Erratum to: Cost Effectiveness of Guanfacine Extended-Release versus Atomoxetine for the Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Application of a Matching-Adjusted Indirect Comparison

M. Haim Erder · Jipan Xie · James E. Signorovitch ·
Kristina S. Chen · Paul Hodgkins · Mei Lu ·
Eric Q. Wu · Vanja Sikirica

Published online: 21 March 2013
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2013

Erratum to: Appl Health Econ Health Policy (2012)
10(6):381–395
DOI 10.2165/11641870-000000000-00000

The authors have alerted us to the following errors:

Page 382, abstract, paragraph 2, lines 2–3: The text, which previously read:

“patients receiving GXR at the dose of 0.09–0.12 (p = 0.0009)”
should read:

“patients receiving GXR at the dose of 0.09–0.12 (p = 0.0016)”

Page 386, Table I, ‘ADHD-RS-IV score change and response rate’ section, ‘Response rate’ subsection, ‘GXR 0.075–0.09 mg/kg/day’ row: The cell entry in the ‘95% Confidence interval’ column, which previously read:

“63.3, 90.3”
should read:
“63.3, 90.9”

Page 387, Table I, ‘Weekly rate of treatment discontinuation during maintenance (assuming constant hazard)’ section, ‘GXR’ row: The first line of the cell entry in the ‘Source’ column, which previously read:
“Biederman et al.,^[9] 2008; Sallee et al.,^[10] 2009”
should read:

“Biederman et al.,^[35] 2008; Sallee et al.,^[36] 2009”

Page 387, Table I, ‘Drug cost’ section, ‘ATX’ subsection, ‘Unit cost (cost per pill)’ row: The third line of the cell entry in the ‘Source’ column, which previously read:
“(data on file, Shire Pharmaceuticals, Inc.^[33])”
should read:

“(data on file, Shire Development LLC^[37])”

Page 390, Table III, ‘Baseline outcomes, mean (SD)’ section, ‘Inattention subscale score’ row: The cell entries in columns 3, 5, 7 and 8, which previously read:
“16.9 (4.0)”
should read:
“22.2 (4.0)”

Page 390, Table III, ‘Baseline outcomes, mean (SD)’ section, ‘Hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale score’ row: The cell entries in columns 3, 5, 7 and 8, which previously read:
“22.2 (7.1)”
should read:
“16.9 (7.1)”

Page 390, Table III, ‘Baseline outcomes, mean (SD)’ section, ‘Inattention subscale score’ row and ‘Hyperactivity/impulsivity’ row: The cell entries in columns 2, 4 and 6 of these two rows, which previously included asterisks, should not have had any asterisks (because the comparisons were not significant).

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.2165/11641870-000000000-00000](https://doi.org/10.2165/11641870-000000000-00000).

M. H. Erder · P. Hodgkins · V. Sikirica (✉)
Shire Development LLC, 725 Chesterbrook Boulevard,
Wayne, PA 19087, USA
e-mail: vsikirica@shire.com

J. Xie · J. E. Signorovitch · K. S. Chen · M. Lu · E. Q. Wu
Analysis Group, Inc., Boston, MA, USA