

# Mohammad Y. Chreif · Malak M. Dally

# On the irreducibility of local representations of the Braid group $B_n$

Received: 9 May 2023 / Accepted: 22 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

**Abstract** We prove that any homogeneous local representation  $\varphi : B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  of type 1 or 2 of dimension  $n \ge 6$  is reducible. Then, we prove that any representation  $\varphi : B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  of type 3 is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation  $\tau_n$ . Also, we study the irreducibility of all local linear representations of the braid group  $B_3$  of degree 3. We prove that any local representation of type 1 of  $B_3$  is reducible to a Burau type representation and that any local representation of type 2 of  $B_3$  is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation. Moreover, we construct a representation of  $B_3$  of degree 6 using the tensor product of local representations of type 2. Let  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, be non-zero complex numbers on the unit circle. We determine a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the irreducibility of the obtained representation.

Mathematics Subject Classification 20F36

# **1** Introduction

The braid group  $B_n$  is represented, due to Artin, in the group Aut( $F_n$ ) of automorphisms of the free group  $F_n$  generated by  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . To attack the linearity of the braid group  $B_n$ , the faithfulness of the braid group representations was studied. One of these representations is the Burau representation which was, for a long time, a candidate to answer the question of faithfulness of the braid group  $B_n$ . It was proved that the Burau representation is faithful for  $n \leq 3$  and not faithful for  $n \geq 5$ . For n = 4, the question of faithfulness of the Burau representation has not been answered yet. For more details, see [2] and [3].

In addition to the linearity of the braid group  $B_n$ , the classification of irreducible complex representations of  $B_n$  was of great concern. In [4], Formanek found a necessary and sufficient condition for the specialization of the reduced Burau representation to be irreducible. Moreover, Formanek classified all irreducible complex representations of the braid group  $B_n$  of degree at most n-1 for  $n \ge 7$ . In [7], Sysoeva extended this classification to representations of degree n for  $n \ge 9$ . For n = 5, 6, 7 and 8, the classification was completed by Formanek, Lee, Sysoeva and Vazirani. For more details, see [5]. For  $n \ge 10$ , Sysoeva proved, in [8], that there are no irreducible representations of  $B_n$  of dimension n + 1.

The local representations of the braid group  $B_3$  were studied by Mikhalchishina who proved that any local representation of the braid group  $B_3$  into  $GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  is of type 1 or 2. In addition, Mikhalchishina studied the

M. M. Dally Department of Business Economics, Lebanese International University, Rayak, Lebanon E-mail: malak.dally@liu.edu.lb



M. Y. Chreif

Department of Mathematics, Lebanese International University, Ravak, Lebanon E-mail: mohamad.chreif@liu.edu.lb

*n*-dimensional homogeneous local representations  $\varphi$  of the braid group  $B_n$  and proved that  $\varphi$  coincides with one of the three representations  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_3$  which were defined. For more details, see [6].

In our work, first we study the irreducibility of the local homogeneous multi-parameter representations of types 1 and 2 of degree *n* of the braid group  $B_n$ . We prove that, for  $n \ge 6$ , any homogeneous local representation of type 1 or 2 is reducible.

Next, we consider the case of homogeneous local representations of type 3 of degree *n* of the braid group  $B_n$ . We prove that any homogeneous local representation of type 3 is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation. Consequently, any multi-parameter homogeneous local representation of type 3 is irreducible if and only if  $bc \neq 1$ .

Then, we study the irreducibility of all local representations of the braid group  $B_3$ . We prove that any threedimensional local representation of type 1 is reducible to a representation of Burau type. Also, we prove that any three-dimensional local representation of type 2 is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation. Due to this equivalence, any local representation of type 2 is irreducible if and only if  $bc \neq 1$ .

Finally, we find the tensor product of two complex specializations of the standard representations of  $B_3$ . We prove that the obtained nine-dimensional multi-parameter representation is a direct sum of a complex specialization of the standard representation and a six-dimensional representation  $\varphi$ . We consider the case when the complex numbers  $u'_i s$  are on the unit circle. Then, we prove that  $\varphi$  is irreducible if and only if  $\sqrt{u_1} \neq \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ .

#### **2** Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [1] The braid group,  $B_n$ , is an abstract group generated by  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$  with the following relations

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$$
, for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1$  with  $|i-j| \ge 2$ ,

and

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$$
, for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$ .

**Definition 2.2** [7, Definition 2] The corank of the representation  $\rho : B_n \to GL_r(\mathbb{C})$  is  $rank(\rho(\sigma_i) - 1)$ , where the  $\sigma_i$  are the standard generators of the group  $B_n$ .

**Definition 2.3** [6] A representation  $\varphi : B_n \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called local if

$$\varphi(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_i & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order m and  $R_i$  is a matrix of order 2. A local representation is called homogeneous if  $R_1 = R_2 = \cdots = R_{n-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.4** [6, Theorem 1] If  $\varphi : B_3 \to GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  is a local representation then  $\varphi$  has one of the two types:

(1) 
$$\varphi(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(1-d) & \frac{(1-d)(1-\alpha+d\alpha)}{c} & | 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | 1 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-d+d\alpha)}{\gamma} \\ 0 & \gamma & d(1-\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
where  $d, \alpha \neq 1$  and  $c, \gamma \neq 0$ ;  
(2)  $\varphi(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b | 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | 1 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{bc}{\gamma} \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $bc, \gamma \neq 0$ .

**Corollary 2.5** [6, Corollary to Theorem 1] If  $\varphi : B_n \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is a homogeneous local representation, then  $\varphi$  coincides with one of the representations  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_3$  defined as follows:

$$\varphi_j: B_n \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$



Springer

$$(1) \quad \varphi_{1}(\sigma_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_{i-1} \mid 0 \quad 0 \mid 0}{0 \mid \alpha \quad \frac{1-\alpha}{\gamma} \mid 0} \\ 0 \mid \gamma \quad 0 \mid 0 \\ \hline 0 \mid 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \mid I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi_{2}(\sigma_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_{i-1} \mid 0 \quad 0 \mid 0}{0 \mid 0 \quad \frac{1-d}{c} \mid 0} \\ 0 \mid c \quad d \mid 0 \\ \hline 0 \mid 0 \quad 0 \mid I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

$$(3) \quad \varphi_{3}(\sigma_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_{i-1} \mid 0 \quad 0 \mid 0}{0 \mid 0 \mid 0} \\ \hline 0 \mid 0 \quad 0 \mid I_{n-i-1} \\ \hline 0 \mid 0 \quad 0 \mid I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad bc \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Definition 2.6 [7, Definition 6] The standard representation is the representation

$$\tau_n: B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$$

defined by

$$\tau_n(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} | 0 & 0 | 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t | 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 | I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, where  $I_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix.

**Definition 2.7** [7] The complex specialization of the standard representation is defined by

$$\tau_n(u): B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$\tau_n(u)(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & |I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, where  $I_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix, and  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

**Lemma 2.8** [7, Lemma 5.3] If u = 1, then  $\tau_n(u)$  is reducible.

**Lemma 2.9** [7, Lemma 5.4] If  $u \neq 1$ , then  $\tau_n(u)$  is irreducible.

**Theorem 2.10** [7, Theorem 5.5] Let  $\rho : B_n :\to GL_r(\mathbb{C})$  be an irreducible representation of  $B_n$  for  $n \ge 6$ . Let  $r \ge n$ , and let  $\rho(\sigma_1) = 1 + A_1$  with  $rank(A_1) = 2$ . Then r = n and  $\rho$  is equivalent to the representation  $\tau_n(u)$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $u \neq 1$ .

**Definition 2.11** [4] The complex specialization of the reduced Burau representation  $\beta_3(z)$  is defined by:

$$\beta_3(z): B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$\beta_3(z)(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -z & 0\\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\beta_3(z)(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z\\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Theorem 2.12** [4, Theorem 11] Let  $\rho : B_3 \to GL_2(\mathbb{C})$  be an irreducible representation. Then  $\rho$  is equivalent to  $\chi(y) \otimes \beta_3(z)$  for some  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , where z is not a root of the polynomial  $t^2 + t + 1$ . Here  $\chi(y)$  is the one dimensional representation and  $\beta_3(z)$  is the reduced Burau representation. We say that  $\rho$  is of Burau type.

**Definition 2.13** The principal square root function is the function defined as follows: For all  $z \in \mathbb{C}, z =$  $(\rho, \alpha), \rho \ge 0, \sqrt{z} = \sqrt{\rho} e^{i\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2}}, \text{ where } -\pi < \alpha \le \pi.$ 



#### **3** Irreduciblility of homogeneous local representations of $B_n$ for $n \ge 6$

Mikhalchishina proved, in [6, Proposition, p. 672], that type 1 and type 2 representations are not equivalent when  $d \neq \alpha$ . In this section, we prove that any homogeneous local representation of type 1 or 2 of dimension  $n \ge 6$  is reducible. Then, we prove that any homogeneous local representation of type 3 is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation  $\tau_n$ .

## **Theorem 3.1** The homogeneous local representations of types 1 and 2 are reducible for $n \ge 6$ .

*Proof* Let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two homogeneous local representations of  $B_n$  of types 1 and 2 respectively with  $n \ge 6$ . Consider the matrices  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  defined as

$$P_1 = Diag\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{n-1}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\gamma}, 1\right)$$
 and  $P_2 = Diag\left(\frac{1}{c^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{c^{n-2}}, \cdots, \frac{1}{c}, 1\right)$ ,

where  $Diag(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is a diagonal  $n \times n$  matrix, with  $a_{ii} = a_i$ .

By direct computations, we get

$$P_1^{-1}\varphi_1(\sigma_i)P_1 = \tilde{\varphi_1}(\sigma_i) \text{ and } P_2^{-1}\varphi_2(\sigma_i)P_2 = \tilde{\varphi_2}(\sigma_i)$$

where,

$$\tilde{\varphi_1}(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \alpha & 1-\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi_2}(\sigma_i) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1-d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & d & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1.

This implies that the representations  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are equivalent to the representations  $\tilde{\varphi_1}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi_2}$  respectively. Thus, we can verify that the corank of the representations  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  is 1. This implies that the representations  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are reducible. (See [4], Theorem 10)

Now, we prove that any representation  $\varphi_3 : B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  of type 3 is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation  $\tau_n$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\varphi_3 : B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a homogeneous local representation of type 3. Then, the representation  $\varphi_3$  is equivalent to a complex specialization the standard representation  $\tau_n$ .

*Proof* Let  $\varphi_3 : B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a homogeneous local representation of type 3. Consider the matrix *P* defined by

$$P = Diag\left(\frac{1}{c^{n-1}}, \frac{1}{c^{n-2}}, \dots, \frac{1}{c}, 1\right),\,$$

where  $Diag(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is a diagonal  $n \times n$  matrix with  $a_{ii} = a_i$ .

Direct computations show that

$$P^{-1}\sigma_i P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & bc & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n-i-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$



D Springer

By letting u = bc, we find that this representation is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation  $\tau_n$ .

By Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9, the standard representation is irreducible if and only if  $u \neq 1$ . This implies that  $\varphi_3$  is irreducible if and only if  $bc \neq 1$ .

Thus, we state the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\varphi_3 : B_n \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  be a homogeneous local representation of type 3. Then, the representation  $\varphi_3$  is irreducible if and only if  $bc \neq 1$ .

#### 4 Irreducibility of local representations of $B_3$

In this section, we consider all the local representations of  $B_3$ . We prove that any local representation of type 1 of  $B_3$  is reducible to a Burau type representation. Then, we prove that any local representation of type 2 of  $B_3$  is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $\varphi : B_3 \to GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  be a local representation of type 1. Then,  $\varphi$  is reducible to a representation of Burau type.

*Proof* Let  $\varphi$  :  $B_3 \to GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  be a representation of type 1, then

$$\varphi(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(1-d) & \frac{(1-d)(1-\alpha+d\alpha)}{c} & 0\\ \frac{c}{0} & \frac{d}{0} & 0\\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{0} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-d+d\alpha)}{\gamma}\\ 0 & \gamma & d(1-\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $d, \alpha \neq 1$  and  $c, \gamma \neq 0$ .

We scale the basis using the matrix

$$P = Diag\left(\frac{1}{c}, 1, \gamma\right).$$

Thus, we get

$$P^{-1}\varphi(\sigma_1)P = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(1-d) & (1-d)(1-\alpha+\alpha d) & 0\\ 1 & d & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$P^{-1}\varphi(\sigma_2)P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & (1-\alpha)(1-d+\alpha d) \\ 0 & 1 & (1-\alpha)d \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following two cases:

**Case 1.**  $\alpha(1 - d) = 1$ . In this case, we have

$$\varphi(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & d & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-d} & \frac{-d(1-d+d^2)}{(-1+d)^2}\\ 0 & 1 & \frac{d^2}{-1+d} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear that the proper subspace  $S = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$  is invariant. Consequently, the above representation  $\varphi$  is reducible.



Restricting  $\varphi$  to *S*, we obtain:

$$\varphi'(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\varphi'(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1-d} & \frac{-d(1-d+d^2)}{(-1+d)^2}\\ 1 & \frac{d^2}{-1+d} \end{pmatrix}$ .

This formula is well defined since  $d - 1 \neq 0$ .

By direct computations, there is no proper invariant subspace of dimension one if  $d \neq 0$  and  $d^2 - d + 1 \neq 0$ . Therefore, the representation  $\varphi'$  is irreducible. Consequently, it is a representation of Burau type.

Since the representation  $\varphi'$  is of Burau type, thus, by Theorem 3, it is equivalent to  $\chi(y) \otimes \beta_3(z)$  for some  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . This implies that  $\varphi'$  and  $\chi(y) \otimes \beta_3(z)$  have the same eigenvalues which are (1, d) and (y, -yz) respectively. Thus, these representations are equivalent for

$$(y, z) = \left(d, -\frac{1}{d}\right)$$
 and  $(y, z) = (1, -d)$ .

On the other hand, if  $d^2 - d + 1 = 0$ , then the subspace  $\langle (0, 1) \rangle$  is invariant. This implies that the representation is reduced to a one dimensional representation.

**Case 2.**  $\alpha(1 - d) \neq 1$ .

Consider the subspace  $S = \langle u, v \rangle$  where

$$u = e_1 + \frac{1}{-1 + \alpha - \alpha d} e_2$$
 and  $v = e_1 + \frac{\alpha}{-1 + \alpha - \alpha d} e_2 + \frac{1}{-1 + \alpha - \alpha d} e_3$ .

By direct computations, we have

- $\varphi(\sigma_1)(u) = (-1 + \alpha + d \alpha d)u$ ,
- $\varphi(\sigma_1)(v) = -u + v$ ,
- $\varphi(\sigma_2)(u) = v$  and
- $\varphi(\sigma_2)(v) = (-1 \alpha d + \alpha d)u + (\alpha + d \alpha d)v.$

Thus, the proper subspace S is invariant. Therefore, the representation  $\varphi$  is reducible.

By restricting  $\varphi$  to S, we obtain the representation  $\varphi'$  defined as follows:

$$\varphi'(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + \alpha + d - \alpha d & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi'(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 - \alpha - d + \alpha d \\ 1 & \alpha + d - \alpha d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $t = -1 + \alpha + d - \alpha d$ . The representation  $\varphi'$  is reducible if and only if the matrices  $\varphi'(\sigma_1)$  and  $\varphi'(\sigma_2)$  have a common eigenvector. Direct computations show that the representation  $\varphi'$  is irreducible if and only if  $t^3 \neq \pm 1$ .

Therefore, any representation of type 1 is reduced to a Burau type representation (See Theorem 2.12).

Also, the representation  $\varphi'$  is of Burau type, thus, by Theorem 3, it is equivalent to  $\chi(y) \otimes \beta_3(z)$  for some  $y, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Using the same argument of case 1, these representations are equivalent for:

$$(y,z) = \left(-1 + \alpha + d - \alpha d, \frac{1}{1 - \alpha - d + \alpha d}\right)$$
 and  $(y,z) = (1, 1 - \alpha - d + \alpha d).$ 

**Proposition 4.2** Let  $\varphi$  :  $B_3 \to GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  be a local representation of type 2 of  $B_3$ , then  $\varphi$  is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation.



D Springer

*Proof* Let  $\varphi : B_3 \to GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  be a local representation of type 2 of  $B_3$ . We scale the basis using the matrix

$$P = Diag\left(\frac{1}{c}, 1, \gamma\right).$$

Thus, we get

$$P^{-1}\varphi(\sigma_1)P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & bc & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad and \quad P^{-1}\varphi(\sigma_2)P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & bc\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By letting u = bc, we notice that the representation  $\varphi$  is equivalent to a complex specialization of the standard representation. 

Now, we state the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $\varphi : B_3 \to GL_3(\mathbb{C})$  be a local representation of type 2 of  $B_3$ , then  $\varphi$  is irreducible if and only if  $bc \neq 1$ .

## 5 Representations of dimension 6 of B<sub>3</sub>

In this section, we study the irreducibility of the tensor product of two irreducible local representations of type 2 of *B*<sub>3</sub>.

Consider two irreducible local representations  $\rho_1 = \varphi(b_1, c_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $\rho_2 = \varphi(b_2, c_2, \gamma_2)$  of type 2 of the braid group  $B_3$ .

These representations are defined as:

$$\rho_1(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 | 0 \\ c_1 & 0 | 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \rho_1(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \frac{b_1 c_1}{\gamma_1} \\ 0 & \gamma_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho_2(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 | 0 \\ c_2 & 0 | 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 | 1 \end{pmatrix}, \, \rho_2(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \frac{b_2 c_2}{\gamma_2} \\ 0 | \gamma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $b_1c_1 \neq 0$ ,  $b_2c_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 \neq 0$  and  $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ .

By Proposition 4.2, the representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are equivalent to the standard representations  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ defined by:

$$\tau_1(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tau_1(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tau_2(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tau_2(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $u_1 = b_1c_1$ ,  $u_2 = b_2c_2$ ,  $u_1 \neq 1$  and  $u_2 \neq 1$ .



**Definition 5.1** Consider the tensor product  $\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$  defined by  $(\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2)(\sigma_i) = \tau_1(\sigma_i) \otimes \tau_2(\sigma_i)$ , i = 1, 2. We get the following matrices:

and

For simplicity, we denote  $(\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2)$  by  $\rho$ .

We now show that the representation  $\rho$  is reducible.

**Proposition 5.2** *The representation*  $\rho$  *is reducible.* 

*Proof* By choosing a different basis for  $\mathbb{C}^9$ , namely  $\{e_1, e_5, e_9, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$ , the representation  $\rho$  is equivalent to the representation  $\psi$  whose matrices are given by:

and

It is clear from the form of the martices of the generators  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  that the representation  $\psi$  is a direct sum of a standard representation and a representation  $\varphi$  of dimension 6.



**Definition 5.3** We define the representation  $\varphi : B_3 \to GL_6(\mathbb{C})$  of  $B_3$  of dimension 6 by:

$$\varphi(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ u_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\varphi(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We diagonalize the matrix corresponding to  $\varphi(\sigma_1)$  by an invertible matrix, say T, and conjugate the matrix  $\varphi(\sigma_2)$  by the same matrix T.

The invertible matrix T is given by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{u_1}}{\sqrt{u_2}} & \frac{\sqrt{u_1}}{\sqrt{u_2}} \\ -\sqrt{u_1} & \sqrt{u_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{u_2} & \sqrt{u_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact, computations show that

$$T^{-1}\sigma_1 T = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{u_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{u_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{u_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{u_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{u_1}\sqrt{u_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{u_1}\sqrt{u_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

After conjugation, we get

$$T^{-1}\sigma_2 T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{u_1}{2} & \frac{u_1}{2} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{u_1}{2} & \frac{u_1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} \\ \frac{u_2}{2} & \frac{u_2}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} & -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} \\ \frac{u_2}{2} & \frac{u_2}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}} \\ \frac{u_2\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & -\frac{u_2\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & -\frac{u_1\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & \frac{u_1\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{u_2\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & \frac{u_2\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & -\frac{u_1\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & \frac{u_1\sqrt{u_2}}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, we denote  $T^{-1}\sigma_1 T$  by  $\sigma_1$  and  $T^{-1}\sigma_2 T$  by  $\sigma_2$ .

Assume that  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, are non-zero complex numbers on the unit circle. We determine a sufficient condition for the irreducibility of the representation  $\varphi$  of  $B_3$  of dimension 6.

**Lemma 5.4** Let  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, be non-zero complex numbers on the unit circle. The representation  $\varphi : B_3 \rightarrow GL_6(\mathbb{C})$  is irreducible if  $\sqrt{u_1} \neq \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ .



.

*Proof* Direct computations show that  $\sigma_i \sigma_i^* = I_6$ , where i = 1, 2, \* denotes the complex conjugate transpose, and  $I_6$  denotes the 6  $\times$  6 identity matrix.

Therefore, the representation is unitary. Consequently, if S is an invariant subspace then the orthogonal complement of S is also invariant.

Thus, it is sufficient to prove that there is no possible proper invariant subspace of dimensions 1,2,3.

Since the representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are irreducible, then  $u_1 \neq 1$  and  $u_2 \neq 1$  (Lemmas 1 and 2). This implies that  $\sqrt{u_1}\sqrt{u_2} \neq \pm \sqrt{u_1}$  and  $\sqrt{u_1}\sqrt{u_2} \neq \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ .

Let S be an invariant subspace of dimension < 3.

We have the following cases:

**Case 1.**  $S = \langle e_i \rangle, i = 1, ..., 6.$ **Case 2.**  $S = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle, i, j = 1, ..., 6, i \neq j$ . **Case 3.**  $S = \langle e_i, e_j, e_k \rangle, i, j, k = 1, ..., 6, i \neq j \neq k$ .

In all the above cases, it is clear that  $e_i \in S$  for some  $1 \le i \le 6$ . As S is invariant, this implies that  $\sigma_2(e_i) \in S$ .

On the other hand, by direct computations, we have the following:

- $\sigma_2(e_1) = \frac{u_2}{2}(e_3 + e_4) + \frac{u_2\sqrt{u_2}}{2}(e_5 e_6)$
- $\sigma_2(e_2) = \frac{\overline{u_2}}{2}(e_3 + e_4) + \frac{u_2\sqrt{u_2}}{2}(-e_5 + e_6)$
- $\sigma_2(e_3) = \frac{\bar{u_1}}{2}(e_1 + e_2) \frac{\bar{u_1}\sqrt{u_2}}{2}(e_5 + e_6)$
- $\sigma_2(e_4) = \frac{\overline{u_1}}{2}(e_1 + e_2) + \frac{u_1\sqrt{u_2}}{2}(e_5 + e_6)$
- $\sigma_2(e_5) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}}(e_1 + e_2 e_3 + e_4)$   $\sigma_2(e_6) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_2}}(-e_1 + e_2 e_3 + e_4)$

In all the above cases,  $\sigma_2(e_i) \notin S$  for all  $1 \le i \le 6$ . This gives a contradiction.

Therefore, there is no possible invariant subspace of dimension < 3. Thus, the representation  $\varphi: B_3 \to GL_6(\mathbb{C})$  is irreducible if  $\sqrt{u_1} \neq \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ . 

Now, we determine a necessary condition for irreducibility of the representation  $\varphi: B_3 \to GL_6(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Lemma 5.5** Let  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, be non-zero complex numbers on the unit circle. If  $\sqrt{u_1} = \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ , then the representation  $\varphi : B_3 \to GL_6(\mathbb{C})$  is reducible.

*Proof* We consider the following cases:

**Case 1:** 
$$\sqrt{u_1} = \sqrt{u_2}$$
.

Let  $S = \langle e_5, u, v \rangle$  where  $u = e_1 - e_3$  and  $v = e_2 - e_4$ .

Direct computations show that:

- $\sigma_1(e_5) = -u_1 e_5$   $\sigma_2(e_5) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_1}}(u-v)$

• 
$$\sigma_1(u) = -\sqrt{u_1}$$

- $\sigma_1(u) = -\sqrt{u_1 u}$   $\sigma_2(u) = -\frac{u_1}{2}u \frac{u_1}{2}v + u_1\sqrt{u_1}e_5$   $\sigma_1(v) = \sqrt{u_1 v}$   $\sigma_2(v) = -\frac{u_1}{2}u \frac{u_1}{2}v u_1\sqrt{u_1}e_5$

Thus, the subspace S is invariant.

Case 2:  $\sqrt{u_1} = -\sqrt{u_2}$ 



🖉 Springei

Let  $S = \langle e_5, u, v \rangle$  where  $u = e_1 + e_4$  and  $v = e_2 + e_3$ .

Direct computations show that:

- $\sigma_1(e_5) = u_1 e_5$   $\sigma_2(e_5) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{u_1}}(u-v)$
- $\sigma_1(u) = -\sqrt{u_1 u}$   $\sigma_2(u) = \frac{u_1}{2}u + \frac{u_1}{2}v u_1\sqrt{u_1}e_5$   $\sigma_1(v) = \sqrt{u_1}v$   $\sigma_2(v) = \frac{u_1}{2}u + \frac{u_1}{2}v + u_1\sqrt{u_1}e_5$

Thus, the subspace S is invariant.

Therefore, the representation  $\varphi: B_3 \to GL_6(\mathbb{C})$  is reducible if  $\sqrt{u_1} = \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ .

We state now the theorem of irreducibility of the considered representation.

**Theorem 5.6** Let  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, be non-zero complex numbers on the unit circle. The representation  $\varphi : B_3 \rightarrow \Phi$  $GL_6(\mathbb{C})$  is irreducible if and only if  $\sqrt{u_1} \neq \pm \sqrt{u_2}$ .

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funding Not applicable.

Availability of data and material Not applicable.

**Declarations** 

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Informed consent Not applicable.

#### References

- 1. Artin, E.: The Collected Papers of Emil Artin. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., Reading (1965)
- 2. Birman, J.: Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups, vol. 82. Annals of Mathematical Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1975)
- 3. Chreif, M.; Abdulrahim, M.: Attacks on the faithfulness of the burau representation of the braid group  $B_4$ . J. Math. Res. 8(1), 5(2016)
- 4. Formanek, E.: Braid group representations of low degree. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 73, 279-322 (1996)
- 5. Formanek, E.; Lee, W.; Sysoeva, I.; Vazirani, M.: The irreducible complex representations of the braid group on n strings of degree ≤n. J. Algebra Appl. **02**(03), 317–333 (2003)
- 6. Mikhalchishina, Y.: Local representations of braid groups. Sib. Math. J. 54(4), 666–678 (2013)
- 7. Sysoeva, I.: Dimension n representations of the braid group on n strings. J. Algebra 243, 518–538 (2001)
- 8. Sysoeva, I.: Irreducible representations of braid group  $B_n$  of dimension n + 1. J. Group Theory 24(1), 39–78 (2021)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

