



Benedict Vasco Normenyo · Salah Eddine Rihane · Alain Togbé

Common terms of k -Pell numbers and Padovan or Perrin numbers

Received: 26 June 2021 / Accepted: 26 October 2022 / Published online: 28 November 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Let $k \geq 2$. A generalization of the well-known Pell sequence is the k -Pell sequence. For this sequence, the first k terms are $0, \dots, 0, 1$ and each term afterwards is given by the linear recurrence

$$P_n^{(k)} = 2P_{n-1}^{(k)} + P_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + P_{n-k}^{(k)}.$$

In this paper, we extend the previous work (Rihane and Togbé in Ann Math Inform 54:57–71, 2021) and investigate the Padovan and Perrin numbers in the k -Pell sequence.

Mathematics Subject Classification 11B39 · 11J86

1 Introduction

For $k \geq 2$, let $(P_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$ denote the k -Pell sequence given by the recurrence

$$P_n^{(k)} = 2P_{n-1}^{(k)} + P_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + P_{n-k}^{(k)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2, \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial conditions $P_{-(k-2)}^{(k)} = P_{-(k-3)}^{(k)} = \dots = P_0^{(k)} = 0$ and $P_1^{(k)} = 1$. If $k = 2$, we obtain the classical Pell sequence.

The problem of finding the Padovan number \mathcal{P}_n and the Perrin number E_n in the Pell sequence was treated in [10] by the second and third authors. They showed that $\mathcal{P} \cap P = \{0, 1, 2, 5, 12\}$ and $E \cap P = \{0, 2, 5, 12, 29\}$. The main objective of this work is to determine the Padovan and Perrin numbers in the k -Pell sequence. We prove the following results.

B. V. Normenyo
Department of Mathematics, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana
E-mail: bvnormenyo@ug.edu.gh

S. E. Rihane
Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science and Technology, University Center of Mila, Mila, Algeria
E-mail: alahrihane@hotmail.fr

S. E. Rihane
National Higher School of Mathematics, P.O.Box 75, Mahelma, 16093, Sidi Abdellah Algiers, Algeria

A. Togbé
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Purdue University Northwest, 1401 S, U.S. 421, Westville, IN 46391, USA
E-mail: atogbe@pnw.edu



Theorem 1.1 *All the solutions of the Diophantine equation*

$$P_n^{(k)} = \mathcal{P}_m \tag{1.2}$$

in positive integers (m, n, k) with $k \geq 3$ belong to

$$\{(1, 1, k), (2, 1, k), (3, 1, k), (4, 2, k), (5, 2, k), (8, 3, k)\}.$$

Thus, we have $P^{(k)} \cap \mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, 5\}$, for $k \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.2 *All the solutions of the Diophantine equation*

$$P_n^{(k)} = E_m \tag{1.3}$$

in positive integers (m, n, k) with $k \geq 3$ belong to

$$\{(2, 2, k), (4, 2, k), (5, 3, k), (6, 3, k)\}.$$

Thus, we have $P^{(k)} \cap E = \{2, 5\}$, for $k \geq 3$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is mainly based on linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers and a reduction algorithm originally introduced by Baker and Davenport [1]. Here, we use a version due to Dujella and Pethő [5].

2 Tools

2.1 Linear forms in logarithms

For any non-zero algebraic number η of degree d over \mathbb{Q} , whose minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Z} is $a \prod_{j=1}^d (X - \eta^{(j)})$, we denote by

$$h(\eta) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log |a| + \sum_{j=1}^d \log \max\{1, |\eta^{(j)}|\} \right)$$

the usual absolute logarithmic height of η . In particular, if $\eta = p/q$ is a rational number with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ and $q > 0$, then $h(\eta) = \log \max\{|p|, q\}$. The following properties of the logarithmic height $h()$, which will be used in subsequent sections without a special reference, are also well-known:

$$h(\eta \pm \gamma) \leq h(\eta) + h(\gamma) + \log 2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$h(\eta\gamma^{\pm 1}) \leq h(\eta) + h(\gamma), \tag{2.2}$$

$$h(\eta^s) = |s| h(\eta) \quad (s \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.3}$$

With this notation, we recall Theorem 9.4 of [4], which is a modified version of a result of Matveev [8].

Theorem 2.1 *Let η_1, \dots, η_s be nonzero real algebraic numbers and let b_1, \dots, b_s be integers. Let $d_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the degree of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s)$ over \mathbb{Q} and let A_j be a positive real number satisfying*

$$A_j \geq \max\{d_{\mathbb{K}}h(\eta_j), |\log \eta_j|, 0.16\}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, s.$$

Assume that

$$B = \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_s|\}.$$

If $\eta_1^{b_1} \cdots \eta_s^{b_s} - 1 \neq 0$, then

$$|\eta_1^{b_1} \cdots \eta_s^{b_s} - 1| \geq \exp(-1.4 \cdot 30^{s+3} \cdot s^{4.5} \cdot d_{\mathbb{K}}^2 (1 + \log d_{\mathbb{K}})(1 + \log B) A_1 \cdots A_s).$$



2.2 Reduction algorithm

Here, we present the following result due to Dujella and Pethő, which is a generalization of a result of Baker and Davenport (see [5]).

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose that M is a positive integer. Let p/q be the convergent of the continued fraction expansion of γ such that $q > 6M$ and let*

$$\varepsilon = \|\mu q\| - M \cdot \|\gamma q\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance from the nearest integer. If $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is no solution of the inequality

$$0 < |u\gamma - v + \mu| < AB^{-u}$$

in positive integers u, v with

$$\frac{\log(Aq/\varepsilon)}{\log B} \leq u \leq M.$$

2.3 Properties of Padovan and Perrin sequences

Let $(\mathcal{P}_m)_{m \geq 0}$ be the Padovan sequence (sequence A000931 in the OEIS [11]) given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{m+3} = \mathcal{P}_{m+1} + \mathcal{P}_m,$$

for $m \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2 = 1$. The first few terms of this sequence are

$$1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 16, 21, 28, 37, 49, 65, 86, 114, 151, 200, \dots$$

Similarly, let $(E_m)_{m \geq 0}$ be the Perrin sequence (sequence A001608 [11]) given by

$$E_{m+3} = E_{m+1} + E_m,$$

for $m \geq 0$, where $E_0 = 3, E_1 = 0$ and $E_2 = 2$. The first few terms of this sequence are

$$3, 0, 2, 3, 2, 5, 5, 7, 10, 12, 17, 22, 29, 39, 51, 68, 90, 119, 158, 209, 277, \dots$$

The characteristic equation

$$x^3 - x - 1 = 0$$

has roots α, β and $\bar{\beta}$, where

$$\alpha = \frac{r_1 + r_2}{6}, \quad \beta = \frac{-r_1 - r_2 + i\sqrt{3}(r_1 - r_2)}{12},$$

with

$$r_1 = \sqrt[3]{108 + 12\sqrt{69}} \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = \sqrt[3]{108 - 12\sqrt{69}}.$$

Furthermore, the Binet’s formula for \mathcal{P}_n is

$$\mathcal{P}_n = c_\alpha \alpha^n + c_\beta \beta^n + c_{\bar{\beta}} \bar{\beta}^n, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

and the Binet’s formula for E_n is

$$E_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n + \bar{\beta}^n, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha &= \frac{(1 - \beta)(1 - \bar{\beta})}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha - \bar{\beta})} = \frac{1 + \alpha}{-\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1}, \\ c_\beta &= \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \bar{\beta})}{(\beta - \alpha)(\beta - \bar{\beta})} = \frac{1 + \beta}{-\beta^2 + 3\beta + 1}, \\ c_{\bar{\beta}} &= \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)}{(\bar{\beta} - \alpha)(\bar{\beta} - \beta)} = \frac{1 + \bar{\beta}}{-\bar{\beta}^2 + 3\bar{\beta} + 1} = \overline{c_\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Numerically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1.32 &< \alpha < 1.33, \\ 0.86 &< |\beta| = |\bar{\beta}| < 0.87, \\ 0.72 &< c_\alpha < 0.73, \\ 0.24 &< |c_\beta| = |c_{\bar{\beta}}| < 0.25. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It is easy to check that

$$|\beta| = |\bar{\beta}| = \alpha^{-1/2}.$$

Furthermore, using induction, one can prove that

$$\alpha^{n-3} \leq \mathcal{P}_n \leq \alpha^{n-1} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\alpha^{n-2} \leq E_n \leq \alpha^{n+1}, \tag{2.9}$$

for $n \geq 2$.

2.4 Properties of k -generalized Pell sequence

In this subsection, we recall some facts and properties of this sequence which will be used later.

The characteristic polynomial of this sequence is

$$\Psi_k(x) = x^k - 2x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1.$$

Bravo et al. [3] proved that $\Psi_k(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and has just one root $\gamma(k)$ outside the unit circle. It is real and positive so it satisfies $\gamma(k) > 1$. The other roots are strictly inside the unit circle. Furthermore, in the same paper they showed that

$$\varphi^2(1 - \varphi^{-k}) < \gamma(k) < \varphi^2, \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. To simplify the notation, in general, we omit the dependence of γ on k and write $\gamma(k) = \gamma$. For $k \geq 2$, let

$$g_k(x) := \frac{x - 1}{(k + 1)x^2 - 3kx + k - 1} = \frac{x - 1}{k(x^2 - 3x + 1) + x^2 - 1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Bravo and Hererra [2] proved that

$$0.276 < g_k(\gamma) < 0.5 \quad \text{and} \quad |g_k(\gamma^{(i)})| < 1, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k,$$

where $\gamma = \gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(k)}$ are all the zeros of $\Psi_k(x)$. So, the number $g_k(\gamma)$ is not an algebraic integer. In addition, they proved that the logarithmic height of $g_k(\gamma)$ satisfies

$$h(g_k(\gamma)) < 4k \log \varphi + k \log(k + 1), \quad \text{for } k \geq 2. \tag{2.12}$$



With the above notations, Bravo et al. [3] showed that

$$P_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^k g_k(\gamma^{(i)})\gamma^{(i)n} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| P_n^{(k)} - g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n \right| < \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.13}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$. So, for $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$, we have

$$P_n^{(k)} = g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n + e_k(n), \quad \text{where} \quad |e_k(n)| \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Furthermore, for $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$, it was shown in [3] that

$$\gamma^{n-2} \leq P_n^{(k)} \leq \gamma^{n-1}. \tag{2.15}$$

We conclude this subsection by giving the following estimate (see [2]). If $k \geq 30$ and $n > 1$ are integers satisfying $n < \varphi^{k/2}$, then

$$g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n = \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi + 2}(1 + \zeta), \quad \text{where} \quad |\zeta| < \frac{4}{\varphi^{k/2}}, \quad \varphi = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}. \tag{2.16}$$

3 k -Pell numbers which are Padovan numbers

In this section, we will show Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be done in four steps.

3.1 Setup

In this step, we study the Diophantine equation (1.2), for $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$. Moreover, we will give an elementary relation between n and m , for $n \geq k + 2$. It is known that for $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$, we have

$$P_n^{(k)} = F_{2n-1},$$

see [7]. De Weger [12] proved that all integers which are both Fibonacci and Padovan numbers are $F_1 = F_2 = 1$, $F_3 = 2$, $F_4 = 3$, $F_5 = 5$, and $F_8 = 21$. Thus, we deduce that the solutions of (1.2) in this range are $P_1^{(k)} = 1 = \mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{P}_3$, $P_2^{(k)} = 2 = \mathcal{P}_4 = \mathcal{P}_5$ and $P_3^{(k)} = 5 = \mathcal{P}_8$, for $k \geq 3$.

From now on, we assume that $n \geq k + 2$. It remains to show that the Diophantine equation (1.2) has no solution in this range.

Let us now get a relation between n and m . Combining the inequalities (2.8) and (2.15) together with Eq. (1.2), we have

$$\gamma^{n-2} \leq \alpha^{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^{m-3} \leq \gamma^{n-1}.$$

This means that

$$(n - 2) \left(\frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha} \right) + 1 \leq m \leq (n - 1) \left(\frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha} \right) + 3.$$

By the fact that $\varphi^2(1 - \varphi^{-3}) < \gamma < \varphi^2$, for $k \geq 3$, it follows that

$$2.4n - 4 < m < 3.5n - 0.4. \tag{3.1}$$

3.2 Bounding n in terms of m and k

In this step, we will bound n in terms of m and k . Namely, we will show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *If (m, n, k) is a solution in positive integers of Eq. (1.2) with $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq k + 2$, then we have the following inequalities*

$$0.28m < n < 8 \times 10^{15}k^5 \log^3 k. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof We use identities (2.4) and (2.14) to express (1.2) into the form

$$g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n + e_k(n) = c_\alpha\alpha^m + c_\beta\beta^m + c_{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\beta}^m,$$

which we rewrite as

$$|g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n - c_\alpha\alpha^m| < \frac{1}{2} + 2|c_\beta||\beta|^m < 1, \tag{3.3}$$

where we have used (2.7) and (2.14). Dividing through by $c_\alpha\alpha^m$, we get

$$|\Lambda_1| < 1.4 \cdot \alpha^{-m}, \quad \text{where } \Lambda_1 := (c_\alpha^{-1}g_k(\gamma)) \cdot \gamma^n \cdot \alpha^{-m} - 1. \tag{3.4}$$

To apply Theorem 2.1, we need to show that $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$. Indeed, $\Lambda_1 = 0$ implies

$$g_k(\gamma) = c_\alpha\alpha^m\gamma^{-n}.$$

Hence, $g_k(\gamma)$ is an algebraic integer, which is false. Thus, $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$. To apply Theorem 2.1, we set

$$(\eta_1, b_1) := (c_\alpha^{-1}g_k(\gamma), 1), \quad (\eta_2, b_2) := (\gamma, n), \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta_3, b_3) := (\alpha, -m).$$

One can see that $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $d_{\mathbb{K}} \leq 3k$. The fact that $h(\eta_2) = (\log \gamma)/k < (2 \log \varphi)/k$ and $h(\eta_3) = (\log \alpha)/3$ gives

$$A_2 := 6 \log \varphi \geq \max\{3kh(\eta_2), |\log \eta_2|, 0.16\}$$

and

$$A_3 := k \log \alpha \geq \max\{3kh(\eta_3), |\log \eta_3|, 0.16\}.$$

On the other hand, the minimal polynomial of c_α is

$$23x^3 - 23x^2 - 6x - 1,$$

which has roots c_α, c_β and $c_{\bar{\beta}}$. Since $c_\alpha < 1$ and $|c_\beta| = |c_{\bar{\beta}}| < 1$, then we get

$$h(c_\alpha) = \frac{\log 23}{3}.$$

Using the properties of the logarithmic height and the estimate (2.12), for $k \geq 3$ we conclude that

$$h(\eta_1) \leq h(c_\alpha) + h(g_k(\gamma)) < \frac{\log 23}{3} + 4k \log \varphi + k \log(k + 1) < 3.4k \log k.$$

So, it follows that

$$A_1 := 10.2k^2 \log k \geq \max\{3kh(\eta_1), |\log \eta_1|, 0.16\}.$$

Lastly, from (3.1), we can choose $B \geq 3.5n > m = \max\{n, m\}$. Therefore, applying Theorem 2.1 on $|\Lambda_1|$ and using inequality (3.4), we obtain

$$m \log \alpha - \log 1.4 < 1.07 \times 10^{13}k^5 \log k(1 + \log 3k)(1 + \log 3.5n).$$



The above inequality and the facts $1 + \log 3k < 3 \log k$ and $1 + \log 3.5n < 2.4 \log n$, for $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 5$, give

$$m < 2.74 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k \log n.$$

Using inequalities (3.1), the last inequality turns into

$$\frac{n}{\log n} < 1.15 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k. \tag{3.5}$$

Since the function $x \mapsto x / \log x$ is increasing for $x > e$, it is easy to check that the inequality

$$\frac{x}{\log x} < A \text{ implies } x < 2A \log A \text{ whenever } A \geq 3. \tag{3.6}$$

So, if we put $A := 1.15 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k$ in (3.6), then (3.5) together with $32.4 + 5 \log k + 2 \log \log k < 34.7 \log k$, which holds for $k \geq 3$, imply

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 2(1.15 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k) \log(1.15 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k) \\ &< (2.3 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k)(32.4 + 5 \log k + 2 \log \log k) \\ &< 8 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have finished the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

3.3 The case $3 \leq k \leq 350$

In this subsection, we treat the case when $k \in [3, 350]$ using Lemma 2.2. We will prove the following result.

Lemma 3.2 *The Diophantine equation (1.2) has no solution when $k \in [3, 350]$ and $n \geq k + 2$.*

Proof To apply Lemma 2.2, we define

$$\Gamma_1 := n \log \gamma - m \log \alpha + \log(c_\alpha^{-1} g_k(\gamma)) = \log(\Lambda_1 + 1). \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, inequality (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 1.4 \cdot \alpha^{-m}. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$ since $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$. So, we distinguish the following cases. If $\Gamma_1 > 0$, then $e^{\Gamma_1} - 1 > 0$. Using the fact that $x \leq e^x - 1$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and from (3.8) we obtain

$$0 < \Gamma_1 < 1.4 \cdot \alpha^{-m}.$$

Now, suppose that $\Gamma_1 < 0$. It is easy to see that $1.4 \cdot \alpha^{-m} < 1/2$, for $m \geq 9$. Thus, from (3.8), we have $|e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 1/2$ and therefore $e^{|\Gamma_1|} < 2$. Since $\Gamma_1 < 0$, we obtain

$$0 < |\Gamma_1| \leq e^{|\Gamma_1|} - 1 = e^{|\Gamma_1|} |e^{\Gamma_1} - 1| < 2.8 \cdot \alpha^{-m}.$$

Therefore, in both cases we have

$$0 < |\Gamma_1| < 2.8 \cdot \alpha^{-m}. \tag{3.9}$$

Inserting (3.7) in (3.9) and dividing across by $\log \alpha$, it results that

$$\left| n \left(\frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha} \right) - m + \frac{\log(c_\alpha^{-1} g_k(\gamma))}{\log \alpha} \right| < \frac{2.8}{\log \alpha} \cdot \alpha^{-m} < 10 \cdot \alpha^{-m}. \tag{3.10}$$

To apply Lemma 2.2, we set

$$\hat{\gamma} := \frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha}, \quad \mu := \frac{\log(c_\alpha^{-1} g_k(\gamma))}{\log \alpha}, \quad w := m, \quad A := 10, \quad \text{and} \quad B := \alpha.$$

We have $\widehat{\gamma} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Indeed, if we assume there exist coprime integers a and b such that $\widehat{\gamma} = a/b$, then we get that $\alpha^a = \gamma^b$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\sigma(\gamma) = \gamma$ and $\sigma(\gamma) = \gamma_i$, for some $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$. Applying this to the above relation and taking absolute values we get $1 < \gamma^a = |\gamma_i|^a < 1$, which is a contradiction.

For each $k \in [3, 350]$, using Theorem 15 of [6], we find a good approximation of $\widehat{\gamma}$ as a convergent p_ℓ/q_ℓ of the continued fraction of $\widehat{\gamma}$ such that $q_\ell > 6M_k$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k) = ||\mu q_\ell|| - M_k ||\widehat{\gamma} q_\ell|| > 0$, where $M_k = \lfloor 2.8 \times 10^{16} k^5 \log^3 k \rfloor$, which is an upper bound of $n - 1$ from Lemma 3.1. After doing this, we use Lemma 2.2 on inequality (3.10). A computer program with Mathematica revealed that the maximum value of $\frac{\log(Aq_\ell/\varepsilon)}{\log B}$ over all $k \in [3, 350]$ is $292.327590 \dots$, which according to Lemma 2.2 is an upper bound for m . Hence, we deduce that the possible solutions (m, n, k) of Eq. (1.2) for which $k \in [3, 350]$ have $m \leq 292$, therefore $n \leq 83$.

Finally, we use a Mathematica program to compare $P_n^{(k)}$ and \mathcal{P}_m for $5 \leq n \leq 83$ and $8 \leq m \leq 292$, with $m < n/0.28$ and see that Eq. (1.2) has no other solution. \square

3.4 The case $k > 350$

In this subsection, we treat the case $k > 350$ by proving the following result.

Lemma 3.3 *The Diophantine equation (1.2) has no solution when $k > 350$ and $n \geq k + 2$.*

Proof For $k > 350$, we have

$$0.28m < n < 8 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k < \varphi^{k/2}.$$

So, from (3.3) and (2.16), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi + 2} - c_\alpha \alpha^m \right| < |g_k(\gamma) \gamma^n - c_\alpha \alpha^m| + \frac{\varphi^{2n} |\zeta|}{\varphi + 2} < \frac{4\varphi^{2n}}{(\varphi + 2)\varphi^{k/2}} + 1.$$

As $n \geq k + 2$, this and the fact $1/\varphi^{2n} < 1/\varphi^{k/2}$ yield

$$|\Lambda_2| < \frac{7.7}{\varphi^{k/2}}, \quad \text{where } \Lambda_2 := c_\alpha(\varphi + 2) \cdot \varphi^{-2n} \cdot \alpha^m - 1. \tag{3.11}$$

But Λ_2 is not zero. Indeed, if Λ_2 were zero, we would then get that $\varphi^{2n}/(\varphi + 2) = c_\alpha \alpha^m$. Using the \mathbb{Q} -automorphism $(\alpha\beta)$ of the Galois extension $\mathbb{Q}(\varphi, \alpha, \beta)$ over \mathbb{Q} we get $33 < \varphi^{2n}/(\varphi + 2) = |c_\beta| |\beta|^m < 1$, which is impossible. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 2.1 with

$$s := 3, \quad (\eta_1, b_1) := (c_\alpha(\varphi + 2), 1), \quad (\eta_2, b_2) := (\varphi, -2n), \quad \text{and } (\eta_3, b_3) := (\alpha, m).$$

We have $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\varphi, \alpha)$ and $d_{\mathbb{K}} = 6$. The fact that $m \leq 3.5n$, for $n \geq 5$, implies that we can choose $B := 3.5n$. On the other hand, since

$$h(\eta_2) = \frac{\log \varphi}{2}, \quad h(\eta_3) = \frac{\log \alpha}{3},$$

and

$$h(\eta_1) \leq h(c_\alpha) + h(\varphi) + h(2) + \log 2 \leq \frac{\log 23}{3} + \frac{\log \varphi}{2} + 2 \log 2 < 2.68,$$

using the original expressions of φ, α , we can take

$$A_1 := 16.08 > \max\{6h(\eta_1), |\log \eta_1|, 0.16\},$$

$$A_2 := 1.45 > \max\{6h(\eta_2), |\log \eta_2|, 0.16\},$$

and

$$A_3 := 0.58 > \max\{6h(\eta_3), |\log \eta_3|, 0.16\}.$$



From Theorem 2.1, we get

$$|\Lambda_2| > \exp(-4.6 \times 10^{14} \log n), \tag{3.12}$$

where we have used the fact that $1 + \log(3.5n) < 2.4 \log n$, for $n \geq 5$. Putting (3.11) and (3.12) together, we obtain

$$k < 1.92 \times 10^{15} \log n.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and using the fact that $36.7 + 5 \log k + 3 \log \log k < 12.3 \log k$ for $k > 350$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k &< 1.92 \times 10^{15} \log(8 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k) \\ &< 1.92 \times 10^{15} (36.7 + 5 \log k + 3 \log \log k) \\ &< 2.37 \times 10^{16} \log k. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above inequality gives

$$k < 9.82 \times 10^{17},$$

and from Lemma 3.1 once again, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 8 \cdot 10^{15} (9.82 \cdot 10^{17})^{15} (\log(9.82 \cdot 10^{17}))^3 < 5.2 \times 10^{110} \\ m &< 3.5n < 3.5 \times 5.2 \times 10^{110} = 1.82 \times 10^{111}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Define

$$\Gamma_2 := m \log \alpha - 2n \log \varphi + \log(c_\alpha(\varphi + 2)) = \log(\Lambda_2 + 1).$$

By a similar method used to prove inequality (3.9), we see that

$$0 < |\Gamma_2| < \frac{15.4}{\varphi^{k/2}}, \tag{3.14}$$

for $k > 350$. Replacing Γ_2 in the above inequality and dividing across by $\log \varphi$, one gets

$$0 < \left| m \left(\frac{\log \alpha}{\log \varphi} \right) - 2n + \frac{\log(c_\alpha(\varphi + 2))}{\log \varphi} \right| < 32.1 \cdot \varphi^{-k/2}. \tag{3.15}$$

With the goal to apply Lemma 2.2, we put

$$\widehat{\gamma} := \frac{\log \alpha}{\log \varphi}, \quad \mu := \frac{\log(c_\alpha(\varphi + 2))}{\log \varphi}, \quad A := 32.1 \quad \text{and} \quad B := \varphi.$$

The bounds (3.13) enable us to take $M := 1.82 \times 10^{111}$. Using Maple, we find that q_{231} satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2, and we get

$$\frac{k}{2} < 550. \tag{3.16}$$

With this new upper bound for k , we obtain

$$n < 4.43 \times 10^{33} \quad \text{and} \quad m < 1.56 \times 10^{34}.$$

We apply again Lemma 2.2 with $M := 1.56 \times 10^{34}$ and $q = q_{65}$ in this time, we get $k < 356$. Hence, we deduce

$$n < 9.3 \times 10^{30} \quad \text{and} \quad m < 3.26 \times 10^{31}.$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 for the third time but with $M := 3.26 \times 10^{31}$ and $q = q_{60}$. In this application, we get $k < 330$, which contradicts our assumption that $k > 350$. Hence, we have shown that there are no solutions (n, k, m) to Eq. (1.2) with $k > 350$. \square

All these steps complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 *k*-Pell numbers which are Perrin numbers

In this section, we will show Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 and will be done also in four steps. For the sake of completeness, we will give most of the details.

4.1 Setup

In this step, we will study the Diophantine equation (1.3) for $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$ and we will give an elementary relation between n and m for $n \geq k + 2$.

It is known that for $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$, we have

$$P_n^{(k)} = F_{2n-1}.$$

In [9], the authors showed that $E \cap F = \{2, 3, 5\}$. Hence, we conclude that the solutions of (1.3) in this range are $P_2^{(k)} = 2 = E_2 = E_4$, and $P_3^{(k)} = 5 = E_5 = E_6$, for $k \geq 3$.

From now on, we assume that $n \geq k + 2$. We will show that Diophantine equation (1.3) has no solution in this range.

Combining inequalities (2.15) and (2.9) with Eq. (1.3), one obtains

$$\gamma^{n-2} \leq \alpha^{m+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^{m-3} \leq \gamma^{n-1},$$

i.e.

$$(n - 2) \left(\frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha} \right) - 1 \leq m \leq (n - 1) \left(\frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha} \right) + 3.$$

This and the fact that $\varphi^2(1 - \varphi^{-3}) < \gamma < \varphi^2$, for $k \geq 2$, give

$$2.4n - 5.9 < m < 3.5n - 0.4. \tag{4.1}$$

4.2 An inequality for n in terms of m and k

In this step, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *If (m, n, k) is a solution in integers of Eq. (1.3) with $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq k + 2$ then the inequalities*

$$0.28m < n < 7.3 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k \tag{4.2}$$

hold.

Proof Using identities (2.5) and (2.14), we express (1.3) into the form

$$g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n + e_k(n) = \alpha^m + \beta^m + \bar{\beta}^m,$$

which gives

$$|g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n - \alpha^m| < 2.3. \tag{4.3}$$

We deduce that

$$|\Lambda_3| < 2.3 \cdot \alpha^{-m}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda_3 := g_k(\gamma) \cdot \gamma^n \cdot \alpha^{-m} - 1. \tag{4.4}$$

To establish (4.2), we will apply Theorem 2.1 with the following parameters

$$s := 3, \quad (\eta_1, b_1) := (g_k(\gamma), 1), \quad (\eta_2, b_2) := (\gamma, n), \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta_3, b_3) := (\alpha, -m).$$

The field $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\gamma, \alpha)$ contains η_1, η_2, η_3 and $d_{\mathbb{K}} \leq 3k$. Since

$$h(\eta_1) < 3.1k \log k, \quad h(\eta_2) = (\log \gamma)/k < (2 \log \varphi)/k \quad h(\eta_3) = (\log \alpha)/3,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{3kh(\eta_1), |\log \eta_1|, 0.16\} &\leq 9.3k^2 \log k := A_1, \\ \max\{3kh(\eta_2), |\log \eta_2|, 0.16\} &\leq 6 \log \varphi := A_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\max\{3kh(\eta_3), |\log \eta_3|, 0.16\} \leq k \log \alpha := A_3.$$

In addition, we can take $B := 3.5n$ (see (4.1)). Before applying Theorem 2.1, we need to show that $\Lambda_3 \neq 0$. Suppose the contrary, i.e. $\Lambda_3 = 0$. This implies that

$$g_k(\gamma) = \alpha^m \gamma^{-n}.$$

Hence, $g_k(\gamma)$ is an algebraic integer, which is false. Thus, $\Lambda_3 \neq 0$. Therefore, we apply Theorem 2.1 to get a lower bound for $|\Lambda_3|$ and compare this with inequality (4.4). It follows that

$$m \log \alpha - \log 2.3 < 9.74 \times 10^{12} k^5 \log k (1 + \log 3k)(1 + \log 3.5n).$$

Taking into account the facts $1 + \log 3k < 3 \log k$ and $1 + \log 3.5n < 2.4 \log n$, which hold for $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq 5$, we get

$$m < 2.5 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k \log n.$$

The above inequality and (4.1) lead to

$$\frac{n}{\log n} < 1.05 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k. \tag{4.5}$$

So, if we put $A := 1.05 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k$ in (3.6), then (4.5) together with $32.3 + 5 \log k + 2 \log \log k < 34.6 \log k$, which holds for $k \geq 3$, imply

$$\begin{aligned} n &< 2(1.05 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k) \log(1.05 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k) \\ &< (2.1 \times 10^{14} k^5 \log^2 k)(32.3 + 5 \log k + 2 \log \log k) \\ &< 7.3 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have finished the proof of the lemma. □

4.3 The case $3 \leq k \leq 380$

In this step, we study the case when $k \in [3, 380]$. We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 *The Diophantine equation (1.3) has no solution when $k \in [3, 380]$ and $n \geq k + 2$.*

Proof Let

$$\Gamma_3 := n \log \gamma - m \log \alpha + \log(g_k(\gamma)) = \log(\Lambda_3 + 1). \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, (4.4) can be rewritten as

$$|e^{\Gamma_3} - 1| < 2.3 \cdot \alpha^{-m}. \tag{4.7}$$

Using the method employed to obtain (3.9), we get

$$0 < |\Gamma_3| < 4.6\alpha^{-m}. \tag{4.8}$$

Inserting (4.6) in (4.8) and dividing across by $\log \alpha$, we have

$$\left| n \left(\frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha} \right) - m + \frac{\log(g_k(\gamma))}{\log \alpha} \right| < \frac{4.6}{\log \alpha} \cdot \alpha^{-m} < 16.4 \cdot \alpha^{-m}. \tag{4.9}$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.2 on Γ_3 , we set

$$\widehat{\gamma} := \frac{\log \gamma}{\log \alpha}, \quad \mu := \frac{\log(g_k(\gamma))}{\log \alpha}, \quad A := 16.4, \quad \text{and} \quad B := \alpha.$$

As seen before, we have $\widehat{\gamma} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

For each $k \in [3, 380]$, we find a good approximation of $\widehat{\gamma}$ and a convergent p_ℓ/q_ℓ of the continued fraction of $\widehat{\gamma}$ such that $q_\ell > 6M_k$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k) = ||\mu q_\ell|| - M_k ||\widehat{\gamma} q_\ell|| > 0$, where $M_k = \lfloor 7.3 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k \rfloor$, which is an upper bound of $n - 1$ from Lemma 4.1. After doing this, we use Lemma 2.2 on inequality (4.9). A computer search with Mathematica revealed that the maximum value of $\frac{\log(Aq_\ell/\varepsilon)}{\log B}$ over all $k \in [3, 380]$ is $277.974 \dots$, which according to Lemma 2.2 is an upper bound on m . Hence, we deduce that the possible solutions (m, n, k) of Eq. (1.3) for which $k \in [3, 380]$ have $m \leq 278$, therefore $n \leq 119$, since $n < (m + 6)/2.4$.

Finally, we used Mathematica to compare $P_n^{(k)}$ and E_m for the range $5 \leq n \leq 119$ and $7 \leq m \leq 278$, with $m < n/0.28$ and checked that Eq. (1.3) has no solution. \square

4.4 The case $k > 380$

In this final step, we analyze the case $k > 380$ and prove the following result.

Lemma 4.3 *The Diophantine equation (1.3) has no solution when $k > 380$ and $n \geq k + 2$.*

Proof For $k > 380$, we have

$$0.28m < n < 7.3 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k < \varphi^{k/2}.$$

So, from (4.3) and (2.16), one gets

$$\left| \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi + 2} - \alpha^m \right| \leq |g_k(\gamma)\gamma^n - \alpha^m| + \frac{\varphi^{2n} |\zeta|}{\varphi + 2} \leq 2.3 + \frac{4\varphi^{2n}}{(\varphi + 2)\varphi^{k/2}}$$

which gives

$$\left| 1 - (\varphi + 2) \cdot \varphi^{-2n} \cdot \alpha^m \right| < \frac{12.4}{\varphi^{k/2}}, \tag{4.10}$$

where we have used the fact that $1/2^{n-1} < 1/2^{k/2}$ as $n \geq k + 2$. We use Theorem 2.1 to obtain a lower bound to the left-hand side of inequality (4.10). We consider

$$s := 3, \quad (\eta_1, b_1) := (\varphi + 2, 1), \quad (\eta_2, b_2) := (\varphi, -2n) \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta_3, b_3) := (\alpha, m).$$

One can see that $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in \mathbb{K} := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{5})$ so $d_{\mathbb{K}} = 6$. The left-hand side of (4.10) is not zero. Indeed, if this were zero, then we would get $\alpha^m = \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi + 2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. But $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and so $m = 0$, which is impossible.

The fact that $m \leq 3.5n$ implies that we can choose $B := 3.5n$. On the other hand, since

$$h(\eta_1) \leq h(\varphi) + h(2) + \log 2 \leq \frac{\log \varphi}{2} + 2 \log 2, \quad h(\eta_2) = \frac{\log \varphi}{2}, \quad h(\eta_3) = \frac{\log \alpha}{3},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{6h(\eta_1), |\log \eta_1|, 0.16\} &< 9.8 := A_1, \\ \max\{6h(\eta_1), |\log \eta_1|, 0.16\} &< 1.45 := A_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\max\{6h(\eta_2), |\log \eta_2|, 0.16\} < 0.57 := A_3.$$



So, Theorem 2.1 tells us that

$$|1 - (\varphi + 2) \cdot \varphi^{-2n} \cdot \alpha^m| > \exp(-1.51 \times 10^{12} \log n), \tag{4.11}$$

where we have used the fact that $1 + \log(3.5n) < 2.4 \log n$, for $n \geq 5$. Comparing (4.10) and (4.11), we obtain

$$k < 6.28 \times 10^{12} \log n.$$

By Lemma 4.1 and using the fact that $36.6 + 5 \log k + 3 \log \log k < 12.2 \log k$ for $k > 380$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k &< 6.28 \times 10^{12} \log(7.3 \times 10^{15} k^5 \log^3 k) \\ &< 6.28 \times 10^{12} (36.6 + 5 \log k + 3 \log \log k) \\ &< 7.67 \times 10^{13} \log k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$k < 2.73 \times 10^{15}.$$

Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$n < 5 \times 10^{97} \quad \text{and} \quad m < 1.8 \times 10^{98}. \tag{4.12}$$

Put

$$\Gamma_4 := m \log \alpha - 2n \log \varphi + \log(\varphi + 2).$$

Using a method similar to the one used to prove the inequality (3.9), we show that

$$0 < |\Gamma_4| < \frac{24.8}{\varphi^{k/2}}, \tag{4.13}$$

for $k > 380$. Replacing Γ_4 in the above inequality and dividing across by $\log \varphi$, one gets

$$0 < \left| m \left(\frac{\log \alpha}{\log \varphi} \right) - 2n + \frac{\log(\varphi + 2)}{\log \varphi} \right| < 51.6 \cdot \varphi^{-k/2}. \tag{4.14}$$

In order to apply Lemma 2.2, we put

$$\hat{\gamma} := \frac{\log \alpha}{\log \varphi}, \quad \mu := \frac{\log(\varphi + 2)}{\log \varphi}, \quad A := 51.6 \quad \text{and} \quad B := \varphi.$$

The bounds (3.13) enable us to take $M := 1.8 \times 10^{98}$. Using Maple, we find that q_{200} satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2, and we get

$$\frac{k}{2} < 491. \tag{4.15}$$

With this new upper bound on k we get

$$n < 2.19 \times 10^{33} \quad \text{and} \quad m < 7.83 \times 10^{33}.$$

We apply again Lemma 2.2 with $X_0 := 7.83 \times 10^{33}$ and $q = q_{66}$ in this time, we get $k < 366$, which contradicts our assumption that $k > 380$. Hence, we have shown that there are no solutions (n, k, m) to Eq. (1.3) with $k > 380$. □

Therefore, Theorem 1.2 is proved.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the referees for the numerous comments made to improve the quality of the first version of our paper.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Funding No fundings were received for this study.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Baker, A.; Davenport, H.: The equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **2**(20), 129–137 (1969)
2. Bravo, J.J.; Herrera, J.L.: Repdigits in generalized Pell sequences. *Archivum Mathematicum* **56**(4), 249–262 (2020)
3. Bravo, J.J.; Herrera, J.L.; Luca, F.: On a generalization of the Pell sequence. *Math. Bohema.* **146**(2), 199–213 (2021)
4. Bugeaud, Y.; Maurice, M.; Siksek, S.: Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers. *Annals of Mathematics* **163**, 969–1018 (2006)
5. Dujella, A.; Pethő, A.: A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **2**(49), 291–306 (1998)
6. Khinchin, A. Ya.: *Continued Fractions*. Dover (1997).
7. Kiliç, E.: On the usual Fibonacci and generalized order- k Pell numbers. *Ars Combin* **109**, 391–403 (2013)
8. Matveev, E.M.: An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers. II. *Izv. Math.* **64**(6), 1217–1269 (2000)
9. Rihane, S.E.; Togbé, A.: k -Fibonacci numbers which are Padovan or Perrin numbers. *Indian J Pure Appl Math* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-022-00276-z>
10. Rihane, S.E.; Togbé, A.: On the intersection of Padovan, Perrin sequences and Pell. Pell-Lucas sequences. *Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae* **54**, 57–71 (2021)
11. N. J. A. Sloane, *The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at <https://oeis.org>.
12. de Weger, B.M.M.: Padua and Pisa are exponentially far apart. *Publ. Matemàtiques* **41**(2), 631–651 (1997)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

