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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a one-dimensional linear Bresse system in a bounded open interval with one infinite memory acting only on the shear angle equation. First, we establish the well posedness using the semigroup theory. Then, we prove two general (uniform and weak) decay estimates depending on the speeds of wave propagations and the arbitrary growth at infinity of the relaxation function.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a Bresse system in one-dimensional open bounded interval subjected to homogeneous Dirichlet-Neumann-Neumann boundary conditions and with the presence of one infinite memory acting on the shear angle equation. Precisely, we are concerned with the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1} \varphi_{t t}-k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)_{x}-l k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\rho_{2} \psi_{t t}-k_{2} \psi_{x x}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)+\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s=0 \\
\rho_{1} w_{t t}-k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)_{x}+l k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)=0 \\
\varphi(0, t)=\psi_{x}(0, t)=w_{x}(0, t)=\varphi(L, t)=\psi_{x}(L, t)=w_{x}(L, t)=0 \\
\varphi(x, 0)=\varphi_{0}(x), \varphi_{t}(x, 0)=\varphi_{1}(x) \\
\psi(x,-t)=\psi_{0}(x, t), \psi_{t}(x, 0)=\psi_{1}(x) \\
w(x, 0)=w_{0}(x), w_{t}(x, 0)=w_{1}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $(x, t) \in] 0, L\left[\times \mathbb{R}_{+}, g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}\right.$is a given function, and $L, l, \rho_{i}, i=1,2$, and $k_{j}, j=1,2,3$, are positive constants. The integral term in system (1.1) represents the infinite memory, and the state (unknown) is

$$
(\varphi, \psi, w):] 0, L[\times] 0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}\right.
$$
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Our objective is to establish the well posedness and the asymptotic stability of this problem in terms of the growth of $g$ at infinity and the speeds of wave propagations given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{1}}}, \quad s_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{2}}{\rho_{2}}} \text { and } s_{3}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{3}}{\rho_{1}}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bresse system is known as the circular arch problem and is given by the following equations:

$$
\rho_{1} \varphi_{t t}=Q_{x}+l N+F_{1}, \quad \rho_{2} \psi_{t t}=M_{x}-Q+F_{2}, \quad \rho_{1} w_{t t}=N_{x}-l Q+F_{3}
$$

with

$$
N=k_{0}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right), \quad Q=k\left(\varphi_{x}+l w+\psi\right) \quad \text { and } \quad M=b \psi_{x}
$$

where $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, l, k, k_{0}$ and $b$ are positive physical constants, $N, Q$ and $M$ denote, respectively, the axial force, the shear force and the bending moment, and $w, \varphi$ and $\psi$ represent, respectively, the longitudinal, vertical and shear angle displacements. Here,

$$
\rho_{1}=\rho A, \quad \rho_{2}=\rho I, \quad k_{0}=E A, \quad k=k^{\prime} G A, \quad b=E I \quad \text { and } \quad l=R^{-1}
$$

such that $\rho, E, G, k^{\prime}, A, I$ and $R$ are positive constants and denote, respectively, the density, the modulus of elasticity, the shear modulus, the shear factor, the cross-sectional area, the second moment of area of the crosssection and the radius of curvature. Finally, $F_{1}, F_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ are the external forces defined in $] 0, L[\times] 0,+\infty[$. For more reading about this matter, we refer to Lagnese et al. [18,19]. It is worth noting that the system considered by Bresse [3] is obtained by taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(0,-\gamma \psi_{t}, 0\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma>0$.
To stabilize the Bresse system, various dampings have been employed and several decay results have been established. Alabau-Boussouira et al. [1] considered the case (1.3) and proved that the exponential stability is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When (1.4) is not satisfied, they showed that the norm of solutions decays polynomially to zero with rates depending on the regularity of the initial data. These latter results were extended and improved in [22] by considering a locally distributed dissipation (that is, $\gamma$ in (1.3) is replaced by a non-negative function $a$ : $] 0, L\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}\right.$which is positive only on a part of $] 0, L[$ ). In their work, the authors of [22] obtained a better decay rate when (1.4) does not hold. The exponential stability result of [1] was also established by Soriano et al. [29] for the case of indefinite damping. That is, when $\gamma=a(x)$, where $a:] 0, L[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with a positive average on $] 0, L[$ and such that

$$
\left\|a-\int_{0}^{L} a(x) d x\right\|_{L^{2}(] 0, L[)}
$$

is small enough. In such a situation, $a$ may change sign in $] 0, L[$. Also, some optimal polynomial decay rates for Bresse systems for the case (1.3) were proved in [7] when (1.4) does not hold. Wehbe and Youcef [31] treated the case

$$
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(0,-a_{1}(x) \psi_{t},-a_{2}(x) w_{t}\right)
$$

where $\left.a_{i}:\right] 0, L\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}\right.$are non-negative functions which can vanish on some part of $] 0, L[$, and proved that the exponential stability holds if and only if $s_{1}=s_{2}$. When $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$, a polynomial decay rate depending on the regularity of the initial data was obtained. This rate, in the case of classical solutions, is $t^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$.

When only the first and second equations are controlled by means of linear frictional dampings; that is,

$$
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(-\gamma_{1} \varphi_{t},-\gamma_{2} \psi_{t}, 0\right),
$$


with $\gamma_{i}>0$, the equivalence between the exponential stability and the equality $s_{1}=s_{3}$ was established in [2]. In addition, a polynomial stability was also shown when $s_{1} \neq s_{3}$, where the decay rate depends on the regularity of the initial data. In the particular case of classical solutions, the polynomial decay of [2] is of the rate $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and it is optimal. Soufyane and Said-Houari [30] looked into the case of three frictional dampings in the whole space $\mathbb{R}$ (instead of $] 0, L[$ ) and established some polynomial stability estimates. For stabilization via nonlinear frictional dampings, we refer the readers to $[4,28]$.

Concerning the stabilization via heat effect, one of the earliest results concerning the asymptotic behavior of the Bresse system is due to Liu and Rao [20], where a Bresse system of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1} \varphi_{t t}-k\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)_{x}-l k_{0}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)+l \gamma \chi=0  \tag{1.5}\\
\rho_{2} \psi_{t t}-b \psi_{x x}+k\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)+\gamma \theta_{x}=0 \\
\rho_{1} w_{t t}-k_{0}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)_{x}+l k\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)+\gamma \chi_{t}=0 \\
\rho_{3} \theta_{t}-\theta_{x x}+\gamma \psi_{x t}=0 \\
\rho_{3} \chi_{t}-\chi_{x x}+\gamma\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)_{t}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

in a bounded interval, together with initial and boundary conditions, has been considered. In that work, Liu and Rao [20] proved that the norm of solutions decays exponentially if and only if (1.4) holds. Otherwise, the solutions decay polynomially with rates depending on the regularity of the initial data. For the classical solutions, with boundary conditions of Dirichlet-Neumann-Neumann or Dirichlet-Dirichlet-Dirichlet type, these rates are of the form $t^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ or $t^{-\frac{1}{8}+\epsilon}$, respectively, where $\epsilon>0$ is an arbitrary "small" constant. Other results similar to those of [20] were obtained in [8] for the Bresse system (1.5) without $\chi$. The obtained decay for classical solutions when (1.4) is not satisfied is, in general, of the rate $t^{-\frac{1}{6}+\epsilon}$; whereas the rate is $t^{-\frac{1}{3}+\epsilon}$ when $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$ and $s_{1}=s_{3}$. Najdi and Wehbe [21] extended the results of [8] to the case where the thermal dissipation is locally distributed, and improved the polynomial stability estimate to $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ when (1.4) is not satisfied. Recently, Keddi et al. [16] studied a thermoelastic Bresse system with Cattaneo's thermal dissipation of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1} \varphi_{t t}-k\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)_{x}-l k_{0}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)=0 \\
\rho_{2} \psi_{t t}-b \psi_{x x}+k\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)+\gamma \theta_{x}=0 \\
\rho_{1} w_{t t}-k_{0}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)_{x}+l k\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)=0 \\
\rho_{3} \theta_{t}+q_{x}+\gamma \psi_{x t}=0 \\
\tau q_{t}+\beta q+\theta_{x}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

in a bounded interval, where $\varphi, \psi$ and $w$ are, respectively, the vertical, shear angle and longitudinal displacements, $\theta$ and $q$ denote the temperature difference and the heat flux, and $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}, k, k_{0}, b, \beta, \gamma$ and $\tau$ are positive constants. Under suitable relations between the constants, the authors of [16] showed exponential and optimal polynomial decay rates. The same system was treated by Said-Houari and Hamadouche [25] in the whole space $\mathbb{R}$, where they showed that the coupling of the Bresse system with the heat conduction of the Cattaneo theory leads to a loss of regularity of the solution and they proved that the decay rate of the solution in the $L^{2}$-norm is of the rate $t^{-1 / 12}$. For more problems of thermoelastic Bresse systems, we refer the reader to [24], where a global existence was proved using two heat equations, and to [26,27], where Cauchy thermoelastic Bresse problems were treated.

Concerning the stability of Bresse systems via memories, there are only very few results. For instance, Guesmia and Kafini [10] discussed, without restrictions on the speeds, the stability issue for the case when the three equations are controlled via infinite memories of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{1}(s) \varphi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad F_{2}=-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{2}(s) \psi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& F_{3}=-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{3}(s) w_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{i}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$are differentiable, non-increasing and integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Their decay estimate depends only on the growth of the relaxation functions $g_{i}$ at infinity, which are allowed to have a decay rate at infinity arbitrary close to $\frac{1}{s}$. The same stability estimate of [10] was later established in [11] when only two infinite memories are considered, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(0,-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{2}(s) \psi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s,-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{3}(s) w_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Springer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{1}(s) \varphi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s, 0,-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{3}(s) w_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{1}(s) \varphi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s,-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g_{2}(s) \psi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s, 0\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the following conditions on the speeds of wave propagations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{2} \text { in cases (1.6) and (1.7), } \quad s_{1}=s_{3} \text { in case }(1.8) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When (1.9) does not hold, a weak stability estimate was given in [11], where the decay rate depends also on the smoothness of the initial data. Similar results were obtained in [15] when the memory term acts on the longitudinal displacements. However, when the memory term acts on the vertical displacements, it was proved in [14] that the system can not be exponentially stable even if the speeds of wave propagations are equal, but it is still polynomially stable.

To the best of our knowledge, the only known stability results for Bresse systems with only one infinite memory acting on the shear angle displacements are the ones obtained in [6] in case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}\right)=\left(0,-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x x}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s, 0\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is differentiable, non-increasing and integrable function on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. In [6], it was assumed that $g$ satisfies, for $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha_{2} g(s) \leq g^{\prime}(s) \leq-\alpha_{1} g(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and was shown that the exponential stability holds if and only if (1.4) is satisfied. Otherwise, only the polynomial stability with a decay rate of type $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and its optimality were obtained. Notice that the condition (1.11) implies that $g$ converges exponentially to zero at infinity and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{2} s} \leq g(s) \leq g(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{1} s}, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our goal in this work is to study the well posedness and asymptotic stability of system (1.1) in terms of the arbitrary growth at infinity of the kernel $g$ and the speeds of wave propagations (1.2). We prove that the systems is well posed and its energy converges to zero when time goes to infinity and provide two general decay estimates: a uniform stability estimate under (1.4), and another weak stability result in general. Our results generalize those of [6] and allow a wider class of relaxation functions. See Remark 3.3 below.

The proof of the well posedness is based on the semigroup theory. For the stability estimates, we use the energy method and an approach introduced by the present authors in [12, 13].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we present our assumptions on the relaxation function $g$ and state and prove the well posedness of (1.1). In Sect. 3, we present our stability results. The proof of our uniform and weak decay estimates are given, respectively, in Sects. 4 and 5.

## 2 Well posedness

In this section, we discuss the well posedness of (1.1) using the semigroup approach. Following the method of [5], we consider the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x, t, s)=\psi(x, t)-\psi(x, t-s) \text { in }] 0, L\left[\times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right. \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This functional satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\eta_{t}+\eta_{s}-\psi_{t}=0 & \text { in }] 0, L\left[\times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right.  \tag{2.2}\\ \eta_{x}(0, t, s)=\eta_{x}(L, t, s)=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\ \eta(x, t, 0)=0 & \text { in }] 0, L\left[\times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right.\end{cases}
$$

Let $\eta^{0}(x, s)=\eta(x, 0, s)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
U^{0} & =\left(\varphi_{0}, \psi_{0}, w_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \psi_{1}, w_{1}, \eta^{0}\right)^{T}  \tag{2.3}\\
U & =\left(\varphi, \psi, w, \varphi_{t}, \psi_{t}, w_{t}, \eta\right)^{T} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{0}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the system (1.1) takes the following abstract form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{t}=\mathcal{A} U  \tag{2.6}\\
U(t=0)=U^{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the linear operator defined by

$$
\mathcal{A} U=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{t} \\
\psi_{t} \\
w_{t} \\
k_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \varphi_{x x}-l^{2} k_{3} \overline{\rho_{1}} \varphi+k_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \psi_{x}+l \overline{\rho_{1}}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right) w_{x} \\
-k_{1} \overline{\rho_{2}} \varphi_{x}+1 \overline{\rho_{2}}\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) \psi_{x x}-k_{1} \overline{\rho_{2}} \psi-l k_{1} \overline{\rho_{2}} w+1 \overline{\rho_{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g \eta_{x x} \mathrm{~d} s \\
-l \overline{\rho_{1}}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right) \varphi_{x}-l k_{1} \overline{\rho_{1}} \psi+k_{3} \overline{\rho_{1}} w_{x x}-l^{2} k_{1} \overline{\rho_{1} w} \\
\psi_{t}-\eta_{s}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=\left\{v: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[), \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2} \times L^{2}(] 0, L[) \times\left(L_{*}^{2}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2} \times L_{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{*}^{2}(] 0, L[)=\left\{v \in L^{2}(] 0, L[), \int_{0}^{L} v \mathrm{~d} x=0\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)=\left\{v \in H^{1}(] 0, L[), \int_{0}^{L} v \mathrm{~d} x=0\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The domain $D(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
D(\mathcal{A})=\left\{V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)^{T} \in \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A} V \in \mathcal{H}, v_{7}(0)=0, \partial_{x} v_{2}(0)=\partial_{x} v_{3}(0)=0\right.  \tag{2.11}\\
\left.\partial_{x} v_{2}(L)=\partial_{x} v_{3}(L)=0, \partial_{x} v_{7}(\cdot, 0)=\partial_{x} v_{7}(\cdot, L)=0\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

that is, according to the definition of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\mathcal{A})=\left\{\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)^{T} \in \mathcal{H},\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{6}\right)^{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2} \times H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2},\right. \\
& v_{1}, v_{3} \in H^{2}(] 0, L[),\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) \partial_{x x} v_{2}+\int_{0}^{+\infty} g \partial_{x x} v_{7} \mathrm{~d} s \in L_{*}^{2}(] 0, L[), \partial_{s} v_{7} \in L_{2}, \\
& \left.v_{7}(0)=0, \partial_{x} v_{2}(0)=\partial_{x} v_{3}(0)=\partial_{x} v_{2}(L)=\partial_{x} v_{3}(L)=0, \quad \partial_{x} v_{7}(\cdot, 0)=\partial_{x} v_{7}(\cdot, L)=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
D\left(\mathcal{A}^{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{H} & \text { if } & n=0 \\
D(\mathcal{A}) & \text { if } & n=1, \\
\left\{V \in D\left(\mathcal{A}^{n-1}\right), \mathcal{A} V \in D\left(\mathcal{A}^{n-1}\right)\right\} & \text { if } & n=2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 2.1 As in [11], by integrating on $] 0, L$ [ the second and third equations in (1.1), and using the boundary conditions, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t}\left(\int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x\right)+\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{l k_{1}}{\rho_{2}} \int_{0}^{L} w \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t}\left(\int_{0}^{L} w \mathrm{~d} x\right)+\frac{l^{2} k_{1}}{\rho_{1}} \int_{0}^{L} w \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{l k_{1}}{\rho_{1}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (2.12) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} w \mathrm{~d} x=-\frac{\rho_{2}}{l k_{1}} \partial_{t t}\left(\int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x\right)-\frac{1}{l} \int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.14) into (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t t t}\left(\int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x\right)+\left(\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{l^{2} k_{1}}{\rho_{1}}\right) \partial_{t t}\left(\int_{0}^{L} \psi \mathrm{~d} x\right)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2}}+\frac{l^{2} k_{1}}{\rho_{1}}}$. Then, solving (2.15), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} \psi d x=\tilde{c}_{1} \cos \left(l_{0} t\right)+\tilde{c}_{2} \sin \left(l_{0} t\right)+\tilde{c}_{3} t+\tilde{c}_{4} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{c}_{4}$ are real constants. By combining (2.14) and (2.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} w d x=\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\frac{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}{l k_{1}}-\frac{1}{l}\right) \cos \left(l_{0} t\right)+\tilde{c}_{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}{l k_{1}}-\frac{1}{l}\right) \sin \left(l_{0} t\right)-\frac{\tilde{c}_{3}}{l} t-\frac{\tilde{c}_{4}}{l} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\left(\tilde{\psi}_{0}(x), \tilde{w}_{0}(x)\right)=\left(\psi_{0}(x, 0), w_{0}(x)\right)
$$

Using the initial data of $\psi$ and $w$ in (1.1), we see that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{c}_{1}=\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \tilde{\psi}_{0} d x+\frac{l k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \tilde{w}_{0} d x \\
\tilde{c}_{2}=\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{3}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{1} d x+\frac{l k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{3}} \int_{0}^{L} w_{1} d x \\
\tilde{c}_{3}=\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{1} d x-\frac{l k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} w_{1} d x \\
\tilde{c}_{4}=\left(1-\frac{k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \tilde{\psi}_{0} d x-\frac{l k_{1}}{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}} \int_{0}^{L} \tilde{w}_{0} d x
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi}=\psi-\frac{1}{L}\left(\tilde{c}_{1} \cos \left(l_{0} t\right)+\tilde{c}_{2} \sin \left(l_{0} t\right)+\tilde{c}_{3} t+\tilde{c}_{4}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}=w-\frac{1}{L}\left(\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\frac{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}{l k_{1}}-\frac{1}{l}\right) \cos \left(l_{0} t\right)+\tilde{c}_{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}{l k_{1}}-\frac{1}{l}\right) \sin \left(l_{0} t\right)-\frac{\tilde{c}_{3}}{l} t-\frac{\tilde{c}_{4}}{l}\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2.16) and (2.17), one can check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} \tilde{\psi} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{0}^{L} \tilde{w} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L} \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\eta}(x, t, s)=\tilde{\psi}(x, t)-\tilde{\psi}(x, t-s) \quad \text { in }] 0, L\left[\times \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right.
$$

Therefore, Poincaré's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists c_{0}>0: \int_{0}^{L} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq c_{0} \int_{0}^{L} v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall v \in H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is applicable for $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$, provided that $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{w} \in H^{1}(] 0, L[)$. In addition, $(\varphi, \tilde{\psi}, \tilde{w})$ satisfies the boundary conditions and the first three equations in (1.1) with initial data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{0}-\frac{1}{L}\left(\tilde{c}_{1}+\tilde{c}_{4}\right), \quad \psi_{1}-\frac{1}{L}\left(l_{0} \tilde{c}_{2}+\tilde{c}_{3}\right) \\
& w_{0}-\frac{1}{L}\left(\tilde{c}_{1}\left(\frac{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}{l k_{1}}-\frac{1}{l}\right)-\frac{\tilde{c}_{4}}{l}\right) \quad \text { and } w_{1}-\frac{1}{L}\left(\tilde{c}_{2} l_{0}\left(\frac{\rho_{2} l_{0}^{2}}{l k_{1}}-\frac{1}{l}\right)-\frac{\tilde{c}_{3}}{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

instead of $\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$, respectively. In the sequel, we work with $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ instead of $\psi, w$ and $\eta$, but, for simplicity of notation, we use $\psi, w$ and $\eta$ instead of $\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$, respectively.

Now, to prove the well posedness of (2.6), we make the following hypothesis:
(H1) The function $g: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is differentiable, non-increasing and integrable on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that there exists a positive constant $k_{0}$ such that, for any

$$
(\varphi, \psi, w)^{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}^{2}+\psi_{x}^{2}+w_{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) \psi_{x}^{2}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there exists a positive constant $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\beta g(s) \leq g^{\prime}(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2 1. It is evident that (2.23) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}^{2}+\psi_{x}^{2}+w_{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(k_{2} \psi_{x}^{2}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, thanks to (2.22) applied for $\psi$ and $w$, and Poincaré's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \tilde{c}_{0}>0: \int_{0}^{L} v^{2} d x \leq \tilde{c}_{0} \int_{0}^{L} v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

applied for $\varphi$, there exists a positive constant $\tilde{k}_{0}$ such that, for any

$$
(\varphi, \psi, w)^{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L}\left(k_{2} \psi_{x}^{2}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \tilde{k}_{0} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}^{2}+\psi_{x}^{2}+w_{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (2.25) and (2.27), we deduce that the right hand side of the inequality (2.25) defines a norm on $H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}$ equivalent to the natural norm of $\left(H^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{3}$.
2. As in [11], we conclude from (2.23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0}+g^{0}-k_{2} \leq 0 . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for the choice $\varphi=w=0$, (2.23) gives

$$
\left(k_{0}+g^{0}-k_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq k_{1} \int_{0}^{L} \psi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \forall \psi \in H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[) .
$$

This inequality implies, for $\psi(x)=\cos (\lambda x)-\frac{1}{\lambda L} \sin (\lambda L)$ and $\left.\lambda \in\right] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ (notice that $\psi \in H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)$ ),

$$
\left(k_{0}+g^{0}-k_{2}\right)\left(L-\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \sin (2 \lambda L)\right) \leq \frac{k_{1}}{\lambda^{2}}\left(L+\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \sin (2 \lambda L)-\frac{2}{\lambda^{2} L} \sin ^{2}(\lambda L)\right), \quad \forall \lambda>0 .
$$

By letting $\lambda$ go to $+\infty$, we deduce (2.28).
According to Remark 2.2, we notice that, under the hypothesis (H1), the sets $L_{2}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are Hilbert spaces equipped, respectively, with the inner products that generate the norms, for $v \in L_{2}$ and $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)^{T} \in$ $\mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L_{2}}^{2}=\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\|V\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}= & \int_{0}^{L}\left(\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right)\left(\partial_{x} v_{2}\right)^{2}+k_{1}\left(\partial_{x} v_{1}+v_{2}+l v_{3}\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(\partial_{x} v_{3}-l v_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.30}\\
& +\int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1} v_{4}^{2}+\rho_{2} v_{5}^{2}+\rho_{1} v_{6}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\left\|v_{7}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, a simple computation implies that, for any $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)^{T} \in D(\mathcal{A})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{A} V, V\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x} v_{7}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is non-increasing, we deduce from (2.31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathcal{A} V, V\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0 . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $A$ is dissipative. Notice that, according to (2.24) and the fact that $g$ is non-increasing, we see that, for $v \in L_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime} v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right| & =-\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime} v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \beta \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g v_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \beta\|v\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \\
& <+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

so the integral in the right hand side of (2.31) is well defined.
Next, we follow the proof given in [11] to prove that $I d-\mathcal{A}$ is surjective, where $I d$ is the identity operator. Let $F=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{7}\right)^{T} \in \mathcal{H}$. We seek the existence of $V=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{7}\right)^{T} \in D(\mathcal{A})$, a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I d-\mathcal{A}) V=F \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first three equations in (2.33) take the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{4}=v_{1}-f_{1}  \tag{2.34}\\
v_{5}=v_{2}-f_{2} \\
v_{6}=v_{3}-f_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (2.34), the last equation in (2.33) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{s} v_{7}+v_{7}=v_{2}+f_{7}-f_{2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating (2.35) and using the fact that $v_{7}(0)=0$ (from (2.11)), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{7}(s)=\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-s}\right)\left(v_{2}-f_{2}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-s} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that, from (2.34), if $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}$, then $\left(v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right) \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times$ $\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}$. On the other hand, using Fubini theorem, Hölder's inequality and noting that $f_{7} \in L_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) & \left(\mathrm{e}^{-s} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{2} d s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-2 s} g(s)\left(\int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-s}\right) g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} g(\tau)\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau)\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \left\|f_{7}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \\
& <+\infty, \\
\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-s} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{2} d s \mathrm{~d} x \leq & \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-2 s} g(s)\left(\int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-s}\right) g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
s \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{-s} \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \in L_{2}
$$

and therefore, (2.36) implies that $v_{7} \in L_{2}$. Moreover, $\partial_{s} v_{7} \in L_{2}$ by (2.35). Therefore, to prove that (2.33) admits a solution $V \in D(\mathcal{A})$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} v_{7}(\cdot, 0)=\partial_{x} v_{7}(\cdot, L)=0 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ exists and satisfies the required regularity and boundary conditions in $D(\mathcal{A})$, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)^{T} \in\left(H^{2}(] 0, L[) \cap H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right) \times H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H^{2}(] 0, L[) \cap H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}  \tag{2.38}\\
& \left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) \partial_{x x} v_{2}+\int_{0}^{+\infty} g \partial_{x x} v_{7} \mathrm{~d} s \in L_{*}^{2}(] 0, L[) \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x} v_{2}(0)=\partial_{x} v_{3}(0)=\partial_{x} v_{2}(L)=\partial_{x} v_{3}(L)=0 \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that (2.37)-(2.40) hold. Multiplying the fourth, fifth and sixth equations in (2.33) by $\rho_{1} \tilde{v}_{1}$, $\rho_{2} \tilde{v}_{2}$ and $\rho_{1} \tilde{v}_{3}$, respectively, integrating their sum over $] 0, L[$, using the boundary conditions (2.37) and (2.40), and inserting (2.34) and (2.36), we get that ( $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ ) solves the variational problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)^{T},\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)^{T}\right)=\tilde{a}_{1}\left(\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)^{T}\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)^{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}\left(\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)^{T},\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)^{T}\right)  \tag{2.42}\\
& =\int_{0}^{L}\left(k_{1}\left(\partial_{x} v_{1}+v_{2}+l v_{3}\right)\left(\partial_{x} \tilde{v}_{1}+\tilde{v}_{2}+l \tilde{v}_{3}\right)+k_{3}\left(\partial_{x} v_{3}-l v_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x} \tilde{v}_{3}-l \tilde{v}_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1} v_{1} \tilde{v}_{1}+\rho_{2} v_{2} \tilde{v}_{2}+\rho_{1} v_{3} \tilde{v}_{3}+\left(k_{2}-\tilde{g}^{0}\right) \partial_{x} v_{2} \partial_{x} \tilde{v}_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

$\tilde{g}^{0}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \mathrm{d} s$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{a}_{1}\left(\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)^{T}\right)= & \int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1}\left(f_{1}+f_{4}\right) \tilde{v}_{1}+\rho_{2}\left(f_{2}+f_{5}\right) \tilde{v}_{2}+\rho_{1}\left(f_{3}+f_{6}\right) \tilde{v}_{3}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\left(g^{0}-\tilde{g}^{0}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \partial_{x} f_{2} \partial_{x} \tilde{v}_{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.43}\\
& -\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau d s\right) \partial_{x} \tilde{v}_{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

We note that, as before, using again Fubini theorem, Hölder's inequality and the fact that $f_{7} \in L_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s}\right. & \left.\mathrm{e}^{\tau} \partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau d s\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{e}^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} g(\tau)\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau)\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau)\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq g^{0}\left\|f_{7}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \\
& <+\infty, \\
\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau d s\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\tau}\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{e}^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} g(\tau)\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} \tau\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau)\left|\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right| \mathrm{d} \tau\right)^{\mathrm{d} x} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right)\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(\tau)\left(\partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq g^{0}\left\|f_{7}\right\|_{L_{2}}^{2} \\
& <+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
x \mapsto \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s} g(s) \int_{0}^{s} \mathrm{e}^{\tau} \partial_{x} f_{7}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau d s \in L^{2}(] 0, L[)
$$

On the other hand, $\tilde{g}^{0} \leq g^{0}<k_{2}$ (by (2.28)). Then, by virtue of (2.23) and (2.27), we have $a_{1}$ is a bilinear, continuous and coercive form on

$$
\left(H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}\right) \times\left(H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

and $\tilde{a}_{1}$ is a linear and continuous form on $H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2}$. Consequently, using the Lax-Milgram theorem, we deduce that (2.41) has a unique solution

$$
\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)^{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(] 0, L[) \times\left(H_{*}^{1}(] 0, L[)\right)^{2} .
$$

Therefore, using classical elliptic regularity arguments, we conclude that the forth, fifth and sixth equations in (2.33) are satisfied with $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)^{T}$ satisfying (2.38) and (2.40), and, using (2.34) and (2.36), $v_{7}$ satisfies (2.37) and (2.39). Thus, we deduce that (2.33) admits a unique solution $V \in D(\mathcal{A})$, and then $I d-\mathcal{A}$ is surjective.

The operator $-\mathcal{A}$ is then linear maximal monotone, and $D(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Finally, thanks to the Hille Yosida theorem (see [23]), we deduce from (2.32) and (2.33) that $\mathcal{A}$ generates a $C_{0}$-semigroup of contractions in $\mathcal{H}$. This gives the following well-posedness results of $(2.6)$ (see $[17,23]$ ).

Theorem 2.3 Assume that $(\mathbf{H 1})$ holds. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U^{0} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}^{n}\right)$, (2.6) has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{n} C^{n-k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; D\left(\mathcal{A}^{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Stability

In this section, we study the stability of (2.6), where the obtained two (uniform and weak) decay rates of solution depend on the speeds of wave propagations (1.2) and the growth of $g$ at infinity characterized by the following additional hypothesis:
(H2) Assume that $g(0)>0$ and there exists a non-increasing differentiable function $\xi: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(s) \leq-\xi(s) g(s), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We start by considering the case where the speeds of wave propagations (1.2) satisfy (1.4).
Theorem 3.1 Assume that (H1), (H2) and (1.4) are satisfied such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l \text { is small enough. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U^{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \equiv \text { constant or } \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\eta_{x}^{0}(x, s)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exist constants $\left.\left.\beta_{0} \in\right] 0,1\right]$ and $\alpha_{1}>0$ such that, for all $\left.\alpha_{0} \in\right] 0, \beta_{0}[$, the solution of (2.6) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \alpha_{1}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t}(g(s))^{1-\alpha_{0}} \mathrm{~d} s\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s \alpha_{1} \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When (1.4) does not hold, we prove the following weaker stability result for (2.6).
Theorem 3.2 Assume that (H1), (H2) and (3.2) are satisfied. Let $U^{0} \in D(\mathcal{A})$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \equiv \text { constant or } \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \max _{k=0,1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\partial_{s}^{k} \eta_{x}^{0}(x, s)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<+\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=s_{3} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a positive constant $\alpha_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \frac{\alpha_{1}\left(1+\int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \int_{s}^{+\infty} g(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s\right)}{\int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.3 1. If (3.1) holds with $\xi \equiv$ constant, then (3.4) and (3.7) give, respectively, for some positive constants $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq d_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-d_{2} t}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \frac{d_{1}}{t}, \quad \forall t>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, this particular case includes the results of [6]. The estimates (3.8) and (3.9) give the best decay rates which can be obtained from (3.4) and (3.7), respectively.
2. When $\xi \equiv$ constant, condition (3.1) implies that $g$ converges exponentially to zero at infinity. However, when $\xi \neq$ constant, condition (3.1) allows $s \mapsto g(s)$ to have a decay rate arbitrarily close to $\frac{1}{s}$ at infinity, which represents the critical limit, since $g$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. To illustrate our general stability estimates, we give here some particular examples of $g$ satisfying (3.1), and show the specific corresponding decay rates given by (3.4) and (3.7).
(i) Let $g(t)=d \mathrm{e}^{-(1+t)^{q}}$ with $0<q<1$ and $d>0$ ( $g$ converges to zero at infinity faster than any polynomial). Then, (3.1) holds with $\xi(t)=q(1+t)^{q-1}$, and consequently, (3.4) and (3.7) give, respectively, for two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$,

$$
E(t) \leq c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-c_{2}(1+t)^{q}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

and

$$
E(t) \leq c_{1}(1+t)^{-q}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} .
$$

(ii) Let $g(t)=d(1+t)^{-q}$ with $q>1$ and $d>0(g$ has at most a polynomial decay at infinity). Assumption (3.1) holds with $\xi(t)=q(1+t)^{-1}$, and consequently, (3.4) and (3.7) give, respectively, for two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$,

$$
E(t) \leq c_{1}(1+t)^{-c_{2}}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

and

$$
E(t) \leq c_{1}(\ln (1+t))^{-1}, \quad \forall t>0 .
$$

To prove (3.4) and (3.7), we will consider suitable multipliers and construct appropriate Lyapunov functionals satisfying some differential inequalities, for any $U^{0} \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$; so all the calculations are justified. By integrating these differential inequalities, we get (3.4) and (3.7), for any $U^{0} \in D(\mathcal{A})$. By simple density arguments ( $D(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ ), (3.4) remains valid, for any $U^{0} \in \mathcal{H}$.

We will use $c$, throughout the rest of this paper, to denote a generic positive constant which depends continuously on the initial data $U^{0}$ and the fixed parameters in (1.1), (2.22) and (2.26), and can be different from step to step. When $c$ depends on some new constants $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots$, introduced in the proof, the constant $c$ is noted $c_{y_{1}}, c_{y_{1}, y_{2}}, \ldots$.

Let us consider the energy functional $E$ associated to (2.6) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.31), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime} \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $g$ is non-increasing, (3.11) implies that $E$ is non-increasing, and consequently, (2.6) is dissipative.

## 4 Proof of uniform decay (3.4)

First, we consider the following functional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=-\rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1 For any $\delta_{0}>0$, there exists $c_{\delta_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\rho_{2}\left(g^{0}-\delta_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\psi_{x}^{2}+\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.2}\\
& +c_{\delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(g(s)-g^{\prime}(s)\right) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof First, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta \mathrm{d} s & =\partial_{t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s)) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s)(\psi(t)-\psi(s)) \mathrm{d} s+\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \psi_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \eta \mathrm{d} s+g^{0} \psi_{t} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, using Young's and Hölder's inequalities, we get the following inequality: for all $\lambda>0$, there exists $c_{\lambda}>0$ such that, for any $v \in L^{2}(] 0, L[)$ and $\hat{\eta} \in\left\{\eta, \partial_{x} \eta\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{L} v \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \hat{\eta} \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{L} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+c_{\lambda} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \hat{\eta}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{L} v \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \hat{\eta} \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{L} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-c_{\lambda} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \hat{\eta}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, direct computations, using the first equation in (1.1), integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions and (4.3), yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(t)= & -\rho_{2} g^{0} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\left(k_{1}-g^{0}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +k_{1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right) \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta d s d x \\
& -\rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \eta \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.4) and (4.5) for the last three terms of this equality, Poincaré's inequality (2.22) for $\eta$, and Hölder's inequality to estimate

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \partial_{x} \eta \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{2}
$$

we get (4.2).
Lemma 4.2 Let

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t)= & \rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right) \psi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.6}\\
& -\frac{\rho_{1}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for any $\delta_{0}, \epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}>0$, there exist $c_{\delta_{0}}, c_{\epsilon_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{\prime}(t) \leq & -k_{1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\delta_{0}+\frac{l k_{2} k_{3} \epsilon_{1}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{l k_{3} g^{0} \epsilon_{2}}{2 k_{1}}\right) \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\delta_{0} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\frac{l k_{2} k_{3}}{2 k_{1} \epsilon_{1}}+\frac{l k_{3} g^{0}}{2 k_{1} \epsilon_{2}}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{0}^{L}\left(c_{\epsilon_{0}} \psi_{t}^{2}+\epsilon_{0} w_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.7}\\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+c_{\delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(g(s)-g^{\prime}(s)\right) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Proof First, notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s & =\partial_{t} \int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t-s) \psi_{x}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =g(0) \psi_{x}(t)+\int_{-\infty}^{t} g^{\prime}(t-s) \psi_{x}(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \psi_{x}(t) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \psi_{x}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s=-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by exploiting the first two equations in (1.1), integrating by parts, using (4.8) and the boundary conditions, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime}(t)= & -k_{1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+\rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\rho_{2} l \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t} w_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{l k_{3}}{k_{1}}\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right) \psi_{x} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{\rho_{1}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{l k_{3}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right) \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying (4.4), (4.5) and Young's inequality for the last four terms of the above equality, we deduce (4.7).

Lemma 4.3 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(t)=-\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right) w_{t} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{k_{3} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right) \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $\epsilon_{0}>0$, there exists $c_{\epsilon_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
K^{\prime}(t) \leq & l k_{1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{l k_{3}^{2}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+c_{\epsilon_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.10}\\
& +\int_{0}^{L}\left(\frac{l \rho_{1} k_{3}}{k_{1}} \varphi_{t}^{2}+\left(-l \rho_{1}+\epsilon_{0}\right) w_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Using the first and third equations in (1.1), integrating by parts, recalling (4.8) and using the boundary conditions, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^{\prime}(t)= & l k_{1} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{l k_{3}^{2}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{x t} w_{t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -l \rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L} w_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{l k_{3} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t} w_{t} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Young's inequality for the last four term of the above equality, we obtain (4.10).

## Lemma 4.4 Let

$$
\begin{align*}
P(t)= & -\rho_{1} k_{3} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right) \int_{0}^{x} w_{t}(y, t) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.11}\\
& -\rho_{1} k_{1} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{x}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)(y, t) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for any $\epsilon_{0}, \delta_{1}>0$, there exists $c_{\epsilon_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{\prime}(t) \leq & k_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-k_{3}^{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+c_{\epsilon_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.12}\\
& +\left(-\rho_{1} k_{1}+\epsilon_{0}+\frac{l \rho_{1}\left|k_{3}-k_{1}\right| \delta_{1}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\rho_{1}\left(k_{3}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{0} l\left|k_{3}-k_{1}\right|}{2 \delta_{1}}\right) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof By exploiting the first and third equations in (1.1), integrating by parts and using (2.20) and the boundary conditions, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{\prime}(t)= & -\rho_{1} k_{3} \int_{0}^{L} w_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\rho_{1} k_{1} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-k_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +k_{3}^{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\rho_{1} \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{x}\left(k_{1} \psi_{t}(y, t)+l\left(k_{1}-k_{3}\right) w_{t}(y, t)\right) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that the functions

$$
x \mapsto \int_{0}^{x} \psi_{t}(y, t) \mathrm{d} y \quad \text { and } \quad x \mapsto \int_{0}^{x} w_{t}(y, t) \mathrm{d} y
$$

vanish at 0 and $L$ (because of (2.20)), then, applying (2.26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \psi_{t}(y, t) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \tilde{c}_{0} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{x} w_{t}(y, t) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \tilde{c}_{0} \int_{0}^{L} w_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Young's inequality for the last term in (4.13), and recalling (4.14) and (4.15), we conclude (4.12).

Lemma 4.5 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=-\int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1} \varphi \varphi_{t}+\rho_{2} \psi \psi_{t}+\rho_{1} w w_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $\delta_{0}>0$, there exists $c_{\delta_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime}(t) \leq & \int_{0}^{L}\left(\left(k_{2}+\delta_{0}-g^{0}\right) \psi_{x}^{2}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.17}\\
& -\int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1} \varphi_{t}^{2}+\rho_{2} \psi_{t}^{2}+\rho_{1} w_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+c_{\delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Proof By exploiting the first three equations in (1.1), integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\prime}(t)= & \int_{0}^{L}\left(\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) \psi_{x}^{2}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}+k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1} \varphi_{t}^{2}+\rho_{2} \psi_{t}^{2}+\rho_{1} w_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying (4.4) for the last term in this equality, we arrive at (4.17).
Lemma 4.6 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(t)=-\rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x} \int_{0}^{x} \psi_{t}(y, t) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $\delta_{0}, \delta_{2}>0$, there exists $c_{\delta_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{\prime}(t) \leq & \rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2 \delta_{2}}+g^{0}+\delta_{0}-k_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.19}\\
& +\frac{\tilde{c}_{0} k_{1} \delta_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+c_{\delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Proof By exploiting the second equation in (1.1), integrating by parts and using the boundary conditions, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{\prime}(t)= & \rho_{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(g^{0}-k_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.20}\\
& +k_{1} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x} \int_{0}^{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y, t)+\psi(y, t)+l w(y, t)\right) \mathrm{d} y \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that the function

$$
x \mapsto \int_{0}^{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y, t)+\psi(y, t)+l w(y, t)\right) \mathrm{d} y
$$

vanishes at 0 and $L$ (because of (2.20)), then, applying (2.26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{L}\left(\int_{0}^{x}\left(\varphi_{x}(y, t)+\psi(y, t)+l w(y, t)\right) \mathrm{d} y\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \tilde{c}_{0} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, application of Young's inequality and (4.4) for the last two terms in (4.20), and use of (4.21) yield (4.19).

Let $N, N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}, N_{5}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F:=N E+N_{1} I+N_{2} P+N_{3} K+N_{4} R+N_{5} D+J \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by combining (4.2), (4.7), (4.10), (4.12), (4.17) and (4.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq & \int_{0}^{L}\left(l_{1} \varphi_{t}^{2}+l_{2} \psi_{t}^{2}+l_{3} w_{t}^{2}+l_{4} \psi_{x}^{2}+l_{5}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}+l_{6}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& +N E^{\prime}(t)+c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}, \delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(g(s)-g^{\prime}(s)\right) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\psi_{x}^{2}+\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}+\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}+\varphi_{t}^{2}+\psi_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{4.23}\\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\epsilon_{0} c_{N_{2}, N_{3}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{t}^{2}+w_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+c_{N_{2}, N_{3}, \epsilon_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}=-\rho_{1} k_{1} N_{2}-\rho_{1} N_{4}+\frac{l \rho_{1}\left|k_{3}-k_{1}\right| \delta_{1} N_{2}}{2}+\frac{l \rho_{1} k_{3} N_{3}}{k_{1}} \\
& l_{2}=-\rho_{2} g^{0} N_{1}-\rho_{2} N_{4}+\rho_{2} N_{5} \\
& l_{3}=-l \rho_{1} N_{3}-\rho_{1} N_{4}+\rho_{1}\left(k_{3}+\frac{l \tilde{c}_{0}\left|k_{3}-k_{1}\right|}{2 \delta_{1}}\right) N_{2} \\
& l_{4}=-\left(k_{2}-\frac{k_{1}}{2 \delta_{2}}\right) N_{5}+k_{2} N_{4}+\frac{l k_{2} k_{3}}{2 k_{1} \epsilon_{1}}+g^{0}\left(N_{5}-N_{4}+\frac{l k_{3}}{2 k_{1} \epsilon_{2}}\right) \\
& l_{5}=-k_{3}^{2} N_{2}-\frac{l k_{3}^{2} N_{3}}{k_{1}}+k_{3} N_{4}+\frac{l k_{2} k_{3} \epsilon_{1}}{2 k_{1}}+\frac{l k_{3} g^{0} \epsilon_{2}}{2 k_{1}} \\
& l_{6}=-k_{1}+k_{1}^{2} N_{2}+l k_{1} N_{3}+k_{1} N_{4}+\frac{\tilde{c}_{0} k_{1} \delta_{2} N_{5}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.23), (2.30), (3.10) and (3.11), we get from (4.23) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq \int_{0}^{L}\left(l_{1} \varphi_{t}^{2}+l_{2} \psi_{t}^{2}+l_{3} w_{t}^{2}+l_{4} \psi_{x}^{2}+l_{5}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}+l_{6}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}} E(t)+\left(N-c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}, \delta_{0}}\right) E^{\prime}(t)+c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}, \delta_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\epsilon_{0} c_{N_{2}, N_{3}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\varphi_{t}^{2}+w_{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+c_{N_{2}, N_{3}, \epsilon_{0}} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point, we choose carefully the constants $N, N_{i}, \delta_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i}$ to get suitable values of $l_{i}$.
First, let us take

$$
N_{3}=\delta_{1}=1, \quad \varepsilon_{1}=\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}, \quad \varepsilon_{2}=\frac{k_{3}}{2 g^{0}}, \quad \delta_{2}=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}-g^{0}}, \quad N_{4}=k_{3} N_{2}, \quad N_{5}=4 k_{3} N_{2}
$$

thus, the $l_{i}$ 's take the forms

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
l_{1}=-\rho_{1}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right) N_{2}+l \rho_{1}\left(\frac{\left|k_{1}-k_{3}\right|}{2} N_{2}+\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\right) \\
l_{2}=-\rho_{2}\left(g^{0} N_{1}-3 k_{3} N_{2}\right) \\
l_{3}=-l \rho_{1}\left(1-\frac{\tilde{c}_{0}\left|k_{1}-k_{3}\right|}{2} N_{2}\right) \\
l_{4}=-\left(k_{2}-g^{0}\right) k_{3} N_{2}+\frac{l}{k_{1}}\left(\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{2}+\left(g^{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
l_{5}=-\frac{l k_{3}^{2}}{4 k_{1}}<0 \\
l_{6}=-k_{1}\left(1-\left(k_{1}+k_{3}+\frac{2 \tilde{c}_{0} k_{1} k_{3}}{k_{2}-g^{0}}\right) N_{2}\right)+l k_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, we choose $N_{2}>0$ so small that

$$
1-\tilde{c}_{0}\left|k_{1}-k_{3}\right| N_{2}>0, \quad 1-\left(k_{1}+k_{3}+\frac{2 \tilde{c}_{0} k_{1} k_{3}}{k_{2}-g^{0}}\right) N_{2}>0
$$

then, take $\varepsilon_{0}=\frac{1}{2 c_{N_{2}, N_{3}}} l \rho_{1}$, so that we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{l}_{1}=l_{1}+\varepsilon_{0} c_{N_{2}, N_{3}}=-\rho_{1}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right) N_{2}+l \rho_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\left|k_{1}-k_{3}\right|}{2} N_{2}+\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}\right), \\
\tilde{l}_{2}=l_{2}+c_{N_{2}, N_{3}, \varepsilon_{0}} \\
\tilde{l}_{3}=l_{3}+\varepsilon_{0} c_{N_{2}, N_{3}}=-\frac{l \rho_{1}}{2}\left(1-\tilde{c}_{0}\left|k_{1}-k_{3}\right| N_{2}\right)<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, we recall (3.2) to select $l>0$ small enough such that

$$
\tilde{l}_{1}<0, \quad l_{4}<0, \quad l_{6}<0
$$

After that, we pick $N_{1}>0$ very large so that $\tilde{l}_{2}<0$. Then, we find that

$$
\hat{l}:=2 \max \left\{\frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \tilde{l}_{1}, \frac{1}{\rho_{2}} \tilde{l}_{2}, \frac{1}{\rho_{1}} \tilde{l}_{3}, \frac{1}{k_{2}} l_{4}, \frac{1}{k_{3}} l_{5}, \frac{1}{k_{1}} l_{6}\right\}<0
$$

and, using (2.30) and (3.10),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{L}\left(\tilde{l}_{1} \varphi_{t}^{2}+\tilde{l}_{2} \psi_{t}^{2}+\tilde{l}_{3} w_{t}^{2}+l_{4} \psi_{x}^{2}+l_{5}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}+l_{6}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}} E(t) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\hat{l}}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\rho_{1} \varphi_{t}^{2}+\rho_{2} \psi_{t}^{2}+\rho_{1} w_{t}^{2}+k_{2} \psi_{x}^{2}+k_{3}\left(w_{x}-l \varphi\right)^{2}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x}+\psi+l w\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}} E(t) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\hat{l}+\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}}\right) E(t)+\frac{\hat{l} g^{0}}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{4.25}\\
& \quad \leq\left(\hat{l}+\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{4}, N_{5}}\right) E(t)-\frac{\hat{l}}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we take $\delta_{0}>0$ small enough so that

$$
\hat{l}+\delta_{0} c_{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{5}}<0
$$

Consequently, we obtain from (4.24) and (4.25), for some positive constants $c, \tilde{c}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\tilde{c}_{1} E(t)+(N-c) E^{\prime}(t)+c \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we estimate the integral of $g \eta_{x}^{2}$ in (4.26).
Case $\xi \equiv$ constant. From (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x & =\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \xi g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq-\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

then, using (3.11), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \leq-2 E^{\prime}(t) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $\xi \neq$ constant. Following the arguments of [12] and [13], and using (3.1) and the fact that $\xi$ is non-increasing, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x & \leq \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq-\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{t} g^{\prime}(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

then, recalling (3.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \leq-2 E^{\prime}(t) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the definition of $E,(2.23)$ and the fact that $E$ is non-increasing imply that

$$
\int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x}^{2}(x, t) \mathrm{d} x \leq c E(0)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{L} \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & =\int_{0}^{L}\left(\eta_{x}^{0}(x, s-t)+\psi_{x}(x, t)-\psi_{x}(x, 0)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq c\left(E(0)+\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{0}^{L}\left(\eta_{x}^{0}(x, s)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, using the boundedness condition on $\eta^{0}$ in (3.3), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \leq c \xi(t) \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) d s \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by combining (4.28) and (4.29), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \leq-2 E^{\prime}(t)+c \xi(t) \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) d s \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, multiplying (4.26) by $\xi(t)$ and combining with (4.27) and (4.30), we get for the two previous cases, for some $\tilde{c}_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi(t) F^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\tilde{c}_{1} \xi(t) E(t)+c \xi(t) \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) d s+(N-c) \xi(t) E^{\prime}(t)-\tilde{c}_{2} E^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from (2.23), (2.30) and (3.10), we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\gamma$ (independent of $N$ ) satisfying

$$
\left|N_{1} I+N_{2} P+N_{3} K+N_{4} R+N_{5} D+J\right| \leq \gamma E,
$$

which, combined with (4.22), implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N-\gamma) E \leq F \leq(N+\gamma) E . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $N$ so that

$$
N \geq c \quad \text { and } \quad N>\gamma
$$

noting that $E^{\prime} \leq 0$ and using (4.31) and (4.32), we deduce that $F \sim E$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\tilde{c}_{1} \xi(t) E(t)+\operatorname{ch}(t)+\xi^{\prime}(t) F(t) \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{F}=\xi F+\tilde{c}_{2} E \quad \text { and } \quad h(t)=\xi(t) \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

From (4.32) and the relation $0 \leq \xi(t) F(t) \leq \xi(0) F(t)$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{c}_{2} E \leq \tilde{F} \leq\left(\tilde{c}_{2}+\xi(0)(N+\gamma)\right) E . \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (4.33) implies that, for any $\left.\alpha_{0} \in\right] 0, \beta_{0}\left[\right.$, where $\beta_{0}=\min \left\{1, \frac{\tilde{c}_{1}}{\tilde{c}_{2}+\xi(0)(N+\gamma)}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\alpha_{0} \xi(t) \tilde{F}(t)+\operatorname{ch}(t) \\
& +\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x+N_{3} \rho_{1}\left(\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}}-1\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} w_{t} \varphi_{x t} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the last two terms in (4.35) vanish (thanks to (1.4)), then (4.35) implies that

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} \tilde{F}(t)\right) \leq c \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} h(t)
$$

Therefore, by integrating over $[0, T]$ with $T \geq 0$, we get

$$
\tilde{F}(T) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}\left(\tilde{F}(0)+c \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} h(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)
$$

which implies, according to (4.34), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(T) \leq c \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}\left(1+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} h(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} h(t)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}} \partial_{t}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}\right) \int_{t}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

then, by integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} s \int_{T}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, combining with (4.36), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(T) \leq c\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s\right.  \tag{4.37}\\
&\left.+\int_{T}^{+\infty} g(s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \\
&+c \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& g(t) \mathrm{d} t
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, (3.1) implies that

$$
\partial_{t}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s}(g(t))^{\alpha_{0}}\right)=\alpha_{0}(g(t))^{\alpha_{0}-1}\left(\xi(t) g(t)+g^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s} \leq 0
$$

and, hence,

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s(g(t))^{\alpha_{0}} \leq(g(0))^{\alpha_{0}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{t} \xi(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad g(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq(g(0))^{\alpha_{0}} \int_{0}^{T}(g(t))^{1-\alpha_{0}} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, (3.10) and (4.38) give (3.4).

## 5 Proof of weak decay (3.7)

In this section, we treat the case when (1.4) does not hold but (3.6) holds. In this case, the last term in (4.35) vanishes. Therefore, we need to estimate

$$
\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

using the following system resulting from differentiating (1.1) with respect to time $t$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1} \varphi_{t t t}-k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x t}+\psi_{t}+l w_{t}\right)_{x}-l k_{3}\left(w_{x t}-l \varphi_{t}\right)=0  \tag{5.1}\\
\rho_{2} \psi_{t t t}-k_{2} \psi_{x x t}+k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x t}+\psi_{t}+l w_{t}\right)+\int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x x t}(x, t-s) \mathrm{d} s=0 \\
\rho_{1} w_{t t t}-k_{3}\left(w_{x t}-l \varphi_{t}\right)_{x}+l k_{1}\left(\varphi_{x t}+\psi_{t}+l w_{t}\right)=0 \\
\varphi_{t}(0, t)=\psi_{x t}(0, t)=w_{x t}(0, t)=\varphi_{t}(L, t)=\psi_{x t}(L, t)=w_{x t}(L, t)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

System (5.1) is well posed for initial data $U^{0} \in D(\mathcal{A})$ thanks to Theorem 2.3, where $U_{t} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} ; \mathcal{H}\right)$. Let $U^{0} \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tilde{E}$ be the energy of (5.1) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{t}(t)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime} \eta_{x t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \leq 0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\tilde{E}$ is non-increasing. We use an idea introduced in [9] to get the following lemma.
Lemma 5.1 For any $\epsilon>0$, there exists $c_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq c_{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x+\epsilon E(t)-c_{\epsilon} E^{\prime}(t) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We have, by the definition of $\eta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \psi_{x t} \varphi_{t} \mathrm{~d} x= & \frac{1}{g^{0}}\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x t} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{5.5}\\
& +\frac{1}{g^{0}}\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x t}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.4) and (3.10), we get, for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{1}{g^{0}}\left(\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x t} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq & \frac{\epsilon}{2} E(t)  \tag{5.6}\\
& +c_{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by integrating with respect to $s$ and using the definition of $\eta$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x t}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x & =-\int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \partial_{s}\left(\psi_{x}(t-s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t}\left(g(0) \psi_{x}(t)+\int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \psi_{x}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =-\int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g^{\prime}(s) \eta_{x} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using (4.5) and (3.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{g^{0}}\left(-\frac{k_{2} \rho_{1}}{k_{1}}-\rho_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \varphi_{t} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \psi_{x t}(t-s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} x\right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} E(t)-c_{\epsilon} E^{\prime}(t) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (5.6) and (5.7) into (5.5), we obtain (5.4).


Now, using (3.6), combining (4.35) and (5.4), and choosing $\epsilon$ small enough, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}^{\prime}(t) \leq & -c \xi(t) E(t)+c h(t)-c \xi(t) E^{\prime}(t) \\
& +c \xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using the boundedness condition on $\eta^{0}$ in (3.5), we have (as for (4.27) and (4.30))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(t) \int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{x t}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{~d} x \leq-c \tilde{E}^{\prime}(t)+c h(t) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, combining (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\tilde{F}(t)+c \tilde{E}(t)+c \xi(t) E(t))^{\prime} \leq-c \xi(t) E(t)+c h(t) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\xi$ is non-increasing. Therefore, by integrating on $[0, T]$ and using the fact $E$ is non-increasing, we get

$$
c E(T) \int_{0}^{T} \xi(t) \mathrm{d} t \leq \tilde{F}(0)+c \tilde{E}(0)+c \xi(0) E(0)+c \int_{0}^{T} h(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

which gives (3.7), since (3.10).

## Comments.

1. This work generalizes the results of [6] and allows a wider class of relaxation functions.
2. Note that when $w=l=0$, we obtain the Timoshenko system and our results reduce to those of [12].
3. It would be very interesting to obtain these general decay results without conditions (3.2) and (3.3).
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