (supplementary information).

## Characterization of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle

SEM image of CeO<sub>2</sub>-HMTA particles shows average particle size approximately 6.5 nm (Figure S5A, supplementary information). The X-ray diffraction pattern of CeO<sub>2</sub>-HMTA indicates single phase of CeO<sub>2</sub> particles (Figure S5B, supplementary information). The data are in agreement with previously reported results [1, 9, 32].

# Field trial of vegetables (beetroot, carrot), spice (fenugreek, further quantification of chlorophyll, beta-carotene, and common flavonoids of fenugreek leaves), and oilseed (mustard) grown in the Indian subcontinent during winter season

- 1. Beetroot The field trial results of beetroot crop are summarized in Fig. 2a–i. It was found that there is significantly higher beet production following nano-FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. Total yield of control and FeS<sub>2</sub>-pretreated beetroot crop is 4.65 and 6.85 kg, respectively (n = 70), where n stands for the total number of beetroot bulbs harvested from the plot, resulting in a 47 % yield increase in beetroot, following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment.
- 2. *Carrot* The field trial results of carrot crop are summarized in Fig. 3a–d. It was found that there is significantly higher carrot production following nano-

FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. Total yield of control and FeS<sub>2</sub>-pretreated carrot crop is 11.4 and 13.6 kg, respectively (n = 70), where *n* stands for the total number of carrot bulbs harvested from the plot. This amounts to a 19 % increase in the yield of carrot, following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. There was no difference observed in the level of beta-carotene in control as well test case.

3. *Fenugreek* Fenugreek is a commonly used spice in Asian, African, and Latin American continents, as well as it is used for its leaves in several parts of the world. The following parameters were evaluated in fenugreek: the shoot length, root length, leaf area, and number of leaves per plants. Further, chlorophyll and the presence of common flavonoids in the leaves were assayed and quantified. Representative picture of the actual growing plots, morphological details of the leaves, and comparative analysis of the shoot length is shown in Figure S6 (Supplementary information).

Leaf assay was performed from ten randomly chosen plants. Total leaf area was obtained from all the trifoliate leaves from each of these selected plants, and then, it is divided by the total number of leaves to get the average leaf area. Similarly total leaf number was calculated. The data are summarized in Fig. 4a–d. The higher level of chlorophyll (Fig. 4c) suggests that  $FeS_2$ -pretreated seeds resulted in more energy efficient plants. This opens the possibility that in temperate areas of the world, where sunlight is limited during a significant portion of the year, this technology could be exploited for maximizing crop yields during the limited cropping seasons.

4. *Mustard* Mustard is a prominent oilseed crop and is used for several culinary applications. This crop is grown during the winter months between October and February, in the northern plains of India. The crop takes 120 days to mature. At maturity, the crop was harvested and total seed weight was evaluated. The total seed production for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle-pretreated crop was 230 and 380 g, respectively (n = 30, total number of plants harvested). This indicates a 65 % increase in the seed yield following FeS<sub>2</sub> pretreatment. Representative pictures of the mustard plants following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment are shown in supplementary Figure S7.

## Field trial of fodder (alfalfa) and oilseed (sesame)

 Alfalfa It is a perennial flowering plant, grown mostly for fodder. After 75th day of sowing, the following parameters were evaluated: (a) leaves per plant, (b) number of branches per plant, (c) leaf area (mm<sup>2</sup>),



**Fig. 2** Analysis of the results for beetroot. The *asterisk* indicates the crop harvested after FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. The sampling number is shown in 'n' which represents approximately 10–15 % of the total sample size, and standard deviation is shown as SD. Water stands for control seed pretreatment in 'water alone.' **a** The representative pictures of fully mature beetroot crop showing larger bulb size of beet crops following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. **b** The mean  $\pm$  SD plant length (including the leaves) for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $34 \pm 3.7$  and  $39.9 \pm 2.7$  cm, respectively. ANOVA analysis indicated that a p < 0.01; n = 10, thus highlighting a significant difference in the two population. **c** The representative pictures of fully mature beetroot bulb for comparison. **d** The mean  $\pm$  SD

(d) root length, (e) shoot length, (f) fresh weight (biomass). Table 1 summarizes the findings. The data clearly indicate a statistically significant increase in mean parameters of alfalfa plants germinated from FeS<sub>2</sub>-pretreated seeds. The pretreatment resulted in more soil cover, thus having more anchorage of the soil and thereby possibly reducing soil erosion. Such an approach could be sustainable approach in a fragile ecosystem where there is extensive soil erosion resulting in loss of critical mass of top soil. Figure 5 shows the representative comparative pictures of the alfalfa plants following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment and control.

 Sesame Archeological evidence suggests that Sesamum indicum L. is the most ancient oil seed used by mankind. Sesame seed contains 50–60 % oil, 35–50 % protein rich in amino acids like tryptophan and methionine, 1.3 % calcium and natural antioxidants (sesamolin, sesamin, sesamol). The presence of these

beetroot bulb length for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $6.1 \pm 0.6$  and  $5.3 \pm 0.8$  cm, respectively. ANOVA analysis indicated that a p < 0.05; n = 10. **e** The mean  $\pm$  SD beetroot bulb weight for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $143.8 \pm 20.1$  and  $215.1 \pm 72.8$  g, respectively. ANOVA analysis indicated that a p < 0.05, n = 7. **f** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the cross-sectional area of the beetroot bulb for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $84.7 \pm 14.7$  g and  $150 \pm 2.5$  cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. **g** Representative picture of the beetroot cross section. **h** Representative pictures of the leaf area for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $84.7 \pm 14.7$  g and  $150 \pm 2.5$  cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. **g** Representative pictures of the leaf area for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $84.7 \pm 14.7$  g and  $152 \pm 4.3$  cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively

natural antioxidants makes sesame oil very stable. The high nutritional value of sesame seeds and its widespread use in food and pharmaceutical products have put increasing demand for its seeds in the international agricultural and food trade [5, 7, 8, 23]. But it is noteworthy that bulk of the sesame production is concentrated in the hot semiarid tropics of the world where precipitation is marginal and erratic, resulting in crops undergoing major stress induced damage. We evaluated the yield of 120-day-old fully mature sesame crop following a brief seed pretreatment with control, FeS<sub>2</sub>, MoS<sub>2</sub>, and CeO<sub>2</sub> at the time of sowing in an aqueous suspension of the above-mentioned particles.

The most obvious question is 'What was the rational for using three different nanoparticles for sesame crop?'. The sesame and other oilseed plants suffer from chronic sulfur deficiency. The sulfur deficiency becomes more critical in drought-prone regions with erratic rainfall. Most of the



**Fig. 3** Analysis of the results for carrot. The *asterisk* indicates the crop harvested after FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. The sampling number is shown in 'n' which represents approximately 10–15 % of the total sample size, and standard deviation is shown as SD. Water stands for control seed pretreatment in 'water alone.' **a** The representative pictures of fully mature carrot crop following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment and control. **b** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the total length of the carrot plant for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $34 \pm 5.7$  and  $42 \pm 5.7$  cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively, where n = 10. ANOVA analysis indicated that p < 0.01

between the two populations. **c** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the total length of the carrot bulb for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is 18.4  $\pm$  3.1 and 22.7  $\pm$  3.7 cm, respectively, where n = 10. ANOVA analysis indicated that p < 0.05 between the two populations. **d** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the total weight of the carrot bulb for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is 65.67  $\pm$  27 cm and 130  $\pm$  36 g, respectively, where n = 10. ANOVA analysis indicated that p < 0.01 between the two populations.

sesame farmers are marginal land holders and are concentrated in the semiarid tropics of the world where rainfall and soil health are a issue. One of the approaches which have been attempted in this study is to fortify the germinating sesame seeds with two abundant sources of sulfur, viz. FeS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>. The approach was twofold. First, to test whether this effect is unique to FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment or by replacing Fe with Mo, the similar effect can be observed. Second, this experiment was the first step toward understanding the mechanism of action of FeS<sub>2</sub>; 'Is sulfur playing the key role, or iron playing the role or both together is playing the key role?' The other aspect which has been addressed in sesame field trial is 'the inherent problem of oxidative stress experienced by the germinating seeds in the drought-prone regions of the world.' The critical question asked in this field trial is 'Could fortification of the germinating seeds with a potential antioxidant nanoparticle, viz. CeO<sub>2</sub>, increases the production, by overcoming the initial oxidative stress?'. Here, it is noteworthy that Chinese farmers use CeO<sub>2</sub> in the paddy field as a micronutrient. So unlike other inorganic materials, CeO<sub>2</sub> is already used by the farmers, a possible translatable farming strategy [10, 28–30]. In the subsequent section, the results had been summarized. Following the results, the possible mechanism of action of these different nanoparticles has been discussed.

The results are summarized in Figs. 6, 7 and Table 2. Visually, no significant difference is seen on shoot growth after different treatments (Fig. 6a–c).

At the end of 110 days, a significant difference in biomass of seed pod, shoot, and root lengths was observed for the plants germinated from FeS<sub>2</sub>-pretreated seeds (Fig. 7a– d). Here again, no major difference in plant length can be observed visually; however, when the number of pods per plant at day 40 was estimated, FeS<sub>2</sub>-pretreated plants exhibited marked increase in number of pods. Eventually after harvesting, it was observed that FeS<sub>2</sub> pretreatment resulted in significantly large seed yield per plant (Fig. 7e, f). A comparative summary of the growth parameters of the sesame crop is tabulated in Table 2.

In the subsequent paragraphs, overall implications of this study have been discussed. There are three key



**Fig. 4** Analysis of the fenugreek crop at day 50 from the time of sowing. **a** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the number of leaves per plant for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $263.4 \pm 37.33$  and  $684.7 \pm 61.3$  mm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. ANOVA analysis indicated that a p < 0.01; n = 10, thus highlighting a significant difference in the two populations. **b** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the leaf area for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $10 \pm 3$  and  $18 \pm 2$ , respectively. ANOVA analysis indicated that a p < 0.01; n = 10, thus highlighting a significant difference in the two populations. **b** The mean  $\pm$  SD of the leaf area for control and FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment is  $10 \pm 3$  and  $18 \pm 2$ , respectively. ANOVA analysis indicated that a p < 0.01; n = 10, thus highlighting a significant difference in the two populations. **c** In control and FeS<sub>2</sub>

seed-pretreated plants, the average chlorophyll concentration is 1.6 and 2.1 mg/g of leaf tissue, respectively. Thus, there is a 30 % increase in the chlorophyll concentration in the leaves following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed pretreatment. This could be one of the possible contributing factors in higher growth following FeS<sub>2</sub> seed treatment. **d** There was no significant difference in the concentrations of some of the common flavonoids. However, a slightly elevated level of ascorbic acid in FeS<sub>2</sub> seed-pretreated plants was seen

Table 1 Comparison of the growth parameters in 75-day-old alfalfa crop, in control and  $FeS_2$  seed pretreatment

Alfalfa	Leaves per	Number of branches	Individual leaf $(mm^2)$	Root length	Shoot length	Fresh weight (biomass)
	plan	per plant	alea (iiiii )	(cm)	(CIII)	of individual plant (g)
Control	$55\pm13$	$15 \pm 2$	$110.3\pm9.2$	$9.6\pm1.5$	$36.12 \pm 2.4$	$1.04 \pm 0.3$
Mean $\pm$ SD						
N = 30 plants						
FeS <sub>2</sub> seed pretreated	$76 \pm 12^*$	$23 \pm 3*$	$208.5 \pm 10.3*$	$17.9 \pm 2^*$	$47.88 \pm 3.1*$	$3.3 \pm 0.2*$
Mean $\pm$ SD						
N = 30 plants						
-						

Significant differences are shown in \*

observations made in this study, which has been enumerated below:

- 1. There is an increase in yield in multiple crops, following seed pretreatment with  $FeS_2$  + water.
- 2. An attempt to emulate the effect with  $FeS_2$ , with another transition metal sulfide, viz.  $MoS_2$ , replacing iron with Mo, failed to yield the same effect at least in one major oilseed crop (sesame).
- 3. Fortifying seeds with an inorganic antioxidant did not offer any increase in yield (sesame).

The possible answer to these observations lies in the aqueous chemistry of FeS<sub>2</sub>. In the presence of water, FeS<sub>2</sub> generates very trace amounts of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, FeS, elemental sulfur, FeSO<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [10, 28–30]. It has been shown that the trace amounts of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are a plant growth stimulant (Table S1). Similarly H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> seed treatment supports faster



Fig. 5 Field trial with alfalfa. Representative pictures of the plots are numbered from 1 to 4 in the photographs. Plot 1 and plot 2 (both *upper panels*) showed the 25 days after sowing and 75 days after sowing, respectively, in the FeS<sub>2</sub> seed-pretreated plots. Similarly plot

seed germination [10, 28-30]. Further, elemental sulfur also helps in seed fortification. The growth promoting effects upon seed pretreatment with FeS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with six different crops are indicating that it is possibly the exposure of the seeds to a mixture of FeS2 along with the above-mentioned trace compounds (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, S, FeS,  $Fe_2SO_4$ ), generated in the aqueous suspension, and is responsible for this drastic improvement in growth. Further, a slight acidic nature of the aqueous suspension of FeS<sub>2</sub> catalyzes this process. The results obtained from MoS<sub>2</sub> partly support the claim. Why this yield increase result cannot be completely emulated by another common sulfide, viz. MoS<sub>2</sub>? MoS<sub>2</sub> is very inert as compared to  $FeS_2$  in an aqueous environment. It is well known that MoS<sub>2</sub> remains unaffected by dilute acids and molecular oxygen [2]. Thus,  $MoS_2 + H_2O$  alone could not bring any such remarkable change in the seed metabolism. This interesting observation requires further exploration to dissect the role of MoS<sub>2</sub> in seed germination. Similarly  $CeO_2$  though a potential antioxidant molecule [1, 9, 32] does not have any positive influence as a seed pretreatment agent. Why is it so? It is a well-known fact that exposure of germinating seeds with antioxidant enzymes like cata-

3 and plot 4 (both *lower panels*) showed the 25 days after sowing and 75 days after sowing, respectively, in the control plots. Visible observations showed higher foliage growth in the  $FeS_2$  seed-pretreated plants. They showed a dense soil cover

lase delays germination. Essentially, catalase scavenges the  $H_2O_2$  and delays the germination. A germinating seed secretes endogenous  $H_2O_2$  to assist in faster breakdown of the stored carbohydrates present in the cotyledons, so as to promote faster grown. Such a reaction is hindered in the presence of an antioxidant, thus delaying the germination. Possibly CeO<sub>2</sub> is mimicking the effect of catalase by scavenging the endogenous  $H_2O_2$  generated by the seeds. This finding needs further exploration so as to understand the mechanism of action of CeO<sub>2</sub> and FeS<sub>2</sub>, a radical scavenger ( $H_2O_2$ ), and a radical generator ( $H_2O_2$ ) [1, 9, 10, 28–30, 32]. Thus, FeS<sub>2</sub> is possibly not entering inside the seed, instead interacting with water to generate trace compounds, which are responsible for increasing the seed metabolism.

### Conclusion

Agriculturists and environmental engineers have to ensure that the natural genomic diversity of different domesticated crops is restored, by adopting technologies that allow for reducing fertilizer consumption and yet increase the yield.



**Fig. 6** Shoot and root length of the sesame crop upon harvesting at day 110. **a** There is no significant difference in shoot length upon  $FeS_2$  and  $MoS_2$  treatment. **b** Similarly for root length, there is no

significant difference between  $FeS_2$  and  $MoS_2$  treatment.  $\bm{c}$  Visual observation does not depict any mark change in the shoot growth



Fig. 7 Fresh biomass and fruit yield of the sesame crop. a Total biomass upon harvesting. b Pod biomass. c Shoot biomass d Root biomass. e Number of pods per plant estimated at day 40 by random visual observation. f Seed yield per plant upon harvesting

Nanotechnol.	Environ.	Eng.	(2016)	1:2
--------------	----------	------	--------	-----

sl. no	Comparison factor description	Mean $\pm$ standar	d deviation			FeS <sub>2</sub> vers	tus control	FeS <sub>2</sub> vers	us MoS <sub>2</sub>	FeS <sub>2</sub> vers	us CeO <sub>2</sub>
		$\mathrm{FeS}_2$	Control	$MoS_2$	$CeO_2$	Z value	p value	Z value	p value	Z value	p value
	Number of seed pods per plant (day 40)	$81.48 \pm 12.35$	$23.17 \pm 11.73$	$26.52 \pm 9.64$	$23.87 \pm 8.24$	26.52	0.00	27.18	0.00	30.06	0.00
0	Seed yield per plant (g)	$0.92 \pm 0.13$	$0.521\pm0.11$	$0.64\pm0.07$	$0.605\pm0.05$	18.51	0.00	15.32	0.00	18.35	0.00
~	Total biomass per plant (g)	$28.36 \pm 10.54$	$13.98 \pm 7.35$	$18.01\pm5.25$	$15.32\pm6.06$	8.67	0.00	6.8	0.00	8.33	0.00
-	Pod biomass per plant (g)	$13.81\pm5.66$	$4.46\pm3.04$	$7.77 \pm 2.71$	$6.06\pm3.63$	11.26	0.00	7.45	0.00	8.93	0.00
10	Shoot biomass per plant (g)	$11.37 \pm 4.19$	$8.27\pm4.33$	$8.01\pm3.52$	$7.54\pm4.29$	4.01	0.00	4.76	0.00	4.93	0.00
,0	Root biomass per plant (g)	$3.18\pm1.58$	$1.26\pm0.74$	$2.23\pm1.17$	$1.72\pm0.66$	8.55	0.00	3.73	0.00	6.61	0.00
2	Shoot length per plant (cm)	$61.46\pm8.98$	$48.86 \pm 10.07$	$59.57\pm9.01$	$52.95 \pm 7.35$	7.23	0.00	1.15	0.13	5.68	0.00
~	Root length per plant (cm)	$10.66\pm2.23$	$8.92\pm2.59$	$10.93\pm2.49$	$9.6\pm2.17$	3.92	0.00	-0.63	0.73	2.66	0.00

**Table 2** Comparative summary of the growth parameters studied for the sesame crop

Only then the food demand of the increasing global population can be met, while ensuring sustainability of mother nature. This nanoscale approach of using  $\text{FeS}_2$  seed pretreatment, which otherwise is a benign earth abundant mineral, warrants the possibility of translating this technology to other crops and enhances the global crop production. We hope that our study will be the curtain raiser to the next 'sustainable green revolution.'

Acknowledgments This work is partly supported by DRDO CARS grant on the role of cerium oxide nanopaticles as a putative highaltitude medicine (DIPAS/BSBE/20110112) to MD. KB and NS acknowledge the funding obtained from DIP-254 on cerium nanoparticles. Part of the work has evolved from the doctoral thesis of CKD, which was awarded at IIT Kanpur, India. This work is part of GS's doctoral thesis (IIT Kanpur, India). Part of this work is the doctoral thesis of AD of Design program of IIT Kanpur, India. MR is supported by SB/FT/CS-199/2013 (DST- SERB, GOI).

## References

- Arya A, Sethy NK, Singh SK, Das M, Bhargava K (2013) Cerium oxide nanoparticles protect rodent lungs from hypobaric hypoxiainduced oxidative stress and inflammation. Int J Nanomed 8:4507–4520. doi:10.2147/IJN.S53032 (Epub 2013 Nov 21)
- Benavente E, Santa Ana M, Mendizábal F, González G (2002) Intercalation chemistry of molybdenum disulfide. Coord Chem Rev 224:87–109
- Bhargava K (2015) Innovations to give us hope for the future. Nurturing a sustainable future, Women's Forum Italy 29–30 June 2015. http://www.womens-forum.com/speakers/kalpana-bhargava/ 837
- Bowonder B (1979) Impact analysis of the green revolution in India. Technol Forecast Soc Change 15(4):297–313. doi:10.1016/ 0040-1625(79)90023-4
- Brar GS, Ahuja K (1980) Sesame: its culture, genetics, breeding and biochemistry. Annual Review of Plant Science. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi
- Brundtland GH (1987) Report of the world commission on environment and development: our common future. The United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development, Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Budowski P, Markley K (1951) The chemical and physiological properties of sesame oil. Chem Rev 48:125–151
- Budowski P (1964) Recent research on sesamin, sesamolin, and related compounds. J Am Oil Chem Soc 41:280–285
- Das M, Patil S, Bhargava N, Kang JF, Riedel LM, Seal S, Hickman JJ (2007) Auto-catalytic ceria nanoparticles offer neuroprotection to adult rat spinal cord neurons. Biomaterials 28(10):1918–1925
- Das M, Srivastava G, Das C, Dubey A, Sethy NK, Bhargava K, Singh SK, Philip D (2015) Iron pyrite as seed treatment biostimulant: the new revolution? New AG Int 41–42. http://www.newaginternational.com
- Dubey A, Singh SK, Tulachan B, Roy M, Srivastava G, Philip D, Sarkar S, Das M (2016) Nano iron pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) exhibits bifunctional electrode character. RSC Adv 6:16859–16867. doi:10. 1039/C6RA01973K
- Desai BK, Pujari BT (2007) Sustainable agriculture: a vision for future. New India Publishing Agency, ISBN 10: 8189422634 ISBN 13: 9788189422639

- 13. Fukuoka M (2001) One straw revolution. Other India Press, Goa
- Jacobsen S-E, Sorenen M, Pedersen SM, Weiner J (2013) Feeding the world: genetically modified crops versus agricultural biodiversity. Agron Sustain Dev 33(4):651–662
- 15. Joshi MV (1999) Green-revolution and its impacts. APH Publishing Corporation, New Delhi
- Ju XT, Kou CL et al (2007) Changes in the soil environment from excessive application of fertilizers and manures to two contrasting intensive cropping systems on the North China Plain. Environ Pollut 145(2):497–506. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2006.04.017
- Kahrl F, Li Y et al (2007) Green house gas emissions from nitrogen fertilizer use in China. Environ Sci Policy 145(2):497–506. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2010.07.006
- Karnjanawipagul P, Nittayanuntawech W, Rojsanga P, Suntornsuk L (2010) Analysis of β-carotene in carrot by spectrophotometry. Warasan Phesatchasat 37(1–2):8–16
- Lu C, Liu WW, Li H, Tay BK (2014) Binder-free CNT network-MoS<sub>2</sub> composite as a high performance anode material in lithium ion batteries. Chem Commun 50:3338–3340
- Mehrotra S, Kirar V, Vats P, Nandi SP, Negi PS, Misra K (2015) Phytochemical and antimicrobial activities of Himalayan *Cordyceps sinensis* (Berk.) Sacc. Indian J Exp Biol 53(1):36–43
- Mitsch WJ (1993) Ecological engineering: a cooperative role with the planetary life-support systems. Environ Sci Technol 27:438–445
- Paparella S, Araújo SS, Rossi G, Wijayasinghe M, Carbonera D, Balestrazzi A (2015) Seed priming: state of the art and new perspectives. Plant Cell Rep 34:1281–1293
- Pathak N, Rai AK, Kumari R, Thapa A, Bhat KV (2014) Sesame crop: an underexploited oilseed holds tremendous potential for enhanced food value. Agric Sci 5:519–529

- Pingali PL (2012) Green revolution: impacts, limits, and the path ahead. PNAS 109:12302–12308. doi:10.1073/pnas.0912953109
- Singh B, Sekhon GS (1976) Nitrate pollution of groundwater from nitrogen fertilizers and animal wastes in the Punjab, India. Agric Environ 3(1):57–67. doi:10.1016/0304-1131(76)90007-2
- Singh J (1972) A new technique for measuring agricultural productivity in Haryana (India). Geogr 19:15–33
- 27. Singh J, Dhillion SS (2000) Agricultural geography, 2nd edn. Tata McGraw Hill, New Delhi
- Srivastava G, Das A, Kusurkar TS, Roy M, Airan S, Sharma RK, Singh SK, Sarkar S, Das M (2014) Iron pyrite, a potential photovoltaic material, increases plant biomass upon seed pretreatment. Mat Express 4:23–31
- 29. Srivastava G, Das CK, Das A, Singh SK, Roy M, Kim H, Sethy N, Kumar A, Sharma RK, Singh SK, Philip D, Das M (2014) Seed treatment with iron pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles increases the production of spinach. RSC Adv 4:58495–58504
- Srivastava G (2016) Iron pyrite: a seed biostimulant. Doctoral thesis, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India Electronic Thesis and Dissertations (ETD) link. http://172.28.64.70:8080/ jspui/handle/123456789/15534
- Tian Y, Zhao X, Shen L, Meng F, Tang L, Deng Y, Wang Z (2006) Synthesis of amorphous MoS<sub>2</sub> nanospheres by hydrothermal reaction. Mater Lett 60:527–529
- 32. Ujjain SK, Das A, Srivastava G, Ahuja P, Roy M, Arya A, Bhargava K, Sethy N, Singh SK, Sharma RK, Das M (2014) Nanoceria based electrochemical sensor for hydrogen peroxide detection. Biointerphases. doi:10.1116/1.4890473
- Volokh AA, Gorbunov AV et al (1990) Phosphorus fertilizer production as a source of rare-earth elements pollution of the environment. Sci Total Environ 95:141–148. doi:10.1016/0048-9697(90)90059-4