Stability in Kelvin–Voigt poroelasticity

Brian Straughan¹

Received: 23 August 2020 / Accepted: 10 October 2020 / Published online: 21 October 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Hölder continuous dependence of solutions upon the initial data is established for the linear theory of Kelvin–Voigt poroelasticity requiring only symmetry conditions upon the elastic coefficients. A novel functional is introduced to which a logarithmic convexity technique is employed.

Keywords Continuous dependence · Kelvin–Voigt · Improperly posed · Poroelasticity

Mathematics Subject Classification 74H25 · 74H55 · 35B30 · 35B35 · 35M13

1 Introduction

Improperly posed and related problems for partial differential equations have been investigated by many mathematicians, both in the past and relatively recently, e.g. Agmon [1], Agmon and Nirenberg [2], Ames and Epperson [3], Ames and Hughes [4], Ames and Straughan [5], Benrabah et al. [6], Caffisch et al. [7], Carasso [8–10], Chirita [11], Chirita and Zampoli [12], Fury [13], Fury and Hughes [14], Harfash [15], Hetrick and Hughes [16], Knops and Payne [17], Payne and Straughan [18–20], Straughan [21], Yang and Deng [22]. Such improperly posed problems are important in many real life applications. For example, Carasso [8,23,24], gives examples in the fields of identification of groundwater pollution by reconstructing the contaminant plume history, or in deblurring an image in astrophysics or magnetic resonance imaging in brain scans.

In the field of classical linear elastodynamics Knops and Payne [17] established continuous dependence upon the initial data without requiring any definiteness conditions on the elastic coefficients, imposing instead only symmetry. In the modern literature it is recognised that for some bodies positive definiteness of the elastic coefficients is too restrictive. For example, in auxetic materials Poisson's ratio may be negative, see Xinchun and Lakes [25], and then the results of Knops and Payne [17], assume relevance due to their lack of definiteness requirement.

Many continuous bodies exhibit elastic behaviour but simultaneously demonstrate fluid like behaviour. In general, these are classed as viscoelastic materials. A particular subclass

✉ Brian Straughan
brian.straughan@durham.ac.uk

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

of such materials are the so called Kelvin–Voigt materials, cf. Chirita et al. [26], Chirita and Zampoli [12], Gal and Medjo [27], Su and Qin [28]. In the linear case these add a dissipation term to the equations of linear elasticity, see e.g. Chirita et al. [26], Chirita and Zampoli [12]. It is important to observe that Kelvin–Voigt theory is being used in various industrial applications to analyse real materials. For example, Gidde and Pawar [29] study viscoelastic properties of polydimethylsiloxane in a micropump by means of a Kelvin–Voigt model, Jayabal et al. [30] use the theory for computational skin modelling in the cosmetics industry, and Jozwiak et al. [31] use this theory to describe the dynamic behaviour of biopolymer materials.

The goal of this work is to establish a stability estimate and prove uniqueness for a solution to equations which describe a porous elastic body of Kelvin–Voigt type. We require only symmetry of the elastic coefficients and impose no definiteness whatsoever. In order to achieve this we introduce a novel functional and work with a logarithmic convexity method. The equations of poroelasticity couple the elastic displacement to the pressure field in the pores and are described by a second order in time system coupled to a first order one. Analysis of stability for this coupled system of partial differential equations necessarily requires a very different procedure to that which suffices in classical elastodynamics.

2 Governing equations

The governing equations for a multi-porosity elastic body are described by Svanadze [32] or by Straughan [33]. For a single porosity anisotropic elastic body of Kelvin–Voigt type the equations are, cf. Chirita and Zampoli [12], Straughan [33], p. 72,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho \ddot{u}_i &= (a_{ijkh} u_{k,h})_{,j} + (b_{ijkh} \dot{u}_{k,h})_{,j} - (\beta_{ij} p)_{,j} + \rho f_i, \\ \alpha \dot{p} &= (k_{ij} p_{,j})_{,i} - \beta_{ij} \dot{u}_i + \rho s,\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where ρ , u_i , p , f_i , α , s are the density, elastic displacement, pressure field in the pores, externally supplied body force, the inertia coefficient for the pressure, and the externally supplied heat source. The terms a_{ijkh} and b_{ijkh} are the elastic coefficients and the Kelvin–Voigt coefficients, respectively, β_{ij} is a coupling tensor, and k_{ij} is a pressure diffusion tensor. A superposed dot denotes partial time differentiation, partial differentiation with respect to x_i is written as $_{,i} \equiv \partial/\partial x_i$, and standard indicial notation is employed in conjunction with the Einstein summation convention.

Equation (1) hold on $\Omega \times (0, T]$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with boundary Γ sufficiently smooth to allow applications of the divergence theorem, and $T < \infty$ is a constant.

The solution (u_i, p) is subject to the following boundary conditions

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = h_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad p(\mathbf{x}, t) = q(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (2)$$

where h_i and q are prescribed functions. The initial conditions are

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_i^0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \dot{u}_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = v_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad p(\mathbf{x}, 0) = r(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad (3)$$

where u_i^0 , v_i and r are prescribed functions.

The boundary-initial value problem comprising (1)–(3) is denoted by $\mathcal{P}$.

The coefficient α satisfies the restriction

$$0 \leq \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \leq \alpha_U < \infty, \quad (4)$$

for a constant α_U and for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. In addition, the coefficients a_{ijkh} , b_{ijkh} , β_{ij} , k_{ij} satisfy the symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ijkh} &= a_{khij} = a_{jikh}, \\ b_{ijkh} &= b_{khij} = b_{jikh}, \\ \beta_{ij} &= \beta_{ji}, \quad k_{ij} = k_{ji}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

whilst b_{ijkh} and k_{ij} satisfy the definiteness conditions

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ijkh} h_{ij} h_{kh} &\geq b h_{ij} h_{ij}, \quad \forall h_{ij}, \\ k_{ij} q_i q_i &\geq k q_i q_i, \quad \forall q_i, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for constants $b, k > 0$. In addition we suppose the elastic coefficients are bounded above in the sense that

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} |a_{ijkh}| = A \quad (7)$$

for a constant A .

3 Uniqueness

To establish uniqueness for a solution to $\mathcal{P}$ we let (u_i^1, p^1) and (u_i^2, p^2) be two solutions to $\mathcal{P}$ for the same boundary and initial data functions h_i, q, u_i^0, v_i and r . Define the difference (u_i, p) by

$$u_i = u_i^1 - u_i^2, \quad p = p^1 - p^2.$$

Upon inspection one sees that (u_i, p) satisfies the boundary - initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \ddot{u}_i &= (a_{ijkh} u_{k,h})_{,j} + (b_{ijkh} \dot{u}_{k,h})_{,j} - (\beta_{ij} p)_{,j} \\ \alpha \dot{p} &= (k_{ij} p_{,j})_{,i} - \beta_{ij} \dot{u}_{i,j} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

on $\Omega \times (0, T]$ together with the boundary conditions

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad p(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (9)$$

and the initial conditions

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \dot{u}_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad p(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \quad (10)$$

For vector functions u, v and scalar functions ϕ, ψ we define the notation

$$\begin{aligned} (u, Bv) &= \int_{\Omega} b_{ijkh} u_{i,j} v_{k,h} dx \\ (\phi, K\psi) &= \int_{\Omega} k_{ij} \phi_{,i} \psi_{,j} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm and inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$.

The first relation we require is obtained by multiplying (8)₁ by $\dot{u}_i$, by multiplying (8)₂ by p and integrating each over Ω . After use of the boundary conditions and an integration in

time we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \frac{1}{2}(a_{ijkh} u_{i,j}, u_{k,h}) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha p, p) \\ & + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u})ds + \int_0^t (p, Kp)ds = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

To establish uniqueness we introduce a new logarithmic convexity functional. Let

$$\eta(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_0^t p(\mathbf{x}, s)ds.$$

Then define $F(t)$ by

$$F(t) = (\rho u_i, u_i) + \int_0^t (u, Bu)ds + \int_0^t (\eta, K\eta)ds. \quad (13)$$

The first term in F is the weighted L^2 integral of the displacement which appears in classical linear elastodynamics. The second term involving (u, Bu) is necessary to handle the dissipation in (8)₁. Finally, the term in the gradient of the integrated pressure is introduced to deal with the first order in time equation (8)₂.

By differentiation

$$F'(t) = 2(\rho \dot{u}_i, u_i) + 2 \int_0^t (\dot{u}, Bu)ds + 2 \int_0^t (\eta, Kp)ds.$$

A further differentiation shows

$$F''(t) = 2(\rho \ddot{u}_i, u_i) + 2(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + 2(\dot{u}, Bu) + 2(\eta, Kp). \quad (14)$$

We integrate by parts on the last two terms in (14) to obtain

$$(\dot{u}, Bu) + (\eta, Kp) = (u_i, (b_{ijkh} \dot{u}_{k,h})_{,j}) - (p, (k_{ij} \eta_{,j})_{,i}). \quad (15)$$

Next integrate equation (8)₂ in time to see that

$$\alpha p = (k_{ij} \eta_{,j})_{,i} - \beta_{ij} u_{i,j}. \quad (16)$$

The next step uses (8)₁ to substitute for $\rho \ddot{u}_i$ in (14), we then employ (15) in (14), and further utilize (16) to obtain

$$F''(t) = 2(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) - 2(a_{ijkh} u_{i,j}, u_{k,h}) - 2(\alpha p, p). \quad (17)$$

Now, substitute for the last two terms in (17) from (12) to derive

$$F''(t) = 4(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + 4 \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u})ds + 4 \int_0^t (p, Kp)ds. \quad (18)$$

Now form the combination $FF'' - (F')^2$, to obtain

$$FF'' - (F')^2 = 4S^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 = & \left[(\rho u_i, u_i) + \int_0^t (u, Bu) ds + \int_0^t (\eta, K\eta) ds \right] \\ & \times \left[(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u}) ds + \int_0^t (p, Kp) ds \right] \\ & - \left[(\rho u_i, \dot{u}_i) + \int_0^t (u, B\dot{u}) ds + \int_0^t (p, K\eta) ds \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

and note $S^2 \geq 0$ by virtue of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Thus,

$$F F'' - (F')^2 \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

To establish uniqueness from inequality (19) we employ a contradiction argument. The details are similar to those on p.21 of Straughan [33] to demonstrate $F \equiv 0$. Whence, $u_i \equiv 0$. Once $u_i \equiv 0$, p is given by equation (8)₂, and since this is a diffusion equation it follows in the usual way that $p \equiv 0$. Hence the solution to $\mathcal{P}$ is unique.

4 Hölder stability, when $E(0) \leq 0$

To analyse stability for a solution to equations (1) under conditions (2)–(7) we let (u_i^1, p^1) and (u_i^2, p^2) be two solutions to (1) which satisfy (2) for the same functions h_i and q but satisfy (3) for different initial data functions $u_i^1 = u_i^{10}$, $\dot{u}_i^1 = v_i^1$, $p^1 = r^1$, $u_i^2 = u_i^{20}$, $\dot{u}_i^2 = v_i^2$, $p^2 = r^2$. Define $u_i = u_i^1 - u_i^2$, $p = p^1 - p^2$, and $m_i = u_i^{10} - u_i^{20}$, $v_i = v_i^1 - v_i^2$, $r = r^1 - r^2$. Then the difference solution satisfies the equations (8) together with the boundary conditions (9), although the initial conditions are now

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = m_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad \dot{u}_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = v_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad p(\mathbf{x}, 0) = r(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \quad (20)$$

We commence by deriving the energy equation using the procedure to arrive at (12), but now we derive

$$E(t) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}(s), B\dot{u}(s)) ds + \int_0^t (p(s), Kp(s)) ds = E(0), \quad (21)$$

where

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha p, p) + \frac{1}{2}(a_{ijkh} u_{i,j}, u_{k,h}). \quad (22)$$

We again let $\eta = \int_0^t p \, ds$ and integrate (8)₂ in time to obtain

$$\alpha p = (k_{ij} \eta_{,j})_{,i} - \beta_{ij} u_{i,j} + \alpha r(\mathbf{x}) + \beta_{ij} m_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (23)$$

Now define P to be a solution to the equation

$$(k_{ij} P_{,j})_{,i} = \alpha r(\mathbf{x}) + \beta_{ij} m_{i,j}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (24)$$

on Ω , with $P = 0$ on Γ . Define now the function $\mu(\mathbf{x}, t)$ by

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) + P(\mathbf{x}). \quad (25)$$

Observe from (23) and (24) that

$$\alpha p = (k_{ij} \mu_{,j})_{,i} - \beta_{ij} u_{i,j}. \quad (26)$$

In this section we define the function $F(t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) = & (\rho u_i, u_i) + \int_0^t (u, Bu) ds \\ & + \int_0^t (\mu, K\mu) ds + (T-t)[(v, Bv) + (P, KP)]. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This is the function we manipulate with the logarithmic convexity arguments.

By differentiation,

$$F'(t) = 2(\rho \dot{u}_i, u_i) + 2 \int_0^t (u, B\dot{u}) ds + 2 \int_0^t (p, K\mu) ds. \quad (28)$$

We differentiate again to find

$$F''(t) = 2(\rho \ddot{u}_i, u_i) + 2(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + 2(u, B\ddot{u}) + 2(p, K\mu). \quad (29)$$

Next, substitute for $\rho \ddot{u}_i$ from equation (8)₁ to obtain after integration by parts and use of the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) = & 4(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) - 2(a_{ijkh} u_{k,h}, u_{i,j}) \\ & + 2(p, \beta_{ij} u_{i,j}) + 2(k_{ij} \mu_{,j}, p_{,i}). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Upon substitution for the a_{ijkh} term from (21) we may obtain from (30) after further integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} F''(t) = & 4(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + 4 \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u}) ds \\ & + 4 \int_0^t (p, Kp) ds - 4E(0). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

One now forms the combination $FF'' - (F')^2$ using (31), (28) and (27), and after some manipulation one may arrive at the equation

$$\begin{aligned} FF'' - (F')^2 = & 4S^2 - 4E(0)F \\ & + 4(T-t)[(v, Bv) + (P, KP)] \\ & \times [(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u}) ds + \int_0^t (p, Kp) ds] \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where S^2 , which is non-negative by virtue of the Cauchy - Schwarz inequality, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 = & [(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u}) ds + \int_0^t (p, Kp) ds] \\ & \times [(\rho u_i, u_i) + \int_0^t (u, Bu) ds + \int_0^t (\mu, K\mu) ds] \\ & - [(\rho u_i, \dot{u}_i) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, Bu) ds + \int_0^t (p, K\mu) ds]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (32), when $E(0) \leq 0$,

$$FF'' - (F')^2 \geq 0$$

and so

$$(\log F)'' \geq 0.$$

Then one may deduce that

$$F(t) \leq [F(0)]^{1-\delta} [F(T)]^\delta, \quad t \in [0, T), \quad (33)$$

where $\delta = t/T$, cf. Ames and Straughan [5], p. 17.

We now require the solution to $\mathcal{P}$ to belong to a constraint set such that

$$F(T) \leq M,$$

for a known constant M . This constraint is expected in an improperly posed problem, see e.g. Ames and Straughan [5], Carasso [24]. Estimate (33) then yields Hölder continuous dependence of the solution to $\mathcal{P}$ on compact subintervals of $[0, T)$ in the displacement measure of form

$$(\rho u_i, u_i) + \int_0^t (u, Bu) ds \leq M^\delta [F(0)]^{1-\delta}, \quad t \in [0, T). \quad (34)$$

To establish Hölder continuous dependence in a measure of the pressure difference p we note from the energy equation (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha p, p) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u}) ds \\ + \int_0^t (p, Kp) ds = E(0) - \frac{1}{2}(a_{ijkh} u_{i,j}, u_{k,h}). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We now utilize conditions (6), (7) and (4), and Poincaré's inequality, to derive from (35)

$$(\alpha p, p) + \mu \int_0^t (\alpha p, p) ds \leq 2E(0) + A \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2, \quad (36)$$

where $\gamma = 2k\lambda_1/\alpha_U$, with λ_1 being the first eigenvalue in the membrane problem for Ω . Inequality (36) may be integrated with an integrating factor to obtain

$$\int_0^t (\alpha p, p) ds \leq \frac{2E(0)}{\gamma} + 2A \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 ds. \quad (37)$$

If we now use (6) on the b_{ijkh} term in (34) we obtain a Hölder continuous dependence estimate for p in the sense that

$$\int_0^t (\alpha p, p) ds \leq \frac{2E(0)}{\gamma} + \frac{2A}{b} M^\delta [F(0)]^{1-\delta}, \quad t \in [0, T). \quad (38)$$

Together, the bounds (34) and (38) establish Hölder continuous dependence of a solution to $\mathcal{P}$ on compact subintervals of $[0, T)$.

Remark The results established here for a single porosity Kelvin–Voigt material may be extended to the equivalent theory for double or triple porosity. Such materials are attracting increasing attention, see e.g. Chirita [34], Svanadze [35], Svanadze [36], Svanadze [37], Svanadze [38]. Indeed double and triple porosity materials are being increasingly recognised as important in real life, see e.g. Durif et al. [39] where man made silicon carbide porous foams exhibiting double or triple porosity structure are analysed, or Navarro et al. [40] who employ a triple porosity model to an MX-80 bentonite pellet mixture, and further examples may be found in Straughan [33].

5 Hölder stability, when $E(0) > 0$

When the initial energy satisfies $E(0) > 0$ the analysis leading to (32) still holds. However, we cannot immediately obtain an inequality like (33). Instead we employ an approach used in classical linear isothermal elastodynamics by Knops and Payne [17], cf. Straughan [33], pp. 28–29, where convexity is proved not of the basic functional F , but by modifying it to incorporate $E(0)$ in such a way as to still achieve continuous dependence. The functional F chosen here is of necessity very different from that used in classical elastodynamics by Knops and Payne [17].

Define the function $G(t)$ by

$$G(t) = \log[F(t) + 2E(0)] + t^2. \quad (39)$$

Then one may show

$$\begin{aligned} [F + 2E(0)]^2 G'' &= [F + 2E(0)] F'' - (F')^2 \\ &\quad + 2[F + 2E(0)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

We now substitute for $FF'' - (F')^2$ from (32) in (40) and may then show

$$\begin{aligned} [F + 2E(0)]^2 G'' &= 4S^2 + 2F^2 + 4FE(0) \\ &\quad + 4\{(T - t)[(v, Bv) + (P, KP)] + 2E(0)\} \\ &\quad \times [(\rho \dot{u}_i, \dot{u}_i) + \int_0^t (\dot{u}, B\dot{u}) ds + \int_0^t (p, Kp) ds]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Now since $E(0) > 0$ it follows that $G'' \geq 0$ and hence G is a convex function of t . Then one finds F satisfies the estimate

$$F(t) + 2E(0) \leq K[F(0) + 2E(0)]^\xi, \quad (42)$$

for t in a compact subinterval of $[0, T]$, with $0 < \xi < 1 - t/T < 1$, and with

$$K = [M + 2E(0)]^{t/T} \exp[t(T - t)],$$

see Knops and Payne [17], or Straughan [33], p. 29.

Estimate (42) establishes Hölder continuous dependence in the measure $(\rho u_i, u_i)$. One may now appeal to inequality (38) to establish Hölder continuous dependence in the p measure $\int_0^t (\alpha p, p) ds$.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest There are no conflicts of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Agmon, S.: Unicité et convexité dans les problèmes différentiels. In Sem. Math. Sup. University of Montreal Press, Montreal (1966)
2. Agmon, S., Nirenberg, L.: Lower bounds and uniqueness theorems for solutions of differential equations in a Hilbert space. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **20**, 207–229 (1967)
3. Ames, K.A., Epperson, J.F.: A kernel based method for the approximate solution of backward parabolic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **34**, 1357–1390 (1997)
4. Ames, K.A., Hughes, R.J.: Structural stability for ill—posed problems in Banach space. *Semigroup Forum* **85**, 127–145 (2005)
5. Ames, K.A., Straughan, B.: Non-standard and improperly posed problems. Academic Press, Cambridge (1997)
6. Benrabah, A., Boussetila, N., Rebbani, F.: Modified auxilliary boundary conditions method for an ill—posed problem for the homogeneous biharmonic equation. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **43**, 358–383 (2020)
7. Caflich, R.E., Gargano, F., Sammartino, M., Sciacca, V.: Regularized Euler- α motion of an infinite array of vortex sheets. *Boll. Unione Matem. Italiana* **10**, 113–141 (2017)
8. Carasso, A.: Reconstructing the past from imprecise knowledge of the present: some examples of non uniqueness in solving parabolic equations backward in time. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **36**, 249–261 (2013)
9. Carasso, A.: Stable explicit stepwise marching scheme in ill posed time–reversed 2D Burgers’ equation. *Inverse Prob. Sci. Eng.* **27**, 1672–1688 (2019)
10. Carasso, A.: Computing ill posed time-reversed 2D Navier-Stokes equations using a stabilized explicit finite difference scheme marching backward in time. *Inverse Prob. Sci. Eng.* <https://doi.org/10.1080/17415977.2019.1698564> (2020)
11. Chirita, S.: Well-posed problems. In R. B. Hetnarski, editor, *Encyclopedia of thermal stresses*. Springer, Dordrecht (2014) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2739-7_264
12. Chirita, S., Zampoli, V.: On the forward and backward in time problems in the Kelvin–Voigt thermoelastic materials. *Mech. Res. Comm.* **68**, 25–30 (2015)
13. Fury, M.A.: Ill-posed problems associated with sectorial operators in Banach space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124484>
14. Fury, M.A., Hughes, R.J.: Regularization for a class of ill-posed problems in Banach space. *Semigroup Forum* **85**, 191–212 (2012)
15. Harfash, A.J.: Structural stability for two convection models in a reacting fluid with magnetic field effect. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **15**, 2441–2465 (2014)
16. Hetrick, B.M.C., Hughes, R.J.: Continuous dependence on modelling for nonlinear ill-posed problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **349**, 420–435 (2009)
17. Knops, R.J., Payne, L.E.: Stability in linear elasticity. *Int. J. Sol. Struct.* **4**, 1233–1242 (1968)
18. Payne, L.E., Straughan, B.: Stability in the initial-time geometry problem for the Brinkman and Darcy equations of flow in porous media. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **75**, 225–271 (1996)
19. Payne, L.E., Straughan, B.: Analysis of the boundary condition at the interface between a viscous fluid and a porous medium and related modelling questions. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **77**, 317–354 (1998)
20. Payne, L.E., Straughan, B.: Effect of errors in the spatial geometry for temperature dependent Stokes flow. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **78**, 609–632 (1999)
21. Straughan, B.: Backward uniqueness and unique continuation for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations on an exterior domain. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **62**, 49–62 (1983)
22. Yang, X.M., Deng, Z.L.: A data assimilation process for linear ill-posed problems. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **40**, 5831–5840 (2017)
23. Carasso, A.: Overcoming Hölder continuity in ill posed continuation problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **31**, 1535–1557 (1994)
24. Carasso, A.: Logarithmic convexity and the “slow evolution” constraint in ill posed initial value problems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **30**, 479–496 (1999)
25. Xinchun, S., Lakes, R.S.: Stability of elastic material with negative stiffness ratio and negative Poisson’s ratio. *Physica Status Solidi b* **244**, 1008–1026 (2007)
26. Chirita, S., Ciarletta, M., Tibullo, V.: Rayleigh surface waves on a Kelvin–Voigt viscoelastic half space. *J. Elast.* **115**, 61–76 (2014)
27. Gal, C.G., Medjo, T.T.: A Navier–Stokes–Voigt model with memory. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **36**, 2507–2523 (2013)
28. Su, K., Qin, Y.: The pullback-D attractors for the 3D Kelvin–Voigt–Brinkman–Förchheimer system with delay. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **41**, 6122–6129 (2018)
29. Gidde, R.R., Pawar, P.M.: On effect of viscoelastic characteristics of polymers on performance of micro-pump. *Adv. Mech. Eng.* **9**, 1–12 (2017)

30. Jayabal, H., Dingari, N.N., Rai, B.: A linear viscoelastic model to understand skin mechanical behaviour and for cosmetic formulation design. *Int. J. Cosmetic Sci.* **41**, 292–299 (2019)
31. Jozwiak B., Orczykowska M., Dziubinski, M.: Fractional generalizations of Maxwell and Kelvin–Voigt models for biopolymer characterization. *Plos One* (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143090>
32. Svanadze, M.: Potential method in mathematical theories of multi-porosity media. *Interdiscip. Appl. Math.* 51. Springer Nature, Cham (2019)
33. Straughan, B.: Mathematical aspects of multi-porosity continua. In: *Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics Series*, vol. 38. Springer, Cham (2017)
34. Chirita, S.: Modelling triple porosity under local thermal nonequilibrium. *J. Thermal Stresses.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01495739.2019.1679057>
35. Svanadze, M.M.: Steady vibrations problem in the theory of viscoelasticity for Kelvin–Voigt materials with voids. *Proc. Appl. Math. Mech.* **12**, 283–284 (2012)
36. Svanadze, M.M.: On the solutions of equations of linear thermoelastic theory for Kelvin–Voigt materials with voids. *J. Thermal Stresses* **12**, 253–269 (2014)
37. Svanadze, M.M.: Plain waves and problems of steady vibrations in the theory of viscoelasticity for Kelvin–Voigt materials with double porosity. *Arch. Mech.* **68**, 441–458 (2016)
38. Svanadze, M.M.: External boundary value problems in the quasi static theory of thermoviscoelasticity for Kelvin–Voigt materials with double porosity. *Proc. Appl. Math. Mech.* **17**, 469–470 (2017)
39. Durif, C., Wynn, M., Balestrat, M., Franchin, G., Kim, Y.W., Leriche, A., Miele, P., Colombo, P., Bernard, S.: Open-celled silicon carbide foams with high porosity from boron-modified polycarbosilanes. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **39**, 5114–5122 (2019)
40. Navarro, V., Asensio, L., Gharbieh, H., De La Morena, G., Pulkkanen, V.M.: A triple porosity hydro-mechanical model for MX-80 bentonite pellet mixtures. *Eng. Geol.* **265**, 105311 (2020)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.