## DECISION • UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES LAW

## **European Union**

## "Powszechny Zakład Ubezpieczeń na Życie"

Decision of the European Court of Justice (Fourth Chamber) 3 April 2019 – Case No. C-617/17

Powszechny Zakład Ubezpieczeń na Życie S.A. v. Prezes Urzędu Ochrony Konkurencji i Konsumentów

EC, Art. 82 (TFEU, Art. 102); Regulation (EC) No 1/2003, Art. 3(1); CFR, Art. 50; ECHR, Protocol No 7, Art. 4

© Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition, Munich 2019

**Keywords** Abuse of a dominant position  $\cdot$  Application of national competition law  $\cdot$  National competition authority imposing one fine based on national law and another based on EU law  $\cdot$  Principle of *ne bis in idem*  $\cdot$  Applicability  $\cdot$  Same facts or same offence

1. The principle of ne bis in idem enshrined in Article 50 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, proclaimed in Nice on 7 December 2000, must be interpreted as not precluding a national competition authority from fining an undertaking in a single decision for an infringement of national competition law and for an infringement of Article 82 EC. In such a situation, the national competition authority must nevertheless ensure that the fines are proportionate to the nature of the infringement.