Correction to: Association Between Temporal Spatial Parameters and Overuse Injury History in Runners: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Richard A. Brindle¹ · Jeffrey B. Taylor¹ · Coty Rajek¹ · Anika Weisbrod² · Kevin R. Ford¹

Published online: 19 November 2019
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Corrections to: Sports Medicine
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01207-5>

Page 1, Abstract, Results section, lines 17–20: The following sentence, which previously read:

“Healthy runners and those with a history of overuse injury had a similar average stride time (mean difference: -0.01 s, 95% CI -0.02 to 0.01 s), contact time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI 0.00 – 0.01 s), cadence (mean difference: 0.3 steps per minute (spm), 95% CI -1.8 to 2.5 spm), and stride

length (mean difference 0.00 m, 95% CI -0.04 to 0.05 m) during running”.

Should read:

“Healthy runners and those with a history of overuse injury had a similar average stride time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI -0.01 to 0.01 s), contact time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.01 s), cadence (mean difference: 0.3 steps per minute (spm), 95% CI -1.8 to 2.5 spm), and stride length (mean difference 0.00 m, 95% CI -0.05 to 0.05 m) during running”.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01207-5>.

✉ Richard A. Brindle
richard_brindle@baylor.edu

¹ Department of Physical Therapy, High Point University, High Point, North Carolina, USA

² Department of Exercise Science, High Point University, High Point, North Carolina, USA

Page 4, Figure 1: The text in the 'Records excluded' box, which previously read 'Records excluded (n = 1888)':

Should read: 'Records excluded (n = 1887)'.

Page 6, Section 3.2, column 1, lines 6–9: The following sentence, which previously read:

“Stride time and stride length meta-analyses were composed of 8 and 10 group comparisons and data from 224 and 229 individuals, respectively”.

Should read:

“Stride time and stride length meta-analyses were composed of 8 and 9 group comparisons and data from 224 and 206 individuals, respectively”.

Page 6, Section 3.2, column 1, lines 15–22: The following sentence, which previously read:

“Meta-analyses of stride time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI – 0.01 to 0.01 s), contact time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI 0.00–0.01 s), cadence (mean difference: 0.3 steps per minute (spm), 95% CI – 1.8 to 2.4 spm), and stride length (mean difference 0.00 m, 95% CI – 0.04 to 0.05 m) during running revealed no differences between the pooled injured and uninjured groups of runners ($p > 0.05$) (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5)”.

Should read:

“Meta-analyses of stride time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI – 0.01 to 0.01 s), contact time (mean difference: 0.00 s, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.01 s), cadence (mean difference: 0.3 steps per minute [spm], 95% CI – 1.8 to 2.4 spm), and stride length (mean difference 0.00 m, 95% CI – 0.05 to 0.05 m) during running revealed no differences between the pooled injured and uninjured groups of runners ($p > 0.05$) (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5)”.

Page 7, Table 2, Prospective design studies section, Bredeweg et al. [20] row. The entry in the ‘Injury’ column, which previously read:

“Lower extremity injury in last 3 months”.

Should read:

“Injury to lower extremity or back”.

Page 7, Table 2, Prospective design studies section, Bredeweg et al. [19] row. The entry in the ‘Injury’ column, which previously read:

“Lower extremity injury in last 3 months”.

Should read:

“Injury to lower extremity or back”.

Page 7, Table 2, Cross-sectional design studies, Willson et al. [30] row. The entry in the ‘TSP variables’ column, which previously read:

“Stride length, contact time”.

Should read:

“Step length, contact time”.

Page 8, column 2, Section 4.4, lines 3–5: The following sentence, which previously read:

“Stride length during running was similar between injured and uninjured groups of runners in all studies included in this meta-analysis [23, 26, 29, 30]”.

Should read:

“Stride length during running was similar between injured and uninjured groups of runners in all studies included in this meta-analysis [23, 26, 29]”.

Page 9, Figure 2: The following figure, which previously read:

Should read:

Page 9, Figure 2: The following figure caption, which previously read:

“**Fig. 2** Forest plot of stride time (s) during running”.

Should read:

“**Fig. 2** Forest plot of stride time (s) during running. *SD* standard deviation, *IV* inverse variance, *CI* confidence interval, *PS* preferred speed, *SS* standard speed”.

Page 9, Figure 3: The following figure, which previously read:

Should read:

Page 9, Figure 3: The following figure caption, which previously read:

“**Fig. 3** Forest plot of contact time (s) during running”.

Should read:

“**Fig. 3** Forest plot of contact time (s) during running. *SD* standard deviation, *IV* inverse variance, *CI* confidence interval, *PS* preferred speed, *SS* standard speed”.

Page 9, Figure 4: The following figure, which previously read:

Should read:

Page 9, Figure 4: The following figure caption, which previously read:

“**Fig. 4** Forest plot of cadence (steps per minute) during running”.

Should read:

“**Fig. 4** Forest plot of cadence (steps per minute) during running. *SD* standard deviation, *IV* inverse variance, *CI* confidence interval”.

Page 10, Figure 5: The following figure, which previously read:

Page 10, Figure 5: The following figure caption, which previously read:

“**Fig. 5** Forest plot of stride length (m) during running”.

Should read:

“**Fig. 5** Forest plot of stride length (m) during running. *SD* standard deviation, *IV* inverse variance, *CI* confidence interval, *PS* preferred speed, *SS* standard speed”.

The original article has been corrected.

Should read: