Hölder Continuity of Solutions of SPDEs with Reflection

Robert C. Dalang · Tusheng Zhang

Received: 29 April 2013 / Revised: 7 May 2013 / Accepted: 8 May 2013 / Published online: 1 June 2013
© School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Abstract In this paper, we obtain the Hölder continuity of the solutions of SPDEs with reflection, which have singular drifts (random measures).

Keywords Parabolic obstacle problem · Stochastic partial differential equations with reflection · Random measure · Garsia’s lemma

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 60H15 · 60F10 · 60F05

1 Introduction and Framework

Consider the following stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) with reflection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + f(u(t, x)) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x) + \dot{\eta}(t, x), \\ u(t, x) \geq 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = u_0, \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

R.C. Dalang is supported in part by the Swiss National Foundation for Scientific Research.

R.C. Dalang
Institut de Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Station 8, 1015 Lausanne,
Switzerland
e-mail: robert.dalang@epfl.ch

T. Zhang (✉)
School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, England, UK
e-mail: tusheng.zhang@manchester.ac.uk

Here $\dot{W}$ denotes the space-time white noise defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P)$, $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(W(s, x) : x \in [0, 1], 0 \leq s \leq t)$; u_0 is a non-negative continuous function on $[0, 1]$, which vanishes at 0 and 1; $\eta(x, t)$ is a random measure which is a part of the solution pair (u, η) ; $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ denotes the Laplacian operator on $[0, 1]$ equipped with the Dirichlet boundary condition. The coefficients f and σ are measurable mappings from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The following definition is taken from [5, 9].

Definition 1.1 A pair (u, η) is said to be a solution of (1.1) if

- (i) u is a continuous random field on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$, $u(t, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable and $u(t, x) \geq 0$ a.s.
- (ii) η is a random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (0, 1)$ such that
 - (a) $\eta(\{t\} \times (0, 1)) = 0$, for all $t \geq 0$.
 - (b) $\int_0^t \int_0^1 x(1-x)\eta(ds, dx) < \infty$, for all $t \geq 0$.
 - (c) η is adapted in the sense that for any measurable mapping ψ :

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 \psi(s, x)\eta(ds, dx) \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-measurable.}$$

- (iii) (u, η) solves the parabolic SPDE in the following sense $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denotes the scalar product in $L^2[0, 1]$: $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \phi \in C_0^2([0, 1])$ with $\phi(0) = \phi(1) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (u(t), \phi) - \int_0^t (u(s), \phi'') ds - \int_0^t (f(u(s)), \phi) ds \\ &= (u_0, \phi) + \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi(x)\sigma(u(s, x))W(ds, dx) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \phi(x)\eta(ds, dx) \quad \text{a.s.,} \end{aligned}$$

where $u(t) := u(t, \cdot)$.

- (iv) $\int_Q u d\eta = 0$, where $Q = \mathbb{R}_+ \times (0, 1)$.

This equation was first studied by Nualart and Pardoux in [9] when $\sigma(\cdot) = 1$, and by Donati-Martin and Pardoux in [5] for a general diffusion coefficient σ without obtaining the uniqueness and by Xu and Zhang in [12] for general σ with also the proof of the uniqueness. Various properties of the solution of (1.1) were studied later in [4, 6, 8, 13, 14]. SPDEs with reflection can also be used to model the evolution of random interfaces near a hard wall. It was proved by Funaki and Olla in [7] that the fluctuations of a $\nabla\phi$ interface model near a hard wall converge in law to the stationary solution of a SPDE with reflection. We also mention that the random contact set $\{(t, x); u(t, x) = 0\}$ of the solution u was investigated in [4] when $\sigma = 1$. For stochastic Cahn–Hilliard equations with reflection, see [13].

We assume throughout the paper that the mappings

$$f, \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

are Lipschitz continuous:

$$|f(u) - f(v)| + |\sigma(u) - \sigma(v)| \leq C|u - v|. \quad (1.2)$$

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the Hölder continuity of the solution of the SPDE with reflection. This is not trivial because of the singularity introduced by the random measure term in the equation. When the noise is additive (i.e., $\sigma = 1$), the question of Hölder continuity was investigated in [4], where the Hölder continuity with respect to the space variable was obtained. Regarding the time variable, the authors in [4] only established the following lower bound:

$$u(t, x) - u(s, x) \geq -\gamma(t - s)^\alpha, \quad t \geq s \geq 0.$$

In this paper, we obtain the Hölder continuity of the solution of the SPDE with reflection with respect to both the time and the space variables for general equations with multiplicative noise. Our method is a careful refinement of the approach in [4]. The idea is to consider the penalized approximating equations and prove uniform moment estimates for the solutions of the approximating equations. For regularity of solutions of other type SPDEs, we refer the readers also to [2, 10].

Let $G_t(x, y)$ be the heat kernel associated with the Laplacian operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ on $[0, 1]$ equipped with the Dirichlet boundary condition. If we let $v(t, x) = \int_0^1 G_t(x, y)u_0(y)dy$, then $u(t, x) - v(t, x)$ will solve a similar SPDE with reflection as (1.1), but with initial data (function) 0. Since the Hölder continuity of $v(t, x)$ is well understood, the study of the regularity of $u(t, x)$ is reduced to the study of $u(t, x) - v(t, x)$. Therefore, without loss of generality, in the paper we will assume $u_0 = 0$ in (1.1).

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Sect. 2, we prepare some results on the penalized approximating equations. In Sect. 3, we establish the Hölder continuity.

2 The Approximating Equations

For $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$g_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{\arctan([u \wedge 0]^2)}{\varepsilon}. \quad (2.1)$$

Consider the following penalized SPDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u^\varepsilon(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + f(u^\varepsilon(t, x)) + g_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(t, x)) + \sigma(u^\varepsilon(t, x))\dot{W}(t, x), \\ u^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = 0, \\ u^\varepsilon(t, 0) = u^\varepsilon(t, 1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

or equivalently in the mild form (see [11]):

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) f(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) ds dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) g_\varepsilon(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) ds dy \\
& + \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) W(ds, dy). \quad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $G_t(x, y)$ is the heat kernel. Fix $T > 0$ and let $Q_T = [0, T] \times [0, 1]$. For $v \in C(Q_T)$, set $\|v\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq s \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq 1} |v(s, x)|$. It was shown in [5] (see also [4]) that, for $p \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E[\|u^\varepsilon - u\|_\infty^p] = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where u is the solution to (1.1).

Notice that the function $g_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{u^-}{\varepsilon}$ was used in [6]. Our choice of g_ε does not change the limit of u^ε , but makes g_ε differentiable.

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
N^\varepsilon(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) f(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) ds dy \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) W(ds, dy). \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $v^\varepsilon(t, x) = u^\varepsilon(t, x) - N^\varepsilon(t, x)$. Then it is easy to see that v^ε satisfies the following random PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v^\varepsilon(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v^\varepsilon(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + g_\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t, x) + N^\varepsilon(t, x)), \\ v^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) = 0, \\ v^\varepsilon(t, 0) = v^\varepsilon(t, 1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.1 *For any $\alpha < 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a random variable $C_\varepsilon(\omega)$ such that*

$$|N^\varepsilon(t, x) - N^\varepsilon(s, y)| \leq C_\varepsilon(\omega) [|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}], \quad (t, x), (s, y) \in Q_T. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, for any $p \geq 1$, we have

$$\sup_\varepsilon E[C_\varepsilon^p] < \infty.$$

Proof First of all, we recall the following properties of the heat kernel $G_t(x, y)$ from [1]: for $s, t \in [0, T]$ with $s \leq t$ and $x, y \in [0, 1]$,

$$\int_0^t \int_0^1 (G_{t-r}(x, z) - G_{t-r}(y, z))^2 dr dz \leq C|x - y|, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\int_0^s \int_0^1 (G_{t-r}(y, z) - G_{s-r}(y, z))^2 dr dz \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\int_s^t \int_0^1 G_{t-r}(y, z)^2 dr dz \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.10)$$

We claim that, for any $p \geq 2$,

$$E[|N^\varepsilon(t, x) - N^\varepsilon(s, y)|^p] \leq C_p[|t - s|^{\frac{p}{4}} + |x - y|^{\frac{p}{2}}], \quad (2.11)$$

$$(t, x), (s, y) \in \mathcal{Q}_T,$$

where C_p is a constant independent of ε . Set

$$I_1^\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) f(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) ds dy, \quad (2.12)$$

$$I_2^\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_0^1 G_{t-s}(x, y) \sigma(u^\varepsilon(s, y)) W(ds, dy). \quad (2.13)$$

It suffices to show that both terms I_1^ε and I_2^ε satisfy (2.11). The term $I_2^\varepsilon(t, x)$ is the more complicated of the two, so we only consider it. By Burkholder's inequality and Hölder's inequality, for $p \geq 2$, $s \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|I_2^\varepsilon(t, x) - I_2^\varepsilon(s, y)|^p] \\ & \leq C_p E\left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(x, z) - G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, z))|^2 dr dz\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \\ & \leq C_p \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(x, z) - G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 dr dz\right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\ & \quad \times \int_0^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(x, z) - G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 E[|\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, z))|^p] dr dz \\ & \leq C_p \left(1 + \sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T, x \in [0, 1]} E[|u^\varepsilon(t, x)|^p]\right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_0^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(x, z) - G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 dr dz\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \leq C|x - y|^{\frac{p}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where (2.8) and the fact that σ is Lipschitz continuous were used. Similarly, in view of (2.9) and (2.10) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & E[|I_2^\varepsilon(t, y) - I_2^\varepsilon(s, y)|^p] \\ & \leq C_p E\left[\left(\int_0^s \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(y, z) - G_{s-r}(y, z)|^2 |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, z))|^2 dr dz\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \\ & \quad + C_p E\left[\left(\int_s^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 |\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, z))|^2 dr dz\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_p \left(\int_0^s \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(y, z) - G_{s-r}(y, z)|^2 dr dz \right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^s \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(y, z) - G_{s-r}(y, z)|^2 E[|\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, z))|^p] dr dz \\
&\quad + C_p \left(\int_s^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 dr dz \right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\
&\quad \times \int_s^t \int_0^1 |G_{t-r}(y, z)|^2 E[|\sigma(u^\varepsilon(r, z))|^p] dr dz \\
&\leq C_p |t - s|^{\frac{p}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Putting together (2.14) and (2.15), we prove (2.11) for I_2^ε . Since the constant C_p in (2.11) is independent of ε , applying a version of the Garsia's lemma proved in [3, Proposition A.1 and Corollary A.3], it follows that, for any $p > 8$, there exists a random variable $\eta_{p,\varepsilon}(\omega)$ such that

$$|N^\varepsilon(t, x) - N^\varepsilon(s, y)| \leq \eta_{p,\varepsilon}(\omega) (|t - s|^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{p}} + |x - y|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{p}}), \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\sup_\varepsilon E[\eta_{p,\varepsilon}^p] < \infty. \tag{2.17}$$

Since p can be chosen to be arbitrarily large, the lemma follows. $\square$

3 Hölder Continuity

Recall the following lemma from [4].

Lemma 3.1 *Let $V \in C^{1,2}(Q_T)$ and $\psi, F \in C(Q_T)$ with $\psi \leq 0$. Suppose that V solves the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \psi V + \psi F, \\ V_0(x) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions. Then the following estimate holds:

$$\|V\|_\infty \leq \|F\|_\infty.$$

We need the following lemma in the proof of the main result.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $f \in C^{\alpha,\beta}(Q_T)$ satisfying*

$$|f(t, x) - f(s, y)| \leq C_f (|t - s|^\alpha + |x - y|^\beta). \tag{3.2}$$

Then, for $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$, there exists $f^{\rho_1, \rho_2} \in C^\infty(Q_T)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \|f^{\rho_1, \rho_2} - f\|_\infty \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} C_f (\rho_1^\alpha + \rho_2^\beta), \\ \left\| \frac{\partial f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} C_f \frac{1}{\rho_1^{1-\alpha}}, \\ \left\| \frac{\partial f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} C_f \frac{1}{\rho_2^{1-\beta}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a constant only depending on α, β .

Proof First we extend the definition of f to $\mathbb{R}^2$ by setting

$$\bar{f}(s, y) = f(p(s, y)), \quad (3.4)$$

where $p(s, y)$ denotes the point in Q_T that is nearest (in Euclidean norm) to (s, y) . In particular, $\bar{f}(s, y) = f(s, y)$ if $(s, y) \in Q_T$. Then it is easy to see that $\bar{f}$ satisfies (3.2) with the same constant C_f . Denote by $P_u(x, y)$, $u > 0$ the Gaussian heat kernel:

$$P_u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2u}}.$$

For $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho_2 > 0$, define f^{ρ_1, ρ_2} by

$$f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) \bar{f}(s, y) ds dy. \quad (3.5)$$

We will show that f^{ρ_1, ρ_2} has the required properties. Since $P_u(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a probability density, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) - f(t, x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) [\bar{f}(s, y) - \bar{f}(t, x)] ds dy \right| \\ &\leq C_f \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) [|t - s|^\alpha + |x - y|^\beta] ds dy \\ &= C_f \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) |t - s|^\alpha ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) |x - y|^\beta dy \right] \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta} C_f [(\rho_1^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + (\rho_2^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}] \\ &= C_{\alpha, \beta} C_f [(\rho_1)^\alpha + (\rho_2)^\beta], \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

which is what we need. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) (t - s) ds = 0.$$

Differentiating f^{ρ_1, ρ_2} with respect to t we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t}(t, x) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) (t - s) P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) \bar{f}(s, y) ds dy \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s)(t-s) P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) [\bar{f}(s, y) - \bar{f}(t, y)] ds dy \right| \\
&\leq C_f \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) |t-s|^{1+\alpha} P_{\rho_2^2}(x, y) ds dy \\
&= C_f \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{\rho_1^2}(t, s) |t-s|^{1+\alpha} ds = C_f C_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\rho_1^2} (\rho_1^2)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \\
&= C_f C_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{1}{\rho_1^{1-\alpha}}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations yield the estimate for $\|\frac{\partial f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x}\|_{\infty}$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.3 *Let u be the solution of the SPDE with reflection (1.1) with $u_0 = 0$, and fix $T > 0$. Then for any $\alpha < 1$ and $p > 1$, we have the following moment estimate:*

$$\begin{aligned}
E[|u(t, x) - u(s, y)|^p] &\leq C_p [|t-s|^{\alpha \frac{p}{4}} + |x-y|^{\alpha \frac{p}{2}}], \\
(t, x), (s, y) &\in [0, T] \times [0, 1]. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, u admits a version that is Hölder $(\frac{1}{4}-, \frac{1}{2}-)$ on $[0, T] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof Fix any $\alpha < 1$ and let $N^{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ be defined as in Sect. 2. For $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$, define the smooth function $N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)$ as $f^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)$ in (3.5) replacing f by N^{ε} .

Let $v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}$ be the solution of the following random PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + g_{\varepsilon}(v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) + N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)), \\ v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(0, \cdot) = 0, \\ v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, 0) = v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, 1) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Since $g'_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq 0$, applying Lemma 3.1 (or [9, Lemma]) we conclude that

$$\|v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2} - v^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq \|N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2} - N^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}. \tag{3.10}$$

In view of Lemma 3.2, it follows from (3.10) that

$$\|v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2} - v^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} C_{\varepsilon}(\omega) [\rho_1^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} + \rho_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}], \tag{3.11}$$

where $C_\varepsilon(\omega)$ is the random variable appeared in (2.7). Introduce the following random PDEs:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + g'_\varepsilon(v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) + N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)) \\ \quad \times \left[m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) + \frac{\partial N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t}(t, x) \right], \\ m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(0, \cdot) = 0, \\ m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, 0) = m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, 1) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + g'_\varepsilon(v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) + N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)) \\ \quad \times \left[w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) + \frac{\partial N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x}(t, x) \right], \\ w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(0, \cdot) = 0, \\ w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, 0) = w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, 1) = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.13)$$

Formally differentiating $v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)$ we see that $m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) = \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t}(t, x)$ and $w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) = \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x}(t, x)$. Notice $g'_\varepsilon \leq 0$, apply Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 to obtain

$$\|m^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t} \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \frac{\partial N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t} \right\|_\infty \leq C_\alpha C_\varepsilon(\omega) \frac{1}{\rho_1^{1-\frac{\alpha}{4}}}, \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\|w^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x} \right\|_\infty \leq \left\| \frac{\partial N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x} \right\|_\infty \leq C_\alpha C_\varepsilon(\omega) \frac{1}{\rho_2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Setting $\rho_1 = |t - s|$, $\rho_2 = |x - y|$, it follows from (3.11), (3.14), and (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |v^\varepsilon(t, x) - v^\varepsilon(s, y)| \\ & \leq |v^\varepsilon(t, x) - v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x)| + |v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(t, x) - v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(s, y)| \\ & \quad + |v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}(s, y) - v^\varepsilon(s, y)| \\ & \leq 2\|N^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2} - N^\varepsilon\|_\infty + \left\| \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial t} \right\|_\infty |t - s| + \left\| \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon, \rho_1, \rho_2}}{\partial x} \right\|_\infty |x - y| \\ & \leq 2C_\alpha C_\varepsilon(\omega) \left[\rho_1^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} + \rho_2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right] + C_\alpha C_\varepsilon(\omega) \frac{1}{\rho_1^{1-\frac{\alpha}{4}}} |t - s| + C_{\alpha_0} C_\varepsilon(\omega) \frac{1}{\rho_2^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} |x - y| \\ & \leq 3C_\alpha C_\varepsilon(\omega) \left[|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|u^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(s, y)| &\leq |v^\varepsilon(t, x) - v^\varepsilon(s, y)| + |N^\varepsilon(t, x) - N^\varepsilon(s, y)| \\
&\leq (3C_\alpha + 1)C_\varepsilon(\omega)[|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}].
\end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

This yields, for $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[|u^\varepsilon(t, x) - u^\varepsilon(s, y)|^p] &\leq CE[C_\varepsilon^p][|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}p} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p}] \\
&\leq CM_p[|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}p} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p}].
\end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

By the Fatou lemma, we obtain from (3.18) that

$$E[|u(t, x) - u(s, y)|^p] \leq C_p[|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4}p} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}p}]. \quad (3.19)$$

Applying a variant of Garsia's lemma (see [3, Proposition A.1 and Corollary A.3]) we conclude that

$$|u(t, x) - u(s, y)| \leq M_p(\omega)[|t - s|^{\frac{\alpha}{4} - \frac{2}{p}} + |x - y|^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{4}{p}}]. \quad (3.20)$$

Since p can be chosen to be arbitrarily large and α to be as close to 1 as one wants, we see that u is $(\frac{1}{4}-, \frac{1}{2}-)$ Hölder. The proof is complete. $\square$

References

1. Bally, V., Millet, A., Sanz-Solé, M.: Approximation and support theorem in Hölder norm for parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. *Ann. Probab.* **23**(1), 178–222 (1995)
2. Dalang, R.C., Sanz-Solé, M.: Hölder–Sobolev regularity of the solution to the stochastic wave equation in dimension three. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **199**, 931 (2009)
3. Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D., Nualart, E.: Hitting properties for systems of non-linear stochastic heat equations with additive noise. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **3**, 231–271 (2007)
4. Dalang, R.C., Mueller, C., Zambotti, L.: Hitting properties of parabolic SPDE's with reflection. *Ann. Probab.* **34**(4), 1423–1450 (2006)
5. Donati-Martin, C., Pardoux, E.: White noise driven SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **95**, 1–24 (1993)
6. Donati-Martin, C., Pardoux, E.: EDPS réfléchies et calcul de Malliavin [SPDEs with reflection and Malliavin calculus]. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **121**(5), 405–422 (1997)
7. Funaki, T., Olla, S.: Fluctuations for $\nabla\phi$ interface model on a wall. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **94**(1), 1–27 (2001)
8. Haussmann, U.G., Pardoux, E.: Stochastic variational inequalities of parabolic type. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **20**, 163–192 (1989)
9. Nualart, D., Pardoux, E.: White noise driven by quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **93**, 77–89 (1992)
10. Sanz-Solé, M., Vuillermot, P.A.: Equivalence and Hölder–Sobolev regularity of solutions for a class of non-autonomous stochastic partial differential equations. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.* **39**(4), 703–742 (2003)
11. Walsh, J.B.: An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In: Hennequin, P.L. (ed.) *Ecole d'été de Probabilité de St Flour. Lect. Notes Math.*, vol. 1180. Springer, Berlin (1986)
12. Xu, T., Zhang, T.: White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: existence, uniqueness and large deviation principles. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **119**(10), 3453–3470 (2009)
13. Zambotti, L.: A reflected stochastic heat equation as symmetric dynamics with respect to the 3-d Bessel bridge. *J. Funct. Anal.* **180**, 195–209 (2001)
14. Zhang, T.: White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities. *Potential Anal.* **33**(2), 137–151 (2010)