ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Causal Associations Between Tobacco, Alcohol Use and Risk of Infectious Diseases: A Mendelian Randomization Study

Hongxiang Zhu · Xiaohui Zhan · Congjie Wang · Yuying Deng · Xiaoping Li · Linru Song · Lingyan Zhao

Received: December 15, 2022 / Accepted: February 8, 2023 / Published online: March 2, 2023 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Author(s) 2023

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The causal effects of smoking and alcohol use on the risk of infectious diseases are unclear, and it is hard investigate them in an observational study due to the potential confounding factors. The aim of this study was to use Mendelian randomization (MR) techniques to assess the causalities between smoking, alcohol use and risk of infectious diseases.

Methods: Univariable and multivariable MR analyses were performed using genome-wide association data for the age of initiation of regular smoking (AgeSmk, N = 341,427), smoking initiation (SmkInit, N = 1,232,091), cigarettes per day (CigDay, N = 337,334), lifetime

Hongxiang Zhu and Xiaohui Zhan contributed equally.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-023-00775-4.

H. Zhu

Department of Emergency, Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Yantai, China

X. Zhan Department of Critical Care Medicine, Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Yantai, China

C. Wang \cdot Y. Deng \cdot X. Li Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Yantai, China

smoking (LifSmk, N = 462,690), drinks per week (DrnkWk, N = 941,280), sepsis (N = 486,484), pneumonia (N = 486,484), upper respiratory tract infection (URTI, N = 486,484) and urinary tract infection (UTI, N = 486,214) among individuals of European ancestry. Independent genetic variants that were significantly ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) associated with each exposure were considered as instruments. The inversevariance-weighted method was used in the primary analysis, which was followed by a series of sensitivity analyses.

Results: Genetically predicted SmkInit was associated with an increased risk of sepsis (OR 1.353, 95% CI 1.079–1.696, P = 0.009), pneumonia (OR 1.770, 95% CI 1.464–2.141, $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-9}$) and UTI (OR 1.445, 95% CI 1.184–1.764, $P = 3 \times 10^{-4}$). Moreover, genetically predicted CigDay was associated with a higher risk of sepsis (OR 1.403, 95% CI 1.037–1.898, P = 0.028) and pneumonia (OR

L. Zhao (⊠) Department of Nursing, Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Yantai, China e-mail: Lingyanzhao@qdu.edu.cn; lingyanzhao11@126.com

L. Song (🖂) Respiratory Intensive Care Unit, Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Yantai, China e-mail: songlinru7185@163.com

1.501, 95% CI 1.167–1.930, P = 0.00156). Furthermore, genetically predicted LifSmk was associated with an increased risk of sepsis (OR 2.200, 95% CI 1.583–3.057, $P = 2.63 \times 10^{-6}$), pneumonia (OR 3.462, 95% CI 2.798–4.285, $P = 3.28 \times 10^{-30}$), URTI (OR 2.523, 95% CI 1.315–4.841, P = 0.005) and UTI (OR 2.036, 95% CI 1.585–2.616, $P = 3.0 \times 10^{-8}$). However, there was no significant causal evidence for genetically predicted DrnkWk in sepsis, pneumonia, URTI or UTI. Multivariable MR analyses and sensitivity analyses showed that the above results for causal association estimations were robust.

Conclusion: In this MR study, we demonstrated the causal association between tobacco smoking and risk of infectious diseases. However, no evidence was found to support causality between alcohol use and the risk of infectious diseases.

Keywords: Tobacco; Alcohol use; Infectious disease; Mendelian randomization; Causal relationship

Key Summary Points

Why carry out this study?

A large number of observational studies have described the links between tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and the risk of infectious diseases. However, it is difficult to determine their causalities because these observational results are inevitably affected by potential confounding effects and reverse causation.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a useful tool that could provide unconfounded effect estimates and overcome the limitations of observational studies by utilizing genetic variants as instrumental variables. The aim of this study was to employ MR methods to determine the potential causal effects of smoking and alcohol use on the risk of common infectious diseases, including sepsis, pneumonia, upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) and urinary tract infection (UTI).

What was learned from the study?

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large-scale MR analysis to investigate the causal effects between smoking, alcohol use and risk of infectious diseases.

Genetically predicted smoking initiation, cigarettes per day and lifetime smoking were associated with an increased risk of sepsis and pneumonia. However, no evidence was found to support a causal effect of genetically predicted alcohol use on the risk of infectious diseases.

Our findings provide a better understanding of the role of smoking and alcohol use in infectious diseases, and indicate that tobacco smoking may be an independent risk factor for infections.

INTRODUCTION

Infections are a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, affecting approximately one-fourteenth of the global population between 2009 and 2013, and thus contributing to the global disease burden [1]. In their most serious presentations, infections can progress rapidly into sepsis, multi-organ failure and even death [2]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify potential risk factors for infections and thus improve global public health.

Tobacco and alcohol consumption are among the most important public health concerns. A recent survey concluded that approximately 18.4% of the adult population had at least one occasion of heavy episodic alcohol use in the past month, and nearly one in seven adults engage in daily tobacco smoking [3]. A large number of observational studies have suggested that tobacco smoking and alcohol use are associated with an increased risk of a variety of infectious diseases, including sepsis, septic shock and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [4–9]. However, the causal direction between smoking and alcohol use and the risk of infections remains uncertain because these observational results are inevitably affected by other potential confounding effects and reverse causation.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a popular tool that can provide unconfounded effect estimates and overcome the limitations of observational studies by utilizing genetic variants as instrumental variables. Given that the rationale behind the design of MR is that the genetic variants are assigned randomly at conception, the result of MR is less likely to be affected by confounding or reverse causation than conventional observational studies. Additionally, a recent study has successfully employed the MR method to investigate the causal effects between lifetime smoking and schizophrenia and depression [10]. To our knowledge, up to now, there has been a lack of evidence to support the causal effects between smoking, alcohol use and risk of infectious diseases.

In the current study, we aimed to employ MR methods to determine the potential causal effects of smoking and alcohol use on the risk of four common infectious diseases: sepsis, pneumonia, upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) and urinary tract infection (UTI). We hypothesized that there would be a directional causal effect between tobacco smoking, alcohol use and risk of infectious diseases.

METHODS

Study Design

We conducted MR analysis based on the publicly available summary-level data from genome-wide relationship studies (GWASs) to evaluate the causal relationships between smoking, alcohol use and risk of infectious diseases. In order to perform MR analysis, the following critical assumptions were made in this study: (1) instrumental variables were strongly associated with exposure; (2) instrumental variables were independent of confounders of exposure and outcome; and (3) instrumental variables affected outcome only via exposure [11].

All original studies obtained ethical approval and informed consent from the participants. The data for this study were anonymous and available in the public domain, and therefore the requirement for ethical approval and informed consent in this study was waived. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki [12]. Meanwhile, the results of this study were reported in adherence to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Using Mendelian Randomization (STROBE-MR) guidance from 2021 [13].

GWAS Summary Data for Tobacco and Alcohol Use

Genetic association estimates of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with smoking and alcohol use were obtained from the GWAS and Sequencing Consortium of Alcohol and Nicotine Use (GSCAN) and the UK Biobank [10, 14]. Four smoking phenotypes and one alcohol-related phenotype were included in our study: smoking initiation [including the age of initiation of regular smoking (AgeSmk, N = 341,427) and a binary phenotype indicating whether an individual had ever smoked regularly (SmkInit, N = 1,232,091), cigarettes per day (CigDay, N = 337,334), lifetime smoking (LifSmk. N = 462,690) and drinks per week (DrnkWk, N = 941,280). The definition of each phenotype is listed in the Supplementary Note.

GWAS Summary Data for Infectious Diseases

Genetic determinants of sepsis [ieu-b-4980, N = 486,484 (including 11,643 cases and 474,841 control subjects)], pneumonia [ieu-b-4976, N = 486,484 (including 22,567 cases and

Trait Data source		Year	Population	Gender	Sample size
Exposures					
AgeSmk	GSCAN	2019	European	Males and females	341,427
SmkInit	GSCAN	2019	European	Males and females	1,232,091
CigDay	GSCAN	2019	European	Males and females	337,334
LifSmk	UK Biobank	2019	European	Males and females	462,690
DrnkWk	GSCAN	2019	European	Males and females	941,280
Outcomes					
Sepsis	UK Biobank	2021	European	Males and females	486,484
Pneumonia	UK Biobank	2021	European	Males and females	486,484
URTI	UK Biobank	2021	European	Males and females	486,484
UTI	UK Biobank	2021	European	Males and females	486,214
Confounders					
Education	SSGAC	2016	European	Males and females	293 723
BMI	GIANT	2015	European	Males and females	339,224

Table 1 Characteristics of the data used in this study

AgeSmk age of initiation of regular smoking, SmkInit smoking initiation, CigDay cigarettes per day, LifSmk, lifetime smoking, DrnkWk drinks per week, URTI upper respiratory tract infections, UTI urinary tract infections, BMI body mass index, GSCAN GWAS and Sequencing Consortium of Alcohol and Nicotine Use, SSGAC Social Science Genetic Association Consortium, GIANT Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits Consortium

463,917 control subjects)], URTI [ieu-b-5063, N = 486,484 (including 2795 cases and 483,689 control subjects)] and UTI [ieu-b-5065, N = 486,214 (including 21,958 cases and 464,256 control subjects)] were obtained from summary-level GWAS results in the UK Biobank, publicly available in the Integrative Epidemiologic Unit (IEU) GWAS database (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/). In brief, the UK Biobank is a large, prospective cohort study with more than 500,000 participants from the United Kingdom [15]. Table 1 summarizes the data sources, years, population, gender and sample size in these GWASs.

Selection of Genetic Instruments

Following the procedure of Chen et al. [16], for this study, we selected eligible genetic instrumental variables as follows: (1) the SNPs associated with each exposure (AgeSmk, SmkInit, CigDay, LifSmk and DrnkWk) reached a genome-wide significance threshold ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$): (2) to avoid linkage disequilibrium, we performed the clumping procedure with $R^2 < 0.001$ and a clumping window of > 10,000 kb; (3) we excluded SNPs that were with significantly associated outcome $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$; and (4) we included SNPs with F statistics > 10, which indicated that the genetic variants had relatively strong estimated effects. Furthermore, the *F* statistics for each SNP were following calculated bv the equation: $F = R^2 \times (N - 2)/(1 - R^2)$. The main information for the SNPs, including effect allele, other allele, beta, standard error, and P value, were collected systematically for further analysis.

Mendelian Randomization Analysis

In the present study, we employed five complementary approaches to estimate the causal effects of tobacco smoking and alcohol use on the risk of infectious diseases, including the random-effects inverse variance weighted (IVW), MR-Egger regression, weighted median, simple mode and weighted mode methods. Among the five methods, IVW was used as the main analysis to evaluate the causal associations between exposures and outcomes, because it is the most widely used of the methods in MR studies and could provide the most precise results when all selected SNPs were valid instrumental variables. The other four approaches were utilized as additional methods for MR analysis.

Education and obesity were recently identified as major confounding factors of the smoking–infections relationship [17, 18]. Consequently, we performed multivariable MR analysis using the multivariable IVW method to adjust for education and body mass index (BMI) when assessing the independent causal effects of the tobacco smoking and alcohol use on the risk of infectious diseases.

Sensitivity Analyses

In this study, sensitivity analyses comprised tests for heterogeneity and genetic pleiotropy, leave-one-out analysis and a funnel plot. First, to estimate the heterogeneity of the IVW approach, we calculated the Cochran's Q statistic; the P value of Cochran's Q test was used to test for heterogeneity (a P value of less than 0.05 indicated heterogeneity). Second, to estimate the genetic pleiotropy, we employed the intercept from MR-Egger regression to examine the horizontal pleiotropy (a P value of less than 0.05 indicated horizontal pleiotropy). Third, we conducted leave-one-out analysis by removing each SNP and testing the remaining SNPs; this could be used to detect outliers. Fourth, we applied a funnel plot to conduct a visual inspection for asymmetry, which may be an indication of violations of the MR assumption via horizontal pleiotropy.

Statistical Analysis

Causal estimates were displayed as an odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). We used the Bonferroni method to correct multiple testing, and a P value of less than 0.0025 ($\alpha = 0.05/20$ outcomes) was considered statistically significant whilst a P value of less than 0.05 was regarded as nominally significant. The scatter plot, leave-one-out plot, funnel plot and all statistical analysis performed in this study were conducted using the "TwoSampleMR" package (https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSample "MendelianRandomization" MR/) and the package (https://cran.r-project.org/package= MendelianRandomization) in R (version 3.6.1[®] Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Genetic Instruments

Following the instrument selection steps, nine index SNPs were selected to genetically predict AgeSmk, 154 index SNPs were used to genetically predict SmkInit, 32 index SNPs were chosen to genetically predict CigDay, 108 index SNPs were selected to genetically predict LifSmk, and 72 index SNPs were chosen to genetically predict DrnkWk. The *F* statistics for these genetic instruments were all over 10, suggesting that no weak instruments were employed. An overview of the instrumental variables included in each MR analysis is provided in Supplementary Tables S1–S5.

Univariable Mendelian Randomization Analysis

The MR analysis estimates from different methods for the causal effects of tobacco smoking and alcohol use on risk of infectious diseases are presented in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. More specifically, genetically predicted SmkInit was suggestively associated with a higher risk of sepsis (OR 1.353, 95% CI 1.079–1.696, P = 0.009), pneumonia (OR 1.770, 95% CI

1.464–2.141, $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-9}$) and UTI (OR 1.445. 95% CI 1.184–1.764. $P = 3 \times 10^{-4}$), but not with a higher risk of URTI (OR 1.544, 95%) CI 0.889–2.683, *P* = 0.123). In addition, genetically predicted CigDay was associated with a higher risk of sepsis (OR 1.403, 95% CI 1.037-1.898, P = 0.028) and pneumonia (OR 1.501, 95% CI 1.167–1.930, P = 0.00156), but not with a higher risk of URTI (OR 0.774, 95%) CI 0.418–1.432, *P* = 0.414) and UTI (OR 1.207, 95% CI 0.901–1.617, P = 0.207). Moreover, genetically predicted LifSmk was associated with an increased risk of sepsis (OR 2.200, 95%) CI 1.583–3.057, $P = 2.63 \times 10^{-6}$), pneumonia (OR 3.462. 95% CI 2.798-4.285, $P = 3.28 \times 10^{-30}$), URTI (OR 2.523, 95% CI 1.315–4.841, P = 0.005) and UTI (OR 2.036, 95% CI 1.585–2.616, $P = 3.0 \times 10^{-8}$). Furthermore, no evidence was found to support causal effects between AgeSmk, DrnkWk and the risk of sepsis (OR 0.700, 95% CI 0.381–1.284, P = 0.249; OR 0.994, 95% CI 0.752–1.315, P = 0.969; respectively), pneumonia (OR 0.632, 95% CI 0.388–1.063, P = 0.086; OR 0.961, 95% CI 0.760–1.216, P = 0.739; respectively), URTI (OR 0.894, 95% CI 0.261–3.068, P = 0.859; OR 0.940, 95% CI 0.541–1.633, P = 0.827; respectively) and UTI (OR 0.665, 95% CI 0.373–1.186, P = 0.167; OR 1.011, 95% CI 0.802–1.274, P = 0.926; respectively). The scatter plots for univariable MR analyses are presented in Supplementary Figs. S1–S5.

Multivariable Mendelian Randomization Analysis

Exposure	Outcomes	Methods	SNPs							OR (95% CI)	P.value
AgeSmk	Sepsis				1						
		MR Egger	9	_	+ -				→	1.720 (0.001 to 2448.405)	0.888
		Weighted median	9	-						0.998 (0.446 to 2.231)	0.996
		Inverse variance weighted	9	-	Ļ.					0.700 (0.381 to 1.284)	0.249
		Simple mode	9		-			-		1.086 (0.326 to 3.622)	0.896
		Weighted mode	9	-	-					1.157 (0.384 to 3.480)	0.802
AgeSmk	Pneumonia										
		MR Egger	9	-	+				\rightarrow	0.179 (0.001 to 24.661)	0.515
		Weighted median	9	-	Ļ.					0.677 (0.380 to 1.208)	0.187
		Inverse variance weighted	9	-	H					0.632 (0.388 to 1.063)	0.086
		Simple mode	9	-1	-					0.729 (0.314 to 1.692)	0.483
		Weighted mode	9	-						0.736 (0.330 to 1.643)	0.476
AgeSmk	URTI				1						
		MR Egger	9	•—	+				\rightarrow	0.001 (0.000 to 575.383)	0.324
		Weighted median	9	_					→	1.503 (0.274 to 8.250)	0.639
		Inverse variance weighted	9		-					0.894 (0.261 to 3.068)	0.859
		Simple mode	9			-			→	2.196 (0.141 to 34.170)	0.59
		Weighted mode	9		+	-			\rightarrow	2.239 (0.136 to 36.862)	0.588
AgeSmk	UTI										
		MR Egger	9		+				\rightarrow	18.953 (0.029 to 12549.636)	0.404
		Weighted median	9	-	-					0.729 (0.382 to 1.391)	0.338
		Inverse variance weighted	9	-	Ļ.					0.665 (0.373 to 1.186)	0.167
		Simple mode	9		-		-			0.909 (0.290 to 2.848)	0.874
		Weighted mode	9	0	1 1	 2	 3	4	5	1.026 (0.352 to 2.995)	0.963

The results of the multivariate MR analysis after adjusting for education and BMI are shown in

Fig. 1 Univariable MR estimates for the causal effects of AgeSmk on the risk of infectious diseases. *MR* Mendelian randomization, *AgeSmk* age of initiation of regular

smoking, *URTI* upper respiratory tract infections, *UTI* urinary tract infections, *SNP* single nucleotide polymorphism, *OR* odds ratio

Exposure	Outcomes	Methods	SNPs		OR (95% CI)	P.value
SmkInit	Sepsis					
		MR Egger	101		- 1.610 (0.545 to 4.757)	0.391
		Weighted median	101		1.203 (0.865 to 1.674)	0.272
		Inverse variance weighted	101	- B -	1.353 (1.079 to 1.696)	0.009
		Simple mode	101		1.182 (0.493 to 2.832)	0.709
		Weighted mode	101	_	0.954 (0.428 to 2.124)	0.908
SmkInit	Pneumonia					
		MR Egger	101	·	→ 3.809 (1.544 to 9.394)	0.005
		Weighted median	101		1.772 (1.362 to 2.305)	2e-05
		Inverse variance weighted	101		1.770 (1.464 to 2.141)	3.8e-09
		Simple mode	101		2.065 (1.057 to 4.037)	0.036
		Weighted mode	101	—	1.882 (1.080 to 3.280)	0.028
SmkInit	URTI					
		MR Egger	101	-	0.194 (0.014 to 2.686)	0.224
		Weighted median	101		1.764 (0.865 to 3.598)	0.119
		Inverse variance weighted	101	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.544 (0.889 to 2.683)	0.123
		Simple mode	101		→ 3.895 (0.418 to 36.266)	0.235
		Weighted mode	101		→ 3.285 (0.468 to 23.043)	0.234
SmkInit	UTI					
		MR Egger	101		1.459 (0.558 to 3.814)	0.443
		Weighted median	101		1.304 (1.001 to 1.699)	0.049
		Inverse variance weighted	101	-∎-	1.445 (1.184 to 1.764)	3e-04
		Simple mode	101		1.124 (0.461 to 2.740)	0.797
		Weighted mode	101		0.905 (0.474 to 1.729)	0.764

Fig. 2 Univariable MR estimates for causal effects of SmkInit on the risk of infectious diseases. *MR* Mendelian randomization, *SmkInit* smoking initiation, *URTI* upper

Supplementary Table S7. The following significantly causal relationships were identified: SmkInit and sepsis pneumonia (OR 1.328, 95% CI 1.083–1.657, *P* = 0.001), SmkInit and pneumonia (OR 1.731, 95% CI 1.429-2.084, $P = 6.7 \times 10^{-8}$), SmkInit and UTI (OR 1.329, 95% CI 1.170–1.749, $P = 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$), CigDay and sepsis (OR 1.518, 95% CI 1.089-2.643, P = 0.001), CigDay and pneumonia (OR 1.537, 95% CI 1.204–2.197, $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$), LifSmk and sepsis (OR 2.055, 95% CI 1.438-2.741, $P = 3.7 \times 10^{-5}$), LifSmk and pneumonia (OR 3.207, 95% CI 2.481–4.087, $P = 9.8 \times 10^{-26}$), LifSmk and URTI (OR 2.251, 95% CI 1.362–4.199, P = 0.002), LifSmk and UTI (OR 1.948, 95% CI 1.406–2.330, $P = 7.5 \times 10^{-7}$).

respiratory tract infections, UTI urinary tract infections, SNP single nucleotide polymorphism, OR odds ratio

Sensitivity Analyses

To assess the robustness of our findings, a series of sensitivity analyses were conducted, including Cochran's Q test, the MR-Egger intercept test, leave-one-out analysis and a funnel plot. Supplementary Table S6 displays the results of the MR-Egger intercept test and Cochran's Q test. No horizontal pleiotropy existed between instrumental variables and outcomes (all P values of the MR-Egger intercept tests were more than 0.05). However, heterogeneity was observed in the Cochran's Q test analysis between SmkInit and risk of pneumonia (Q = 134.52, P = 0.012), SmkInit and risk of URTI (Q = 143.85, P = 0.003), SmkInit and risk of UTI (Q = 143.59, P = 0.003), CigDay and risk

Exposure	Outcomes	Methods	SNPs		OR (95% CI)	P.value
CigDay	Sepsis					
		MR Egger	32		1.474 (0.499 to 4.356)	0.488
		Weighted median	32		1.431 (0.941 to 2.175)	0.094
		Inverse variance weighted	32		1.403 (1.037 to 1.898)	0.028
		Simple mode	32		1.375 (0.598 to 3.158)	0.459
		Weighted mode	32	÷	1.566 (0.788 to 3.111)	0.21
CigDay	Pneumonia					
		MR Egger	32		0.813 (0.340 to 1.944)	0.646
		Weighted median	32	-	1.288 (0.943 to 1.758)	0.112
		Inverse variance weighted	32		1.501 (1.167 to 1.930)	0.00156
		Simple mode	32		1.296 (0.680 to 2.471)	0.437
		Weighted mode	32	- -	1.222 (0.815 to 1.833)	0.339
CigDay	URTI					
		MR Egger	32	-	0.769 (0.085 to 6.984)	0.817
		Weighted median	32		0.760 (0.322 to 1.790)	0.53
		Inverse variance weighted	32		0.774 (0.418 to 1.432)	0.414
		Simple mode	32		0.620 (0.114 to 3.365)	0.583
		Weighted mode	32		0.587 (0.146 to 2.367)	0.46
CigDay	UTI					
		MR Egger	32		0.809 (0.287 to 2.281)	0.691
		Weighted median	32	-	1.150 (0.826 to 1.602)	0.406
		Inverse variance weighted	32		1.207 (0.901 to 1.617)	0.207
		Simple mode	32		1.152 (0.614 to 2.159)	0.663
		Weighted mode	32		1.108 (0.707 to 1.737)	0.657

Fig. 3 Univariable MR estimates for causal effects of CigDay on the risk of infectious diseases. *MR* Mendelian randomization, *CigDay* cigarettes per day, *URTI* upper

of pneumonia (Q = 46.16, P = 0.039), CigDay and risk of UTI (Q = 60.54, P = 0.001), LifSmk and risk of sepsis (Q = 129.98, P = 0.017), LifSmk and risk of URTI (Q = 122.64, P = 0.047), LifSmk and risk of UTI (Q = 139.99, P = 0.004), DrnkWk and risk of pneumonia (Q = 105.29, P = 0.004), and DrnkWk and risk of UTI (Q = 98.80, P = 0.013). Although heterogeneity was detected in the above results, it did not invalidate the MR estimates because we used the random-effect IVW method as the primary analysis, which can balance the pooled heterogeneity. Additionally, leave-one-out analysis indicated that the causal estimates of tobacco smoking, alcohol use and the risk of infectious diseases were not driven by any single SNP (Supplementary Figs. S6–S10). Lastly, the funnel plots for MR analysis showed that the data

respiratory tract infections, UTI urinary tract infections, SNP single nucleotide polymorphism, OR odds ratio

points were equally distributed around the funnel, indicating that no substantial asymmetry existed (Supplementary Figs. S11–S15).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large-scale MR analysis to investigate the causal effects between smoking, alcohol use and risk of infectious diseases. Our study indicated that genetically predicted SmkInit, CigDay and LifSmk were associated with an increased risk of sepsis and pneumonia. Furthermore, no evidence was found to support an association between alcohol use and the risk of infectious diseases. Taken together, our findings provided a better understanding of the role of tobacco

Exposure	Outcomes	Methods	SNPs		OR (95% CI)	P.value
LifSmk	Sepsis					
		MR Egger	99		• 3.105 (0.797 to 12.103)	0.106
		Weighted median	99		1.779 (1.137 to 2.783)	0.012
		Inverse variance weighted	99		2.200 (1.583 to 3.057)	2.63e-06
		Simple mode	99		1.790 (0.609 to 5.263)	0.293
		Weighted mode	99		1.712 (0.671 to 4.369)	0.263
LifSmk	Pneumonia					
		MR Egger	99		4.104 (1.700 to 9.912)	0.002
		Weighted median	99		3.638 (2.664 to 4.968)	4.58e-16
		Inverse variance weighted	99	-#-	3.462 (2.798 to 4.285)	3.28e-30
		Simple mode	99		4.186 (1.858 to 9.431)	8e-04
		Weighted mode	99		4.052 (1.858 to 8.837)	7e-04
LifSmk	URTI					
		MR Egger	99		• 1.669 (0.112 to 24.791)	0.71
		Weighted median	99		2.127 (0.823 to 5.500)	0.119
		Inverse variance weighted	99		2.523 (1.315 to 4.841)	0.005
		Simple mode	99		• 1.117 (0.080 to 15.582)	0.934
		Weighted mode	99		• 1.064 (0.081 to 14.009)	0.962
LifSmk	UTI					
		MR Egger	99		1.736 (0.615 to 4.897)	0.299
		Weighted median	99		2.149 (1.531 to 3.016)	9.76e-06
		Inverse variance weighted	99	- 	2.036 (1.585 to 2.616)	3e-08
		Simple mode	99		3.403 (1.245 to 9.304)	0.019
		Weighted mode	99	——	2.636 (1.243 to 5.590)	0.013

Fig. 4 Univariable MR estimates for causal effects of LifSmk on the risk of infectious diseases. *MR* Mendelian randomization, *LifSmk* lifetime smoking, *URTI* upper

smoking and alcohol use in infectious diseases, indicating that reducing the number of cigarettes smoked may have beneficial health effects.

Tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption are the most common co-abused drugs globally. There are a large number of observational studies that describe the links between tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and the risk of various infectious diseases [6, 7, 19-22]. For instance, a prospective cohort study conducted by Calfee et al. [6] demonstrated that cigarette smoking was associated with an increased risk of developing ARDS in sepsis, independent of other ARDS predictors, including alcohol abuse, diabetes, and severity of illness. Another retrospective cohort study including 11,651 adult admissions reported that alcohol dependence is independently associated with sepsis (12.9% vs. 7.6%, P < 0.001) and septic shock (3.6% vs.

respiratory tract infections, UTI urinary tract infections, SNP single nucleotide polymorphism, OR odds ratio

2.1%, P = 0.001) [19]. Additionally, the latest meta-analysis, which included 17 observational studies, also reported that high alcohol consumption increases the risk of ARDS [23]. Despite the large amount of evidence from observational studies showing that tobacco smoking and alcohol consumption are associated with increased risk of infectious diseases, it is difficult to determine their causalities.

Different from conventional observational studies, MR analysis can prevent the possible effects of confounding factors and reverse causality by applying genetic variation to assess causal associations with outcomes. This technique utilizes available GWAS studies to screen for candidate genetic instrumental variables to use as robust proxies for modifiable exposures. Notably, the genetic variables are not associated with confounders nor subject to reverse

Exposure	Outcomes	Methods	SNPs			OR (95% CI)	P.value
DrnkWk	Sepsis						
		MR Egger	71			1.358 (0.520 to 3.546)	0.534
		Weighted median	71			0.945 (0.634 to 1.408)	0.78
		Inverse variance weighted	71	- # -		0.994 (0.752 to 1.315)	0.969
		Simple mode	71			1.480 (0.591 to 3.708)	0.405
		Weighted mode	71			1.234 (0.590 to 2.579)	0.578
DrnkWk	Pneumonia						
		MR Egger	71			0.752 (0.335 to 1.689)	0.493
		Weighted median	71			0.842 (0.618 to 1.148)	0.277
		Inverse variance weighted	71			0.961 (0.760 to 1.216)	0.739
		Simple mode	71			0.859 (0.448 to 1.646)	0.647
		Weighted mode	71			0.822 (0.527 to 1.282)	0.39
DrnkWk	URTI						
		MR Egger	71	-		0.488 (0.073 to 3.257)	0.461
		Weighted median	71			0.727 (0.320 to 1.652)	0.446
		Inverse variance weighted	71	-		0.940 (0.541 to 1.633)	0.827
		Simple mode	71	-		0.319 (0.045 to 2.267)	0.257
		Weighted mode	71			0.437 (0.105 to 1.823)	0.26
DrnkWk	UTI						
		MR Egger	71			1.540 (0.698 to 3.396)	0.289
		Weighted median	71			0.947 (0.695 to 1.290)	0.729
		Inverse variance weighted	71	.		1.011 (0.802 to 1.274)	0.926
		Simple mode	71			0.799 (0.367 to 1.737)	0.572
		Weighted mode	71		3 4	0.867 (0.511 to 1.471)	0.598

Fig. 5 Univariable MR estimates for causal effects of DrnkWk on the risk of infectious diseases. *MR* Mendelian randomization, *DrnkWk* drinks per week, *URTI* upper

causation because they are randomly distributed at the time of gametogenesis. Therefore, MR analysis may be considered conceptually equivalent to randomized controlled trials, which are less susceptible to confounding or reverse causality than traditional observational studies. Taken together, MR is a powerful and effective tool to evaluate the causal relationship between variable and outcome.

Even the underlying mechanism for the influence of tobacco smoking on the risk of sepsis and pneumonia is not fully understood. There are several possible reasons that may explain these causalities. (1) Tobacco smoking increases the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin 6, which may result in a higher

respiratory tract infections, UTI urinary tract infections, SNP single nucleotide polymorphism, OR odds ratio

risk of infectious diseases [24]. (2) Potentially toxic substances in tobacco smoking can damage the vascular endothelial cells, thus increasing susceptibility to many infectious diseases [5]. (3) Smokers are usually associated with worse health habits, such as less vaccination uptake [5]. Other possible explanations for the association between alcohol consumption and risk of infectious diseases could be glutathione depletion, Toll-like receptor upregulation and impairment of macrophage function [23, 25, 26].

Our findings have important implications for tobacco product regulation. In traditional economic models, the causal relationship between tobacco smoking and the risk of infectious diseases is not well represented. Additionally, the inclusion of immediate changes in disease burden may have a substantial effect on the discounted present value of reductions in smoking [6]. The findings in this study also indicate that clinicians may monitor the risk of infectious diseases in smoking patients because they bear the burden of increased risk of a variety of infectious diseases due to tobacco smoking.

The current study has several strengths. First, the sample size was large, allowing us to gain more precise estimates and detect slight statistical differences. Second, univariable and multivariable MR methods were applied to explore the causal associations, and these methods tend to be less biased than conventional observational studies. Third, the MR method took advantage of GWAS summary data on tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and risk of infectious diseases that were derived from two independent populations. Fourth, we used multiple tobacco smoking variables, including AgeSmk, SmkInit, CigDay and LifSmk, which enabled us to evaluate various dimensions of tobacco smoking and identify possible causalities of tobacco smoking and risk of infectious diseases.

However, there are several limitations of this study. First, our findings rested on data from GWASs that were only performed in individuals of European ancestry, with a lack of ancestral and cultural diversity. Therefore, it is uncertain whether these results could be generalized to other ethnic groups. However, the uniformity of the participants ensures a minimal risk of confounding by population admixture. Second, we detected heterogeneity in certain results. However, the existence of heterogeneity did not invalidate the MR estimates because the random-effect IVW was used as the primary analysis in this study, which can balance the pooled heterogeneity. Third, we only provided evidence from genetic levels; there was a lack of additional mediator analysis and observation studies to further confirm the specific regulation mechanisms involved in the causal effects between tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and the risk of infectious diseases. Thus, further studies are need to to confirm our findings.

CONCLUSION

In this MR study, we demonstrated the causal associations between tobacco smoking and risk of infectious diseases. However, no evidence was found to support the causality between alcohol use and the risk of infectious diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding. No funding was received for this study. The Rapid Fee was supported by the authors.

Author Contributions. Hongxiang Zhu and Xiaohui Zhan designed this study; Hongxiang Zhu and Congjie Wang were responsible for the data collection; Yuying Deng and Xiaoping Li were responsible for data analysis; Linru Song and Lingyan Zhao conducted the manuscript writing; Lingyan Zhao critically revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Disclosures. Hongxiang Zhu, Xiaohui Zhan, Congjie Wang, Yuying Deng, Xiaoping Li, Linru Song and Lingyan Zhao have nothing to disclose.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines. The data for this study were anonymous and are available in the public domain. Therefore the requirement for ethical approval and informed consent in this study was waived.

Data Availability. GWAS summary data on AgeSmk, SmkInit, CigDay, DrnkWk and alcohol use are available at https://conservancy.umn. edu/handle/11299/201564. Lifetime smoking GWAS summary data are available at https:// data.bris.ac.uk/data/dataset/10i96zb8gm0j81yz 0q6ztei23d.GWAS summary data on infectious diseases are available at https://gwas.mrcieu.ac. uk/.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits

any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-

REFERENCES

nc/4.0/.

- 1. Cassini A, Colzani E, Pini A, et al. Impact of infectious diseases on population health using incidence-based disability-adjusted life years (DALYs): results from the Burden of Communicable Diseases in Europe study, European Union and European Economic Area countries, 2009 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2018;23(16):17-00454.
- 2. Butler-Laporte G, Harroud A, Forgetta V, Richards JB. Elevated body mass index is associated with an increased risk of infectious disease admissions and mortality: a mendelian randomization study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;S1198-743X(20)30356-6.
- 3. Peacock A, Leung J, Larney S, et al. Global statistics on alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use: 2017 status report. Addiction. 2018;113(10):1905–26.
- 4. Moss M, Parsons PE, Steinberg KP, et al. Chronic alcohol abuse is associated with an increased incidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome and severity of multiple organ dysfunction in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2003;31(3): 869–77.
- 5. Alroumi F, Abdul Azim A, Kergo R, Lei Y, Dargin J. The impact of smoking on patient outcomes in severe sepsis and septic shock. J Intensive Care. 2018;6:42.
- Calfee CS, Matthay MA, Kangelaris KN, et al. Cigarette smoke exposure and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2015;43(9): 1790–7.

- Thakur L, Kojicic M, Thakur SJ, et al. Alcohol consumption and development of acute respiratory distress syndrome: a population-based study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2009;6(9):2426–35.
- Paulsen J, Askim A, Mohus RM, et al. Associations of obesity and lifestyle with the risk and mortality of bloodstream infection in a general population: a 15-year follow-up of 64 027 individuals in the HUNT Study. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46(5):1573–81.
- 9. Chan KH, Wright N, Xiao D, et al. Tobacco smoking and risks of more than 470 diseases in China: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Public Health. 2022;7(12):e1014–26.
- 10. Wootton RE, Richmond RC, Stuijfzand BG, et al. Evidence for causal effects of lifetime smoking on risk for depression and schizophrenia: a Mendelian randomisation study. Psychol Med. 2020;50(14): 2435–43.
- 11. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey SG. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. BMJ. 2018;362: k601.
- 12. World Medical A. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA. 2013;310(20):2191–4.
- 13. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. JAMA. 2021;326(16):1614–21.
- 14. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat Genet. 2019;51(2):237–244.
- 15. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. PLoS Med. 2015;12(3): e1001779.
- 16. Chen B, Han Z, Geng L. Mendelian randomization analysis reveals causal effects of food intakes on inflammatory bowel disease risk. Front Immunol. 2022;13: 911631.
- 17. Lin WH, Gebel M. Education tracking and adolescent smoking: a counterfactual and prospective cohort study. Addiction. 2021;116(7):1871–81.
- 18. Stefanovics EA, Potenza MN, Pietrzak RH. Smoking, obesity, and their co-occurrence in the US military veterans: results from the National Health and Resilience in Veterans study. J Affect Disord. 2020;274:354–62.

- 19. O'Brien JM Jr, Lu B, Ali NA, et al. Alcohol dependence is independently associated with sepsis, septic shock, and hospital mortality among adult intensive care unit patients. Crit Care Med. 2007;35(2):345–50.
- 20. Bello S, Menendez R, Antoni T, et al. Tobacco smoking increases the risk for death from pneumococcal pneumonia. Chest. 2014;146(4):1029–37.
- 21. Moazed F, Hendrickson C, Conroy A, et al. Cigarette smoking and ARDS after blunt trauma: the influence of changing smoking patterns and resuscitation practices. Chest. 2020;158(4):1490–8.
- 22. Moazed F, Hendrickson C, Jauregui A, et al. Cigarette smoke exposure and acute respiratory distress syndrome in sepsis: epidemiology, clinical features, and biologic markers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2022;205(8):927–35.
- 23. Simou E, Leonardi-Bee J, Britton J. The effect of alcohol consumption on the risk of ARDS: a

systematic review and meta-analysis. Chest. 2018;154(1):58–68.

- 24. Zhang W, Lin H, Zou M, et al. Nicotine in inflammatory diseases: anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory effects. Front Immunol. 2022;13: 826889.
- 25. Fan X, Joshi PC, Koval M, Guidot DM. Chronic alcohol ingestion exacerbates lung epithelial barrier dysfunction in HIV-1 transgenic rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2011;35(10):1866–75.
- 26. Joshi PC, Mehta A, Jabber WS, Fan X, Guidot DM. Zinc deficiency mediates alcohol-induced alveolar epithelial and macrophage dysfunction in rats. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2009;41(2):207–16.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.