mater.scichina.com link.springer.com

### ARTICLES

Published online 27 October 2017 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s40843-017-9114-0 Sci China Mater 2018, 61(1): 39-47

> CrossMark ← click for updates

# Nanocomposite LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C synthesized by freeze-drying assisted sol-gel method and its magnetic and electrochemical properties

Liying Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenxue Xiao<sup>1</sup>, Jianfeng Guo<sup>1</sup>, Yanyan Cui<sup>1</sup>, Xi Ke<sup>1</sup>, Weitong Cai<sup>1</sup>, Jun Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yiming Chen<sup>1</sup>, Zhicong Shi<sup>1\*</sup> and Shulei Chou<sup>2\*</sup>

ABSTRACT Nano-sized LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C was synthesized via a sol-gel route combining with freeze-drying. X-ray diffraction results show that this composite mainly consists of olivine LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and monoclinic Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> phases with small amounts of V-doped LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and Fe-doped Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. The magnetic properties of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C are significantly different from LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C. Trace quantities of ferromagnetic impurities and Fe<sub>2</sub>P are verified in LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C and  $LiFePO_4 \cdot Li_3 V_2 (PO_4)_2 / C$  by magnetic tests, respectively. LiFe- $PO_4 \cdot Li_3 V_2 (PO_4)_3 / C$  possesses relatively better rate capacities and cyclic stabilities, especially at high charge-discharge rates. The initial discharge capacities are 136.4 and 130.0 mA h  $g^{-1}$ , and the capacity retentions are more than 98% after 100 cycles at 2 C and 5 C, respectively, remarkably better than those of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C. The excellent electrochemical performances are ascribed to the mutual doping of  $V^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{2+}$ , complementary advantages of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> phases, the residual high-ordered carbon and Fe<sub>2</sub>P with outstanding electric conductivity in the nanocomposite.

**Keywords:** lithium ion battery, cathode material, lithium iron phosphate, lithium vanadium phosphate, magnetic property

#### INTRODUCTION

In order to solve the energy and environmental problems simultaneously, electric vehicles (EVs), hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) and large-scale energy storage devices have attracted incredible interests all over the world. These also put forward high requirements on capacity, cycle life and safety for lithium ion batteries (LIB) [1,2]. Cathode materials are still the key issues not only to improve electrochemical properties but also to reduce total cost of LIB. Among all potential cathode materials, polyanion phosphate material LiFePO<sub>4</sub> (LFP) has been commercialized and applied successfully in small power tools. However, it cannot meet the demands raised by EVs and HEVs because of poor intrinsic electronic and ionic conductivities [3,4]. Several methods have been widely used to eliminate these obstacles, such as conductive layer coating (usually carbon) [5–7], nanoparticles controlling [8–10] and metal cations doping [11–13]. In fact, these modification methods are often combined to achieve perfect energy and power densities. However, LFP is still not so satisfactory and hence more effective modifications are needed to be sought.

Recently, LFP and Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (LVP) composite (xLi- $FePO_4 \cdot yLi_3V_2(PO_4)_3/C$ , abbreviated as  $xLFP \cdot yLVP/C$ ) has drawn more attention. LVP is a relatively fast ionic conductor with high potential platform and thermal stability [14-16], which is expected to improve electrochemical performances of LFP. Previous studies [17-20] have shown that xLFP·yLVP/C has better specific capacity, cyclic stability and rate capability than pristine LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C (LFP/C). It is generally attributed to the mutual doping of V and Fe as well as synergistical effect of LFP and LVP phases, so as to improve the electric conductivity and  $Li^+$  diffusion of xLFP·yLVP/C. Although several authors [21-24] have researched LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>- $(PO_4)_3/C$  (LFP·LVP/C), the investigations are mainly focused on its electrochemical properties until now. To the best of our knowledge, few papers have discussed the magnetic performances of LFP·LVP/C. Nevertheless, magnetic properties are extremely sensitive to crystal imperfections and impurities which may indirectly affect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Materials and Energy, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute for Superconducting & Electronic Materials, Australian Institute for Innovative Materials, University of Wollongong, Wollongong 2522, Australia

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors (emails: zhicong@gdut.edu.cn (Shi Z); shulei@uow.edu.au (Chou S))

electrochemical performances. Therefore, magnetic properties of LFP·LVP/C deserve to be highlighted further. Moreover, the complex crystal structure of LFP·LVP/C has posed a characterization challenge not solved by any single technique, requiring the combination of several characterization techniques.

In order to inhibit agglomeration of nanoparticles during heat drying, a sol-gel method combining with freeze-drying was introduced to synthesize LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C in this research. Our focus is on the details of their magnetic and electrochemical properties and then the comprehensive reasons for enhanced electrochemical properties of LFP·LVP/C composite. The results in this paper are believed to arouse wide interests in further research on the relationships between magnetism and electrochemistry of cathode materials.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

#### Material synthesis

LFP·LVP/C was synthesized by an improved sol-gel method. Raw materials C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>7</sub>LiO<sub>4</sub>, FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>- $PO_4$  and  $C_6H_8O_7H_2O$  with the molar ratio of 4:1:4:3 were separately dissolved in 100 mL deionized water. After dropping ferrous chloride solution into citric acid solution with strongly stirring, stoichiometric NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> was put into the mixed solution under continuous stirring to get a clear dark green solution. Then NH4H2PO4 and LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O were added to the above solution in turn with magnetic stirring at 80°C until a black wet gel was formed. The wet gel was frozen in liquid nitrogen and freeze-dried more than 7 d, then ball milled and calcined at 350°C for 5 h in flowing argon. Cooled down to room temperature slowly, the precursor was ball milled and sintered again at 750°C for 10 h in argon atmosphere to yield black LFP·LVP/C composite. For comparison, a LFP/C sample was prepared via the same procedure but without NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub>.

#### Material characterizations

The crystallinity and structure were characterized by Xray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku D/max-RB, Japan) with Co Ka radiation. A CS-8810C high-frequency infrared Carbon and sulfur analyzer (Wuxi, China) was used to confirm the carbon content. Raman spectra were obtained by a VG ESCALab250 spectrometer with monochromatic Al Ka radiation and a HORIBA LabRAM HR with 633 nm He-Ne laser excitation. The surface morphology was observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi, S-4800, Japan) and high-resolution scanning transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEM-2010(HR), Japan). Magnetic tests (magnetization and susceptibility) were carried out with an automated magnetometer (MPMS-5S from Quantum Design) equipped with an ultra-sensitive SQUID within 4–300 K.

#### **Electrochemical measurements**

Electrochemical characteristics were investigated using CR2032 coin cells assembled in an argon-filled glove box. The cathode consisted of the as-synthesized powder, acetylene black and polyvinylidene fluoride binder in a weight ratio of 85:10:5. And the electrode loadings were in the range of  $1.5-1.7 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  on aluminum foil disks of 14 mm in diameter. A metallic lithium foil was used as the counter and reference electrode. The electrolyte was 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> LiPF<sub>6</sub> dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC)diethyl carbonate (DEC)-dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1:1 in volume). Galvanostatic charge-discharge tests were performed on a CT2001A battery testing system (Shenzhen, NEWARE, China) between 2.5-4.2 V (vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/ Li). The measurements of cyclic voltammograms (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were conducted on a CHI660E electrochemical workstation (CHENHUA, China). CV curves were recorded in a potential window of 2.5-4.3 V (vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li) at scan rates of 0.2 to 0.5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. EIS experiments were performed within the frequency range of  $1-10^5$  Hz.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The XRD and Rietveld refinement results of LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C are illustrated in Fig. 1. From Fig. 1a, it can be seen that all diffraction peaks of LFP/C are indexed as an olivine phase with orthorhombic structure (ICSD #72545). While the LFP·LVP/C composite consists of both olivine LiFePO<sub>4</sub> (ICSD #72545) and monoclinic Li<sub>3</sub>-V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (ICSD #161335) phases without any other impurity. The Rietveld refinement results (Fig. 1b, c) further confirm that these samples are well crystallized. The weight fractions of LFP and LVP in LFP·LVP/C are 28.8 (0.3)% and 71.2 (0.5)%, respectively, basically agreeing with theoretical values. Fig. 1d shows that diffraction peaks (for example (011) and (400) lattice planes) of LFP in LFP·LVP/C shift to low diffraction angles in comparison with those of pristine LFP/C, indicating the enlarged cell volume. The unit cell lattice parameters are calculated and listed in Table 1. The lattices of LFP in LFP·LVP/C are expanded in all dimensions agreeing with those reported in literatures [21,23]. The LVP phase gives the main contribution to it because the cell volume of LVP is nearly triple than that of LFP. In addition, no carbon



Figure 1 XRD (a) and Rietveld refinement results of LFP/C (b) and LFP-LVP/C (c), partial zoom of XRD diagrams (d).

Table 1	Refined unit-cell parameters	( <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> : three l	lengths of unit	cell; V: volume	of unit cell, R:	R-factor) for Ll	FP/C and LFP·LVP/C
	1		0			,	

Samples	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	Measured phase content (wt.%)	Theoretical phase content (wt.%)	R (%)
LFP/C	10.3280(2)	6.0080(1)	4.6951(1)	291.3	-	-	7.21
LFP in LFP·LVP/C	10.3995(0)	6.0760(6)	4.7281(4)	298.8	28.8(0.3)	27.9	0.02
LVP in LFP·LVP/C	8.5324(9)	8.5900(5)	11.9633(6)	876.8	71.2(0.5)	72.1	8.95

diffraction peaks have been detected through XRD tests. However, the contents of residual carbon in LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C are about 4.68 wt.%, and 4.54 wt.%, respectively. The residual carbon can also be identified by Raman spectra in Fig. 2. From Fig. 2a, b, the obvious Dband (disorder band) and G-band (graphite band) can be observed for both LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C [25,26] and the corresponding peak intensity ratios ( $I_G/I_D$ ) are 1.15 and 1.27, respectively. Only some weak peaks of PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> can be found in two Raman spectra. These indicate that both samples were coated with uniform high-ordering carbon on the surfaces, inhibiting grain growth and forming excellent conductive network among the particles.

SEM images of LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C are compared in Fig. 3. It is obvious that both samples have homogenous particle size distribution, as shown in Fig. 3a, c. From Fig. 3b, we can see that LFP/C displays more or less rod

shapes with about 200 nm in length and 150 nm in width and includes some large particles. However, the main particle shapes of LFP·LVP/C in Fig. 3d are quasi-spherical. The diameters range from about 50 to 120 nm with only a few large ones. Such particle size distribution is good for contact among particles and tap density of LFP·LVP/C. TEM image (Fig. 3e) illustrates that this composite is mainly composed of nanoparticles with different diameters consistent with Fig. 3d. In Fig. 3f, a uniform amorphous carbon layer with 2 nm in thickness is coated on the surface, in good agreement with Raman results.

Fig. 4 displays the isothermal plots of magnetic moments *versus* applied magnetic fields and the temperature dependences of reverse magnetic susceptibilities ( $\chi^{-1} = H/M$ ) for LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C, respectively. In Fig. 4a, non-linear behaviors at low-magnetic fields and linear



Figure 2 Raman spectra of LFP/C (a) and LFP·LVP/C (b).



Figure 3 SEM images of LFP/C (a and b) and LFP-LVP/C (c and d); TEM (e) and HRTEM (f) of LFP-LVP/C.

variations at high-magnetic fields in M(H, T) curves are observed even at room temperature (300 K). Moreover, all linear portions of the magnetization curves extrapolate to about the same magnetization (called  $M_0$ ). There is only a peak of magnetic susceptibility at T=52 K ( $T_N$ , Néel temperature of pure crystallized LFP) in  $\chi^{-1}(T)$  plot, which refers to the transition temperature from antiferromagnetic ordering to paramagnetic range for LFP/C [27,28]. A typical Curie-Weiss law behavior is then followed above  $T_N$ . Therefore, the impurities included in LFP/C should be ferromagnetic and their magnetic ordering temperatures are much higher than room temperature, such as  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [29–31]. Supposing all impurities are  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phase in the form of small clusters dispersed in LFP/C, the total percent can be determined by formula  $M_0=Nn\mu(H, T)$  [29]. So the calculated fraction of impurities in LFP/C is x=0.24 wt.%.

For LFP-LVP/C, the magnetic properties are obviously different with those of LFP/C (Fig. 4b). Firstly, M(H, T)curves present non-linear behaviors at low-magnetic fields but with different curvatures. Secondly, the antiferromagnetism of LFP/C at low-magnetic fields in  $\chi^{-1}(T)$ curve vanishes. Thirdly, the  $\chi^{-1}(T)$  curve is divided into three parts with changed slopes by two transition temperatures located around 50 and 240 K, respectively. Only above 240 K, the  $\chi^{-1}(T)$  curve of LFP-LVP/C obeys the Curie-Weiss law. The above differences are probably caused by following reasons. The first of all is the influ-



Figure 4 Magnetization curves of LFP/C (a) and LFP-LVP/C (b), the insets are temperature dependences of the reciprocal of magnetic susceptibilities of LFP/C and LFP-LVP/C, respectively.

ence of LVP second phase existing in LFP·LVP/C, which has been reported with paramagnetism [32–34]. Then the impurity included in LFP·LVP/C also plays a vital role. The main impurity comprised in LFP·LVP/C is most likely Fe<sub>2</sub>P, because it is a ferromagnet with Curie temperature of 220-265 K reported in previous studies [28,35]. The deduced percent of Fe<sub>2</sub>P through  $M_0 = Nn\mu$ (H, T) is 0.44 wt.%. Fe<sub>2</sub>P nanoparticles stuck on particles of LFP·LVP/C have been proved to be very conducive to electric conductivity [29,36]. Finally, the changed microstructure of LFP·LVP/C caused by mutual doping of iron and vanadium ions is another reason for different magnetic properties between LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C. Therefore, the significantly changed magnetic characteristics of LFP·LVP/C may indirectly affect the electrochemical performances of LFP·LVP/C applied as a cathode material for LIB. Magnetic results are inconsistent with former XRD results probably because the contents of impurities are below the threshold of XRD.

Fig. 5 shows the first and 100<sup>th</sup> charge-discharge curves and cycling performances of LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C. LFP·LVP/C contains four potential plateaus corresponding to LFP and LVP respectively and possesses higher discharge midpoint voltages compared with LFP/C (Fig. 5a–d). Fig. 5e, f demonstrate that LFP/C exhibits a higher initial discharge capacity of 149.3 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> than LFP. LVP/C (143.8 mA h  $g^{-1}$ ) at 0.2 C. However, LFP-LVP/C displays excellent rate capabilities with increased current density. At 1, 2 and 5 C, LFP·LVP/C provides the first specific capacities of 142.0, 136.4 and 130.0 mA h  $g^{-1}$ , whereas LFP/C only shows 139.2, 131.8 and 123.3 mA h  $g^{-1}$  respectively. And the discharge capacities of LFP·LVP/C have peaks at around the 10<sup>th</sup> cycles due to activation process. LFP·LVP/C possesses capacity retentions of 99.2%, 99.0%, 98.4% and 98.3% (compared with the highest capacities not the first capacities) after 100 cycles at 0.2–5 C rates respectively, remarkably higher than LFP/C (98.4%, 95.3%, 92.9% and 89.6%). The electrochemical properties of LFP-LVP/C are also better than those in previous publications [21–23].

The EIS diagrams of LFP/C and LFP·LVP/C are given in Fig. 6. Fig. 6a shows that both diagrams consist of depressed semicircles and sloping lines before cycling. The depressed semicircles at high-middle frequencies represent the charge-transfer impedances of electrochemical reaction in the double-layer of electrode surface, and the slope lines at low frequencies are related to the diffusion-controlled Warburg impedances. Intersections of Nyquist plots with Z' real axis refer to the Ohmic resistance. While two depressed semicircles are observed at high-middle frequencies after 100 cycles in Fig. 6b, which correspond to the impedances of solid electrolyte interface (SEI) film and charge transfer, respectively [37]. Positive effect of SEI film on cyclic ability has been reported [38,39]. As can be seen, whether before or after charge/discharge, LFP·LVP/C presents smaller chargetransfer impedances than LFP/C, which benefits the improvement of electrochemical property for LFP·LVP/C.

The CV curves of LFP/C and LFP-LVP/C are provided in Fig. 7a, b. As shown in Fig. 7a, b, both LFP/C and LFP-LVP/C display well symmetric redox peaks at all scan rates. Four pairs of redox peaks for LFP-LVP/C match with those of LFP and LVP, respectively. The smaller potential differences between redox peaks of LFP in LFP-LVP/C (0.09, 0.11, 0.12 and 0.13 V) at scan rates of 0.2–0.5 mV s<sup>-1</sup> indicate the better electrochemical reversibility comparing with those of pristine LFP/C (0.21, 0.24, 0.27 and 0.29 V). The sluggish kinetic lithium diffusion would be the main factor for relatively small redox peaks of LFP in LFP-LVP/C. According to CV results, Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion coefficients (*D*) around redox peaks can be calculated and the detailed calculation process consults



Figure 5 First and 100th charge-discharge curves of LFP/C (a and b) and LFP-LVP/C (c and d); cycle performances of LFP/C (e) and LFP-LVP/C (f).



Figure 6 Nyquist plots of LFP/C and LFP-LVP/C at open circuit potential before charge-discharge tests (a) and after 100 cycles to the cut-off voltage of 2.5 V at 1 C rate (b).

the former literature [32]. The linear fittings of  $I_p vs. v^{1/2}$  are illustrated in Fig. 7c, d and the calculated *D* results are listed in Table 2. *D* values of LFP in LFP·LVP/C are much

larger than those of pristine LFP/C, especially in discharge process. Meanwhile, *D* values of LVP in LFP·LVP/ C also increase compared with those of LVP/C in Ref.



**Figure 7** CV curves of LFP/C (a) and LFP-LVP/C (b) at varied scan rates; linear fittings of  $I_p vs. v^{1/2}$  of LFP/C (c) and LFP-LVP/C (d) corresponding to different redox peaks.

Table 2	Values	of	calculated	D	(cm <sup>2</sup>	s <sup>-1</sup> )	)
---------	--------	----	------------	---	------------------	-------------------	---

Peaks	а	b	с	d	a'	b'	c'	ď
LFP/C	$1.62 \times 10^{-12}$	-	-	-	$1.56 \times 10^{-12}$	-	-	-
LFP in LFP·LVP/C	$5.31 \times 10^{-12}$	-	-	-	$2.02 \times 10^{-11}$	-	-	-
LVP in LFP·LVP/C	-	$6.32 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.37 \times 10^{-10}$	$8.67 \times 10^{-10}$	-	$1.57 \times 10^{-10}$	$5.29 \times 10^{-10}$	$2.38 \times 10^{-10}$
LVP/C [32]	-	$2.34 \times 10^{-11}$	5.66×10 <sup>-11</sup>	$8.62 \times 10^{-11}$	-	$1.04 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.02 \times 10^{-10}$	$2.04 \times 10^{-10}$

[32]. Fast Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion helps to enhance electrochemical performances of LFP·LVP/C.

#### CONCLUSIONS

LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C composite has been successfully synthesized by a sol-gel method with freeze-drying. The composite is well crystallized with two homogeneous phases of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. And some V<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions are doped into lattices of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>-(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> respectively, leading to lattice changes and defects. The different magnetic properties between LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C and LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C and tiny impurities are confirmed by magnetic tests. The initial discharge capacities of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C with high discharge plateaus are 142.0, 136.4 and 130.0 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> and the capacity losses are less than 2% after 100 cycles at 1, 2 and

5 C respectively, significantly higher than those of LiFe-PO<sub>4</sub>/C. The improvements are due to the mutual doping of vanadium and iron ions and synergistic effect of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> phases in combination with the existence of high-ordering carbon and Fe<sub>2</sub>P impurity in LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C.

## Received 11 July 2017; accepted 11 September 2017; published online 27 October 2017

- 1 Fan SS, Zhong H, Yu HT, *et al.* Hollow and hierarchical  $Na_2Li_2$ -Ti<sub>6</sub>O<sub>14</sub> microspheres with high electrochemical performance as anode material for lithium-ion battery. Sci China Mater, 2017, 60: 427–437
- 2 Wang L, Hu Z, Zhao K, *et al.* Hollow spherical LiNi<sub>0.5</sub>Mn<sub>1.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> built from polyhedra with high-rate performance *via* carbon nanotube modification. Sci China Mater, 2016, 59: 95–103
- 3 Tu X, Zhou Y, Tian X, et al. Monodisperse LiFePO<sub>4</sub> microspheres

embedded with well-dispersed nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes as high-performance positive electrode material for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta, 2016, 222: 64–73

- 4 Zhao JW, Zhao SX, Wu X, *et al.* Double role of silicon in improving the rate performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cathode materials. J Alloys Compd, 2017, 699: 849–855
- 5 Ren W, Wang K, Yang J, et al. Soft-contact conductive carbon enabling depolarization of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cathodes to enhance both capacity and rate performances of lithium ion batteries. J Power Sources, 2016, 331: 232–239
- 6~ Xu Y, Mao J. Improve electrochemical performance of LiFePO\_4/C cathode by coating  $\rm Ti_2O_3$  through a facile route. Ionics, 2015, 21: 3159–3167
- 7 Tian X, Zhou Y, Tu X, *et al.* Well-dispersed LiFePO<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles anchored on a three-dimensional graphene aerogel as high-performance positive electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J Power Sources, 2017, 340: 40–50
- 8 Li W, Zeng L, Wu Y, *et al.* Nanostructured electrode materials for lithium-ion and sodium-ion batteries *via* electrospinning. Sci China Mater, 2016, 59: 287–321
- 9 Zheng F, Yang C, Ji X, et al. Surfactants assisted synthesis and electrochemical properties of nano-LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C cathode materials for low temperature applications. J Power Sources, 2015, 288: 337– 344
- 10 Bao L, Li L, Xu G, *et al.* Olivine LiFePO<sub>4</sub> nanocrystallites embedded in carbon-coating matrix for high power Li-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta, 2016, 222: 685–692
- 11 Zhao N, Li Y, Zhi X, *et al.* Effect of Ce<sup>3+</sup> doping on the properties of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cathode material. J Rare Earths, 2016, 34: 174–180
- 12 Meng X, Han B, Wang Y, et al. Effects of samarium doping on the electrochemical performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C cathode material for lithium-ion batteries. Ceramics Int, 2016, 42: 2599–2604
- 13 Chen M, Shao LL, Yang HB, et al. Vanadium-doping of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/ carbon composite cathode materials synthesized with organophosphorus source. Electrochim Acta, 2015, 167: 278–286
- 14 Zhang X, Kühnel RS, Hu H, *et al.* Going nano with protic ionic liquids—The synthesis of carbon coated Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles encapsulated in a carbon matrix for high power lithium-ion batteries. Nano Energy, 2015, 12: 207–214
- 15 Liang S, Hu J, Zhang Y, *et al.* Facile synthesis of sandwich-structured Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/carbon composite as cathodes for high performance lithium-ion batteries. J Alloys Compd, 2016, 683: 178–185
- 16 Yan H, Zhang G, Li Y. Synthesis and characterization of advanced  $Li_3V_2(PO_4)_3$  nanocrystals@conducting polymer PEDOT for high energy lithium-ion batteries. Appl Surf Sci, 2017, 393: 30–36
- Liang S, Cao X, Wang Y, et al. Uniform 8LiFePO<sub>4</sub>-Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub> (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C nanoflakes for high-performance Li-ion batteries. Nano Energ, 2016, 22: 48–58
- 18 Zhang X, Huang M, Huang J, *et al.* Preparation and electrochemical performance of 7LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·3Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C. Mater Chem Phys, 2015, 167: 253–257
- 19 Zhong S, Wu L, Zheng J, et al. Preparation of high tap-density 9LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C composite cathode material by spray drying and post-calcining method. Powder Tech, 2012, 219: 45–48
- 20 Zhang B, Li H, Zhang J. High-rate electrode material  $2\text{LiFePO}_4$ · Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>@carbon/graphene using the *in situ* grown Fe<sub>4</sub>(VO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>· 15H<sub>2</sub>O precursor on the surface of graphite oxide. RSC Adv, 2015, 5: 32191–32197
- 21 Yang G, Jiang CY, He XM, et al. Preparation of Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/ LiFePO<sub>4</sub> composite cathode material for lithium ion batteries. Io-

nics, 2013, 19: 1247-1253

- 22 Jo J, Gim J, Song J, *et al.* One-pot pyro-synthesis of a high energy density LiFePO<sub>4</sub>-Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> nanocomposite cathode for lithiumion battery applications. Ceramics Int, 2017, 43: 4288–4294
- 23 Guo Y, Huang Y, Jia D, *et al.* Preparation and electrochemical properties of high-capacity LiFePO<sub>4</sub>-Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C composite for lithium-ion batteries. J Power Sources, 2014, 246: 912–917
- 24 He W, Wei C, Zhang X, *et al.* Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/LiFePO<sub>4</sub> composite hollow microspheres for wide voltage lithium ion batteries. Electrochim Acta, 2016, 219: 682–692
- 25 An C, Liu X, Gao Z, et al. Filling and unfilling carbon capsules with transition metal oxide nanoparticles for Li-ion hybrid supercapacitors: towards hundred grade energy density. Sci China Mater, 2017, 60: 217–227
- 26 Yao L. Facile synthesis of LiFePO₄/C with high tap-density as cathode for high performance lithium ion batteries. Int J Electrochem Sci, 2017, 12: 206–217
- 27 Shang W, Kong L, Ji X. Synthesis, characterization and electrochemical performances of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/graphene cathode material for high power lithium-ion batteries. Solid State Sci, 2014, 38: 79–84
- 28 Masrour R, Hlil EK, Obbade S, *et al.* Theoretical and experimental investigations of the structural, magnetic, electronic, and electrical properties of olivine LiFePO<sub>4</sub>. Solid State Ion, 2016, 289: 214–219
- 29 Zaghib K. Magnetic studies of phospho-olivine electrodes in relation with their electrochemical performance in Li-ion batteries. Solid State Ion, 2008, 179: 16–23
- 30 Brochu F, Guerfi A, Trottier J, et al. Structure and electrochemistry of scaling nano C-LiFePO<sub>4</sub> synthesized by hydrothermal route: complexing agent effect. J Power Sources, 2012, 214: 1–6
- 31 Zaghib K, Charest P, Dontigny M, *et al.* LiFePO<sub>4</sub>: from molten ingot to nanoparticles with high-rate performance in Li-ion batteries. J Power Sources, 2010, 195: 8280–8288
- 32 Liu L, Lei X, Tang H, *et al.* Influences of La doping on magnetic and electrochemical properties of Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta, 2015, 151: 378–385
- 33 Liu L, Qiu Y, Mai Y, *et al.* Influences of neodymium doping on magnetic and electrochemical properties of Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C synthesized *via* a sol-gel method. J Power Sources, 2015, 295: 246–253
- 34 Zhang LL, Liang G, Peng G, *et al.* Significantly improved electrochemical performance in Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C promoted by SiO<sub>2</sub> coating for lithium-ion batteries. J Phys Chem C, 2012, 116: 12401–12408
- 35 Salah AA, Mauger A, Julien CM, *et al.* Nano-sized impurity phases in relation to the mode of preparation of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>. Mater Sci Eng-B, 2006, 129: 232–244
- 36 Dhindsa KS, Kumar A, Nazri GA, et al. Enhanced electrochemical performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C nanocomposites due to in situ formation of Fe<sub>2</sub>P impurities. J Solid State Electrochem, 2016, 20: 2275– 2282
- 37 Rui XH, Jin Y, Feng XY, *et al.* A comparative study on the lowtemperature performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C and Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C cathodes for lithium-ion batteries. J Power Sources, 2011, 196: 2109– 2114
- 38 Xu J, Xia Q, Chen F, *et al.* Facilely solving cathode/electrolyte interfacial issue for high-voltage lithium ion batteries by constructing an effective solid electrolyte interface film. Electrochim Acta, 2016, 191: 687–694
- 39 Yi T F, Han X, Yang S Y, et al. Enhanced electrochemical performance of Li-rich low-Co Li<sub>1.2</sub>Mn<sub>0.56</sub>Ni<sub>0.16</sub>Co<sub>0.08-x</sub>Al<sub>x</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0≤x≤0.08) as cathode materials. Sci China Mater, 2016, 59: 618– 628

#### **SCIENCE CHINA Materials**

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21673051), Guangdong Province Science & Technology Bureau (2014A010106029, 2014B010106005 and 2016A010104015), Guangzhou Science & Innovative Committee (201604030037), the Youth Foundation of Guangdong University of Technology (252151038), the link project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Guangdong Province (U1401246), and the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou City of China (201508030018).

Author contributions Liu L designed the experiments and wrote the initial manuscript; Xiao W and Guo J performed the experiments with support from Liu L, Cui Y and Chen Y; Shi Z and Chou S guided the work. All authors contribute to analysis of the data and advice for the paper writing.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.



Liying Liu received her PhD degree in 2006 from Northeastern University. Then she joined Guangdong University of Technology as a lecturer until now. Meanwhile, she worked as a post-doctoral fellow in Mcnair Technology Co., Ltd. from 2008 to 2010, as a visiting fellow at University of Wollongong in Australia from 2016 to 2017. Her research focuses on energy storage materials for batteries.



**Zhicong Shi** is a professor at Guangdong University of Technology, where he presently serves as the Head of Department of New Energy Materials and Devices and the Director of Guangdong Engineering Centre for New Energy Materials and Devices. He received his PhD in physical chemistry from Xiamen University in 2005. His current research interests include novel materials for batteries, supercapacitors and fuel cells.



**ShuLei Chou** is a senior research fellow in ISEM at University of Wollongong (UOW). He obtained his Bachelor (1999) and Master degree (2004) in Nankai University, China. His PhD degree was received from UOW with the best thesis award in 2010. His research has been focused on energy storage materials for battery applications, especially on novel composite materials, new binders and new electrolytes for Li/Na batteries.

#### 冷冻干燥辅助溶胶-凝胶法制备纳米复合材料LiFePO4·Li3V2(PO4)3/C及其磁学和电化学性能的研究

刘丽英1,2,肖文学1,郭剑峰1,崔艳艳1,柯曦1,蔡伟通1,刘军1,陈易明1,施志聪1, 侴术雷2,

摘要 本文采用冷冻干燥与溶胶-凝胶相结合的方法制备了纳米复合材料LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C. XRD结果表明该复合材料主要由橄榄石型LiFePO<sub>4</sub>和单斜晶系Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>相组成,同时包含少量的V掺杂LiFePO<sub>4</sub>和Fe掺杂Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. 磁学测试结果表明,LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C 的磁学特性显著区别于LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C,并且痕量的铁磁性杂质和Fe<sub>2</sub>P杂质分别存在于LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C和LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C中. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C中. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C 中. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C (PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C 中. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>/C 中. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>V<sub>2</sub>·C h. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sup>3</sup>·C h. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>·C h. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sub>3</sub>·C h. LiFePO<sub>4</sub>·Li<sup>3</sup>·C h. LiFePO<sub></sub>