



Correction to: Motor Competence Levels and Developmental Delay in Early Childhood: A Multicenter Cross-Sectional Study Conducted in the USA

Ali Brian¹ · Adam Pennell² · Sally Taunton¹ · Angela Starrett³ · Candice Howard-Shaughnessy⁴ · Jacqueline D. Goodway⁵ · Danielle Wadsworth⁶ · Mary Rudisill⁶ · David Stodden¹

Published online: 23 July 2019
© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Correction to: Sports Medicine
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01150-5>

Page 1, Abstract, Results, sentence 1: The following sentence, which previously read:

“For both Test of Gross Motor Development, Second Edition subscales, approximately 47% of the sample qualified as at risk for developmental delay (\leq 25th percentile) while around 30% had developmental delay (\leq 5th percentile).”

should read:

“For both Test of Gross Motor Development, Second Edition subscales, approximately 77% of the entire sample qualified as at risk for developmental delay (\leq 25th percentile), while 30% of the entire sample were at or below 5th percentile.”

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01150-5>.

✉ Ali Brian
abrian@sc.edu

¹ Department of Physical Education, University of South Carolina, 1300 Wheat St, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

² Natural Science Division, Pepperdine University, Malibu, CA, USA

³ Child Development Research Center, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA

⁴ Department of Kinesiology and Health Promotion, Troy University, Troy, AL, USA

⁵ Department of Human Sciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

⁶ School of Kinesiology, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA

Page 2, Key Points, second point: The following sentence, which previously read:

“For both subscales, 47.4% of this sample scored at or below the 25th percentile while around 30% of this sample were at or below the 5th percentile, indicating a secular decline from the 2000 normative references.”

should read:

“For both subscales, 77.4% of this sample scored at or below the 25th percentile including 30% scoring at or below the 5th percentile, indicating a secular decline from the 2000 normative references.”

Page 4, section 3.1, sentences 1–3: The following three sentences, which previously read:

“Concerning DD classifications for the entire sample, 26.1%, 47.4%, and 26.5% (locomotor) and 29.9%, 47.4%, and 22.7% (object control) of participants had DD, were at risk for DD, or were not at risk for DD, respectively. Concerning all subgroups, DD classification percentages for the locomotor subscale ranged from 20–32% for the $>$ 25th percentile, 44–52% for the \leq 25th percentile, and 17–32% for the \leq 5th percentile. For the object control subscale, DD classification percentages for all subgroups ranged from 12–27% for the $>$ 25th percentile, 32–54% for the \leq 25th percentile, and 24–56% for the \leq 5th percentile (see Table 1).”

should read:

“Concerning DD classifications for the entire sample, 26.1%, 47.4%, and 26.5% (locomotor) and 29.9%, 47.4%, and 22.7% (object control) of participants demonstrated DD, were at risk for DD, or were not at risk for DD, respectively.

Concerning all subgroups, DD classification percentages for the locomotor subscale were: 20–32% of the sample above the 25th percentile, 44–52% between the 5th and 25th percentile, and 17–32% at or below the 5th percentile. For the object control subscale, 12–27% were above the 25th percentile, 32–54% were between the 5th and 25th percentile, and 24–56% were at or below the 5th percentile (see Table 1)."

Page 6, section 4, sentence 3: The following sentence, which previously read:

"Using a large and diverse sample, 47.4% of the sample qualified as at risk for DD (\leq 25th percentile) for the locomotor or object control subscales, while around \approx 25–30% of the sample were found to have DD (\leq 5th percentile) for the locomotor and object control subscales."

should read:

"Using a large and diverse sample, approximately 77% of the sample were at risk for DD (\leq 25th percentile) for

the locomotor or object control subscales, which includes 25–30% of the sample being at or below the 5th percentile."

Page 8, section 5, paragraph 1, sentence 2: The following sentence, which previously read:

"If \approx 45–50% of young children are \leq 25th percentile and \approx 25–30% are \leq 5th percentile, does *time* of data collection matter (e.g., decade to decade)?"

should read:

"If \approx 77% of young children are \leq 25th percentile including \approx 25–30% below the \leq 5th percentile, does *time* of data collection matter (e.g., decade to decade)?"

The original article has been corrected.