REVIEW



# Effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy on weight, eating behaviours and psychological outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Han Shi Jocelyn Chew<sup>1</sup> · Samuel Chng<sup>2</sup> · Nagadarshini Nicole Rajasegaran<sup>1</sup> · Khun Hean Choy<sup>1</sup> · Yuen Yu Chong<sup>3</sup>

Received: 21 September 2022 / Accepted: 3 January 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

# Abstract

**Purpose** To examine the effectiveness of ACT on weight (body mass index and body mass), eating behaviours (binge eating, emotional eating, external eating and restraint eating), and psychological outcomes (quality of life [QoL], depression, psychological flexibility, and weight stigma) among adults with overweight and obesity.

**Methods** Seven electronic databases (CINAHL, EMBASE, PubMed, PsycInfo Scopus, The Cochrane Library, and Web of Science) were searched from inception through 17 June 2022. 13 studies and 48 unique effect sizes were analyzed using random-effects models. Pooled effect estimates were calculated using weighted mean differences (WMD) and standardized mean differences expressed in Hedges' g(g). Heterogeneity was assessed using Q-statistics and interpreted using  $I^2$ .

**Results** ACT was found to be effective in improving weight loss in terms of BMI (k=6, WMD = - .50, 95% CI = - .90; - .11, t=-3.25, p=.20,  $l^2=.0\%$ ), psychological flexibility and weight-related stigma. However, non-significant changes were found for body mass (k=4, WMD = - 0.33 95% CI = - 1.53; 0.87, t=-.88, p=.44,  $l^2=.0\%$ ), binge eating (k=4, g=-.34, 95% CI = - 1.31; 0.62, t=-1.13, p=.34,  $l^2=71.1\%$ ), emotional eating (k=6, g=-.20, 95% CI = - 0.54; 0.15, t=-1.47, p=.20,  $l^2=45.0\%$ ), external eating (k=5, g=-.40, 95% CI = 0.96; 0.16, t=-1.99, p=.12,  $l^2=81.8\%$ ), restraint eating (k=3, g=.22 95% CI = - 0.57; 1.01, t=1.19, p=.36,  $l^2=69.1\%$ ), QoL (k=3, g=.01, 95% CI = - 1.51; 1.52, t=.02, p=.99,  $l^2=90.2\%$ ) and depression (k=3, g=-.55, 95% CI = - 1.78; 0.67, t=-1.94, p=.19,  $l^2=79.9\%$ ).

**Conclusion** ACT could be effective in improving weight loss but more studies are needed to ascertain its effectiveness and the underlying mechanism by which the various components influence weight-related outcomes.

Level of evidence Evidence obtained from a systematic review and meta-analysis of existing empirical studies.

**Keywords** Acceptance commitment therapy  $\cdot$  ACT  $\cdot$  Weight  $\cdot$  Obesity  $\cdot$  Behaviour change  $\cdot$  Eating  $\cdot$  Psychological flexibility

Han Shi Jocelyn Chew jocelyn.chew.hs@nus.edu.sg

> Samuel Chng samuel\_chng@sutd.edu.sg

Nagadarshini Nicole Rajasegaran nicole\_2606@yahoo.com.sg

Khun Hean Choy e0540633@u.nus.edu

Yuen Yu Chong conniechong@cuhk.edu.hk

- <sup>1</sup> Alice Lee Centre for Nursing Studies, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
- <sup>2</sup> Lee Kuan Yew Centre for Innovative Cities, Singapore University of Technology and Design, Singapore, Singapore
- <sup>3</sup> The Nethersole School of Nursing, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

## Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, the global prevalence of overweight and obesity was estimated to be more than 1.9 billion (39%) and 650 million (13%), respectively, with that of obesity nearly tripled between 1975 and 2016 [1]. This has been attributed to the global trend of increasingly unhealthy eating habits (particularly in the consumption of energy-dense, high-fat and sugary food) and sedentary lifestyles [2], leading to chronic health consequences, such as cardiovascular diseases and type 2 diabetes mellitus [3]

To improve dietary and physical activity habits, numerous interventions have been implemented by multiple stakeholders at the global, regional, and local levels. These include population-wide surveillance systems, education strategies (e.g., the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention's Framework for Obesity prevention) [4] and individual lifestyle adaptations (e.g. using standing desks during work) [5]. However, dietary modifications are challenging for many people with overweight and obesity as it requires a large amount of self-regulation to restrict their calorie intake [6]. This is worsened by common maladaptive eating behaviours such as emotional eating, external eating, and restraint eating, which, respectively, refer to disordered eating in response to emotions (e.g., stress and sadness) [7], external stimuli (e.g., visual and smell) [8], and the cycle of food intake restriction and indulgence [9].

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) is a thirdwave cognitive behaviour therapy that has been shown to improve both mental and physical conditions including anxiety [10], depression [11], stress [12, 13] and chronic pain [14]. At the core of ACT is the strategy to cope with averse internal thoughts, feelings and bodily sensations by exercising mindfulness and psychological flexibility [15]. Strategies to hone psychological flexibility includes acceptance, cognitive diffusion, being present, self-ascontext, values and committed action [16]. In the context of weight management, ACT could improve one's ability to cope with the negative psycho-behavioural effects of food cravings by taking these psychological experiences into perspective and committing to healthy behaviours that are coherent with the individual's value-based goals [17, 18] This was hypothesized to improve weight management by improving the acknowledgement, acceptance and selfregulation of overeating impulses. This also prevents one from feeling negative due to a dietary lapse event (i.e., unintended eating), which could trigger an overeating episode [16].

Several systematic reviews have examined the effectiveness of ACT for weight-related behaviours. In 2020, a systematic review and network meta-analysis with adults who were overweight or obese showed that ACT has the most consistent effects on improving weight loss beyond 18 months as compared to mindfulness-based cognitive behavioural therapy (MBCT), compassion-focused therapy (CFT), and dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT) [19]. However, the effects of ACT on the various outcomes were unclear. Another meta-analysis on a general adult population showed that ACT improved physical activity in the general population but little is known about its effects on people with overweight and obesity [20]. Moreover, the variability in the types of physical activity examined across the studies may have impeded the comparability of the findings. Another systematic review with adults who were overweight or obese showed mixed findings on the effectiveness of ACT on psychological well-being (e.g., quality of life [QoL], depression, stigma), eating behaviours and weight loss [21]. This could be due to the inclusion of articles that compared the effectiveness of ACT with control group conditions that receive standard behavioural therapy (SBT) and no intervention, where the mean differences between ACT and no intervention are likely larger and hence statistically significant as compared to SBT.

To the authors' best knowledge, there has been no metaanalysis on the effectiveness of ACT-based interventions on weight, eating behaviours, and the quality of life of those with overweight and obesity. Hence, the current study addresses this knowledge gap and provides an important review of this growing body of literature.

## Methods

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guideline (Appendix 1) was used to structure this manuscript, which was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (CRD42022341994). Cohen's Kappa ( $\kappa$ ) was used to assess the interrater agreements for the selection of articles and the risk of bias— $\kappa$ =0.00–0.20 (slight agreement);  $\kappa$ =0.21–0.40 (fair agreement);  $\kappa$ =0.41–0.60 (moderate agreement);  $\kappa$ =0.61–0.80 (substantial agreement);  $\kappa$ =0.81–1.00 (almost perfect agreement) [22].

#### Search strategy

A systematic search was conducted for relevant articles published from the inception of the journal through 17 Jun 2022 across seven databases (CINAHL, EMBASE, Pub-Med, PsycInfo Scopus, The Cochrane Library, and Web of Science). Keywords such as acceptance and commitment therapy, ACT, body weight, body mass index, overweight and obese were stringed differently across the different databases (Appendix 2). Reference lists of the included studies were also hand-searched for relevant articles. Citations were managed using the Endnote 20 software.

#### **Study selection**

Titles and abstracts were first screened by HSJC. Full texts of potential articles were independently assessed for inclusion eligibility by NN and CKH. Any discrepancies were resolved through consensus with a third reviewer (HSJC). Articles were included if they (1) included adults with overweight and obesity; (2) examined the effects of ACT on weight; (3) reported on at least weight loss as an outcome; (4) were randomized controlled trials; and (5) were published in English or Mandarin. Articles were excluded if they (1) included participants below the age of 18; (2) were focused on the use of ACT for weight gain; (3) examined the effectiveness of acceptance-based therapy without a component on the commitment to live a valued life.

#### **Data extraction**

Data extraction was carried out using an excel spreadsheet template that included the following headings: authors, study design, country, sample size, sample characteristics, inclusion Body Mass Index (BMI), exclusion criteria, mean age, mean baseline BMI, percentage of male participants, theoretical underpinning, follow-up timepoints, and interventionist, if interventionists were trained in ACT, mean and standard deviation of post-interventional weight, eating behaviours and quality of life measures of each control and treatment arms. In treatment arms, the following information was extracted, including the modality of intervention (faceto-face, online, mobile; group-based, individual-based), total number of sessions, duration per session and the entire intervention, fidelity assessment and treatment adherence. The data extracted from the studies and interventions within were selected to provide a detailed view of how the studies and interventions were conducted. We separated the assessment of BMI and weight changes in mean differences instead of combining them using standardized mean differences to allow for a more meaningful interpretation for real life relevance.

#### Methodological quality assessment

The Cochrane Risk of Bias (ROB) tool was used to assess the articles' methodological quality by rating them as low, unclear, and high ROB based on six domains. These six domains consist of random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, outcome data completeness and selective reporting. Methodological quality assessment was performed independently by CC and CKH. Discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer (HSJC).

#### **Data analysis**

Interventional effects estimated were pooled using random effects models by Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman (HKSJ) to reduce the risk of obtaining false positive results, given the dearth in high-quality interventional studies on this topic [23]. Pooled effect sizes were calculated as weighted mean differences (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for BMI and weight. Standardized mean differences (SMD) corrected to Hedges' g for a small sample size was calculated for binge eating, emotional eating, external eating, restraint, quality of life (QoL), depression, psychological flexibility, and stigma. Heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochrane Q statistics and quantified using the  $I^2$  statistic, where 25%, 50%, and 75% indicate a small, moderate, and large heterogeneity [24]. Sensitivity analyses were performed based on the leave-one-out approach to examine the effects of each study on the pooled effect size estimates. Subgroup analysis and the assessment for publication bias were planned for meta-analyses that included at least 10 articles but were not conducted due to the limited number (<10) of studies included in each meta-analysis, which has a high risk of being underpowered [25]. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.1.3.

## Results

#### Study characteristics

Eleven articles were included (Fig. 1), representing 1670 participants with a mean age of 47.8 years and a mean BMI of 35.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Most of the studies were conducted in the USA (n=8, 72.7%) [26–33] except one from UK [34], Portugal [35], and Finland [36]. Three articles were conducted on solely women (n=3, 27.3%) [27, 28, 33] and seven articles reported information on the sociodemographic and/or education level of the participants (n=7, 63.6%) [26, 27, 31], [32, 34, 35, 36. Five articles reported the use of theoretical frameworks, namely, the cognitive behavioural model [26, 28–31] and intrinsic motivation theory [28, 29]. The attrition rate by the time of the final follow-up ranged from 0 [33] to 28.9% [30], and the follow-up timepoints ranged from [33] 2 to 36 months [30]. Five articles reported threearm studies [26, 27, 33, 34, 36] and two articles reported different results from the same study by analyzing the data from different sub-samples [28, 29]. For three-arm studies, only intervention arms that include ACT will be included in the analysis [33, 36]. Therefore, a total of 13 studies and search strategy

Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the



48 unique effect sizes were analyzed. The interrater agreement for study selection and ROB assessment was  $\kappa = 0.82$ , p < 0.001 and  $\kappa = 0.85$ , p < 0.001, suggesting almost perfect agreement. Table 1 summarises the study characteristics of the included studies and Table 2 summarises the intervention characteristics.

#### Methodological quality

The majority of the articles had an overall rating of having an unclear ROB (n = 7, 63.6%) [26, 27], [32, 33, 36, where four were rated as having a high risk of bias (n = 4, 36.4%) [28, 31, 34, 35]. The high ROB was attributed to the incomplete outcome data presented (n = 4, 36.4%) [28, 31, 34, 35], lack of blinding of participants and personnel (n = 2, 18.2%) [31, 34], and blinding of outcome assessment (n = 1, 9.1%) [34].

#### **ACT intervention characteristics**

A summary of the intervention characteristics is shown in Table 2. Most of the 13 studies reported delivering the interventions in groups, while only four studies reported the use of individual sessions (n=4, 30.8%) [31, 33, 34]. Most of the interventions were conducted face-to-face, except five studies that reported the intervention delivery through reading and journaling[33] websites [34], [31] smartphone apps [36], calls [31, 34, 33] and email information [34]. The duration and number of sessions ranged from two [26, 31, 33, 36] to 12 months [29, 30, 32], and 4 [26] to 32 sessions, respectively [32]. Most of the interventionists were clinical psychologists and psychologists in training, three studies used clinicians and laymen coaches as the interventionists [29, 30, 34] and two studies did not specify [36]. Only one study explicitly mentioned that the interventionists were being trained in learning ACT principles [34] and two mentioned training in acceptance-based therapy [28, 32]. The control group conditions were standard behavioural therapy that includes behaviour change techniques, such as cognitive restructuring and emotional self-regulation [26, 28–30, 32], treatment as usual [35], waitlist control and no treatment [31, 34, 33], [36] and the Weight Watchers program [27].

Table 1 Study c	haracteristi	ics of the 11 include	ed articles								
Authors, year	No. of trial arms	Country	Sample character- istics	Sample size	Mean age	Proportion of males, %	Mean baseline BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	<sup>a</sup> Attrition rate %/ <sup>b</sup> Presence of group differences	Missing data management/Pro- tocol registration/ Funding	Report on SES/ Educational level	Subject adherence, mean/%
Afari, 2019 [26]	ŝ	NSA	US veterans and self-identified as having problems with stress- related eating	88	57.3	76.1	37.2	4.5 /Yes	NS/Yes/Yes	Yes/Yes	IG: 3.5/4 CG: 3.8/4
Berman, 2022 [27]	] 3	NSA	Women with MDD	19	51.0	0.0	42.0	21.1/NS	NS/Yes/Yes	Yes/Yes	IG: 7.1 CG: 3.3
Forman, 2013 [28	] 2	NSA	General over- weight and obese	128	45.7	0.0	34.1	21.9/Yes	NS/Yes/Yes	No/No	IG: 21.1 CG:20.0
Forman, 2016 [29]	] 2	NSA	General over- weight and obese	190	51.6	17.9	36.9	16.0/Yes	Yes/Yes/Yes	SN/SN	IG: 21.3 CG: 20.9
Forman, 2019 [30	] 2	NSA	General over- weight and obese	190	51.6	17.9	36.9	28.9/No	Yes/Yes/Yes	SN/SN	IG: 74.0% CG: 67.8%
Levin, 2020 [31]	7	NSA	General over- weight and obese	62	39.6	17.7	33.8	NS/Yes	NS/Yes/Yes	Yes/Yes	NS
Lillis, 2016 [32]	7	NSA	People with high internal disinhi- bition	162	NS	12	37.6	0.0/No	Yes/Yes/Yes	Yes/Yes	IG:89% CG:89%
Mueller, 2022 34	б	United Kingdom	General over- weight and obese	388	50.7	21.6	34.8	16.5/No	Yes/Yes/Yes	Yes/Yes	IG:32.3% CG: NS
Palmeira, 2017 [ <b>35</b> ]	2	Portugal	Women strug- gling with their weight	73	42.4	0.0	34.3	14.5/Yes	Yes/Yes/Yes	Yes/Yes	IG: 10.9 CG: NS
Potts, 2022 (1) [33]	б	USA	Adults high in weight self- stigma	55	38.7	18.2	37.0	0.0/No	Yes/NS/Yes	SN/SN	SN
Potts, 2022 (2) [33]	б	USA	General over- weight and obese	55	38.7	18.2	37.0	0.0/No	Yes/NS/Yes	SN/SN	NS
Sairanen, 2017 [36]	ε	Finland	General over- weight and obese	298	49.5	15.5	31.3	12.8/No	Yes/NS/Yes	NS/Yes	NS
BMI body mass	index; SES	socioeconomic sta	tus; IG intervention	n group; <i>CG</i> c	ontrol group	o; NS not spec	ified				

(2023) 28:6

<sup>a</sup>Attrition rate by last outcome measurement timepoint

<sup>b</sup>Group differences among participants who were retained and dropped out

Table 2 Interventio	n characteristics of	the 13 included stud	ies						
Authors, year	Theory	Group/Individual	Duration	Coaching sessions	Follow-up time- point	Interventionist	Interventionist trained in ACT?	Mode of delivery	Control condition
Afari 2019 [26]	Cognitive Behav- ioural Model	Group	2 months	4 sessions	3 and 6 months	Full-time staff psychologist, psychology postdoctoral fellows and psy- chology master student	Yes	F2f group sessions	SBT
Berman 2022 [27]	NS	Group	11 weeks	<ul><li>11 Sessions and</li><li>9 physical sessions (before each counselling session)</li></ul>	3, 6, 9, 12 months	PhD psychologist	SN	F2F group meet- ings and physi- cal movement sessions	Weight Watchers
Forman 2013 [28]	Intrinsic motiva- tion theory, Cognitive Behavioural Model	Group	10 months	30 sessions	2.5, 5, 6, 10 months	Novice: Advanced doctoral stu- dents Expert: Clinical psychologists	Yes (trained in both ABT and SBT, experienced in behavioural weight-control intervention)	F2f group-based sessions	SBT
Forman 2016 [29]	Intrinsic motiva- tion theory, Cognitive Behavioural Model	Group	12 months	25 sessions	6, 12 months	Doctoral-level clinicians	SN	F2F group ses- sions	SBT
Forman 2019 [30]	Cognitive Behav- ioural Model	Group	12 months	25 sessions	6, 12, 24, 36 months	Doctoral-level clinicians	NS (experienced in delivering behavioural weight-loss treatments)	F2F closed group sessions	SBT
Levin 2020 31	Cognitive Behav- ioural Model	Individual	2 months	8 sessions on canvas and 8 coaching calls	2 months	Doctoral student in clinical/ counselling psychology	NS	Online (Canvas) / Coaching calls	Waitlist control
Lillis 2016 [32]	SN	Group	12 months	32 sessions	12-24 months	Mix of Ph.D. psychologists, Ph.D. exercise psychologist, and master's level nutritionist	Yes (Group lead- ers received training in ABT)	F2f group ses- sions	SBT
Mueller 2022 [34]	NS	Individual	4 months	12 sessions	4 months	Trained non- specialist	Yes (online train- ing involved clarification of ACT Principles)	Web platform, scripted tel- ephone call and tailored email	Waitlist con- trol (standard advice)

(2023) 28:6

Table 2 (continued	1)								
Authors, year	Theory	Group/Individual	Duration	Coaching sessions	Follow-up time- point	Interventionist	Interventionist trained in ACT?	Mode of delivery	Control condition
Palmeira 2017 [35]	SN	Group	3.5 months	12 sessions	3.5 months (Terminus of intervention group)	Clinical psycholo- gists and clinical psychology master student	NS (Previously trained in CBT)	F2F group ses- sions	Treatment as usual
Potts 2022 (1) [33]	NS	Individual	2 months	0	2 months	Advanced clini- cal/counselling psychology doctoral student	NS	Reading, journal- ing and quiz	Waitlist control
Potts, 2022 (2) [33]	NS	Individual	2 months	8 sessions	2 months	Advanced clini- cal/counselling psychology doctoral student	NS	Phone coaching session	Waitlist control
Sairanen 2017 (1) [36]		Group	2 months	6 sessions	9 months	NS	NS	F2F group ses- sions	No treatment
Sairanen 2017 (2) [36]		Group	2 months	0	9 months	NS	NS	Smartphone application	No treatment
ABT acceptance-ba	used behavioral treatn	nents; F2F face to fac	ace; SBT stan	dard behavioural the	rapy; CBT cognitive	behavioral therapy			

#### Weight

All studies reported the effects of ACT on weight loss but only those that reported weight in terms kg or kg/m<sup>2</sup> were analyzed to present pooled effect estimates in terms of WMD [26, 27, 31], [32–36]. The pooled effect estimates showed significant interventional effects on BMI post-intervention (k=6, WMD=-0.50, 95% CI=-0.90; -0.11, t=-3.25, p=0.02,  $I^2=0.0\%$ ) (Fig. 2a, Table 3) but not weight (k=4, WMD=-0.33 95% CI=-1.53; 0.87, t=-0.88, p=0.44,  $I^2=0.0\%$ ) (Fig. 2b, Table 3).

#### **Eating behaviour**

Four eating behaviours were analyzed, namely, binge eating, emotional eating, external eating, and restrained eating. Binge eating was measured using the Binge Eating Scale (BES) [26], the Eating Disorder Diagnostic Scale (EDDS) [27] and the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) [33]. Emotional eating was measured using the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ) [26, 33], and the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) [34, 35]. External eating was measured using the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ) [26], the Eating Inventory [32] and the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) [31, 34, 35]. Restrained eating was measured using the Dutch Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (DEBQ) [26], and the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ) [31, 34]. The pooled effect estimates showed no significant interventional effects on binge eating (k=4, g=-0.34, 95% CI=-1.31;0.62, t = -1.13, p = 0.34,  $I^2 = 71.1\%$ ) (Fig. 3a, Table 3) emotional eating (k=6, g=-0.20, 95% CI=-0.54; 0.15, $t = -1.47, p = 0.20, I^2 = 45.0\%$  (Fig. 3b, Table 3), external eating (k=5, g=-0.40, 95% CI=0.96; 0.16, t=-1.99, $p=0.12, I^2=81.8\%$ ) (Fig. 3c, Table 3) and restrained eating (k=3, g=0.2295% CI=-0.57; 1.01, t=1.19, p=0.36) $I^2 = 69.1\%$ ) (Fig. 3d, Table 3).

#### **Psychological outcomes**

Four commonly reported psychological outcomes were analysed: quality of life, depression, psychological flexibility, and stigma. Quality of life was measured using the obesityrelated well-being (ORWELL-97) questionnaire [26, 35] and ICEpop CAPability measure for Adults (ICECAP-A) [34] Depression was measured using the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) [27], Patient Health Questionnaire depression scale (PHQ-8) [34] and General Health Questionnaire [35]. Psychological flexibility was measured using the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire for Weight-Related Difficulties (AAQ-W) [26, 31, 33, 36], Body Image Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (BIAAQ) [27], and AAQ-revised (AAQW-R) [34, 35]. Stigma was measured using the Weight Self-Stigma Questionnaire (WSSQ) [27, 31, 33, 35]. The pooled effects showed a non-significant change in QoL (k=3, g=0.01, 95% CI=-1.51; 1.52, t=0.02, p=0.99,  $l^2=90.2\%$ ) (Fig. 4a, Table 3) and depression (k=3, g=-0.55, 95% CI=-1.78; 0.67, t=-1.94, p=0.19,  $l^2=79.9\%$ ) (Fig. 4b, Table 3) but a significant improvement in psychological flexibility (k=9, g=-0.42, 95% CI=-0.84; -0.00, t=-2.35, p=0.05,  $l^2=69.8\%$ ) (Fig. 5, Table 3) and stigma (k=5, WMD=-0.77, 95% CI=-1.05; -0.50, t=-7.71, p<0.001,  $l^2=0.0\%$ ) (Fig. 6, Table 3).

# Discussion

To our best knowledge, this is the first systematic review that examined the effectiveness of ACT on weight, eating behaviours and psychological outcomes by pooling effect estimates through meta-analyses. Overall, our findings suggest that ACT is effective in improving certain antecedents of weight loss, such as psychological flexibility and weightrelated stigma, which could eventually translate into better behavioural and emotional self-regulation of eating habits [37, 38]. However, its effect on actual eating behaviours and weight loss could be influenced by other factors including time, dietary intake, physical activity, dietary habits, and socioenvironmental circumstances that were rarely explored in the included studies [39, 40].

We found that ACT-based interventions were effective in improving weight loss in terms of BMI but not that of body mass (kg). The significant finding on BMI could be due to the overreliance on the results from one study, which was analyzed with a weight of 97.3% [35]. Therefore, we are inclined to infer that ACT has a minimal effect on body weight, especially when compared with standard behaviour treatments. This coincides with another meta-analysis that reported a non-significant effect of motivational interviewing plus cognitive behaviour therapy interventions on weight loss, suggesting the need to consider other factors that influence weight loss, such as diet and exercise [41]. The non-significant finding could also be due to the different underlying mechanisms of how ACT influences behaviour. For example, while the concept of acceptance in ACT promotes the acceptance of negative internal experiences, such as anxiety, the need for acceptance in the case of weight loss is in accepting the impending reduction in pleasure from diet and exercise modifications [17]. Future studies could consider examining this speculation and tailoring the ACTbased components for weight loss.

Only three included studies reported the delivery of ACT by personnel trained in ACT or acceptancebased therapy [28, 32, 34]. Although the students and non-specialists had received formal training for the research, they were neither professional therapists nor did they have practical ACT background apart from during their training. Although a study showed that ACT could be effectively delivered by people not trained in ACT [42], there was limited report on the direct comparability in the treatment fidelity between those with and without ACT training. On the other hand, the interventionists mentioned in the included studies could have undergone ACT training but was not reported. Future studies could consider training the interventionists in the concepts behind ACT and reporting their training status.

Acceptance- and mindfulness-based psychotherapies are theoretically grounded in East Asian philosophies [43]. However, all studies we reviewed were either from USA or Europe (UK, Portugal and Finland). Unfortunately, this is also reflective of the knowledge gap in eating behaviourrelated research, where our understanding across the diverse cultures and contexts globally is currently lacking [44]. Nonetheless, there is a growing body of research on the use of ACT in non-Western cultures, such as sub-Saharan Africa [45] and China, [46, 47], though their effectiveness for intervening eating behaviours and weight management remains to be investigated.

Overall, our findings suggest modest effects of ACT on weight loss but more rigorous RCTs with larger sample sizes and more precise effect estimates are needed for more balanced meta-analyses. Having more relevant studies available would also provide adequate statistical power for subgroup analyses to estimate the effects of control group condition differences in moderating the meta-analysis results. Future studies could consider integrating concepts from ACT and behaviour change techniques that have been shown to improve weight loss to enhance the effects of current weight loss programs. Longer follow-up outcome evaluations may also produce useful information on the effects of ACT on weight loss and eating behaviour.

There are several limitations to this study. First, we only searched English databases and could have missed out on relevant articles found in databases of other languages (e.g., CNKI). Second, the reported studies had limited mention of the treatment fidelity and adherence to certain checklists for a direct comparison of intervention components and whether they can be classified as an ACT-based intervention. Despite the heterogeneity and potential issues in comparing the interventions, we focused on identifying and comparing the studies, where meaningful comparisons could be made. In addition, we identified a small subset of suitable studies for a meta-analysis of the effective on changing weight (BMI and weight), eating behaviours (binge, emotional, external and restraint eating) and psychological outcomes (quality of life, depression, psychological flexibility and stigma).

а															
	E	xperin	nental		C	ontrol									
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD			MD			MD	95	%-CI	Weight
Palmeira 2017	36.0	-0.54	0.92	37.0	-0.07	0.76			<mark>-+</mark>			-0.47	[-0.86; -0	0.08]	97.3%
Sairanen 2017(2) Sairanen 2017(1)	78.0	88.00 85.30	10.40	35.5 35.5	88.20 88.20	11.60			_			-0.20	[-4.66; 4	4.26] 1.59]	0.7%
Potts 2022(2) Potts 2022(1)	8.5 8.5	34.07 34.07	6.30 6.30	18.0 20.0	37.93 34.49	6.08 6.81	_		-			-3.86 -0.42	[-8.94; <sup>-</sup> [-5.60; <sup>-</sup>	1.22] 4.76]	0.6% 0.5%
Berman 2022	9.0	44.00	12.00	10.0	41.80	9.49			+			2.20	[-7.60; 12	2.00]	0.2%
Random effects model Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 =$ Test for overall effect: $t_5 = -5$	<b>210.0</b> = 0, p = 3.25 (p =	0.68 = 0.02)		156.0			-10	-5	0	5	 10	-0.50	[-0.90; -( [-1.04; (	0.11] 0.04]	100.0%

b

Table 3 Summary of meta-

analyses results on each outcome at each timepoint

analysed

		Experin	nental		C	ontrol								
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD			MD			MD	95%-CI	Weight
Mueller 2012	145	-1.91	5.06	179	-1.24	4.79			-			-0.67	[-1.75; 0.41]	74.9%
Lillis 2016	81	-9.04	6.84	81	-9.57	6.75			-			0.53	[-1.56; 2.62]	22.7%
Levin 2021	33	94.45	17.78	37	91.60	16.27			-	•	-	2.85	[-5.16; 10.87]	1.6%
Afari 2019	43	113.22	29.12	42	112.67	25.27			-			0.54	[-11.04; 12.13]	0.8%
Random effects model	302			339					+			-0.33	[-1.53; 0.87]	100.0%
Prediction interval									-				[-2.81; 2.14]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	0.0587	p = 0.6	5					1	1	1				
Test for overall effect: $t_3 = -0$	).88 (p =	= 0.44)					-10	-5	0	5	10			

Fig.2 a Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on BMI. *MD* mean difference. **b** Illustration of

the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on weight, kg. *MD* mean difference

Outcomes	k	WMD/SMD <sup>a</sup> , 95% CI	t	p value	Tau <sup>2</sup>	<i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> , %
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	6	- 0.50 (- 0.90; - 0.11)	- 3.25	0.02*	0.00	0.00
Weight, kg	4	- 0.33 (- 1.53; 0.87)	- 0.88	0.44	0.06	0.00
Binge Eating	4	<sup>a</sup> - 0.34 (- 1.31; 0.62)	- 1.13	0.34	0.27	71.1
Emotional Eating	6	a - 0.20 (-0.54; 0.15)	- 1.47	0.20	0.06	45.0
External Eating	5	<sup>a</sup> - 0.40 (0.96; 0.16)	- 1.99	0.12	0.16	81.8
Restraint Eating	3	<sup>a</sup> 0.22 (- 0.57; 1.01)	1.19	0.36	0.07	69.1
QoL	3	<sup>a</sup> 0.01 (- 1.51; 1.52)	0.02	0.99	0.33	90.2
Depression	3	<sup>a</sup> - 0.55 (- 1.78; 0.67)	- 1.94	0.19	0.20	79.9
Psychological Flexibility	9	$^{a}$ - 0.42 (- 0.84; - 0.00)	- 2.35	0.05*	0.17	69.8
Stigma	5	<sup>a</sup> -0.77 (-1.05; -0.50)	- 7.71	< 0.001***	0.00	0.00

WMD weighted mean difference

<sup>a</sup>SMD standardized mean difference adjusted with Hedges' g; BMI body mass index; p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.001; QoL quality of life

# What is already known on this subject?

- ACT is effective in improving symptoms of mental health conditions such as depression and anxiety.
- ACT could improve weight-related outcomes such as weight, body image, weight stigma and eating behaviours.

#### а



	E	xperin	nental		С	ontrol	
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	g
Mueller 2012	145.0	-3.58	12.35	179	-2.41	12.21	
Afari 2019	43.0	2.80	1.00	42	2.60	0.90	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Palmeira 2017	36.0	-0.32	0.54	37	-0.02	0.36	
Levin 2020	33.0	7.31	2.40	37	8.18	2.71	
Potts 2022(1)	8.5	42.58	11.65	20	41.42	15.26	
Potts 2022(2)	8.5	42.58	11.65	18	48.17	8.57	

333

Random effects model 274.0 Prediction interval Heterogeneity:  $l^2 = 45\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0.0505$ , p = 0.11Test for overall effect:  $t_5 = -1.47$  (p = 0.20)

С

	E	Experin	nental		C	ontrol				
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	g	SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Mueller 2012	145	-5.69	10.46	179	-2.20	11.62		-0.31	[-0.53; -0.09]	23.0%
Lillis 2016	81	-2.73	0.24	81	-2.50	0.23		-0.97	[-1.30; -0.65]	21.2%
Afari 2019	43	3.00	0.60	42	2.90	0.50		0.18	[-0.25; 0.61]	19.2%
Levin 2020	33	20.23	5.42	37	21.18	5.92		-0.17	[-0.64; 0.31]	18.3%
Palmeira 2017	36	-0.28	0.37	37	-0.05	0.28		-0.69	[-1.17; -0.22]	18.3%
Random effects model	338			376				-0.40	[-0.96; 0.16]	100.0%
<b>Prediction interval</b> Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 82\%$ , $\tau^2$	= 0.163	84, p < 0	.01						[-1.84; 1.04]	
Test for overall effect: $t_4 = -1$	.99 (p =	= 0.12)					-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5			

-0.5 0 0.5 1

-1

d Experimental Control Study Total Mean SD Total Mean SD SMD Mueller 2012 145 6.32 12.86 179 0.56 11.92 0.47 [ 0.24; 0.69] Afari 2019 43 3.10 0.60 42 3.20 0.70 -0.15 [-0.58; 0.27] Levin 2020 33 14.60 2.61 37 13.90 3.10 0.24 [-0.23; 0.71] 27.6% Random effects model 258 221 **Prediction interval** 

Heterogeneity:  $l^2 = 69\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0.0717$ , p = 0.04Test for overall effect:  $t_2 = 1.19$  (p = 0.36)



Fig. 3 a Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on binge eating. g=Hedges' g. b Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on emotional eating. g = Hedges' g. c Illustration of the summary statistics

of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on external eating. g = Hedges' g. d Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on restrained eating. g = Hedges' g

-0.10 [-0.31; 0.12]

0.21 [-0.22; 0.63]

-0.65 [-1.12; -0.18]

-0.33 [-0.81; 0.14]

0.08 [-0.72; 0.88]

-0.56 [-1.40; 0.27]

-0.20 [-0.54; 0.15] 100.0%

[-0.93; 0.53]

29.7%

19 1%

17.3%

17.2%

8.6%

8.1%

95%-CI Weight

42.3%

30.1%



**Fig.4 a** Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on quality of life. g = Hedges' g. b Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on depression. g = Hedges' g

	E	xperin	nental		C	ontrol								
Study	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD			g			SMD	95%-CI	Weight
Mueller 2012	145.0	-6.21	10.68	179.0	-3.07	10.31		1				-0.30	[-0.52; -0.08]	15.2%
Sairanen 2017(2) Sairanen 2017(1)	78.0 70.0	80.90 78.00	19.90 19.00	35.5 35.5	86.00 86.00	22.40		_				-0.24	[-0.64; 0.15] [-0.80: 0.01]	13.1% 13.0%
Afari 2019	43.0	69.60	19.80	42.0	66.70	17.10		_ ]	-			0.16	[-0.27; 0.58]	12.7%
Palmeira 2017	36.0	-7.96	9.99	37.0	-0.46	4.67						-0.96	[-1.44; -0.47] [-1.42: -0.43]	12.0%
Potts 2022(1)	8.5	61.42	16.22	20.0	67.92	22.32		_	-			-0.30	[-1.11; 0.50]	8.1%
Berman 2022	9.0	3.60	1.20	10.0	2.90	1.26						0.54	[-0.38; 1.46]	7.1%
Folls 2022(2)	0.0	01.42	10.22	10.0	91.00	20.00						-1.40	[-2.41, -0.50]	7.170
Random effects model	431.0			414.0				-				-0.42	[-0.84; -0.01]	100.0%
Prediction interval							_			•			[-1.47; 0.62]	
Heterogeneity: $T = 70\%$ , $\tau$ Test for overall effect: $t_8 = -1$	2.35 (p =	= 0.05)	0.01				-2	-1	0	1	2			



# What does this study add?

- ACT is effective in improving psychological flexibility and weight stigma in people with overweight and obesity.
- Mixed findings were found for the effectiveness of ACT on weight.
- Limited evidence supports the effectiveness of ACT on eating behaviour, quality of life and depression.

## Conclusion

Our findings suggest that the use of ACT for weight loss and eating behaviour improvements is at an early stage. The effectiveness of ACT in this application was found to be of limited effectiveness in changing weight-related outcomes and eating behaviours. The observation of wide heterogeneity in how ACT-based interventions are currently conducted indicates that future research should aim to create a standard



Fig. 6 Illustration of the summary statistics of the intervention and control groups in each study included in the meta-analysis on the effect of ACT on weight stigma. *MD* mean difference

procedure or guidance for interventions to improve their reliability and validity and to allow studies to be replicated. Finally, future research should also investigate the use of ACT in non-Western cultural contexts to address key knowledge gaps in both the ACT and general literature in weight and eating behaviour research.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-023-01535-6.

**Funding** Chew Han Shi Jocelyn is supported by the clinical innovation grant from the National University of Singapore.

**Data availability** Requests for any data can be made to the corresponding author.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors have not disclosed any competing interests.

Ethical approval The authors have not disclosed any ethical approval.

Informed consent The authors have not disclosed any informed consent.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

 World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesityand-overweight. Accessed 25 Aug 2022

- Safaei M, Sundararajan EA, Driss M, Boulila W, Shapi'i A (2021) A systematic literature review on obesity: understanding the causes & consequences of obesity and reviewing various machine learning approaches used to predict obesity. Comput Biol Med 136:104754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104754
- Hubbard VS (2000) Defining overweight and obesity: what are the issues?, vol 72. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 1067–1068
- Dietz WH (2015) The response of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to the obesity epidemic. Annu Rev Public Health 36(1):575–596
- Wilson JJ, Adlakha D, Cunningham C, Best P, Cardwell CR, Stephenson A, Tully MA (2018) Brief standing desk intervention to reduce sedentary behavior at a physical activity conference in 2016. Am J Public Health 108(9):1197–1199
- Fock KM, Khoo J (2013) Diet and exercise in management of obesity and overweight. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 28:59–63
- Adriaanse MA, de Ridder DT, Evers C (2011) Emotional eating: eating when emotional or emotional about eating? Psychol Health 26(1):23–39
- Van Strien T, Herman CP, Verheijden MW (2009) Eating style, overeating, and overweight in a representative Dutch sample. Does external eating play a role? Appetite 52(2):380–387
- Polivy J, Herman CP, Mills JS (2020) What is restrained eating and how do we identify it? Appetite 155:104820
- Apolinário-Hagen J, Drüge M, Fritsche L (2020) Cognitive behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and acceptance commitment therapy for anxiety disorders: integrating traditional with digital treatment approaches. Anxiety Disord 1191:291–329
- González-Fernández S, Fernández-Rodríguez C (2019) Acceptance and commitment therapy in cancer: review of applications and findings. Behav Med 45(3):255–269
- Peterson BD, Eifert GH (2011) Using acceptance and commitment therapy to treat infertility stress. Cogn Behav Pract 18(4):577–587
- Stafford-Brown J, Pakenham KI (2012) The effectiveness of an ACT informed intervention for managing stress and improving therapist qualities in clinical psychology trainees. J Clin Psychol 68(6):592–513
- Hughes LS, Clark J, Colclough JA, Dale E, McMillan D (2017) Acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) for chronic pain. Clin J Pain 33(6):552–568
- Lillis J, Kendra KE (2014) Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for weight control: Model, evidence, and future directions. J Contextual Behav Sci 3(1):1–7
- Hayes SC, Hofmann SG (2017) The third wave of cognitive behavioral therapy and the rise of process-based care. World Psychiatry 16(3):245–246. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20442

- Forman EM, Butryn ML, Manasse SM, Bradley LE (2015) Acceptance-based behavioral treatment for weight control: a review and future directions. Curr Opin Psychol 2:87–90
- Hayes SC, Pistorello J, Levin ME (2012) Acceptance and commitment therapy as a unified model of behavior change. Couns Psychol 40(7):976–1002
- Lawlor ER, Islam N, Bates S, Griffin SJ, Hill AJ, Hughes CA, Ahern AL (2020) Third-wave cognitive behaviour therapies for weight management: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Obesity Rev 21(7):e13013
- Pears S, Sutton S (2021) Effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) interventions for promoting physical activity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Psychol Rev 15(1):159–184
- 21. Iturbe I, Echeburúa E, Maiz E (2022) The effectiveness of acceptance and commitment therapy upon weight management and psychological well-being of adults with overweight or obesity: a systematic review. Clin Psychol Psychother 29(3):837–856
- 22. Landis JR, Koch GG (1977) The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 33:159–174
- 23. IntHout J, Ioannidis J, Borm GF (2014) The Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman method for random effects meta-analysis is straightforward and considerably outperforms the standard Der-Simonian-Laird method. BMC Med Res Methodol 14(1):1–12
- Borenstein M, Higgins JP, Hedges LV, Rothstein HR (2017) Basics of meta-analysis: I2 is not an absolute measure of heterogeneity. Res Synthesis Methods 8(1):5–18
- 25. Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (2019) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Wiley, New York
- Afari N, Herbert MS, Godfrey KM, Cuneo JG, Salamat JS, Mostoufi S, Wetherell JL (2019) Acceptance and commitment therapy as an adjunct to the MOVE! programme: a randomized controlled trial. Obes Sci Pract 5(5):397–407. https://doi.org/10.1002/osp4.356
- Berman MI, Park J, Kragenbrink ME, Hegel MT (2022). Accept yourself! A pilot randomized controlled trial of a self-acceptancebased treatment for large-bodied women with depression. Behav Ther. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2022.03.002
- Forman EM, Butryn ML, Juarascio AS, Bradley LE, Lowe MR, Herbert JD, Shaw JA (2013) The mind your health project: a randomized controlled trial of an innovative behavioral treatment for obesity. Obesity 21(6):1119–1126. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20169
- Forman EM, Butryn ML, Manasse SM, Crosby RD, Goldstein SP, Wyckoff EP, Thomas JG (2016) Acceptance-based versus standard behavioral treatment for obesity: results from the mind your health randomized controlled trial. Obesity (Silver Spring) 24(10):2050–2056. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21601
- Forman EM, Manasse SM, Butryn ML, Crosby RD, Dallal DH, Crochiere RJ (2019) Long-term follow-up of the mind your health project: acceptance-based versus standard behavioral treatment for obesity. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md) 27(4):565–571. https://doi. org/10.1002/oby.22412
- Levin ME, Petersen JM, Durward C, Bingeman B, Davis E, Nelson C, Cromwell S (2020) A randomized controlled trial of online acceptance and commitment therapy to improve diet and physical activity among adults who are overweight/obese. Transl Behav Med 11(6):1216–1225. https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibaa123
- 32. Lillis J, Niemeier HM, Thomas JG, Unick J, Ross KM, Leahey TM, Wing RR (2016) A randomized trial of an acceptance-based behavioral intervention for weight loss in people with high internal disinhibition. Obesity (Silver Spring) 24(12):2509–2514. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21680
- 33. Potts S, Krafft J, Levin ME (2022) A pilot randomized controlled trial of acceptance and commitment therapy guided self-help for overweight and obese adults high in weight self-stigma. Behav

Modif 46(1):178–201. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445520 975112

- Mueller J, Richards R, Jones RA, Whittle F, Woolston J, Stubbings M, Ahern AL (2022) Supporting weight management during COVID-19 (SWiM-C): a randomised controlled trial of a web-based, ACT-based, guided self-help intervention. Obes Facts. https://doi.org/10.1159/000524031
- 35. Palmeira L, Pinto-Gouveia J, Cunha M (2017) Exploring the efficacy of an acceptance, mindfulness & compassionate-based group intervention for women struggling with their weight (Kg-Free): a randomized controlled trial. Appetite 112:107–116. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.01.027
- 36. Sairanen E, Tolvanen A, Karhunen L, Kolehmainen M, Järvelä-Reijonen E, Lindroos S, Mattila E (2017) Psychological flexibility mediates change in intuitive eating regulation in acceptance and commitment therapy interventions. Public Health Nutr 20(9):1681–1691
- 37. Masuda A, Boone MS, Timko CA (2011) The role of psychological flexibility in the relationship between self-concealment and disordered eating symptoms. Eat Behav 12(2):131–135
- 38. Vartanian LR, Porter AM (2016) Weight stigma and eating behavior: a review of the literature. Appetite 102:3–14
- Cutler GJ, Flood A, Hannan P, Neumark-Sztainer D (2011) Multiple sociodemographic and socioenvironmental characteristics are correlated with major patterns of dietary intake in adolescents. J Am Diet Assoc 111(2):230–240
- 40. Whale K, Gillison FB, Smith PC (2014) 'Are you still on that stupid diet?': Women's experiences of societal pressure and support regarding weight loss, and attitudes towards health policy intervention. J Health Psychol 19(12):1536–1546
- 41. Barrett S, Begg S, O'Halloran P, Kingsley M (2018) Integrated motivational interviewing and cognitive behaviour therapy for lifestyle mediators of overweight and obesity in communitydwelling adults: a systematic review and meta-analyses. BMC Public Health 18(1):1–10
- 42. Arnold T, Haubrick KK, Klasko-Foster LB, Rogers BG, Barnett A, Ramirez-Sanchez NA, Gaudiano BA (2022) Acceptance and commitment therapy informed behavioral health interventions delivered by non-mental health professionals: a systematic review. J Contextual Behav Sci 24:185
- Hall GC, Hong JJ, Zane NW, Meyer OL (2011) Culturally competent treatments for Asian Americans: the relevance of mindfulness and acceptance-based psychotherapies. Clin Psychol Sci Pract 18(3):215
- Chng SC, Fassnacht DB (2016) Parental comments: relationship with gender, body dissatisfaction, and disordered eating in Asian young adults. Body Image 16:93–99
- 45. Geda YE, Krell-Roesch J, Fisseha Y, Tefera A, Beyero T, Rosenbaum D, Hayes SC (2021) Acceptance and commitment therapy in a low-income country in sub-Saharan Africa: a call for further research. Front Public Health 9:1–11
- 46. Xu H, O'Brien WH, Chen Y (2020) Chinese international student stress and coping: a pilot study of acceptance and commitment therapy. J Contextual Behav Sci 15:135–141
- 47. Fang S, Ding D (2020) The efficacy of group-based acceptance and commitment therapy on psychological capital and school engagement: a pilot study among Chinese adolescents. J Contextual Behav Sci 16:134–143

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.