Author Correction: Oppression or Opportunity? Sexual Strategies and the Perception of Sexual Advances

Lisa Klümper¹ · Sascha Schwarz¹

Published online: 18 December 2019
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Author Correction: Evolutionary Psychological Science <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-019-00215-y>

The original version of this article contained a mistake. In Table 2, there are two values that are displayed wrong. The correct Table 2 is shown here.

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of SOI-R scores, SOI-R facets and mean perceived harmfulness of the scenarios as a function of sex in study 1 and study 2

	Study 1		Study 2			
	Attractiveness		Physical appearance		Status	
	Men (<i>n</i> = 105) <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Women (<i>n</i> = 411) <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Men (<i>n</i> = 250) <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Women (<i>n</i> = 251) <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Men (<i>n</i> = 228) <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Women (<i>n</i> = 271) <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)
SOI-R	3.19 (0.92)	2.50 (0.77)	3.06 (0.82)	2.52 (0.83)	2.99 (0.79)	2.46 (0.80)
SOI-R attitudes	3.76 (1.14)	3.05 (1.09)	3.61 (1.08)	3.25 (1.22)	3.63 (1.07)	3.14 (1.24)
SOI-R behavior	2.65 (1.16)	2.22 (0.90)	2.41 (1.14)	2.13 (0.94)	2.35 (1.07)	2.09 (0.90)
SOI-R desire	3.17 (1.08)	2.23 (0.89)	3.17 (1.04)	2.18 (0.91)	2.99 (0.99)	2.14 (0.86)
Harmfulness of scenarios	4.14 (1.37)	5.04 (0.81)	3.73 (1.21)	5.16 (0.82)	3.57 (1.15)	5.21 (0.70)

SOI-R and its facets were measured on 5-point scales. Perceived harmfulness across all three scenarios varies from 1: “not at all” to 6: “very”

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-019-00215-y>

✉ Lisa Klümper
lisa.kluemper@uni-wuppertal.de

¹ School of Human and Social Sciences, Social and Personality Psychology, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstr. 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany