

New Bounds for RIC in Compressed Sensing

Shenglong Zhou · Lingchen Kong · Naihua Xiu

Received: 29 November 2012 / Revised: 23 March 2013 / Accepted: 28 March 2013 /
Published online: 25 April 2013
© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract This paper gives new bounds for restricted isometry constant (RIC) in compressed sensing. Let Φ be an $m \times n$ real matrix and k be a positive integer with $k \leq n$. The main results of this paper show that if the restricted isometry constant of Φ satisfies $\delta_{8ak} < 1$ and

$$\delta_{k+ak} < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1 + \sqrt{(4a+3)^2 - 8}}{8a}$$

for $a > \frac{3}{8}$, then k -sparse solution can be recovered exactly via l_1 minimization in the noiseless case. In particular, when $a = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 3 , we have $\delta_{2k} < 0.5746$ and $\delta_{8k} < 1$, or $\delta_{2.5k} < 0.7046$ and $\delta_{12k} < 1$, or $\delta_{3k} < 0.7731$ and $\delta_{16k} < 1$ or $\delta_{4k} < 0.8445$ and $\delta_{24k} < 1$.

Keywords Compressed sensing · Restricted isometry constant · Bound · l_1 minimization · Exact recovery

1 Introduction

The concept of compressed sensing (CS) was first introduced by Donoho [12], Candès, Romberg and Tao [8] and Candès and Tao [9] with the involved essential idea–

This work was partially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2010CB732501), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171018), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2013JBM095).

S. Zhou (✉) · L. Kong · N. Xiu
Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, P.R. China
e-mail: longnan_zsl@163.com

L. Kong
e-mail: konglchen@126.com

N. Xiu
e-mail: nhxiu@bjtu.edu.cn

recovering some original n -dimensional but sparse signal\image from linear measurement with dimension far fewer than n . Recently, large numbers of researchers, including applied mathematicians, computer scientists and engineers, have begun to pay their attention to this area owing to its wide applications in signal processing, communications, astronomy, biology, medicine, seismology and so on, see, e.g., survey papers [1, 2, 19] and a monograph [14].

The fundamental problem in compressed sensing is reconstructing a high-dimensional sparse signal from remarkably small number of measurements. We assume to recover a sparse solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the underdetermined system of the form $\Phi x = y$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the available measurement and $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a known measurement matrix (with $m \ll n$). The mathematical model would be to minimize the number of the non-zero components of x , i.e., to solve the following l_0 -norm optimization problem:

$$\min \|x\|_0, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \Phi x = y, \tag{1}$$

where $\|x\|_0$ is l_0 -norm of the vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., the number of nonzero entries in x (this is not a true norm, as $\|\cdot\|_0$ is not positive homogeneous). A vector x with at most k nonzero entries, $\|x\|_0 \leq k$, is called k -sparse. However, (1) is combinatorial and computationally intractable and one popular and powerful approach is to solve it via ℓ_1 minimization (its convex relaxation)

$$\min \|x\|_1, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \Phi x = y. \tag{2}$$

One of the most commonly used frameworks for sparse recovery via l_1 minimization is the *Restricted Isometry Property* (RIP) introduced by Candès and Tao [9]. For some integer $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the k -restricted isometry constant (RIC) δ_k of a matrix Φ is the smallest number in $(0, 1)$ such that

$$(1 - \delta_k)\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|\Phi x\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta_k)\|x\|_2^2 \tag{3}$$

holds for all k -sparse vectors. We say that Φ has k -RIP if there is a k -RIC $\delta_k \in (0, 1)$ such that the above inequalities hold. Furthermore, if for integers k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s there exist $\delta_{k_1}, \delta_{k_2}, \dots, \delta_{k_s} \in (0, 1)$ such that the corresponding inequalities hold, we say that Φ has $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$ -RIP. Here, $\delta_k \in (0, 1)$ is often used in literature, see, e.g., [13, 14], and δ_k has the monotone property for k (see, e.g., [3, 4]), i.e.,

$$\delta_{k_1} \leq \delta_{k_2}, \quad \text{if } k_1 \leq k_2 \leq n. \tag{4}$$

Thus, Φ has $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$ -RIP is the same as that Φ has $\max\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s\}$ -RIP. In addition, if $k + k' \leq n$, the k, k' -restricted orthogonality constant (ROC) $\theta_{k,k'}$ is the smallest number that satisfies

$$|\langle \Phi x, \Phi x' \rangle| \leq \theta_{k,k'} \|x\|_2 \|x'\|_2 \tag{5}$$

for all k -sparse x and k' -sparse x' with disjoint supports. Candès and Tao [9] showed the link between RIC and ROC

$$\theta_{k,k'} \leq \delta_{k+k'}. \tag{6}$$

By the definition (3), one would observe that

$$\delta_k = \max_{T \subset \{1,2,\dots,n\}, |T| \leq k} \|\Phi_T^* \Phi_T - I_k\|, \tag{7}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the spectral norm of a matrix (see, e.g., [18]). Clearly, it is hard to compute RICs for a given matrix Φ because it essentially requires that every subset of columns of Φ with certain cardinality approximately behaves like an orthonormal system. Moreover, as shown by Zhang [20], for a nonsingular matrix (transformation) $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the RIP constants of Φ and $Q\Phi$ can be very different. However, a widely used technique for avoiding checking the RIP condition directly is to generate the matrix randomly and to show that the resulting random matrix satisfies the RIP with high probability [17].

Although the RIP condition is difficult to check, it is of independent interest to study the bounds for RIC in CS since l_1 -norm minimization can recover a sparse signal under various conditions on δ_k , δ_{2k} and $\theta_{k,k'}$, such as, the condition $\delta_k + \theta_{k,k} + \theta_{k,2k} < 1$ in [9], $\delta_{2k} + \theta_{k,2k} < 1$ in [10], and $\delta_{1.25k} + \theta_{k,1.25k} < 1$ in [4].

While many previous results in compressed sensing made reference to δ_{2k} , probably because it implies that k -sparse signals remain well separated in the measurement space. The first major result of this sort was established in Candès [6], namely, $\delta_{2k} \leq \sqrt{2} - 1$ is sufficient for k -sparse signal reconstruction. Recently Cai and Zhang [5] obtained the sufficient condition $\delta_{2k} \leq 1/2$. To the best of our knowledge, the bound for δ_{2k} on sparse recovery is gradually improved from $\sqrt{2} - 1 (\approx 0.4142)$ to 0.5 in recent years. The details are listed in the Table 1 below.

The main contribution of the present paper is to give the new bounds for RIC in CS in the following theorem. Here, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the *best k -sparse approximation* $x^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from x with all but the k largest entries (in absolute value) set to zero.

Theorem 1 *Let x be a feasible solution to (1) and $x^{(k)}$ be the best k -sparse approximation of x . If the following inequalities hold*

$$\delta_{8ak} < 1 \tag{8}$$

and

$$\delta_{k+ak} < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1 + \sqrt{(4a + 3)^2 - 8}}{8a} \tag{9}$$

for $a > 3/8$, then the solution \hat{x} to the l_1 minimization problem (2) satisfies

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 \leq \frac{2(1 + C_0)}{1 - C_0} \|x - x^{(k)}\|_1, \tag{10}$$

for some positive constant $C_0 < 1$ given explicitly by (16). In particular, if x is k -sparse, the recovery is exact.

From Theorem 1, when $a = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 3 , we get that $\delta_{2k} < 0.5746$, $\delta_{2.5k} < 0.7046$, $\delta_{3k} < 0.7731$ and $\delta_{4k} < 0.8445$ with the corresponding assumption $\delta_{8ak} < 1$. Observ-

Table 1 Different bounds for RIC

	δ_{2k}	$\delta_{2.5k}$	δ_{3k}	δ_{4k}
Candès [6]	0.4142	–	–	–
Foucart, Lai [16]	0.4531	–	–	–
Foucart [15]	0.4652	–	–	–
Cai, Wang, Xu [4]	0.4721	–	0.535	0.585
Mo and Li [18]	0.4931	–	–	–
Cai and Zhang [5]	0.5000	–	–	–
Our Results	0.5746	0.7074	0.7731	0.8445
	$\delta_{8k} < 1$	$\delta_{12k} < 1$	$\delta_{16k} < 1$	$\delta_{24k} < 1$

ing Table 1, under the extra assumption $\delta_{8ak} < 1$, our conditions are all weaker than the ones known in the literature.

Note that the k -RIP condition implies that every subset of columns of Φ with cardinality less than k approximately behaves like an orthonormal system. In the context of (large scaled) sparse optimization, it is often said that $k \ll n$. Recently, Candès and Recht [7] showed that a k -sparse vector in \mathbb{R}^n can be efficiently recovered from $2k \log n$ measurements with high probability, i.e., $m = \mathcal{O}(2k \log n)$. In this case, $8ak$ should be less than m for smaller a . Thus, $8ak < m$ and $8ak \ll n$ make sense and our extra assumption is meaningful and valuable in large scale sparse optimization.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we establish some key inequalities. In Sect. 3, we prove our main result. In Sect. 4, we conclude this paper with some remarks.

2 Key Inequalities

In this section, we will give some inequalities, which play an important role in improving the RIC bound for sparse recovery in this paper.

We begin with the following interesting and important inequality, which states the connection between several norms of l_0, l_1, l_2, l_∞ and $l_{-\infty}$. Here, we define $\|x\|_{-\infty}$ norm as $\|x\|_{-\infty} := \min_i \{|x_i|\}$. (In fact, $l_{-\infty}$ is not a norm since the triangle inequality does not hold). For convenience, we call (11) the *Norm Inequality*, which is essentially from (6) in [3].

Proposition 1 (Norm Inequality) *For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \neq 0$,*

$$\frac{\|x\|_1}{\sqrt{\|x\|_0}} \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \frac{\|x\|_1}{\sqrt{\|x\|_0}} + \frac{\sqrt{\|x\|_0}}{4} (\|x\|_\infty - \|x\|_{-\infty}). \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, we obtain the following general inequality,

$$\frac{\|x\|_1}{\sqrt{\|x\|_0}} \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \frac{\|x\|_1}{\sqrt{\|x\|_0}} + \frac{\sqrt{\|x\|_0}}{4} \|x\|_\infty.$$

Throughout the paper, let \hat{x} be a solution to the minimization problem (2), and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a feasible one, i.e., $\Phi x = y$. Clearly, $\|\hat{x}\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1$. We let $x^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined as above again. Without loss of generality we assume that the support of $x^{(k)}$ is T_0 .

Denote that $h = \hat{x} - x$ and h_T is the vector equal to h on an index set T and zero elsewhere. We decompose h into a sum of vectors $h_{T_0}, h_{T_1}, h_{T_2}, \dots$, where T_1 corresponds to the locations of the ak largest coefficients of $h_{T_0^c}$ ($T_0^c = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots$); T_2 to the locations of the $4ak$ largest coefficients of $h_{(T_0 \cup T_1)^c}$, T_3 to the locations of the next $4ak$ largest coefficients of $h_{(T_0 \cup T_1)^c}$, and so on. That is

$$h = h_{T_0} + h_{T_1} + h_{T_2} + \dots \tag{12}$$

Here, the sparsity of h_{T_0} is at most k ; the sparsity of h_{T_1} is at most ak ; the sparsity of h_{T_j} ($j \geq 2$) are at most $4ak$.

In order to get a new bound on RIC, for the above decomposition (12), we define

$$\rho := \frac{\|h_{T_1}\|_1}{\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1}. \tag{13}$$

Obviously, $\rho \in [0, 1]$ and

$$\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 = (1 - \rho) \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1.$$

Applying the Norm Inequality, we can give some inequalities of h , which are very useful in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 1 *Let $h_{T_0}, h_{T_1}, h_{T_2}, \dots$, and ρ be given by (12) and (13), respectively. Then*

$$\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\rho(1 - \rho)}{ak} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \right)^2 \tag{14}$$

and

$$\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4ak}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1. \tag{15}$$

Proof By the definitions of h_{T_j} ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and ρ , direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \|h_{T_2}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{ak} \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \|h_{T_1}\|_1 \\ &= \frac{\rho(1 - \rho)}{ak} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (14) holds. We remain to show (15). Applying the Norm Inequality (11), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 &\leq \frac{\|h_{T_j}\|_1}{\sqrt{4ak}} + \frac{\sqrt{4ak}}{4} (\|h_{T_j}\|_\infty - \|h_{T_j}\|_{-\infty}) \\ &\leq \frac{\|h_{T_j}\|_1}{\sqrt{4ak}} + \frac{\sqrt{4ak}}{4} (\|h_{T_j}\|_\infty - \|h_{T_{j+1}}\|_\infty), \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 2, 3, \dots$, where for the last h_{T_j} , we set $\|h_{T_{j+1}}\|_\infty := 0$. Adding up all the inequalities for $j = 2, 3, \dots$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 &\leq \frac{\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_1}{\sqrt{4ak}} + \frac{\sqrt{4ak}}{4} \|h_{T_2}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_1}{\sqrt{4ak}} + \frac{\sqrt{4ak}}{4ak} \|h_{T_1}\|_1 \\ &= \left(\frac{1-\rho}{\sqrt{4ak}} + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{4ak}} \right) \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4ak}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion holds immediately. □

In the end of this section, we give two lemmas which give us the connection about the norms of Φh_{T_j} and h_{T_j} .

Lemma 2 *Let h_{T_0} and h_{T_1} be given by (12). Then*

$$\|\Phi(h_{T_0} + h_{T_1})\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1 - \delta_{k+ak}}{k} \left(\|h_{T_0}\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{a} \|h_{T_1}\|_1^2 \right).$$

Proof From (3), we obtain

$$\|\Phi(h_{T_0} + h_{T_1})\|_2^2 \geq (1 - \delta_{k+ak}) \|h_{T_0} + h_{T_1}\|_2^2.$$

Because the supports T_0 and T_1 are disjoint, the following equality holds

$$\|h_{T_0} + h_{T_1}\|_2^2 = \|h_{T_0}\|_2^2 + \|h_{T_1}\|_2^2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(h_{T_0} + h_{T_1})\|_2^2 &\geq (1 - \delta_{k+ak}) (\|h_{T_0}\|_2^2 + \|h_{T_1}\|_2^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \delta_{k+ak}}{k} \left(\|h_{T_0}\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{a} \|h_{T_1}\|_1^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is derived from (11). □

Lemma 3 Let $h_{T_0}, h_{T_1}, h_{T_2}, \dots$, and ρ be given by (12) and (13), respectively. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq 2} \Phi h_{T_j} \right\|_2^2 \leq \frac{4\rho(1-\rho) + \delta_{8ak}}{4ak} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \right)^2.$$

Proof By direct calculations, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j \geq 2} \Phi h_{T_j} \right\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{j \geq 2} |\langle \Phi h_{T_j}, \Phi h_{T_j} \rangle| + 2 \sum_{j > i \geq 2} |\langle \Phi h_{T_j}, \Phi h_{T_i} \rangle| \\ &\leq (1 + \delta_{8ak}) \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2^2 + 2\delta_{8ak} \sum_{j > i \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \|h_{T_i}\|_2 \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2^2 + \delta_{8ak} \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\rho(1-\rho)}{ak} + \frac{\delta_{8ak}}{4ak} \right] \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{4\rho(1-\rho) + \delta_{8ak}}{4ak} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds by the triangle inequality, the second holds due to (3) and (6), the third is from (14) and (15); and the first equality holds from

$$\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2^2 + 2 \sum_{j > i \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \|h_{T_i}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \right)^2.$$

Hence, the desired result follows. □

3 Proof of the Main Result

In this section, we will prove our main result. For simplicity, we first define a quadratic function of variable ρ ,

$$f(\rho) := -4(2 - \delta_{k+ak})\rho^2 + 4\rho + \delta_{8ak},$$

Clearly, it is a strictly concave function. We can easily obtain the optimal maximum value of $f(\rho)$ through demanding its derivative, that is

$$f(\rho^*) = \max_{0 \leq \rho \leq 1} f(\rho) = \frac{1 + (2 - \delta_{k+ak})\delta_{8ak}}{2 - \delta_{k+ak}} > 0,$$

where

$$\rho^* := \frac{1}{2(2 - \delta_{k+ak})} \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover, we denote that

$$C_0 := \frac{\sqrt{f(\rho^*)}}{\sqrt{4a(1 - \delta_{k+ak})}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + (2 - \delta_{k+ak})\delta_{8ak}}{4a(2 - \delta_{k+ak})(1 - \delta_{k+ak})}}. \tag{16}$$

Before proving our main results, we show that the RIP bound in (9) is a sufficient condition for $C_0 < 1$.

Lemma 4 *If (8) and (9) hold, then $C_0 < 1$.*

Proof From (9), it is easy to verify that

$$-4a\delta_{k+ak}^2 + (12a - 1)\delta_{k+ak} + 3 - 8a < 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{3 - \delta_{k+ak}}{4a(2 - \delta_{k+ak})(1 - \delta_{k+ak})} < 1.$$

Since $0 \leq \delta_{8ak} \leq 1$, and by (16), we have

$$C_0^2 = \frac{1 + (2 - \delta_{k+ak})\delta_{8ak}}{4a(2 - \delta_{k+ak})(1 - \delta_{k+ak})} < \frac{3 - \delta_{k+ak}}{4a(2 - \delta_{k+ak})(1 - \delta_{k+ak})} < 1.$$

Thus, if (9) holds, we ensure $C_0 < 1$. □

Now we begin to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1 The proof proceeds in two steps, which is a common approach in literature [4, 6].

The first step is to prove that

$$\|h_{T_0}\|_1 \leq C_0 \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1. \tag{17}$$

The second step shows that $\|\hat{x} - x\|_1$ is appropriately small.

For the first step, we note that $\Phi h = 0$, which implies that

$$\|\Phi(h_{T_0} + h_{T_1})\|_2^2 = \left\| \sum_{j \geq 2} \Phi h_{T_j} \right\|_2^2.$$

From Lemmas 2 and 3, the following inequality holds

$$\frac{1 - \delta_{k+ak}}{k} \left(\|h_{T_0}\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{a} \|h_{T_1}\|_1^2 \right) \leq \frac{4\rho(1 - \rho) + \delta_{8ak}}{4ak} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \right)^2.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_{T_0}\|_1^2 &\leq \left(\frac{4\rho(1-\rho) + \delta_{8ak}}{4a(1-\delta_{k+ak})} - \frac{\rho^2}{a}\right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{f(\rho)}{4a(1-\delta_{k+ak})} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1\right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{f(\rho^*)}{4a(1-\delta_{k+ak})} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is derived from (13). Combining with (16), we get (17).

For the second step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 &= \|h - h_{T_0}\|_1 \\ &\leq \|h_{T_0}\|_1 + 2\|x - x^{(k)}\|_1 \\ &\leq C_0 \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 + 2\|x - x^{(k)}\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality holds from (12) in [6]. Then

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \leq \frac{2}{1 - C_0} \|x - x^{(k)}\|_1.$$

This together with (17) yields

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 = \|h\|_1 = \|h_{T_0}\|_1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} \|h_{T_j}\|_1 \leq \frac{2(1 + C_0)}{1 - C_0} \|x - x^{(k)}\|_1.$$

We complete to prove (10).


In particular, if x is k -sparse, then $x - x^{(k)} = 0$, and hence $x = \hat{x}$ from (10). □

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have gotten that, when $a > 3/8$, the conditions (8) and (9) enable us to obtain several interesting RIC bounds for measurement matrices, such as δ_{2k} , $\delta_{2.5k}$, δ_{3k} , and δ_{4k} so on. For intuitionistic analysis, we draw the curve about the connection between t ($:= a + 1$) and the bound for δ_{tk} .

From Fig. 1, it is easy to see that the bounds for δ_{tk} increase fast between $1.75 \leq t \leq 3$ and the bounds for δ_{tk} are larger than 0.9 when $t \geq 6$. In addition, Davies and Gribonval [11] have given detailed counter-examples to show that the bound of δ_{2k} cannot exceed $1/\sqrt{2} \approx 0.7071$. Based on $0.5746 < 0.7071$, we wonder whether there is a better way to improve the bound 0.5746 for δ_{2k} without the extra assumption

Fig. 1 The curve of bounds for δ_{tk}



$\delta_{8k} < 1$. So the further research topics we can do are to omit the extra assumption $\delta_{8ak} < 1$ and further to reduce the gap between 0.5746 and 0.7071.

Acknowledgements We thank the two anonymous referees for their very useful comments.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- [1] Bruckstein, A.M., Donoho, D.L., Elad, A.: From sparse solutions of systems of equations to sparse modeling of signals and images. *SIAM Rev.* **51**, 34–81 (2009)
- [2] Baraniuk, R., Davenport, M.A., Duarte, M.F., Hegde, C.: *An Introduction to Compressive Sensing* (2011)
- [3] Cai, T., Wang, L., Xu, G.: New bounds for restricted isometry constants. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **56**, 4388–4394 (2010)
- [4] Cai, T., Wang, L., Xu, G.: Shifting inequality and recovery of sparse signals. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* **58**, 1300–1308 (2010)
- [5] Cai, T., Zhang, A.: Sharp RIP bound for sparse signal and low-rank matrix recovery. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* (2012)
- [6] Candès, E.J.: The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. I* **346**, 589–592 (2006)
- [7] Candès, E.J., Recht, B.: Simple bounds for low-complexity model reconstruction. *Math. Program. Ser. A* (2012, to appear)
- [8] Candès, E.J., Romberg, J., Tao, T.: Robust uncertainty principles: exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **52**, 489–509 (2006)
- [9] Candès, E.J., Tao, T.: Decoding by linear programming. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **51**, 4203–4215 (2005)
- [10] Candès, E.J., Tao, T.: The Dantzig selector: statistical estimation when p is much larger than n (with discussion). *Ann. Statist.* **35**, 2313–2351 (2007)
- [11] Davies, M.E., Gribonval, R.: Restricted isometry constants where l_p sparse recovery can fail for $0 < p \leq 1$. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **55**, 2203–2214 (2010)
- [12] Donoho, D.L.: Compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **52**, 1289–1306 (2006)
- [13] Divekar, A., Ersoy, O.: *Theory and Applications of Compressive Sensing*, Electrical and Computer Engineering (2010)
- [14] Eldar, Y.C., Kutyniok, G.: *Compressed Sensing: Theory and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012)

- [15] Foucart, S.: A note on guaranteed sparse recovery via l_q -minimization. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **29**, 97–103 (2010)
- [16] Foucart, S., Lai, M.: Sparsest solutions of underdetermined linear systems via l_q -minimization for $0 < q \leq 1$. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **26**, 395–407 (2009)
- [17] Li, S.X., Gao, F., Ge, G.N., Zhang, S.Y.: Deterministic construction of compressed sensing matrices via algebraic curves. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **58**, 5035–5041 (2012)
- [18] Mo, G., Li, S.: New bounds on the restricted isometry constant δ_{2k} . *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **31**, 460–468 (2011)
- [19] Rauhut, H.: Compressive sensing and structured random matrices. *Radon Ser. Comp. Appl. Math.* **9**, 1–92 (2010)
- [20] Zhang, Y.: On theory of compressive sensing via l_1 -minimization: simple derivations and extensions. Technical Report TR08-11, Department of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Rice University, Houston, Texas (2008)