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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to examine necessary and sufficient conditions for a Frenet curve to be $f$ harmonic, $f$-biharmonic, bi- $f$-harmonic and $f$-biminimal in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifolds. In addition, such conditions are investigated for slant curves.
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## 1 Introduction

The concept of $f$-Kenmotsu manifold was defined for the first time in [9] by Jannsens and Vanhecke, where $f$ is a real constant. Subsequently, Olszak and Rosca [15] investigated normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds and gave a differential geometric interpretation of such manifolds which are called $f$-Kenmotsu manifolds, where $f$ is a function on $M$, [15].

On the other hand, in [7], Eells and Sampson defined harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, and in [6], Lemaire and Eells studied various topics in harmonic maps. On the other hand, Mangione published a paper which he considered harmonic maps in $f$-Kenmotsu manifold, in [13]. These maps are widely studied as they have an comprehensive field of study due to their wide applications.

In [7], Eells and Sampson studied not only harmonic maps, but also biharmonic maps between the Riemannian manifolds by generalizing harmonic maps. Besides, in [20], Perktaş et al. studied biharmonic curves in three-dimensional $f$-Kenmotsu manifold for the first time.
$f$-Harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds were introduced by Lichnerowicz in 1970 and then examined by Eells and Lemaire, in [6]. $f$-Harmonic maps, as the solution of inhomogeneous Heisenberg spin systems and continuous spin systems, are of interest not only for mathematicians but also for physicists [2].

In [12], Lu defined $f$-biharmonic maps, which are the generalization of biharmonic maps. He also studied $f$-biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, in [5]. Besides, Ou [16] gave a complete classification of $f$-biharmonic curves in three-dimensional Euclidean space and characterization of $f$-biharmonic curves in $n$-dimensional space forms.

[^0]Bi- $f$-harmonic maps as a generalization of biharmonic and $f$-harmonic maps were introduced by Ouakkas et al. [17]. In addition, Roth defined a non- $f$-harmonic, $f$-biharmonic map called as a proper $f$-biharmonic map [21]. In [19], Perktaş et al. obtained bi- $f$-harmonicity conditions for curves in Riemannian manifolds and discussed the particular cases of the Euclidean space, unit sphere and hyperbolic space.

Finally, Loubeau and Montaldo [11] studied biminimal curves in a Riemannian manifold. Moreover, Perktaş et al. handled these types of curves in $f$-Kenmotsu manifolds in [20]. On the other hand, Karaca and Özgür defined $f$-biminimal immersions and they handled $f$-biminimal curves in a Riemannian manifold, in [8].

This paper, which we prepared with the inspiration got from these studies, is organized as follows. In Sects. 2 and 3, we give basic definitions and properties of Frenet curves in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifolds which will be needed in other sections, respectively. In Sect. 4, we prove that there is no proper $f$ harmonic Frenet curve in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold. In Sect. 5, we derive the $f$-biharmonicity conditions for a Frenet curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and give a nonexistence theorem. In Sect. 6, we get bi- $f$-harmonicity conditions not only for a Frenet curve but also a slant and a Legendre curve in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifolds. Finally, in the last section, we investigate $f$-biminimality conditions.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we remind some definitions and propositions which will be needed throughout the paper.
A differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ is called an almost contact metric manifold with the almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ if it admits a tensor field $\varphi$ of type $(1,1)$, a vector field $\xi$, a 1-form $\eta$, and a Riemannian metric tensor field $g$ satisfying the following conditions [3]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi^{2}=-I+\eta \otimes \xi \\
& \eta(\xi)=1, \quad \varphi \xi=0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi=0, \quad \eta(X)=g(X, \xi) \\
& g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity transformation and $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$.
An almost contact metric manifold is said to be an $f$-Kenmotsu manifold if the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y & =f(g(\varphi X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) \varphi X)  \tag{2.2}\\
\nabla_{X} \xi & =f(X-\eta(X) \xi) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is a strictly positive differentiable function on $M$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, [9]. Here, if $f$ is equal to a nonzero constant $\beta$, then the manifold is called a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold $[9,14]$. In particular, if $\beta=1$, then the manifold is known as a Kenmotsu manifold [10].
For an $f$-Kenmotsu manifold, the curvature tensor field equation is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & \left(\frac{r}{2}+2\left(f^{2}+f^{\prime}\right)\right)(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y) \\
& -\left(\frac{r}{2}+3\left(f^{2}+f^{\prime}\right)\right)(g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi-g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi-\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y+\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X, Y, Z \in T M$ and $r$ is the scalar curvature of $M$. [13].
Definition $2.1 \gamma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$ is called a slant curve if the contact angle $\theta: I \rightarrow[0,2 \pi)$ of given by $\cos \theta(s)=g(T(s), \xi)$ is a constant function.
In particular, if $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ (or $\frac{3 \pi}{2}$ ), then is called a Legendre curve, [4].
Remark 2.2 For a slant curve in a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold, we have [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(N)=-\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\sin \theta| \leq \min \frac{k_{1}}{\beta}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(B)=\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Remark 2.3 For a Legendre curve in a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=-\xi, \quad k_{1}=\beta, \quad k_{2}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, a Legendre curve in a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold is a circle $[4,20]$.
Definition 2.4 Let $(M, g)$ and $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be Riemannian manifolds. Then, a harmonic map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is defined as the critical point of the energy functional

$$
E(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\mathrm{~d} \psi|^{2} v_{g}
$$

where $v_{g}$ is the volume element of $(M, g)$. Using Euler-Lagrange equation of the energy functional $E(\psi)$, where $\tau(\psi)$ is the tension field of map $\psi$, a map is called as harmonic if

$$
\tau(\psi):=\operatorname{trace} \nabla \mathrm{d} \psi=0
$$

Here, $\nabla$ is the connection induced from the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\bar{M}}$ of $\bar{M}$ and the pull-back connection $\nabla^{\psi}[7,8]$.

As a natural generalization of harmonic maps, biharmonic maps are defined as below.
Definition 2.5 A map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is defined as biharmonic if it is a critical point, for all variations, of the bienergy functional

$$
E_{2}(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\tau(\psi)|^{2} v_{g}
$$

Namely, $\psi$ is a biharmonic map if $\tau_{2}(\psi)$ which is the bitension field of $\psi$ equals to

$$
\tau_{2}(\psi)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\nabla^{\psi} \nabla^{\psi}-\nabla_{\nabla}^{\psi}\right) \tau(\psi)-\operatorname{trace}\left(R^{\bar{M}}(\mathrm{~d} \psi, \tau(\psi)) \mathrm{d} \psi\right)=0
$$

Here, $R^{\bar{M}}$, the curvature tensor field of $\bar{M}$, is defined as

$$
R^{\bar{M}}(X, Y) Z=\nabla_{X}^{\bar{M}} \nabla_{Y}^{\bar{M}} Z-\nabla_{Y}^{\bar{M}} \nabla_{X}^{\bar{M}} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]}^{\bar{M}} Z
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T \bar{M})$ and $\nabla^{\psi}$ is the pull-back connection [7,8].
Note that harmonic maps are always biharmonic and biharmonic maps which are not harmonic are called proper biharmonic maps [18].
Definition 2.6 A map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is said to be an $f$-harmonic if it is critical point of $f$-energy functional

$$
E_{f}(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} f|\mathrm{~d} \psi|^{2} v_{g}
$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a positive smooth function. Then, the $f$-harmonic map equation obtained using Euler-Lagrange equation as follows:

$$
\tau_{f}(\psi)=f \tau(\psi)+\mathrm{d} \psi(\operatorname{grad} f)=0
$$

where $\tau_{f}(\psi)$ is the $f$-tension field of the map $\psi$.
Note that $f$-harmonic maps are generalizations of harmonic maps [1].
Definition 2.7 A map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is said to be an $f$-biharmonic if it is critical point of the $f$-bienergy functional

$$
E_{2, f}(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} f|\tau(\psi)|^{2} v_{g}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the $f$-biharmonic map is given by

$$
\tau_{2, f}(\psi)=f \tau_{2}(\psi)+\Delta f \tau(\psi)+2 \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f}^{\psi} \tau(\psi)=0
$$

where $\tau_{2, f}(\psi)$ is the $f$-bitension field of the map $\psi[5,8]$.

Remark 2.8 An $f$-biharmonic map turns into a biharmonic map if $f$ is a constant.
Definition 2.9 A map $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is said to be a bi- $f$-harmonic if it is a critical point of the bi- $f$-energy functional

$$
E_{f, 2}(\psi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left|\tau_{f}(\psi)\right|^{2} v_{g}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation for the bi- $f$-harmonic map is given by

$$
\tau_{f, 2}(\psi)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\nabla^{\psi} f\left(\nabla^{\psi} \tau_{f}(\psi)\right)-f \nabla_{\nabla M}^{\psi} \tau_{f}(\psi)+f R^{\bar{M}}\left(\tau_{f}(\psi), \mathrm{d} \psi\right) \mathrm{d} \psi\right)=0\right.
$$

where $\tau_{f, 2}(\psi)$ is the bi- $f$-tension field of the map $\psi$ [19].
Definition 2.10 An immersion $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is called biminimal if it is critical point of the bienergy functional $E_{2}(\psi)$ for variations normal to the image $\psi(M) \subset \bar{M}$, with fixed energy. Equivalently, there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\psi$ is a critical point of the $\lambda$-bienergy

$$
E_{2, \lambda}(\psi)=E_{2}(\psi)+\lambda E(\psi)
$$

for any smooth variation of the map $\left.\psi_{t}:\right]-\epsilon,+\epsilon\left[, \psi_{0}=\psi\right.$, such that $V=\mathrm{d} \psi_{t} /\left.\mathrm{d} t\right|_{t_{0}}$ is normal to $\psi(M)$ [11]. The Euler-Lagrange equation for a $\lambda$-biminimal immersion is

$$
\left[\tau_{2, \lambda}(\psi)\right]^{\perp}=\left[\tau_{2}(\psi)\right]^{\perp}-\lambda[\tau(\psi)]^{\perp}=0
$$

for some value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, where [. $]^{\perp}$ denotes the normal component of [.].
An immersion is called free biminimal if it is biminimal for $\lambda=0[8,11]$.
Definition 2.11 An immersion $\psi:(M, g) \rightarrow(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is called $f$-biminimal if it is a critical point of the $f$ bienergy functional $E_{2, f}(\psi)$ for variations normal to the image $\psi(M) \subset \bar{M}$, with fixed energy. Equivalently, there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\psi$ is a critical point of the $\lambda$ - $f$-bienergy

$$
E_{2, \lambda, f}(\psi)=E_{2, f}(\psi)+\lambda E_{f}(\psi)
$$

for any smooth variation of the map $\left.\psi_{t}:\right]-\epsilon,+\epsilon\left[, \psi_{0}=\psi\right.$. Using the Euler-Lagrange equations for $f$-harmonic and $f$-biharmonic maps, an immersion is $f$-biminimal if

$$
\left[\tau_{2, \lambda, f}(\psi)\right]^{\perp}=\left[\tau_{2, f}(\psi)\right]^{\perp}-\lambda\left[\tau_{f}(\psi)\right]^{\perp}=0
$$

for some value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
An immersion is called free $f$-biminimal if it is $f$-biminimal for $\lambda=0$. If $f$ is a constant, then the immersion is biminimal [8].

## 3 Frenet curves in three-dimensional $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Kenmotsu manifold

Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a non-geodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$. The Serret-Frenet frame defined on $\gamma$ denoted by $T=\gamma^{\prime}(s), N, B$ which are the tangent, the principal normal, and the binormal vector fields, respectively. Here, Serret-Frenet formulas are given as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{T} T=k_{1} N  \tag{3.1}\\
\nabla_{T} N=-k_{1} T+k_{2} B \\
\nabla_{T} B=-k_{2} N
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are the curvature and the torsion of the curve, respectively.
Using these Serret-Frenet formulas, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{T} T=k_{1} N  \tag{3.2}\\
& \nabla_{T}^{2} T=-k_{1}^{2} T+k_{1}^{\prime} N+k_{1} k_{2} B  \tag{3.3}\\
& \nabla_{T}^{3} T=-3 k_{1} k_{1}^{\prime} T+\left(-k_{1}^{3}-k_{1} k_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) N+\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right) B \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and by substituting (3.2) to the curvature tensor formula (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R\left(T, \nabla_{T} T\right) T= & -k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}\right) N \\
& -k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left[\eta(N) \eta(T) T-\eta(T)^{2} N-\eta(N) \xi\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of these calculations, we shall present $f$-harmonicity, $f$-biharmonicity, bi- $f$-harmonicity, and $f$-biminimality conditions of a Frenet curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold as in the following sections.

## $4 \boldsymbol{f}$-Harmonic curves in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold

In this section, we investigate the $f$-harmonicity condition for a curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold. Let $\gamma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold. Then, via definition (2.6), the $f$-harmonicity condition given as below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{f}(\gamma)=f^{\prime} T+f \nabla_{T} T=f^{\prime} T+f\left(k_{1} N\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.1), we get following nonexistence theorem.
Theorem 4.1 There is no proper $f$-harmonic Frenet curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.
Proof Using the condition given in (4.1), it is easy to see that $f^{\prime}=0$, so $f$ is a constant. This situation contradicts the definition of a proper $f$-harmonic curve.

## $5 f$-Biharmonic curves in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold

Here, we derive the $f$-biharmonicity condition for a curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold. By substituting (3.2)-(3.5) in the equation of $f$-bitension field $\tau_{2, f}(\gamma), f$-biharmonicity condition is obtained as below

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{2, f}(\gamma)= & f \tau_{2}(\gamma)+(\Delta f) \tau(\gamma)+2 \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f}^{\gamma} \tau(\gamma) \\
= & f\left(\nabla_{T}^{3} T-R\left(T, \nabla_{T} T\right) T\right)+f^{\prime \prime} \nabla_{T} T+2 f^{\prime} \nabla_{T}^{2} T \\
= & {\left[-3 k_{1} k_{1}^{\prime} f+k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(T)-2 k_{1}^{2} f^{\prime}\right] T } \\
& +\left[\left(-k_{1}^{3}-k_{1} k_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{\prime \prime}+k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}\right)-k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(T)^{2}\right) f+2 k_{1}^{\prime} f^{\prime}+k_{1} f^{\prime \prime}\right] N \\
& +\left[\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right) f+2 k_{1} k_{2} f^{\prime}\right] B \\
& -k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \xi \\
= & 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the scalar product of (5.1) with $T, N$ and $B$, respectively, we can state the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 k_{1}^{\prime} f+2 k_{1} f^{\prime}=0,  \tag{5.2}\\
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k_{1}}-\frac{r}{2}-2 \beta^{2}+\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)-2 \frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}=0, \\
\frac{2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}}{k_{1}}+k_{2}^{\prime}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)+2 k_{2} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following nonexistence theorems about $f$-biharmonic curves in threedimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifolds.

Theorem 5.2 There does not exist an $f$-biharmonic Frenet curve with constant curvature $k_{1}$ in a threedimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Proof Let $k_{1}$ be a constant. Then, the first equation of (5.2) reduces to $2 k_{1} f^{\prime}=0$. Here, it is easy to see that $f$ becomes a constant. This situation contradicts the definition of an $f$-biharmonic curve.

Theorem 5.3 There does not exist an $f$-biharmonic Legendre curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Proof For a Legendre curve in a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold, it is well known that $k_{1}=\beta$ where $\beta$ is a constant, [4]. Therefore, the assumption $k_{1} \neq$ constant contradicts the definition of a $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Theorem 5.4 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if $r, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
k_{2}^{\prime}-k_{2} \frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $c$ is the integration constant.
Proof From the first equation of (5.2), it is easy to see that $f$ equals to $c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Then, by substituting $f$ and its derivatives into the second and third equation of (5.2), the proof is completed.

Next, we shall examine some special cases for an $f$-biharmonic curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Case 5-I: If $k_{2}=0$, then (5.3) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, if we assume that $\eta(N)=0$, then we obtain that $\gamma$ is a Legendre curve. However, it is well known that for a Legendre curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold $\eta(N)=-1$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, in the second equation of (5.4), $\eta(N)$ cannot be zero. In this case, we have following two subcases:

Subcase 5-I-1: If $\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)=0$, then (5.4) reduces to

$$
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}
$$

Then, we conclude the following theorem.
Theorem 5.5 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold of constant scalar curvature $r=-6 \beta^{2}$ and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a non-geodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$ with $k_{1} \neq$ constant and $k_{2}=0$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
k_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}-\beta^{2}}
$$

where $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $c$ is the integration constant.

Subcase 5-I-2: If $\eta(B)=0$, then (5.4) reduces to

$$
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\xi=\eta(T) T+\eta(N) N$ and $(\eta(T))^{2}+(\eta(N))^{2}=1$, we give the following theorem.
Theorem 5.6 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$ with $k_{1} \neq$ constant, $k_{2}=0$ and $\eta(B)=0$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if $k_{1}$ satisfy the following differential equation:

$$
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}-\beta^{2},
$$

where $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $c$ is the integration constant.
Case 5-II: If $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$, then (5.3) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right),  \tag{5.5}\\
k_{2} \frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}+\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.7 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$ with $k_{1} \neq$ constant and $k_{2}=$ constant. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
f=c\left(\mathrm{e}^{\left.\int \frac{1}{k_{2}}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B) \mathrm{d} s\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right.
$$

and $k_{1}, k_{2}, r$ satisfy the following differential equation:

$$
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}+\frac{k_{2} k_{1}^{\prime}\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)}{k_{1} \eta(N) \eta(B)} .
$$

Proof From second equation of (5.5), we obtain that

$$
k_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{-\int \frac{1}{k_{2}}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B) \mathrm{d} s} .
$$

Then, by substituting this result to the first equation of $(5.5)$ and the formula $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, the proof is completed.

Now, assume that $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ is a slant curve such that $N$ is non-parallel to $\xi$. By means of Definition 2.1, Remark 2.2 and Theorem 5.1, the following theorem and corollary are obtained.

Theorem 5.8 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 k_{1}^{\prime} f+2 k_{1} f^{\prime}=0,  \tag{5.6}\\
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k_{1}}+2 \frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right), \\
\frac{2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}}{k_{1}}+k_{2}^{\prime}+2 k_{2} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{1} \neq$ constant.

Corollary 5.9 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a non-geodesic slant curve. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right),  \tag{5.7}\\
k_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}}{k_{1}}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{1} \neq$ constant, $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, and $c$ is the integration constant.
Now, we discuss some special cases for a slant $f$-biharmonic curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Case 5-III: If $k_{1} \neq$ constant and $k_{2}=0$, then (5.6) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 k_{1}^{\prime} f+2 k_{1} f^{\prime}=0  \tag{5.8}\\
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k_{1}}+2 \frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right), \\
\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we get the following theorem:
Theorem 5.10 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve. Then, for $k_{1} \neq$ constant and $k_{2}=0, \gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if $M$ is of constant scalar curvature $r=-6 \beta^{2}$ and

$$
f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad k_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}-\beta^{2}}
$$

Case 5-IV: If $k_{1} \neq$ constant and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$, then (5.6) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 k_{1}^{\prime} f+2 k_{1} f^{\prime}=0  \tag{5.9}\\
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k_{1}}+2 \frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right) \\
\frac{2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}}{k_{1}}+2 k_{2} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using first equation of (5.9), we get $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Then, by substituting this result to the second and third equation of (5.9), we conclude the following.

Theorem 5.11 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve with $k_{1} \neq$ constant and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biharmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{k_{1}^{\prime}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
\frac{k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}}{k_{1}}+\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f=c k_{1}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $c$ is the integration constant.


## $6 \mathrm{Bi}-f$-harmonic curves in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold

In this section, we derive the bi- $f$-harmonicity condition for a Frenet curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold. Using Eqs. (3.2)-(3.5) in the equation of bi- $f$-tension field $\tau_{f, 2}(\gamma)$, see [19], we obtain bi- $f$ harmonicity condition as below

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{f, 2}(\gamma)= & \operatorname{trace}\left(\nabla^{\gamma} f\left(\nabla^{\gamma} \tau_{f}(\gamma)\right)-f \nabla_{\nabla}^{\gamma} \tau_{f}(\gamma)+f R\left(\tau_{f}(\gamma), d \gamma\right) d \gamma\right) \\
= & \left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} T+\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \nabla_{T} T+4 f f^{\prime} \nabla_{T}^{2} T+f^{2} \nabla_{T}^{3} T+f^{2} R\left(\nabla_{T} T, T\right) T \\
= & {\left[\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}-3 k_{1} k_{1}^{\prime} f^{2}+f^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(T)\right] T } \\
& +\left[\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}+4 f f^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime}-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{3}+k_{1} k_{2}^{2}-k_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+f^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(T)^{2}\right)\right] N \\
& +\left[4 f f^{\prime} k_{1} k_{2}+f^{2}\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right] B \\
& -f^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \xi \\
= & 0 \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we can state the following theorem:
Theorem 6.1 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi- $f$-harmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}-3 k_{1} k_{1}^{\prime} f^{2}=0  \tag{6.2}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}+4 f f^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime}-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{3}+k_{1} k_{2}^{2}-k_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
+f^{2} k_{1}\left[\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)\right]=0
\end{array}\right. \\
4 f^{\prime} k_{1} k_{2}+f\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right)-f k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, we shall examine some special cases for the bi- $f$-harmonic curves in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Case 6-I: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=0$, then (6.2) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0  \tag{6.3}\\
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}+f^{2} k_{1}\left(-k_{1}^{2}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)\right)=0 \\
\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since any of $\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)$ or $\eta(B)$ in the third equation of (6.3) can be equal to zero, we examine Case 6-I in two subcases.

Subcase 6-I-1: If $\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)=0$, then (6.3) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 6.2 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0, k_{2}=0$ and $r=-6 \beta^{2}$. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi-f-harmonic curve if and only if $k_{1}, f, \beta$ satisfy the following differential equation:

$$
2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(5 k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) f f^{\prime}=0
$$

Corollary 6.3 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve with $k_{1}=\mathrm{constant}>0, k_{2}=0$ and $r=-6 \beta^{2}$. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi-f-harmonic curve if and only if either

$$
f(s)=c_{1} \cos \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta^{2}-5 k_{1}^{2}}{2}} s\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta^{2}-5 k_{1}^{2}}{2} s}\right)
$$

where $\beta^{2}-5 k_{1}^{2}<0$, or

$$
f(s)=c_{3} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\beta^{2}-5 k_{1}^{2}}{2}} s}+c_{4} e^{\frac{\beta^{2}-5 k_{1}^{2}}{2}} s
$$

where $\beta^{2}-5 k_{1}^{2}>0, c_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are real constants.
Subcase 6-I-2: If $\eta(B)=0$, then (6.3) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}+f^{2} k_{1}\left(-k_{1}^{2}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\xi=\eta(T) T+\eta(N) N$ and $(\eta(T))^{2}+(\eta(N))^{2}=1$, we give the following theorem.
Theorem 6.4 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$ with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0, k_{2}=0$ and $\eta(B)=0$. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi- $f$-harmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 6-II: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$, then (6.2) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0  \tag{6.4}\\
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}-f^{2} k_{1}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}-\frac{r}{2}-2 \beta^{2}+\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)\right)=0 \\
4 f^{\prime} k_{1} k_{2}-f k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we have the following.
Theorem 6.5 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi-f-harmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0 \\
3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)+f^{2}\left[\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)\right]=0 \\
4 f^{\prime} k_{2}-f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, assume that $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ is a slant curve, such that $N$ is non-parallel to $\xi$. By means of Definition 2.1, Remark 2.2 and Theorem 6.1, the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 6.6 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi- $f$-harmonic curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}-3 k_{1} k_{1}^{\prime} f^{2}=0  \tag{6.5}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}+4 f f^{\prime} k_{1}^{\prime}-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{3}+k_{1} k_{2}^{2}-k_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
+f^{2} k_{1}\left[\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)\right]=0
\end{array}\right. \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 f f^{\prime} k_{1} k_{2}+f^{2}\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
+f^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

We shall consider some special cases for bi- $f$-harmonic slant curves in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.

Case 6-III: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=0$, then (6.5) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0, \\
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}-f^{2} k_{1}^{3}+f^{2} k_{1}\left[\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)\right]=0, \\
f^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we give the following.
Theorem 6.7 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a slant curve. Then, for $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=0, \gamma$ is a bi-f-harmonic curve if and only if $M$ is of constant scalar curvature $r=-6 \beta^{2}$ and

$$
2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(5 k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) f f^{\prime}=0
$$

Case 6-IV: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$, then (6.5) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0 \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) k_{1}-f^{2}\left(k_{1}^{3}+k_{1} k_{2}^{2}\right) \\
+f^{2} k_{1}\left[\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)\right]=0
\end{array}\right. \\
4 f f^{\prime} k_{1} k_{2}-f^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 6.8 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=\mathrm{constant}>0$. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi- $f$-harmonic if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}-4 k_{1}^{2} f f^{\prime}=0 \\
3 f f^{\prime \prime}+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{2}\left[-k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)\right]=0 \\
4 f f^{\prime} k_{2}-f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, assume that $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ is a Legendre curve. By means of Definition 2.1, Remark 2.3, and Theorem 6.1, the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 6.9 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Legendre curve. Then, $\gamma$ is a bi- $f$-harmonic curve if and only if the function $f$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$
\left(f f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}+3 f^{\prime \prime} f+2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 \beta^{2} f^{\prime} f-2 \beta^{2} f^{2}=0
$$

## $7 f$-Biminimal curves in three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold

Finally, in this section, we derive the $f$-biminimality condition for a Frenet curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$ Kenmotsu manifold. The $f$-biminimality condition, see [8], obtained as below using normal components of
$f$-tension and $f$-bitension field with the help of $\lambda$ - $f$-bienergy functional

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\tau_{2, \lambda, f}(\gamma)\right]^{\perp}=} {[ } \\
&\left.\tau_{2, f}(\gamma)\right]^{\perp}-\lambda\left[\tau_{f}(\gamma)\right]^{\perp} \\
&= {\left[\left(-k_{1}^{3}-k_{1} k_{2}^{2}+k_{1}^{\prime \prime}+k_{1}\left(\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(T)^{2}-\lambda\right)\right) f+2 k_{1}^{\prime} f^{\prime}+k_{1} f^{\prime \prime}\right] N } \\
&+\left[\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right) f+2 k_{1} k_{2} f^{\prime}\right] B \\
&-k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \xi  \tag{7.1}\\
&= 0
\end{align*}
$$

Using (7.1) we obtain the following.
Theorem 7.1 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve parametrized by arclength $s$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k_{1}}+2 \frac{k_{1}^{\prime} f^{\prime}}{k_{1} f}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+2 \beta^{2}-\lambda+\frac{r}{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right),  \tag{7.2}\\
\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right) f+2 k_{1} k_{2} f^{\prime}-k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, we discuss some special cases for a $f$-biminimal curve in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.
Case 7-I: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=0$, then (7.2) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\lambda+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)  \tag{7.3}\\
k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the third equation of (7.3), $\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)$ or $\eta(B)$ can be equal to zero, so we consider Case 7-I in two subcases.

Subcase 7-I-1: If $\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)=0$, then (7.3) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\lambda \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 7-I-2: If $\eta(B)=0$, we know that $\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}=1$, which reduces (7.3) to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\lambda-\beta^{2} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since in Subcase 7-I-1, $r=-6 \beta^{2}$, then (7.4) and (7.5) overlap.
Thus, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 7.2 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0, k_{2}=0$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if either $r=-6 \beta^{2}$ or $\eta(B)=0$ and, in both cases, $f$ satisfies

$$
f(s)=c_{1} \cos \left(\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s\right)
$$

where $k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda<0$, and

$$
f(s)=c_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s}+c_{4} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s}
$$

where $k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda>0, c_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are real constants.

Case 7-II: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$, then (7.2) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\lambda+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)  \tag{7.6}\\
2 k_{2} f^{\prime}-f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right) \eta(N) \eta(B)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using second equation of (7.6) into the first equation, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 7.3 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Frenet curve with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if

$$
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\frac{2 k_{2} f^{\prime}\left(\eta(T)^{2}+\eta(N)^{2}\right)}{f \eta(N) \eta(B)}+2 \beta^{2}+\frac{r}{2}-\lambda .
$$

Now, assume that $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ is a slant curve, such that $N$ is non-parallel to $\xi$. By means of Definition 2.1, Remark 2.2, and Theorem 7.1, the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 7.4 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{k_{1}}+2 \frac{k_{1}^{\prime} f^{\prime}}{k_{1} f}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\lambda+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right),  \tag{7.7}\\
\left(2 k_{1}^{\prime} k_{2}+k_{1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right) f+2 k_{1} k_{2} f^{\prime}+k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, we examine some cases for the $f$-biminimal slant curves in a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold.
Case 7-III: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=0$, then (7.7) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\lambda+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right), \\
k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we have the following.
Theorem 7.5 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve. Then, for $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=0, \gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if $M$ is of constant curvature $r=-6 \beta^{2}$ and either

$$
\left.f(s)=c_{1} \cos \left(\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right)\right) s\right)
$$

where $k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda<0$, or

$$
f(s)=c_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s}+c_{4} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s}
$$

where $k_{1}^{2}+\beta^{2}+\lambda>0, c_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are real constants.
Case 7-IV: If $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$, then (7.7) reduces to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}-\lambda+\frac{r}{2}+2 \beta^{2}-\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{f^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)  \tag{7.8}\\
2 k_{1} k_{2} f^{\prime}+k_{1} f\left(\frac{r}{2}+3 \beta^{2}\right)\left(\frac{f}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-f^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we get

Theorem 7.6 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic slant curve with $k_{1}=$ constant $>0$ and $k_{2}=$ constant $>0$. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}= & -\frac{2 k_{2} f^{\prime}\left((\cos \theta)^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{k_{1}^{2}}(\sin \theta)^{4}\right)-3 \beta^{2}\left(f \frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)}{f\left(\frac{\beta}{k_{1}}(\sin \theta)^{2}\right)\left(\frac{|\sin \theta|}{k_{1}} \sqrt{k_{1}^{2}-\beta^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2}}\right)} \\
& +\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f}+2 \beta^{2}-\lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, assume that $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ is a Legendre curve. Via Definition 2.1, Remark 2.3, and Theorem 7.1, the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 7.7 Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a three-dimensional $\beta$-Kenmotsu manifold and $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$ be a nongeodesic Legendre curve. Then, $\gamma$ is an $f$-biminimal curve if and only if either

$$
f(s)=c_{1} \cos \left(\left(\sqrt{2 \beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s\right)+c_{2} \sin \left(\left(\sqrt{2 \beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s\right)
$$

where $2 \beta^{2}+\lambda<0$, or

$$
f(s)=c_{3} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\sqrt{2 \beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s}+c_{4} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\sqrt{2 \beta^{2}+\lambda}\right) s}
$$

where $2 \beta^{2}+\lambda>0, c_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are real constants.
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